

Aetna Better Health 2020 Compliance Audit

Review Period: April 01, 2019 – March 31, 2020

Final Report Issued February 2021

Prepared on Behalf of The State of Louisiana Louisiana Department of Health



Corporate Headquarters 1979 Marcus Avenue Lake Success, NY 11042-1072 (516) 326-7767 ipro.org ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Table of Contents

Introduction and Audit Overview	3
MCO Summary of Findings	6
MCO Final Audit Tools	38
Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disenrollment	38
Marketing and Member Education	
Member Grievances and Appeals	73
Provider Network Requirements	90
Utilization Management	147
Quality Management	165
Core Benefits and Services	188
Reporting	210
List of Tables	
Table 1: File Review Sample Sizes	3
Table 2: Review Determination Definitions	4
Table 3: Audit Results by Audit Domain	
Table 4: Deficient 2019 Audit Elements	7

Introduction and Audit Overview

Introduction

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 established that state agencies contracting with Medicaid managed care organizations (MCOs) provide for an annual external, independent review of the quality outcomes, timeliness of, and access to the services included in the contract between the state agency and the MCO. Subpart E – External Quality Review of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) sets forth the requirements for annual external quality review (EQR) of contracted MCOs. Further, 42 CFR 438.350 requires states to contract with an external quality review organization (EQRO) to perform an annual EQR for each contracted MCO. States must further ensure that the EQRO has sufficient information to carry out the EQR, that the information be obtained from EQR-related activities, and that the information provided to the EQRO be obtained through methods consistent with the protocols established by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS).

To meet these federal requirements, the Louisiana Department of Health (LDH) has contracted with IPRO, an EQRO, to conduct annual compliance audits every three years. The 2020 annual compliance audit was a partial audit of the MCO's compliance with contractual requirements during the period of April 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020. Requirements that were not fully compliant in the full 2019 annual compliance audit were reviewed.

This report presents IPRO's findings of the 2020 annual compliance audit for Aetna Better Health (Aetna).

Audit Overview

The purpose of the audit was to assess Aetna's compliance with federal and state regulations regarding: access to care; structure and operations; grievance policies; provider network relations and network adequacy; quality measurement; fraud, waste and abuse; and utilization management.

The partial audit included an evaluation of Aetna's policies, procedures, files, and other materials corresponding to the following eight contractual domains:

- 1. Eligibility and Enrollment
- 2. Marketing and Member Education
- 3. Member Grievances and Appeals
- 4. Provider Network Requirements
- 5. Utilization Management
- 6. Quality Management
- 7. Core Benefits and Services
- 8. Reporting

The file review component assessed the MCO's implementation of policies and its operational compliance with regulations related to complaints and grievances, member appeals, informal reconsiderations, care management (physical and behavioral health), utilization management, and provider credentialing and recredentialing.

Specifically, file review consisted of the following one area:

1. Appeals

Sample sizes for each file review type are presented in **Table 1**.

Table 1: File Review Sample Sizes

File Type	Sample Size
Appeals	10

The period of review was April 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020. All documents and case files reviewed were active during this time period.

For this audit, determinations of "full compliance," "substantial compliance," "minimal compliance," "non-compliance," and "not applicable" were used for each element under review. The definition of each of the review determinations is presented in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Review Determination Definitions

Review	
Determination	Definition
Full	The MCO is compliant with the standard.
Substantial	The MCO is compliant with most of the requirements of the standard, but has minor deficiencies.
Minimal	The MCO is compliant with some of the requirements of the standard, but has significant deficiencies
IVIIIIIIIai	that require corrective action.
Non-compliance	The MCO is not in compliance with the standard.
Not applicable	The requirement was not applicable to the MCO.

The 2020 annual compliance audit consisted of three phases: 1) pre-onsite documentation review, 2) video interviews, and 3) post-onsite report preparation.

Pre-onsite Documentation Review

To ensure a complete and meaningful assessment of the MCO's policies and procedures, IPRO prepared eight review tools to reflect the areas for audit. These eight tools were submitted to the LDH for approval at the outset of the audit process on April 8, 2020. The tools included the review elements drawn from the state and federal regulations. Based upon the LDH's suggestions, some tools were revised and issued as final. These final tools were submitted to the MCO on July 1, 2020, in advance of the onsite audit.

Once LDH approved the methodology, IPRO sent Aetna a packet that included the review tools, along with a request for documentation and a guide to help MCO staff understand the documentation that was required. The guide also included instructions for submitting the requested information using IPRO's secure File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site.

To facilitate the audit process, IPRO provided the MCO with examples of documents that the MCO could furnish to validate its compliance with the regulations. Instructions regarding the file review component of the audit were also provided, along with a request for the universe of cases for each file review area under review. From the universe of cases, IPRO selected a sample for each area, which was reviewed onsite.

Prior to the onsite visit, the MCO submitted written policies, procedures and other relevant documentation to support its adherence to state and federal requirements. The MCO was given a period of approximately four weeks to submit documentation to IPRO. To further assist MCO staff in understanding the requirements of the audit process, IPRO convened a conference call for all MCOs undergoing the audit, with LDH staff in attendance, approximately two weeks after the request packet was sent to the MCOs. During the conference call, IPRO detailed the steps in the audit process, the audit timeline, and answered any questions posed by MCO staff.

After the MCO submitted the required documentation, a team of seven IPRO auditors was convened to review the MCO's policies, procedures, and materials, and to assess the MCO's concordance with the state's contract requirements. This review was documented using audit tools IPRO developed to capture the review elements and record the findings. These review tools with IPRO's initial findings were used to guide the video interviews.

Video Interviews

The video interview component of the audit was composed of two video interview sessions. In the first session conducted on August 13, 2020, file reviews that were considered less than fully compliant based upon review were discussed. In the second session on September 1, 2020, review of elements in each of the eight review tools that were considered less than fully compliant based upon review.

Interviews were used to further explore the written documentation and to allow the MCO to provide additional documentation, if available. File review, as indicated, was conducted to assess the MCO's implementation of policy in

accordance to state standards. MCO staff was given two days from the close of the onsite review to provide any further documentation.

Post-onsite Report Preparation

Following the video interviews, draft reports were prepared. These draft reports included an initial review determination for each element reviewed, and either evidence that the MCO is compliant with the standard or a rationale for why the MCO was not compliant and what evidence was lacking. For each element that was deemed not fully compliant, IPRO provided a recommendation for the MCO to consider in order for them to attain full compliance.

Each draft report underwent a second level of review by IPRO staff members who were not involved in the first level of review. Once completed, the draft reports were shared with LDH staff for review. Upon LDH approval, the draft reports were sent to the MCO with a request to provide responses for all elements that were determined to be less than fully compliant. The MCO was given two weeks to respond to the issues noted on the draft reports.

After receiving the MCO's response, IPRO re-reviewed each element for which the MCO provided a response. As necessary, review scores were updated based on the response of the MCO.

MCO Summary of Findings

Summary of Findings

Table 3 provides a summary of the audit results by audit domain. Detailed findings for each of the elements that were less than "fully compliant" follow within this section of the report.

Please note this is a partial review. This table excludes full items from the prior review. Total compliance for each tool (including full items from prior year) will be higher for domains scoring less than 100%. Additionally, some items were new requirements for this review which may have impacted overall percentages

Table 3: Audit Results by Audit Domain

	Total				Non-		
Audit Domain	Elements	Full	Substantial	Minimal	compliance	N/A	% Full ¹
Eligibility and Enrollment	7	0	7	0	0	0	0%
Marketing and Member Education	20	8	5	7	0	0	40%
Member Grievances and Appeals	3	3	0	0	0	0	100%
Provider Network Requirements	29	9	16	4	0	0	31%
Utilization Management	1	1	0	0	0	0	100%
Quality Management	5	5	0	0	0	0	100%
Core Benefits and Services	6	5	1	0	0	0	83%
Reporting	1	0	1	0	0	0	0%
Total	72	31	30	11	0	0	43%

¹N/As are not included in the calculation.

As presented in **Table 3**, 72 elements were reviewed for compliance. Of the 72, 31 were determined to fully meet the regulations, while 30 substantially met the regulations, 11 minimally met the regulations, and 0 were determined to be non-compliant. Zero elements were "not applicable." The overall compliance score indicates that 43% of regulations not fully compliant in the prior review have been addressed by the MCO and are now fully compliant.

IPRO extracted from each of the eight detailed reports those elements for which the MCO was found to be less than fully compliant. This information was compiled into a summary report to facilitate corrective action. **Table 4** presents this summary report and includes details about each element reviewed, the final review determination, the MCO's initial response, and, when possible, suggestions to achieve full compliance.

It is the expectation of LDH that Aetna submits a corrective action plan for new elements determined to be less than fully compliant.

Each of the eight review tools and review determinations for each of the elements follow Table 4.

Table 4: Deficient 2019 Audit Elements

Table 4: D	eficient 2019 Audit Elements					
					Comments (Note: For any	
					element that is less than	
					fully compliant, an	
	Contract Requirement	Suggested			explanation of the finding	
	Language	Documentation			and a recommendation	
Contract	(Federal Regulation: 438.114,	and reviewer		Review	must be documented	MCO Response
Reference	438.208)	instructions	Prior Review Results	Determination	below)	and Plan of Action
6.16	Sterilization					
6.16.2	Sterilization must be	P/P Sterilization	New Requirement	Substantial	This requirement is	MCO is currently in
	conducted in accordance with	Services			partially addressed in the	compliance with
	Louisiana R.S. 40:1159.2, state	Member			LA Policy-Limitations on	the state and
	Medicaid policy, federal	handbook/website			Abortions by the link	federal regulations
	regulations contained in 42 CFR	Provider			provided to the LA	as it pertains to the
	§441.250 - 441.259. All	Manual/portal			Medicaid Provider Forms	consent form
	procedures must be				that links to a selection of	through
	documented with a completed				forms that includes the	operational
	Sterilization Consent Form				Sterilization Consent	processes.
	OMB 0937-0166.				Form, as well as in the	MCO
					ABHLA 2020 Provider	acknowledges
					Manual For Review	recommendations
					1.21.2020 REDLINE	and is currently
					052920 that indicates that	drafting a new
					this consent form is	Sterilization Policy
					required medical record	to include state
					documentation on page	and federal
					32; however, it is not clear	regulation
					that this "REDLINE"	language. The
					document has been	Member Handbook
					finalized. The plan	is being updated to
					provided this follow-up	include regulations
					statement: Provider	as well in a
					Manual Checklist and	member friendly
					manual was submitted to	fashion.
					LDH January 2020. 5/4	
					LDH communicated	
					results of manual review	
					with changes/feedback	
					necessary. 5/29 Updated	
					manual submitted to LDH.	
					LDH communicated the	
					track changes and	
					comments were not intact	
					comments were not intact	

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
					in the manual. Manual was submitted again on 5/29 but later retracted as	
					incomplete. In addition, there is no sterilization policy document to	
					support that sterilization is conducted in	
					accordance with federal regulations 441.253 Sterilization of a mentally	
					competent individual aged 21 or older and 441.254 Mentally	
					incompetent or institutionalized	
					individuals. In addition, the 2020 Member Handbook informs the	
					member about counseling on sterilization services on	
					page 51, but does not inform the member about coverage of sterilization	
					procedures. Recommendation	
					The MCO should develop a sterilization policy that	
					translates regulations 42 CFR §441.250 - 441.259 into policy and procedure	
					language, and to clarify sterilization benefit	
7.3	Geographic Access Requirement	s			coverage language in the member handbook.	

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
7.3.1 7.3.1.1 7.3.1.2	Primary Care Providers .1 Travel distance for members living in rural parishes shall not exceed 30 miles; and .2 Travel distance for members living in urban parishes shall not exceed 10 miles	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 12. Per Geo Access reports the member ratios meet the standard met in the Provider Network Companion Guide. Not all adult members in urban parishes had access to PCPs within 10 miles. All adult members in rural parishes had access to PCPs within 30 miles. Only 75% pediatric members in urban and 98% of rural parishes had access to PCPs within 10 and 30 miles, respectively. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are met for all rural parishes, but not all urban parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to PCPs for their urban members.	Access Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Primary Care Providers in urban settings
7.3.2	Acute Inpatient Hospitals	Network Provider	requirements. Substantial	Substantial	This requirement is	
7.3.2.1	.1 Travel distance for members	Development and	This requirement is addressed in	53056111101	addressed in the Network	
7.3.2.2	living in rural parishes shall not exceed 30 miles; If no hospital is available within 30 miles of a member's	Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports	the NPDMP on page 12. Not all members living in urban or rural parishes had access to		Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time	

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	residence, the MCO may request, in writing, an exception to this requirement. 2 Travel distance for members	Requests for exceptions	acute inpatient hospitals within 10 and 30 miles, respectively.		requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes. The state is considering	
	living in urban parishes shall not exceed 10 miles.		MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		whether it is appropriate to modify its contract requirements.	
7.3.3 7.3.3.1 7.3.3.2 7.3.3.3 7.3.3.4	Specialists .1 Travel distance to each specialty type shall not exceed 60 miles for at least 75% of members; and .2 Travel distance shall not exceed 90 miles for all members3 Specialists included under this requirement are listed the Provider Network Companion Guide LDH reserves the right to add additional specialty types as needed to meet the medical needs of the member population.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on pages 16 and 17. Access criteria for ob/gyns in urban parishes are 15 miles/30 minutes and in rural parishes are 30 miles/60 minutes, as indicated in the Provider Network Companion Guide. Not all applicable members had access to ob/gyns within these parameters. Over 95% of members had access to specialists within 60 miles.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the 220 report 2019 Q3 Q4. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for: • Dermatology (max distance over 90 miles). • Endocrinology and metabolism (max distance over 90 miles). Recommendation	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Dermatology and Endocrinology in urban and rural settings
	.4 Telemedicine may be used to facilitate access to specialists to augment MCO's network or to meet specific needs of a subset of the MCO's membership. If an MCO intends to utilize telemedicine to meet		All members had access to most specialists within 90 miles, except for access to dermatologists and endocrinologists. The MCO discusses this issue in		The MCO should improve access to Dermatology and endocrinology and metabolism specialties.	

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	network adequacy requirements, the MCO's telemedicine utilization must be approved by LDH for this purpose.		their annual network plan and gap analysis. They have entered into value based payment arrangements to incent additional specialist to join their network.			
			Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements.			
			MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.4 7.3.4.1 7.3.4.2	Lab and Radiology Services .1 Travel distance shall not exceed 20 miles in urban parishes; and .2 Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles for rural parishes.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 14. Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to radiology services within 20 and 30 miles, respectively.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the 220 report 2019 Q3 Q4. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes (~88% overall).	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Labs and Radiology in urban and rural settings
			Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to lab services within 20 and 30 miles, respectively. Lab services are a challenge that the MCO addresses through negotiating for additional access points through Quest and LabCorp. Some providers and national		Recommendation The MCO should improve access to lab and radiology services for members in urban and rural parishes.	S

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			and do not reflect as providers on their GeoAccess reports even though they are providing services to members in LA. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it			
			meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.5 7.3.5.1 7.3.5.2	Pharmacies .1 Travel distance shall not exceed 10 miles in urban parishes; and .2 Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles in rural parishes.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 14. Not all members in urban parishes (97%) had access to pharmacies within 10 miles. All members in rural parishes had access to pharmacies within 30 miles. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to pharmacies for members in urban parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Pharmacies in urban and rural settings
			meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider			

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.6 7.3.6.1 7.3.6.2	Hemodialysis Centers .1 Travel distance shall not exceed 10 miles in urban areas; and .2 Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles in rural areas.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 15. Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to hemodialysis centers within 10 and 30 miles, respectively. About one-fifth of urban members and more than one-third of rural members did not have access to hemodialysis centers within these parameters. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban (~81% met) and rural (~62% met) parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to hemodialysis centers for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Hemodialysis Centers in urban and rural settings
7.3.7.4	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.3 shall not exceed 30 miles or 60 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for	requirements. Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission/appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.3 in

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
		exceptions	8. None of the members in urban or rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.3 services within 30 miles or 90 minutes. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		Recommendations The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.3 for members in urban and rural parishes.	urban and rural settings
7.3.7.5	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.5 shall not exceed 30 miles or 60 minutes for 90% of adult members and shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for adolescent members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8. Fewer than 60% of adult and adolescent members had access to ASAM Level 3.5 services within the indicated parameters. Only 13.9% of adolescent members had access to ASAM Level 3.5 services within 60 miles or 90 minutes.	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes. Recommendations The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.5 for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.5 in urban and rural settings

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.7.6	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.7 co-occurring treatment shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximus time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8. Fewer than 30% of members in urban and rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.7 services within 60 miles or 90 minutes. For urban parishes the access rate was 16.8% and for rural parishes it was 27.1%. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response:	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are met for urban and rural parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.7 for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.7 in urban and rural settings

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.7.7	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.7WM shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days. Withdrawal management shall be available within 24 hours when medically necessary.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8, except for withdrawal management (24-hour) requirement. Fewer than 90% of adult members in urban and rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.7WM services. For urban parishes the access rate was 16.8% and for rural parishes it was 27.1%. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response:	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are met for urban but not rural parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.7WM for members in rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.7WM in urban and rural settings
			MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.			
7.3.7.8	Travel distance to Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities	Network Provider Development and	Substantial The distance and time	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in report 348.	Network Development Plan

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	(PRTF) shall not exceed 200 miles or 3.5 hours for 100% of members. Maximum time for admission shall not exceed 20 calendar days. Access and adequacy is based on availability of in-state PRTFs unless the MCO provides evidence that indicates an out-of-state provider is clinically appropriate to treat the specific needs of the member.	Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on pages 19 and 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is not addressed in any policy. Not all members had access to PRTFs within 200 miles or 3.5 hours. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements. We have been working with an organization that has 3 locations in the state in hopes of contracting with them. We will continue our efforts with them! We are also in discussions with an out of state facility for potential contracting, if the need arises. They are in a contiguous state.		Distance and/or time requirements are not met. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to psychiatric residential treatment facilities (PRTF) for members.	was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to PRTF in urban and rural settings. Additionally in Q3 of 2020 PRTF network adequacy reached 100%.
7.6						
7.6.2.3	The MCO shall not remit payment for services provided	Policy for Provider Network	Substantial This requirement is not	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.11	Recommendation acknowledged.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	under this contract to providers located outside of the United States. The term "United States" means the fifty (50) states, the District of Columbia, and any U.S. territories.		addressed in any of the policies submitted for review. However, the RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 4. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.		Out of Network Provider Payments on page 3. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Policy 6300.11 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7	Mainstreaming		an eady compry in practice.		provider fietwork.	
7.7.1	LDH considers mainstreaming of MCO members into the broader health delivery system to be important. The MCO therefore must ensure that all MCO providers accept members for treatment and that MCO providers do not intentionally segregate members in any way from other persons receiving services.	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7.2	To ensure mainstreaming of members, the MCO shall take	Provider contracts Provider	Substantial This requirement is partially	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13	Recommendation acknowledged.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	affirmative action so that members are provided covered services without regard to race, color, creed, sex, religion, age, national origin ancestry, marital status, sexual preference, health status, income status, program membership, or physical, behavioral, or cognitive disability, except where medically indicated. Examples of prohibited practices include, but are not limited to, the following:	handbook/manual Member handbook	addressed in the NPDMP on page 73; however, the specifics of this requirement, for example income status and cognitive disability, are not included in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.		Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7.2.1	Denying or not providing to a member any covered service or availability of a facility.	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7.2.2	Providing to a member any	Provider contracts	Substantial	Substantial	This requirement is	Recommendation

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	covered service which is different, or is provided in a different manner, or at a different time from that provided to other members, other public or private patients, or the public at large.	Provider Handbook/Manual	This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we		addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding	acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7.2.3	Discriminatory practices with regard to members such as separate waiting rooms, separate appointment days, or preference to private pay or Medicaid fee-for-service patients.	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual	already comply in practice. Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	provider network. This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.8.3.4	The MCO shall establish and maintain a provider network of physician specialists that is adequate and reasonable in	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access to Specialty Providers	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 21 and evidenced by the geoaccess	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in section 7.3. Recommendation	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
Reference	number, in specialty type, and in geographic distribution to meet the medical needs of its members (adults and children) without excessive travel requirements. This means that, at a minimum: • The MCO has signed a contract with providers of the specialty types listed in the Provider Network Companion Guide who accept new members and are available on at least a referral basis; and • The MCO is in compliance with access and availability	GeoAccess reports Evidence of signed contracts with listed specialty provider types	reports. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: The MCO will continue outreaching to providers in an effort of enrollment and contracting in multiple areas and with various provider types and specialties to meet our members needs.	Determination	See recommendations for individual requirements in 7.3.	include actions to improve access
7.11	requirements Material Change to Provider Net	work				
7.11.8.5	The MCO shall track all members transitioned due to a subcontract's suspension, limitation, termination, or material change to ensure behavioral health service continuity and provide member information as requested by LDH (e.g., name, Title XIX or Title XXI status, date of birth, services member is receiving or will be receiving, name of new provider, date of first appointment, and activities to re-engage persons who miss their first appointment with the new	Tracking report Policy for service coordination	Minimal The MCO provided the Network Development Plan in support of this requirement, however the required language was not found. The MCO states that no suspensions or terminations occurred during the review period that would trigger the reporting requirements contained in this element. Recommendation The MCO should include the required language in their	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 7000.40 Member Transition on page 8. However the policy document was edited with this information on 5/11/20 or 7/1/20 which is after the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the prior review. The MCO should include	Recommendation acknowledged. 7000.40 was updated 7/01/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208) provider).	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results policies and procedures.	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) the required language in their policies and	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will ensure our policies are updated appropriately.		procedures.	
7.16	Provider-Member Communication	on Anti-gag Clause	прински прр. орг. исс. у.			
7.16.1.6	The MCO shall comply with the provisions of 42 CFR §438.102(a)(1)(ii) concerning the integrity of professional advice to members, including interference with provider's advice to members and information disclosure requirements related to physician incentive plans.	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member handbook	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies submitted for review. The RCA contract partially evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 7; however, does not explicitly indicate "information disclosure requirements related to physician incentive plans." Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: We accept the recommendation and will update our policies accordingly.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 1400.04 Value Based Solutions Pay for Quality Program on page 11. However the policy revision was made outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation remains unchanged from the prior review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 1400.04 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
11.11	Disenrollment					1460
11.11.3.2	Without cause for the following reasons: • During the ninety (90) day opt-out period following initial enrollment with the MCO for voluntary members; • During the ninety (90) days	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Substantial This requirement is addressed partly in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 3. The second (90 days following the postmark) and last (sanctions) subparts of this	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 3. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
Reference	following the postmark date of the member's notification of enrollment with the MCO; Once a year thereafter during the member's annual open enrollment period; Upon automatic reenrollment under 42 CFR §438.56(g), if a temporary loss of Medicaid eligibility has caused the member to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity; or If LDH imposes the intermediate sanction provisions specified in 42 CFR §438.702(a) (3).	Instructions	requirement are not addressed in this policy. The former subpart is included in the member handbook on page 50; however, the latter (sanctions) is not. Recommendation The MCO should include all reasons for disenrollment without cause in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook, as appropriate. MCO Response: MCO will update the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Policy with all language included in the contract. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval.	Determination	the review period. Recommendation The MCO should include all reasons for disenrollment without cause in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised to include all reasons for disenrollment without cause.
11.11.3.4	If the member's request for disenrollment is denied by the Enrollment Broker, the member can appeal directly to the State Fair Hearing process.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Non-compliance This requirement was not addressed in any policy or document provided by the MCO. The Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy does not mention the state fair hearing process. The member handbook does not include this requirement. This requirement was discussed during onsite interviews, and	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in pages 4 and 7 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results the MCO provided an amended Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy with this language added.	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO Response and Plan of Action revised.
			This amended policy is dated 08/09/2019, which is after the			
			review period.			
11.11.4	MCO initiated Disenrollment					
11.11.4.1	The MCO shall not request disenrollment because of a member's health diagnosis, adverse change in health status, utilization of medical services, diminished medical capacity, pre-existing medical condition, refusal of medical care or diagnostic testing, uncooperative or disruptive behavior resulting from him or her special needs, unless it seriously impairs the MCO's ability to furnish services to either this particular member or other MCO members, the member attempts to exercise his/her rights under the MCO's grievance system, or attempts to exercise his/her right to change, for cause, the primary care provider that he/she has chosen or been assigned. (42 CFR §438.56(b)(2)).	Policy for Member Disenrollment Member Notification Letter	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on pages 4 and 5. The language in the policy does not include pre-existing medical condition, refusal of medical care or diagnostic testing, and attempts to exercise member's right to change PCPs. Recommendation The MCO should include in their policies that disenrollment will not be requested due to member's pre-existing medical condition, member's refusal of medical care or diagnostic testing, or member's attempts to change, for cause, their PCP. MCO Response: The language has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and approved in our August Policy	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 5. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
11.11.4.4	When the MCO request for involuntary disenrollment is approved by the Department, the MCO shall notify the member in writing of the requested disenrollment, the reason for the request, and the effective date.	Policy for Member Disenrollment Member Notification Letter	Substantial The requirement that the MCO shall notify the member in writing and that notification includes reason for disenrollment request is addressed in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 5. That the notification should include the effective date is not included in this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include the requirement that these notification letters should include the effective date in their policy. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for	Substantial	This requirement is addressed on pages 4 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.
11.11.4.5	The MCO shall submit disenrollment requests to the Enrollment Broker which should include, at a minimum the member's name, ID number, detailed reasons for requesting the disenrollment, and a description of the	Policy for Member Disenrollment	approval. Minimal This requirement is not entirely or explicitly addressed in any policy provided by the MCO. That the disenrollment actions will be coordinated only through the broker is indicated in the Member	Substantial	This requirement is addressed on pages 5 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	measures taken to correct member behavior prior to requesting disenrollment, utilizing the MCO Initiated Request for Member Disenrollment form (See Appendix T).		Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 1; however, the information that should be included and that the form should be used are not included in this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement with all its subparts in a policy. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval.		period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.
11.11.4.6	The MCO shall not submit a disenrollment request at such a date as would cause the disenrollment to be effective earlier than forty-five (45) calendar days after the occurrence of the event prompting the request for involuntary disenrollment. The MCO shall ensure that involuntary disenrollment documents are maintained in an identifiable member record.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Substantial This requirement is addressed verbatim in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on pages 6 and 7; however, this language was inserted into the policy on 5/21/2019, which is outside the review period. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy to include this requirement for the next review period.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed on pages 7 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			MCO Response: This information has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and was approved in June.		review period.	
11.11.4.9	Until the member is disenrolled by the Enrollment Broker, the MCO shall continue to be responsible for the provision of all core benefits and services to the member.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Substantial This requirement is not addressed explicitly in any policy submitted by the MCO. On page 4, the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer states, "Aetna Better Health business application system does not process the disenrollment until the Department sends the disenrollment record on the enrollment file," which implies that the MCO is responsible for the provision of all core benefits and services to the member until the member is disenrolled by enrollment broker. This requirement was discussed during onsite interviews, and the MCO provided an amended Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer policy with this language added. This amended policy is dated 08/2019, which is after the review period. Recommendation	Substantial	This requirement was addressed on pages 3 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
Reference	433.200)	miscractions	The MCO should finalize the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy to include this requirement for the next review period. MCO Response: This information has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It was	Determination	Sciony	
			approved in our August Policy Committee Meeting.			
12.11.3	Member Materials and Program	s for Current Enrollee	•			
12.11.3.3	Literature, including brochures and posters, such as calendars and growth charts, regarding all health or wellness promotion programs offered by the MCO's Medicaid Managed Care Plan. This would also include, but not be limited to, EPSDT outreach materials and member appointment and preventive testing reminders;	Brochures and other examples of literature including EPSTD materials	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The growth chart and swim lessons flyer provided by the MCO for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The EPSDT card provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.
			MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee.			

Contract Reference 12.11.3.4	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208) Targeted brochures, posters and pamphlets to address issues associated with members with chronic diseases and/or special heath care needs;	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions Brochures and other examples targeted to members with chronic disease/SHCN	Prior Review Results Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a diabetes pamphlet, which	Review Determination Minimal	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of chronic disease pamphlets provided by	MCO Response and Plan of Action The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented
	,	,	evidences the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation		Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement.	during October Policy meeting.
			The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response:		Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	
			The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy Committee.		•	
12.11.3.5	Materials focused on health promotion programs available to the members;	Member education materials	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a swim lessons flyer, which evidences the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers focused on health promotion provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.
			The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic		Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
12.11.3.7	Materials that promote the availability of health education classes for members;	Member handbook Member communications	ABHLA Policy Committee. Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a swim lessons flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy Committee.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers promoting various health education classes provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.
12.11.3.8	Materials that provide education for members, with, or at risk for, a specific disability or illness;	Example Member education material	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a diabetes education empowerment (DEEP) class flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy. Recommendation	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers promoting various health education topics regarding specific disabilities or illnesses provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208) Materials that provide education to members, members' families and other health care providers about early intervention and management strategies for various illnesses and/or exacerbations related to that disability or disabilities;	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions Example Member education material	Prior Review Results The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy Committee. Non-compliance This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided the spring/summer 2019 asthma flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy; however, this was outside the review period.	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The member education materials provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	MCO Response and Plan of Action The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.
12.12	MCO Member Handbook					
12.12.1.38	At least once a year, the MCO must notify the member of their option of receiving either the Member Handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter in either electronic format or hardcopy, upon request from the member.	Member notification	Minimal This requirement is not addressed in any policy provided by the MCO. However, the 2018 Annual Notification to Members evidences the implementation of this requirement.	Minimal	The policy Aetna submitted does not address the requirement because it does not reference either the member handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter, but only rights and responsibilities	MCO acknowledges recommendation Policy 4500.35 Member Rights and Responsibilities has been updated to reference member's option

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			The Member Rights and Responsibilities policy states on pages 5-6, "Each subsequent year members are notified of member rights and responsibilities and any changes through the Aetna Better Health website, annual notification and member newsletter."" Aetna Better Health informs members, providers/practitioners about the availability of member rights and responsibilities documentation online and about the various methods available to contact Aetna Better Health for assistance. If information is posted on the website, Aetna Better Health informs members and practitioners that the information is available online. Information is mailed to members and providers/practitioners who do not have fax, e-mail or internet access." This does not address the requirement because it does not reference either the Member Handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter, but only rights and responsibilities documentation. Recommendation		documentation. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in their policies about member handbook or welcome newsletter.	of receiving the Member Handbook and Welcome Newsletter annually by hard copy or electronic format.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			The MCO should include this requirement in their policies			
			about member handbook or welcome newsletter.			
			MCO Response: This language will be added to			
			our Member Rights and			
			Responsibilities policy and presented in our September			
			Policy Review Committee.			
12.14	Provider Directory for Members					
12.14.1.2	Web-based searchable, web-	P/P for Provider	Substantial	Substantial	Aetna did not provide a	MCO
	based machine	Directory	This requirement is mostly		revised policy with the	acknowledges
	readable, online directory for	Provider Directory	addressed in the Provider		missing language from the	recommendation
	members and the public;	(website link)	Directory Updates Policy on page 3; however, that the		last review.	Policy 6300.20 Provider Directory
			provider directory online should		Recommendation	Updates has been
			be "web-based machine		The MCO should include	updated to
			readable" is not included in the		this requirement in its	reference
			policy. In the same policy, on		entirety in its policies.	specifically "web-
			page 9, usability testing is			based machine
			addressed, but not for machine readability.			readable". Policy will be presented
			The provider directory is online and searchable by members and			at the next policy committee for approval.
			by the public at			
			https://www.aetnabetterhealth.			
			com/louisiana/members/ directory.			
			The MCO provided the Aetna Better Health of Louisiana Website - Provider Search			
			Usability Report.			

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its entirety in its policies.			
			MCO Response: This information will be updated on the Provider Directory Policy in our next Policy Committee Meeting in September.			
12.14.1.4	Hard copy, abbreviated version upon request by the Enrollment Broker.	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (abbreviated hard copy)	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Provider Directory Updates Policy on page 3. During onsite discussion, the MCO indicated there is no abbreviated version available. Recommendation The MCO should make an abbreviated version of the provider directory available. MCO Response: Working to create an abbreviated version of the Provider Directory.	Substantial	This requirement is partially addressed in the website provided by Aetna which allows for a member to download an abbreviated directory; however, nowhere on the website does it offer the member a hardcopy to be printed and sent to the member. Recommendation Aetna should add directions on how to request a hardcopy, abbreviated version of the provider directory by the Enrollment Broker to the website where the provider directory can be viewed or downloaded online.	MCO acknowledges recommendation and will ensure the website is update to give all members the option to print a hardcopy of the Provider Directory.
12.14.4.1	Names, locations, telephone numbers of, website URLs, specialties, whether the provider is accepting new	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (full hard copy,	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Provider Directory Updates Policy on page 10. The cultural	Substantial	The online provider search does not include information about the provider's cultural	Aetna confirms that the provider directory is capable of Cultural

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208) members, and cultural and linguistic capabilities by current contracted providers by each provider type specified in this RFP in the Medicaid enrollee's service area. Cultural and linguistic capabilities shall include languages offered by the provider or a skilled medical interpreter at the provider's office, and whether the provider has completed cultural competency training. The provider directory shall also indicate whether the provider's office/facility has accommodations for people with physical disabilities, including offices, exam room(s)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions website version, electronic file, abbreviated hard copy)	Prior Review Results competency training part of the requirement is addressed on page 5. However, the online provider search does not include information about provider's cultural competency training status. Recommendation The MCO should include this information in its online provider search. MCO Response: This information will be updated on the online provider search.	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) competency training status. The screen shot that Aetna provided only discussed the cultural competency training that the MCO encourages providers to undertake; however, proof that this training was completed by the provider is not available. Recommendation The MCO should include this information in its online provider search.	MCO Response and Plan of Action Competency training is provided to all providers at time of orientation. Orientation is documented in the CRM tool and the plan will take immediate steps to incorporate that evidence of Cultural Competency training is pushed into the online directory.
12.18	and equipment; Notice to Members of Provider 1	 				
12.18.2	The MCO shall provide notice to a member or the parent/legal guardian and the involved state agency, as appropriate, who has been receiving a prior authorized course of treatment, when the treating provider becomes unavailable. The written notice shall be provided within seven (7) calendar days from the date the MCO becomes aware of such, if it is prior to the change occurring.	P/P for Provider Termination P/P for notifying members of provider termination	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations Policy on page 4 and 10. However, that the notice shall be provided within seven (7) days is not included with regards to prior authorized course of treatment. Recommendation The MCO should include the timeliness requirement in its policy.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations policy on page 5; however, the wording for this requirement was added to the policy on July 10, 2020, which is after the period of review. Recommendation Aetna should approve the final wording for this requirement in its policy.	MCO acknowledges the recommendation and Policy 6100.90 Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations has been revised to include required language July 2020 and will be reviewed on an annual basis or as changes are needed.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	to the dates of termination will be allowed when a provider becomes unable to care for members due to illness, a provider dies, the provider moves from the service area and fails to notify the MCO, or when a provider fails credentialing or is displaced as a result of a natural or manmade disaster. Under these circumstances, notice shall be issued immediately upon the MCO becoming aware of the		MCO Response: This information will be updated on the Provider Termination Policy in our next Policy Committee Meeting in September.			
12.19	circumstances. Oral Interpretation and Written	Translation Services				
12.19.3	The MCO shall ensure that translation services are provided for all written marketing and member education materials for any language that is spoken as a primary language for four percent (4%) or more enrollee or potential enrollees of an MCO. Within ninety (90) calendar days of notice from LDH, materials must be translated and made available. Materials must be made available at no charge in that specific language to assure a reasonable chance for all members to understand how to access the MCO and use services appropriately as specified in 42 CFR §438.10(c)	P/P for oral and written interpretation services P/P for notification of member of interpretation services and how to access the services	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Interpreter and Translation Services Policy on page 5; however, the percentage indicated in the policy is 5%, not 4%. During onsite discussion, the MCO indicated that an amended policy is currently going through approval. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the draft of the Interpreter and Translation Services Policy to include this requirement. MCO Response: This information has been added to our Interpreter and	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Interpreter and Translation Services policy on page 3; however, the wording for this requirement was added to the policy on July 10, 2020, which is after the period of review. Recommendation Aetna should approve the final wording for this requirement in its policy.	MCO acknowledges recommendation Policy 4500.25 Interpreter and Translation Services Policy U has been updated to in the policy in July 2020.

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	(4) and (5).		Translation Services Policy. This was approved in our June Policy			
			Committee Meeting.			
18.0	Written Policies, Procedures, an			1		
18.0	The MCO shall develop and maintain written policies, procedures and job descriptions for each functional area, including for specialized behavioral health services, consistent in format and style. The MCO shall maintain written guidelines for developing, reviewing and approving all policies, procedures and job descriptions. All policies and procedures shall be reviewed at least annually to ensure that the MCO's written policies reflect current practices. Reviewed policies shall be dated and signed by the MCO's appropriate manager, coordinator, director or administrator.	P/P MCO Policy Development and Approval		Substantial	This guidance is addressed in the A-LA 1501.03 Policy Development Revision Execution and Maintenance. However, the document for the job descriptions is effective 09/14/2020, which is out of the review period. Recommendation The MCO should include a job description within the review period.	A-LA 1501.03 Policy Development Revision Execution and Maintenance New Policy "Contractually Required Staffing and Job Descriptions" brought through Plan Committee and approved 9/2020.

MCO Final Audit Tools

Eight detailed final audit tool reports that correspond to each domain that was audited were prepared. These reports include IPRO's review determination for each element that was audited.

Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disenrollment

Ziigibiiity	, Em onment, and Disem onment		Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disen	rollment		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
11.11	Disenrollment					
11.11.1	Disenrollment is any action taken by LDH or its designee to remove a Medicaid MCO member from the MCO following the receipt and approval of a written request for disenrollment or a determination made by LDH or its designee that the member is no longer eligible for Medicaid or the Medicaid Managed Care Program.					
11.11.2	The Enrollment Broker shall be the single point of contact to the MCO member for notification of disenrollment.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Full			
11.11.3	Member Initiated Disenrollment					
11.11.3.0	A member may request disenrollment from an MCO as follows:					
11.11.3.1	 For cause, at any time. The following circumstances are cause for disenrollment: The MCO does not, because of moral or religious objections, cover the service the member seeks; The member needs related services to be performed at the same time, not all related services are available within the MCO and the member's PCP or another provider determines that receiving the services separately would subject the member to unnecessary risk; The contract between the MCO and LDH is terminated; 	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

	Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disenrollment								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
11.11.3.2	 Poor quality of care; Lack of access to MCO core benefits and services covered under the contract; Documented lack of access within the MCO to providers experienced in dealing with the member's healthcare needs; The member's active specialized behavioral health provider ceases to contract with the MCO; Member moves out of the MCO's service area, i.e. out of state; or Any other reason deemed to be valid by LDH and/or its agent. Without cause for the following reasons: During the ninety (90) day opt-out period following initial enrollment with the MCO for voluntary members; During the ninety (90) days following the postmark date of the member's notification of enrollment with the MCO; Once a year thereafter during the member's annual open enrollment period; Upon automatic re-enrollment under 42 CFR §438.56(g), if a temporary loss of Medicaid eligibility has caused the member to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity; or If LDH imposes the intermediate sanction provisions specified in 42 CFR §438.702(a) (3). 	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Substantial This requirement is addressed partly in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 3. The second (90 days following the postmark) and last (sanctions) subparts of this requirement are not addressed in this policy. The former subpart is included in the member handbook on page 50; however, the latter (sanctions) is not. Recommendation The MCO should include all reasons for disenrollment without cause in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook, as appropriate.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 3. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should include all reasons for disenrollment without cause in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised to include all reasons for disenrollment without cause.			
			MCO Response: MCO will update the Member						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 39 of 210

	Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disenrollment								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
			Disenrollment/Disruptive Policy with all language included in the contract. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval.						
11.11.3.3	The member (or his/ her representative) must submit an oral or written formal request to the Enrollment Broker for disenrollment.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Full						
11.11.3.4	If the member's request for disenrollment is denied by the Enrollment Broker, the member can appeal directly to the State Fair Hearing process.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Non-compliance This requirement was not addressed in any policy or document provided by the MCO. The Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy does not mention the state fair hearing process. The member handbook does not include this requirement. This requirement was discussed during onsite interviews, and the MCO provided an amended Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer policy with this language added. This amended policy is dated 08/09/2019, which is after the review period.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in pages 4 and 7 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.			
11.11.4	MCO Initiated Disenrollment								
11.11.4.1	The MCO shall not request disenrollment because of a member's health diagnosis, adverse change in health status, utilization of medical services, diminished medical capacity, pre-existing medical condition, refusal of medical care or diagnostic testing, uncooperative or disruptive behavior resulting from him or her special needs,	Policy for Member Disenrollment Member Notification Letter	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on pages 4 and 5. The language in the policy does not include pre-existing medical condition, refusal of medical care or	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 5. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 40 of 210

			Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disen	rollment		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	unless it seriously impairs the MCO's ability to furnish services to either this particular member or other MCO members, the member attempts to exercise his/her rights under the MCO's grievance system, or attempts to exercise his/her right to change, for cause, the primary care provider that he/she has chosen or been assigned. (42 CFR §438.56(b)(2)).		diagnostic testing, and attempts to exercise member's right to change PCPs. Recommendation The MCO should include in their policies that disenrollment will not be requested due to member's preexisting medical condition, member's refusal of medical care or diagnostic testing, or member's attempts to change, for cause, their PCP. MCO Response: The language has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and approved in our August Policy Committee Meeting.		Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	being revised.
11.11.4.2	The MCO shall not request disenrollment for reasons other than those stated in this RFP. (See Appendix U – Guidelines for Involuntary Member Disenrollment). In accordance with 42 CFR 438.56(b)(3), LDH will ensure that the MCO is not requesting disenrollment for other reasons by reviewing the mandatory MCO Disenrollment Request Forms submitted to the Enrollment Broker.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Full			
11.11.4.3	The MCO may request involuntary disenrollment of a member if the member misuses or loans the member's MCO-issued ID card to another person to obtain services. In such case the MCO shall report the event to LDH; When the MCO request for involuntary	Policy for Member Disenrollment Policy for Member	Full Substantial	Substantial	This requirement is addressed	MCO acknowledges

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 41 of 210

			Eligibility, Enrollment, and Diser	rollment		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	disenrollment is approved by the Department, the MCO shall notify the member in writing of the requested disenrollment, the reason for the request, and the effective date.	Disenrollment Member Notification Letter	The requirement that the MCO shall notify the member in writing and that notification includes reason for disenrollment request is addressed in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 5. That the notification should include the effective date is not included in this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include the requirement that these notification letters should include the effective date in their policy. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval.		on pages 4 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.
11.11.4.5	The MCO shall submit disenrollment requests to the Enrollment Broker which should include, at a minimum the member's name, ID number, detailed reasons for requesting the disenrollment, and a description of the measures taken to correct member behavior prior to requesting disenrollment, utilizing the MCO Initiated Request for Member Disenrollment form (See Appendix T).	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Minimal This requirement is not entirely or explicitly addressed in any policy provided by the MCO. That the disenrollment actions will be coordinated only through the broker is indicated in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on page 1; however, the information that should be included and that the form should be used are not included in this policy.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed on pages 5 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56) Plan Documentation (MCD please Indicate policy number, page instructions) Review Determination Review Determination Plan Documentation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below) member reference to the supporting documentation) MCD Response and Plan of Action				Eligibility, Enrollment, and Diser	nrollment		
Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement with all its subparts in a policy. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Diserrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy, it will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval. Substantial The MCO shall not submit a disenrollment request at such a date as would cause the disenrollment to be effective earlier than forty-five (45) calendar days after the occurrence of the event prompting the request for involuntary disenrollment. The MCO shall nest that involuntary disenrollment documents are maintained in an identifiable member record. Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy or include this requirement for the next review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member Transfer Policy and two members are policy and two memb			Documentation and reviewer	indicate policy number, page number reference to the	Review Determination	element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be	-
1 TT TT // I All requiects will be reviewed on a case-by- Policy for Member I Full	11.11.4.6	request at such a date as would cause the disenrollment to be effective earlier than forty-five (45) calendar days after the occurrence of the event prompting the request for involuntary disenrollment. The MCO shall ensure that involuntary disenrollment documents are maintained in	•	The MCO should include this requirement with all its subparts in a policy. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It will be submitted in the upcoming Policy committee Meeting for approval. Substantial This requirement is addressed verbatim in the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy on pages 6 and 7; however, this language was inserted into the policy on 5/21/2019, which is outside the review period. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy to include this requirement for the next review period. MCO Response: This information has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and was approved in	Substantial	This requirement is addressed on pages 7 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the	recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 43 of 210

	Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disenrollment								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	case basis and are subject to the sole discretion of LDH or its designee (Enrollment Broker). All decisions are final and not subject to the dispute resolution process by the MCO.	Disenrollment							
11.11.4.8	The Enrollment Broker will provide written notice of disenrollment to the member and request that the member choose a new MCO. The notice shall include a statement that if the member disagrees with the decision to disenroll the member from the MCO, the member has a right to file an appeal directly through the State Fair Hearing process.								
11.11.4.9	Until the member is disenrolled by the Enrollment Broker, the MCO shall continue to be responsible for the provision of all core benefits and services to the member.	Policy for Member Disenrollment	Substantial This requirement is not addressed explicitly in any policy submitted by the MCO. On page 4, the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer states, "Aetna Better Health business application system does not process the disenrollment until the Department sends the disenrollment record on the enrollment file," which implies that the MCO is responsible for the provision of all core benefits and services to the member until the member is disenrolled by enrollment broker. This requirement was discussed during onsite interviews, and the MCO provided an amended Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer policy with this	Substantial	This requirement was addressed on pages 3 of the A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment/ Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. However, this policy is revised on 07/13/2020, which is after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should provide the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy and the member handbook within the review period.	MCO acknowledges recommendation A-LA 4500.86 Member Disenrollment Disruptive Member Transfer Policy Page 3 contains contractual requirement as of July 2020. Member Handbook is currently being revised.			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 44 of 210

			Eligibility, Enrollment, and Disen	rollment		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.56)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			language added. This amended policy is dated 08/2019, which is after the review period.			
			Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy to include this requirement for the next review period.			
			MCO Response: This information has been added to our Member Disenrollment/Disruptive Member Transfer Policy. It was approved in our August Policy Committee Meeting.			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 45 of 210

Marketing and Member Education

	ig and Member Education		Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
12.9	Written Materials Guidelines					
12.9.0	The MCO must comply with the following requirements as it relates to all written member materials, regardless of the means of distribution (printed, web, advertising, direct mail, etc.). The MCO shall also comply with guidance outlined in 42 CFR §438.10 and 42 USC §1396u-(2)(d)(2)(A)(i):					
12.9.1	All member materials must be in a style and reading level that will accommodate the reading skills of MCO Enrollees. In general the writing should be at no higher than a 6.9 grade level, as determined by any one of the indices below, taking into consideration the need to incorporate and explain certain technical or unfamiliar terms to assure accuracy: • Flesch – Kincaid; • Fry Readability Index; • PROSE The Readability Analyst (software developed by Educational Activities, Inc.); • Gunning FOG Index; • McLaughlin SMOG Index; or • Other computer generated readability indices accepted by LDH.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines Sample written member materials	Substantial This requirement is substantially addressed in the Member Materials Standards Policy on page 2. However, this policy does not explain how the reading level is determined. Nor does it include that technical terms will be explained to members. During the previous review, the MCO was advised to incorporate these into their policies. The member handbook explains key healthcare terms on pages 56 to 58. Recommendation The MCO should include in their policies how reading level of member materials is determined and that technical terms will be explained to members. MCO Response: This information will be added to our Member Materials	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Member Materials Standards Policy on page 2.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 46 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			Standard policy and submitted for approval in the upcoming Policy Committee Meeting.			
12.9.2	All written materials must be clearly legible with a minimum font size of ten-point, preferably twelve-point, with the exception of Member ID cards, and or otherwise approved by LDH.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines Sample written member materials including Member Handbook	Minimal That written materials should be "presented in a format that enhances understanding" is addressed in the Member Materials Standards Policy on page 2; however, the specifics of this requirement are not included in this policy (i.e., minimum font size.) The member materials provided for review by the MCO (member handbook, etc.) have 10pt or larger font. Recommendation The MCO should include the minimum font size requirements for member materials (with the exception of ID cards) in their policies. MCO Response: This information has been added to our New, Existing, and Member Information Policy.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the New, Existing and Reinstated Member Information Policy on page 2.	
12.9.3	LDH reserves the right to require evidence that written materials for members have been tested against the 6.9 grade reading-level standard.					
12.9.4	If a person making a testimonial or endorsement for a MCO has a financial interest in the company, such fact must be disclosed in the marketing materials.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines P/P for Disclosure	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 47 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
		of Financial				
12.9.5	All written materials must be in accordance with the LDH "Person First" Policy, Appendix NN.	Interest P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines P/P for Compliance with "Person First" Policy Sample written member materials including Member Handbook	Full			
12.9.6	The quality of materials used for printed materials shall be, at a minimum, equal to the materials used for printed materials for the MCO's commercial plans if applicable.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines Sample written member materials including Member Handbook	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the draft of the Print and Mailing Policy on page 3; however, the language pertaining to this requirement was inserted into this policy on 5/31/2019, after the review period. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the draft of the Print and Mailing Policy to include this requirement. MCO Response: The Print and mailing Policy will be submitted for approval at the September 11 th ABHLA Policy Committee Meeting.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Print and Mailing Policy on page 3.	
12.9.7	The MCOs name, mailing address (and physical location, if different) and toll-free number must be prominently displayed on the cover of all multi-paged marketing materials.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines Sample written member materials	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the draft Print and Mailing Policy submitted by the MCO; however, this language was	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Print and Mailing Policy on page 3 as well as the written samples of the EPSDT cards that are provided to members.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 48 of 210

			Marketing and Member	Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			added to the policy on 6/3/2019, which is after the review period. The MCO provided the 2018 Annual Notice to Members, which is a multi-page document. The MCO name and toll-free number are visible on the front page; however, the mailing address is not displayed anywhere on the document.			
			The member handbook displays the MCO's name and website on the front and back covers; however, the mailing address and the toll free number are not displayed on the covers. The mailing address and the toll free number are listed inside the member handbook, which does not meet this requirement.			
			Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Print and Mailing Policy with required language and implement this requirement for all multi-page marketing materials, including the member handbook.			
12.9.8	All multi-page written member materials must	P/P for Written	MCO Response: The Print and Mailing Policy will be submitted for approval at the September 11 th ABHLA Policy Committee Meeting. Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

	Marketing and Member Education						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation	
	notify the member that real-time oral interpretation is available for any language at no expense to them, and how to access those services;	Member Materials Guidelines P/P for Informing Members/Potential Members of Interpretation Services					
12.9.9	All written materials related to MCO and PCP enrollment shall advise potential enrollees to verify with the medical services providers they prefer or have an existing relationship with, that such medical services providers are participating providers of the selected MCO and are available to serve the enrollee.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines Sample written member materials including Member Handbook	Full				
12.9.10	Alternative forms of communication must be provided upon request for persons with visual, hearing, speech, physical or developmental disabilities. These alternatives must be provided at no expense to the member.	P/P for Written Member Materials Guidelines P/P for Informing Members/Potential Members of Access to Alternative Forms of Communication	Full				
12.9.15	Except as indicated, the MCO may develop their own materials that adhere to requirements set forth in this document or use state developed model member notices. State developed model notices must be used for denial notices and lock-in notices.						
12.11	Member Education – Required Materials and Se	rvices					
12.11	The MCO shall ensure all materials and services do not discriminate against Medicaid MCO members on the basis of their health history, health status or need for health care services. This applies to enrollment, re-enrollment or disenrollment materials and processes from the MCO.		Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 50 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
12.11.3	Member Materials and Programs for Current En			1		
12.11.3.1	The MCO shall develop and distribute member educational materials, including, but not limited to, the following: A member-focused website which can be a designated section of the MCO's general informational website, and interactive media content such as a mobile device application, a mobile optimized website, or interactive social media;	Link to member portal	Minimal This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO. The member portal can be reached at https://www.aetnabetterhealth .com/louisiana/members/portal and provides a link to the secure member portal. The mobile app for smart phones is available and members are informed of this on the "For Enrollees" page of the website. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Website Development Maintenance Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Website Development and Maintenance Policy on page 2.	
12.11.3.2	Bulletins or newsletters distributed not less than two (2) times a year that provide information on preventive care, access to PCPs and other providers and other information that is helpful to members;	Example of bullets/news letter	committee. Minimal This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO. The Spring 2018 and Summer 2018 Newsletters evidence the implementation of this requirement.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Member Materials Standards Policy on page 3.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 51 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
			Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: This information will be updated on the Written Member Material Policy and submitted in the next upcoming Policy Committee Meeting.						
12.11.3.3	Literature, including brochures and posters, such as calendars and growth charts, regarding all health or wellness promotion programs offered by the MCO's Medicaid Managed Care Plan. This would also include, but not be limited to, EPSDT outreach materials and member appointment and preventive testing reminders;	Brochures and other examples of literature including EPSTD materials	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The growth chart and swim lessons flyer provided by the MCO for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The EPSDT card provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.			
12.11.3.4	Targeted brochures, posters and pamphlets to address issues associated with members with chronic diseases and/or special heath care needs;	Brochures and other examples targeted to members with chronic disease/SHCN	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a diabetes pamphlet, which	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of chronic disease pamphlets provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 52 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
12.11.3.5	Materials focused on health promotion programs available to the members;	Member education materials	evidences the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee. Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a swim lessons flyer, which evidences the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers focused on health promotion provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.			
12.11.3.6	Communications detailing how members can take personal responsibility for their health and	Member handbook Member	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 53 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	self-management;	communications							
12.11.3.7	Materials that promote the availability of health education classes for members;	Member handbook Member communications	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a swim lessons flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers promoting various health education classes provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.			
12.11.3.8	Materials that provide education for members, with, or at risk for, a specific disability or illness;	Example Member education material	Minimal This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided a diabetes education empowerment (DEEP) class flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: The requirement will be	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The variety of fliers promoting various health education topics regarding specific disabilities or illnesses provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 54 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			included in the Graphic Standards Policy, an updated version of this policy with required language will be submitted to the September 11 ABHLA Policy committee.			
12.11.3.9	Materials that provide education to members, members' families and other health care providers about early intervention and management strategies for various illnesses and/or exacerbations related to that disability or disabilities;	Example Member education material	Non-compliance This requirement was not addressed in any of the policies provided by the MCO for review. The MCO provided the Spring/Summer 2019 asthma flyer to evidence the implementation of this policy; however, this was outside the review period.	Minimal	This requirement was not addressed in the Graphic Standards policy provided by Aetna for review. The member education materials provided by Aetna for review evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	The graphic standards policy has been updated with contract language; policy will be presented during October Policy meeting.
12.11.3.11	Notification to its members of any change that LDH defines as significant at least thirty (30) calendar days before the intended effective date; and	Notification P/P member education	Full			
12.11.3.12	All materials distributed must comply with the relevant guidelines established by LDH for these materials and/or programs.	P/P member education	Full			
12.12	MCO Member Handbook					
12.12.1	The MCO shall develop and maintain separate member handbooks that adhere to the requirements in 42 CFR §438.10 (g) and may use the state developed model member handbook for each of the covered populations as specified in section 3.3.3.).	Member Handbook	Full			
12.12.1.1	At a minimum, the member handbook shall include the following information, as applicable to the covered population that is the audience for the handbook:					
12.12.1.2	Table of contents;	Member Handbook	Full			
12.12.1.3	A general description about how MCOs operate, and detailed descriptions of the following: enrollee rights and responsibilities,	Member Handbook	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 55 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation	
	appropriate utilization of services including ED for non-emergent conditions, behavioral health services available, a description of the PCP selection process, the PCP's role as coordinator of services, and an explanation of how the enrollees can access LDH's policy on how to receive continued services during a termination of an MCO contract or disenrollment from an MCO as required by 42 CFR §438.62;						
12.12.1.4	Member's right to disenroll from MCO including disenrollment for cause;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.5	Member's right to select and change PCPs within the MCO and how to do so;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.6	Any restrictions on the member's freedom of choice among MCO providers;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.121.7	Member's rights and protections, as specified in 42 CFR §438.100 and this RFP;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.8	The amount, duration, and scope of benefits available to the member under the contract between the MCO and LDH in sufficient detail to ensure that members understand the benefits to which they are entitled, including specialized behavioral health benefits and information about health education and promotion programs, including chronic care management, tobacco cessation, and problem gaming;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.9	Procedures for obtaining benefits, including authorization requirements;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.10	Description on the purpose of the Medicaid card and the MCO card and why both are necessary and how to use them;	Member Handbook	Full				
12.12.1.11	The extent to which, and how, members may obtain benefits, including family planning services from out-of-network providers. An explanation shall be included that explains the MCO cannot require the enrollee to obtain a referral before choosing family planning	Member Handbook	Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 56 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	provider;							
12.12.1.12	 The extent to which, and how, after-hours, crisis and emergency coverage are provided, including: What constitutes an emergency medical condition, emergency services, and post-stabilization services, as defined in 42 CFR §438.114(a); That prior authorization is not required for emergency services; The process and procedures for obtaining emergency services, including use of the 911-telephone system or its local equivalent; The locations of any emergency settings and other locations at which providers and hospitals furnish emergency services and post-stabilization services covered by the MCO; and That, subject to the provisions of 42 CFR §438, the member has a right to use any 	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.13	hospital or other setting for emergency care. The post-stabilization care services rules set forth in 42 CFR 422.113(c);	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.14	Policy on referrals for specialty care, including specialized behavioral health services and for other benefits not furnished by the member's PCP;	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.15	How and where to access any benefits that are available under the Louisiana Medicaid State Plan but, are not covered under the MCO's contract with LDH;	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.16	That the member has the right to refuse to undergo any medical service, diagnoses, or treatment or to accept any health service provided by the MCO if the member objects (or in the case of a child, if the parent or guardian objects)on religious grounds; For counseling or referral services that the	Member Handbook Member Handbook						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 57 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	MCO does not cover because of moral or religious objections, the MCO should direct the member to contact the Enrollment Broker for information on how or where to obtain the service;							
12.12.1.18	Member grievance, appeal and state fair hearing procedures and time frames, as described in 42 CFR §§438.400 through 438.424 and this RFP;	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.19	Grievance, appeal and fair hearing procedures that include the following: For State Fair Hearing: The right to a hearing; The method for obtaining a hearing; and The rules that govern representation at the hearing; The right to file grievances and appeals; The requirements and timeframes for filing a grievance or appeal; The availability of assistance in the filing process; The toll-free numbers that the member can use to file a grievance or an appeal by phone; The fact that, when requested by the member: Benefits will continue if the member files an appeal or a request for State Fair Hearing within the timeframes specified for filing; and The member may be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal is pending, if the final decision is adverse to the member. In a State Fair Hearing, the Division of Administrative Law shall make the recommendation to the Secretary of the LDH who has final authority to determine whether	Member Handbook	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 58 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	services must be provided.							
12.12.1.20	 Advance Directives, set forth in 42 CFR §438. 10 (g)(2) (xii) - A description of advance directives which shall include: The MCO policies related to advance directives; The member's rights under Louisiana state law, including the right to accept or refuse medical, surgical, or behavioral health treatment and the right to formulate advance directives; any changes in law shall be reflected in the member handbook as soon as possible, but no later than ninety (90) calendar days after the effective date of the change; Information that members can file complaints about the failure to comply with an advance directive with the Office of Health Standards, Louisiana's Survey and Certification agency) by calling 225 342 0138; and Information about where a member can seek assistance in executing an advance directive and to whom copies should be given. 	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.0.21	Information to call the Medicaid Customer Service Unit toll free hotline, go to Louisiana Medicaid website at www.medicaid.la.gov ,or visit a regional Medicaid eligibility office to report if family size, living arrangements, parish of residence, or mailing address changes;	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.22	How to make, change and cancel medical appointments and the importance of canceling and/or rescheduling rather than being a "no show";	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.23	A description of Member Services and the toll- free number, fax number, e-mail address and mailing address to contact Member Services;	Member Handbook	Full					
12.12.1.24	How to obtain emergency and non-emergency	Member Handbook	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 59 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	medical transportation;								
12.12.1.25	Information about Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) services;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.12.1.26	Information about the requirement that a member shall notify the MCO immediately if he or she has a Workman's Compensation claim, a pending personal injury or medical malpractice law suit, or has been involved in an auto accident;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.12.1.27	Reporting requirements for the member that has or obtains another health insurance policy, including employer sponsored insurance. Such situations shall be reported the MCO;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.12.1.28	Member responsibilities, appropriate and inappropriate behavior, and any other information deemed essential by the MCO or LDH. This shall include a statement that the member is responsible for protecting their ID card and that misuse of the card, including loaning, selling or giving it to others could result in loss of the member's Medicaid eligibility and/or legal action;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.12.1.29	Instructions on how to request multi-lingual interpretation and translation when needed at no cost to the member. This instruction shall be included in all versions of the handbook in English and Spanish;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.121.30	Information on the member's right to a second opinion in accordance with 42 CFR §438.206(b)(3) at no cost and how to obtain it;	Member Handbook	Full						
12.12.1.31	Ways to report suspected provider fraud and abuse including but not limited to LDH and MCO toll-free numbers and website established for that purpose;	Member Handbook							
12.12.1.32	Any additional text provided to the MCO by LDH or deemed essential by the MCO;	Member Handbook							
12.12.1.33	The date of the last revision;	Member Handbook							
12.12.1.34	Additional information that is available upon	Member Handbook	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 60 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	request, including the following: Information on the structure and operation of the MCO; Physician incentive plans [42 CFR 438.3 (i)]. Service utilization policies; and How to report alleged marketing violations to LDH utilizing the Marketing Complaint Form.							
12.12.1.35	 Information regarding specialized behavioral health services, including but not limited to: A description of covered behavioral health services; Where and how to access behavioral health services and behavioral health providers; General information on the treatment of behavioral health conditions and the principles of adult, family, child, youth and young adult engagement; resilience; strength-based and evidence-based practice; and best/proven practices; Description of the family/caregiver or legal guardian role in the assessment, treatment, and support for individuals with an emphasis on promoting engagement, resilience, and the strengths of individuals and families; and Any limitations involving the provision of information for adult persons who do not want information shared with family members, including age(s) of consent for behavioral health treatment as per 42 CFR Part 2. 	Member handbook	Full					
12.12.1.36	Information on what to do if a member is billed, and under what circumstances a member may be billed for non-covered services;	Member handbook	Full					
12.12.1.37	The information specified in 12.12.1 et. seq. will be considered to be provided if the MCO:	Member handbook	Full					
12.12.1.37.1	Mails a printed copy of the information to the member's mailing address;		Full					
12.12.1.37.2	Provides the information by email after		Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 61 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	obtaining the member's agreement to receive the information by email;					
12.12.1.37.3	Posts the information on their member website and advises the member in paper or electronic form that the information is available at the specified web address; or		Full			
12.12.1.37.4	Provides the information in any other method that can be reasonably expected to result in the member receiving the information.		Full			
12.12.1.38	At least once a year, the MCO must notify the member of their option of receiving either the Member Handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter in either electronic format or hardcopy, upon request from the member.	Member notification	Minimal This requirement is not addressed in any policy provided by the MCO. However, the 2018 Annual Notification to Members evidences the implementation of this requirement. The Member Rights and Responsibilities policy states on pages 5-6, "Each subsequent year members are notified of member rights and responsibilities and any changes through the Aetna Better Health website, annual notification and member newsletter."" Aetna Better Health informs members, providers/practitioners about the availability of member rights and responsibilities documentation online and about the various methods available to contact Aetna Better Health for assistance. If information is posted on the website, Aetna Better Health informs members and	Minimal	The policy Aetna submitted does not address the requirement because it does not reference either the Member Handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter, but only rights and responsibilities documentation. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in their policies about member handbook or welcome newsletter.	MCO acknowledges recommendation Policy 4500.35 Member Rights and Responsibilities has been updated to reference member's option of receiving the Member Handbook and Welcome Newsletter annually by hard copy or electronic format.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 62 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			practitioners that the information is available online. Information is mailed to members and providers/practitioners who do not have fax, e-mail or internet access."			
			This does not address the requirement because it does not reference either the Member Handbook or the member Welcome Newsletter, but only rights and responsibilities documentation.			
			Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in their policies about member handbook or welcome newsletter.			
			MCO Response: This language will be added to our Member Rights and Responsibilities policy and presented in our September policy review committee.			
12.12.1.39	The MCO shall review and update the Member Handbook at least once a year. The Handbook must be submitted to LDH for approval within four weeks of the annual renewal, upon any changes, and prior to being made available to members.	Dated revision of member handbook	Substantial The requirement that the member handbook will be updated at least once a year is addressed in the member handbook on page 11. The requirement that the handbook must be submitted for approval to LDH is addressed in the Member Communications Policy on page 3; however, the	Full	This requirement is addressed in the New, Existing and Reinstated Member Information policy on page 5.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

			Marketing and Member	Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			timeliness requirement (four weeks) is not included. Date of revision is listed as "effective date" on page 1 of the member handbook (September 1, 2018). The MCO provided the New, Existing and Reinstated Member Information policy dated 02/01/2015 on page 3; however, this required language was added after the review period, according to the redline changes and revision history on page 10. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the draft of the New, Existing and Reinstated Member Information policy to include this requirement. MCO Response: This language has been added to our New, Existing, and Reinstated Member Information policy.			
12.14	Provider Directory for Members					
12.14.1	The MCO shall develop and maintain a Provider Directory in four (4) formats:	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory	Full			
12.14.1.1	A hard copy directory, when requested, for members and potential members;	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (hard copy)	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 64 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
12.14.1.2	Web-based searchable, web-based machine readable, online directory for members and the public;	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (website link)	Substantial This requirement is mostly addressed in the Provider Directory Updates Policy on page 3; however, that the provider directory online should be "web-based machine readable" is not included in the policy. In the same policy, on page 9, usability testing is addressed, but not for machine readability. The provider directory is online and searchable by members and by the public at https://www.aetnabetterhealth.com/louisiana/members/directory. The MCO provided the Aetna Better Health of Louisiana Website - Provider Search Usability Report. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its entirety in its policies. MCO Response: This information will be updated on the Provider Directory Policy in our next Policy Committee Meeting in September.	Substantial	Aetna did not provide a revised policy with the missing language from the last review. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its entirety in its policies.	MCO acknowledges recommendation Policy 6300.20 Provider Directory Updates has been updated to reference specifically "web-based machine readable". Policy will be presented at the next policy committee for approval.
12.14.1.3	Electronic file of the directory to be submitted and updated weekly to the Medicaid FI, the Enrollment Broker, or other designee as determined by LDH; for the Enrollment Broker;	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (electronic file	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	and	format)				
12.14.1.4	Hard copy, abbreviated version upon request by the Enrollment Broker.	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (abbreviated hard copy)	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Provider Directory Updates Policy on page 3. During onsite discussion, the MCO indicated there is no abbreviated version available. Recommendation The MCO should make an abbreviated version of the provider directory available. MCO Response: Working to create an abbreviated version of the Provider Directory.	Substantial	This requirement is partially addressed in the website provided by Aetna which allows for a member to download an abbreviated directory; however, nowhere on the website does it offer the member a hardcopy to be printed and sent to the member. Recommendation Aetna should add directions on how to request a hardcopy, abbreviated version of the provider directory by the Enrollment Broker to the website where the provider directory can be viewed or downloaded online.	MCO acknowledges recommendation and will ensure the website is update to give all members the option to print a hardcopy of the Provider Directory.
12.14.3	The hard copy directory for members shall be reprinted with updates at monthly or no more than 30 days after the receipt of updated provider information. Inserts may be used to update the hard copy directories monthly to fulfill requests by members and potential members. The web-based online version shall be updated in real time, but no less than weekly. While daily updates are preferred, the MCO shall at a minimum submit no less than weekly. The abbreviated hard copy version for the Enrollment Broker will be available to all Medicaid enrollees when requested by contacting the Enrollment Broker. Format for this version will be in a format specified by LDH.	P/P for Provider Directory	Full			
12.14.4	In accordance with 42 CFR 438.10(f) (6), the provider directory shall include, but not be limited to:					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 66 of 210

			Marketing and Member	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
12.14.4.1	Names, locations, telephone numbers of, website URLs, specialties, whether the provider is accepting new members, and cultural and linguistic capabilities by current contracted providers by each provider type specified in this RFP in the Medicaid enrollee's service area. Cultural and linguistic capabilities shall include languages offered by the provider or a skilled medical interpreter at the provider's office, and whether the provider has completed cultural competency training. The provider directory shall also indicate whether the provider's office/facility has accommodations for people with physical disabilities, including offices, exam room(s) and equipment;	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (full hard copy, website version, electronic file, abbreviated hard copy)	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Provider Directory Updates Policy on page 10. The cultural competency training part of the requirement is addressed on page 5. However, the online provider search does not include information about provider's cultural competency training status. Recommendation The MCO should include this information in its online provider search. MCO Response: This information will be updated on the online provider search.	Substantial	The online provider search does not include information about the provider's cultural competency training status. The screen shot that Aetna provided only discussed the cultural competency training that the MCO encourages providers to undertake; however, proof that this training was completed by the provider is not available. Recommendation The MCO should include this information in its online provider search.	Aetna confirms that the provider directory is capable of Cultural Competency training is provided to all providers at time of orientation. Orientation is documented in the CRM tool and the plan will take immediate steps to incorporate that evidence of Cultural Competency training is pushed into the online directory.
12.14.4.2	Identification of qualified providers divided into specific provider and service types and specializations, including but not limited to, primary care physicians, specialists, hospital PCP groups, clinic settings, home and community-based services, outpatient therapy, residential substance use, youth residential services, inpatient mental health and residential substance use services, and FQHCs and RHCs in the service area. This shall include a child serving list that is both monitored and frequently updated to ensure viable options are identified and available for OJJ, DCFS and LDOE field staff. The MCO provider types shall be delineated by parish and zip code;	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (full hard copy, website version, electronic file, abbreviated hard copy)	Full			
12.14.4.3	Identification of any restrictions on the enrollee's freedom of choice among network	P/P for Provider Directory	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 67 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	providers; and	Provider Directory (full hard copy, website version, electronic file, abbreviated hard copy)				
12.14.4.4	Identification of hours of operation including identification of providers with non-traditional hours (Before 8 a.m. or after 5 p.m. or any weekend hours).	P/P for Provider Directory Provider Directory (full hard copy, website version, electronic file, abbreviated hard copy)	Full			
12.17.15	Members' Rights and Responsibilities					
12.17.15.1	The MCO shall have written policies regarding member rights and responsibilities. The MCO shall comply with all applicable state and federal laws pertaining to member rights and privacy. The MCO shall further ensure that the MCO's employees, contractors and MCO providers consider and respect those rights when providing services to members.	P/P for Member Rights and Responsibilities Member Handbook Provider Manual Provider Contract Contractor Contract	Full			
12.15.2	Members Rights. The rights afforded to current members are detailed in Appendix AA, Members' Bill of Rights.	P/P for Member Rights and Responsibilities Member Handbook Provider Manual Provider Contract Contractor Contract	Full			
12.17.16	Member Responsibilities					
12.17.16.1	The MCO shall encourage each member to be responsible for his own health care by becoming an informed and active participant in their care. Members have the responsibility to cooperate fully with providers in following mutually acceptable courses of treatment,	P/P for Member Rights and Responsibilities Member Handbook Provider Manual Provider Contract	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 68 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	providing accurate medical and personal histories, and being present at scheduled appointments and reporting on treatment progress, such as notifying their health care provider promptly if serious side effects and complications occur, and/or worsening of the condition arises.	Contract Contract						
12.17.16.2	 The MCO members' responsibilities shall include but are not limited to: Informing the MCO of the loss or theft of their ID card; Presenting their MCO ID card when using health care services; Being familiar with the MCO procedures to the best of the member's abilities; Calling or contacting the MCO to obtain information and have questions answered; Providing participating network providers with accurate and complete medical information; Asking questions of providers to determine the potential risks, benefits and costs of treatment alternatives and following the prescribed treatment of care recommended by the provider or letting the provider know the reasons the treatment cannot be followed, as soon as possible; Living healthy lifestyles and avoiding behaviors know to be detrimental to their health; Following the grievance process established by the MCO if they have a disagreement with a provider; and Making every effort to keep any agreed upon appointments, and follow-up appointments; and accessing preventive care services, and contacting the provider in advance if unable to keep the appointment. 	P/P for Member Rights and Responsibilities Member Handbook	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 69 of 210

			Marketing and Membe	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
12.18	Notice to Members of Provider Termination					
12.18.1	The MCO shall make a good faith effort to give written notice of a provider's termination to each member who received their primary care from, or was seen on a regular basis by the terminated provider. When timely notice from the provider is received, the notice to the member shall be provided within fifteen (15) calendar days of the receipt of the termination notice from the provider.	P/P for Provider Termination P/P for notifying members of provider termination	Full			
12.18.2	The MCO shall provide notice to a member or the parent/legal guardian and the involved state agency, as appropriate, who has been receiving a prior authorized course of treatment, when the treating provider becomes unavailable. The written notice shall be provided within seven (7) calendar days from the date the MCO becomes aware of such, if it is prior to the change occurring. Failure to provide notice prior to the dates of termination will be allowed when a provider becomes unable to care for members due to illness, a provider dies, the provider moves from the service area and fails to notify the MCO, or when a provider fails credentialing or is displaced as a result of a natural or manmade disaster. Under these circumstances, notice shall be issued immediately upon the MCO becoming aware of the circumstances.	P/P for Provider Termination P/P for notifying members of provider termination	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations Policy on page 4 and 10. However, that the notice shall be provided within seven (7) days is not included with regards to prior authorized course of treatment. Recommendation The MCO should include the timeliness requirement in its policy. MCO Response: This information will be updated on the Provider Termination Policy in our next Policy Committee Meeting in September.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations policy on page 5; however, the wording for this requirement was added to the policy on July 10, 2020, which is after the period of review. Recommendation Aetna should approve the final wording for this requirement in its policy.	MCO acknowledges the recommendation and Policy 6100.90 Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations has been revised to include required language July 2020 and will be reviewed on an annual basis or as changes are needed.
12.19.1	Oral Interpretation and Written Translation Serval In accordance with 42 CFR §438.10(d) LDH shall provide on its website the prevalent non-English language spoken by enrollees in the state.	vices				
12.19.2	The MCO must make real-time oral	P/P for oral and	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 70 of 210

			Marketing and Member	r Education		
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	interpretation services available free of charge to each potential enrollee and enrollee. This applies to all non-English languages not just those that Louisiana specifically requires (Spanish). The enrollee is not to be charged for interpretation services. The MCO must notify its enrollees that oral interpretation is available for any language and how to access those services. On materials where this information is provided, the notation should be written in Spanish.	written interpretation services P/P for notification of member of interpretation services and how to access the services				
12.19.3	The MCO shall ensure that translation services are provided for all written marketing and member education materials for any language that is spoken as a primary language for four percent (4%) or more enrollee or potential enrollees of an MCO. Within ninety (90) calendar days of notice from LDH, materials must be translated and made available. Materials must be made available at no charge in that specific language to assure a reasonable chance for all members to understand how to access the MCO and use services appropriately as specified in 42 CFR §438.10(c) (4) and (5).	P/P for oral and written interpretation services P/P for notification of member of interpretation services and how to access the services	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Interpreter and Translation Services Policy on page 5; however, the percentage indicated in the policy is 5%, not 4%. During onsite discussion, the MCO indicated that an amended policy is currently going through approval. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the draft of the Interpreter and Translation Services Policy to include this requirement. MCO Response: This information has been added to our Interpreter and Translation Services Policy. This was approved in our June Policy Committee Meeting.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Interpreter and Translation Services policy on page 3; however, the wording for this requirement was added to the policy on July 10, 2020, which is after the period of review. Recommendation Aetna should approve the final wording for this requirement in its policy.	MCO acknowledges recommendation Policy 4500.25 Interpreter and Translation Services Policy U has been updated to in the policy in July 2020.
12.19.4	Written materials must also be made available in alternative formats upon request of the potential member or member at no cost.	P/P for Member Rights and Responsibilities	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Interpreter and Translation	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Member Material Standards Policy on page 3.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 71 of 210

	Marketing and Member Education								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.10, 438.100)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	Auxiliary aids and services must also be made available upon request of the potential member or member at no cost. Written materials must include taglines in the prevalent non-English languages in the state, as well as large print, explaining the availability of written translation or oral interpretation to understand the information provided and the toll-free and TTY/TDY telephone number of the MCO's member/customer service unit. Large print means printed in a font size no smaller than 18 point.		Services Policy on pages 7 and 8 and in the Member Materials Standards Policy on page 2; however, the font size requirement (18 point) for large print materials is not included in any policy submitted for review. The member handbook includes taglines in 16 languages regarding multi-language interpretation services free of charge to the member on page 61. Recommendation The MCO should include this font size requirement in their Member Materials Standards Policy. MCO Response: This information has been added to our Interpreter and Translation Services Policy. This was approved in our June Policy Committee Meeting.						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 72 of 210

Member Grievances and Appeals

	nievances and Appeals		Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
13.0	Member Grievance and Appeals Procedures					
13.2	General Grievance System Requirements					
13.2.1	Grievance System. The MCO must have a system in place for members that include a grievance process, an appeal process, and access to the State Fair Hearing system, once the MCO's appeal process has been exhausted. The MCO shall permit a member to file a grievance and request an MCO level appeal subject only to the limitations expressly provided in this Section. A member shall be permitted to request a State Fair Hearing after receiving notice that the action is upheld or once the MCO's appeals process has been exhausted.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.2.2	Filing Requirements					
13.2.2.1	Authority to File					
13.2.2.1.1	A member, or authorized representative acting on the member's behalf, may file a grievance and an MCO level appeal, and may request a State Fair Hearing, once the MCO's appeals process has been exhausted.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.2.2.1.2	A network provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, may file an appeal. A network provider may file a grievance or request a State Fair Hearing on behalf of a member.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.2.3	Time Limits for Filing The member shall be permitted to file a grievance at any time. The member must be allowed sixty (60) calendar days from the date on the MCO's notice of action or inaction to request an appeal.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.2.4 13.2.4.1	Procedures for Filing The member may file a grievance orally or in	P/P for Grievances	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 73 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	writing with either LDH or the MCO.					
13.2.4.2	The member or provider may file an appeal either orally or in writing. The oral appeal shall be followed by a written, signed appeal unless the member requests an expedited resolution.	P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.2.4.3	The MCO shall ensure that all MCO members are informed of the State Fair Hearing process and of the MCO's grievance and appeal procedures. The MCO shall provide to each member a member handbook that shall include descriptions of the MCO's grievance and appeal procedures. Forms on which members may file grievances, appeals, concerns or recommendations to the MCO shall be available through the MCO, and paper copies must be provided by the MCO upon request of the member. The MCO shall make all forms easily available on the MCO's website.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing	Full			
13.3	Grievance/Appeal Records and Report					
13.3.1	The MCO must maintain accurate records of all grievances and appeals in a manner accessible to LDH and available upon request to CMS. A copy of grievances logs and records of disposition of appeals shall be retained for ten (10) years. If any litigation, claim negotiation, audit, or other action involving the documents or records has been started before the expiration of the ten (10) year period, the records shall be retained until completion of the action and resolution of issues which arise from it or until the end of the regular ten (10) year period, whichever is later.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.3.2	The MCO shall electronically maintain data on grievances/appeals in accordance with the requirements outlined in this section, to include, but not be limited to: member's name and Medicaid number, summary of grievances	P/P for monthly reporting of grievances and appeals including sample report format	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 74 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	and appeals; date of filing; current status; date of review or review meeting; resolution information for each level of grievance or appeal, if applicable; date of resolution at each level, if applicable; date of review or review meeting; resolution information for each level of grievance or appeal, if applicable; date of resolution at each level, if applicable; and resulting corrective action.					
13.3.3	The MCO will be responsible for promptly forwarding any adverse decisions to DHH for further review/action upon request by DHH or the MCO member. DHH may submit recommendations to the MCO regarding the merits or suggested resolution of any grievance/appeal.	P/P for Adverse Decisions	Full			
13.4	Handling of Grievances and Appeals					
13.4.1	General Requirements In handling grievances and appeals, the MCO must meet the following requirements:					
13.4.1.1	Acknowledge receipt of each grievance and appeal in writing within five (5) business days, except in instances where the resolution of the grievance occurs on the same day the grievance is received. Although the requirement to acknowledge the grievance in writing is waived in this instance, the grievance must be reported on the monthly grievance log;	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals Acknowledgement Letter Template Includes Member Grievance & Appeals File Review	Full			
13.4.1.2	Give members any reasonable assistance in completing forms and taking other procedural steps. This includes, but is not limited to, providing interpreter services and toll-free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD and interpreter capability;	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.4.1.3 13.4.1.3.1 13.4.1.3.2	Ensure that the individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals are individuals:	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 75 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
13.4.1.3.3	 who were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making; nor a subordinate of any such individual; who, if deciding any of the following, are health care professionals who have the appropriate clinical expertise, as determined by LDH, in treating the member's condition or disease: an appeal of a denial that is based on lack of medical necessity, a grievance regarding denial of expedited resolution of an appeal, a grievance or appeal that involves clinical issues. Who take into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the member or their representative without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial action. 	Includes Member Grievance File Review				
13.4.2	Special Requirements for Appeals The process for appeals must:					
13.4.2.1	Provide that oral inquiries seeking to appeal an action are treated as appeals (to establish the earliest possible filing date for the appeal). The member, member's authorized representative or provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, may file an expedited appeal either orally or in writing. Unless the member requests an expedited appeal, the oral appeal shall be confirmed in writing.	P/P for Appeals Member Handbook Confirmation Letter Template	Full			
13.4.2.2	Provide the member a reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and allegations of fact or law, in person as well as in writing. (The MCO must inform the member of the limited time available for this sufficiently in advance of the date by which the MCO shall resolve the	P/P for Appeals Member Handbook Process for notifying member of opportunity to provide evidence	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 76 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	appeal in the case of expedited resolution).	Includes Member Appeal File Review				
13.4.2.3	Provide the member and his or her representative opportunity, before and during the appeals process, to examine the member's case file, including medical records, and any other documents and records considered during the appeals process and any evidence considered, relied upon, or generated by the MCO in connection with the appeal. This information shall be provided free of charge and sufficiently in advance of the date by which the MCO shall resolve the appeal.	P/P for Appeals Member Handbook Process for notifying member of opportunity to examine case file Includes Member Appeal File Review	Full			
13.4.2.4	Include, as parties to the appeal: the member and his or her representative; or the legal representative of a deceased member's estate.	P/P for Appeals Member Handbook Includes Member Appeal File Review	Full			
13.4.3	Training of MCO Staff The MCO's staff shall be educated concerning the importance of the grievance and appeal procedures and the rights of the member and providers.	Training Agendas and attachments Sign-in sheets	Full			
13.4.4	Identification of Appropriate Party The appropriate individual or body within the MCO having decision making authority as part of the grievance/appeal procedure shall be identified.	Name and title of individual or name of body having decision-making authority Job description for individual having decision-making authority	Full			
13.4.5	Failure to Make a Timely Decision Appeals shall be resolved no later than stated time frames and all parties shall be informed of the MCO's decision. If a determination is not made in accordance with the timeframes specified, the member's request will be	P/P for Appeals	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 77 of 210

	Member Grievances and Appeals							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	deemed to exhaust the MCO's appeal process as of the date upon which a final determination should have been made. The member may then initiate a State Fair Hearing.							
13.4.6	Right to State Fair Hearing The MCO shall inform the member of their right to seek a State Fair Hearing if the member is not satisfied with the MCO's decision in response to an appeal and the process for doing so.	P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearing Appeal Resolution Notice	Full					
13.5	Notice of Action							
13.5.1	Language and Format Requirements The notice must be in writing and must meet the language and format requirements of 42 C.F.R. §438.10 and Section 12 of this RFP to ensure ease of understanding.	Notice of Action Includes Member Grievance and Appeals File Review	Full					
13.5.2	Content of Notice of Action The Notice of Action must explain the following:	- предоставление						
13.5.2.1	The action the MCO or its contractor has taken or intends to take;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action Includes Member Grievance and Appeal File Review	Full					
13.5.2.2	The reasons for the action; including the right of the member to be provided upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to and copies of all documents, records, and other information relevant to the member's adverse benefit determination. Such information includes medical necessity criteria, and any processes, strategies, or evidentiary standards used in setting coverage limits;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action Includes Member Appeal File Review	Full					
13.5.2.3	The member's right to file an appeal with the MCO;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 78 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
13.5.2.4	The member's right to request a State Fair Hearing, after the MCO's appeal process has been exhausted;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action Includes Member Appeal File Review	Full			
13.5.2.5	The procedures for exercising the rights specified in this section;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.2.6	The circumstances under which expedited appeal is available and how to request it;	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.2.7	The member's right to have benefits continued pending resolution of the appeal, how to request that benefits be continued, and the circumstances under which the member may be required to repay the costs of these services; and	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action Includes Member Appeal File Review	Substantial This requirement is addressed in policy LA 3100.70 Member Appeals File Review Results Appeals Files Two (2) of the Ten (10) files reviewed were resolved in the members favor and therefore were Not Applicable Eight (8) of Eight (8) remaining files did not meet the requirement Onsite it was discussed that Aetna's notice after initial prior authorization review does contain the language however the appeal letter does not. Recommendation: Aetna should add the explicit instructions on accessing continuous benefits during the State Fair Hearing process to the notice of adverse benefit determination. MCO Response: The letter was updated at the beginning of the year, to include the appropriate	Full	This requirement is addressed in policy LA 3100.70 Member Appeals. File Review Results Appeals Files Ten (10) of 10 meet the requirement.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 79 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			language.			
13.5.2.8	Availability of interpretation services for all languages and how to access them.	P/P for Notice of Action Notice of Action	Substantial Aetna indicated that the required language could be found in Policy 3100.70 Member Appeals, page 7. A full review of this policy revealed "oral interpretation services and alternate formats will be available to members at no cost". On the other hand the section of the policy directly related to the notice of action or decision letter does not mention inclusion of this service as a standard disclosure. Recommendation:	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Member Appeals policy and is also addressed within the Notice of Action.	
			The language describing the availability of interpretation services should be included in the Notice of Action. MCO Response: The letter has been updated in to include the required verbiage and sent to the state for review/approval.			
13.5.3	Timing of Notice of Action The MCO must mail the Notice of Action within the following timeframes:					
13.5.3.1	For termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized Medicaid-covered services, at least ten (10) days before the date of action,:	P/P for Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.3.2	In cases of verified member fraud, or when LDH has facts indicating that action should be taken because of probable member fraud at least five (5) days before the date of action;	P/P for Notice of Action	Non-compliance This new language is not yet included in policy LA 3100.70 Member Appeals Onsite, Aetna stated that the requirement is addressed in policy. LA 0041. LA State notification. A review of this policy did not indicate that the required language was contained within.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the LA 3100.70 Member Appeals and the SIU policy.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 80 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			Recommendation: Aetna should add the required language to its policies and procedures. MCO Response: The verbiage is being added to the SIU policy.			
13.5.3.3	 By the date of action for the following: In the death of a recipient; If the member submits a signed written recipient statement requesting service termination or giving information requiring termination or reduction of services (where he understands that this must be the result of supplying that information); The recipient's admission to an institution where he is eligible for further services; The recipient's address is unknown and mail directed to him has no forwarding address; The recipient has been accepted for Medicaid services by another local jurisdiction; or The recipient's physician prescribes the change in the level of medical care; or As otherwise permitted under 42 CFR §431.213. 	P/P for Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.3.4	For denial of payment, at the time of any action affecting the claim according to the terms and conditions outlined in the contract between the provider and the individual MCO.	P/P for Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.3.5 13.5.3.5.1 13.5.3.5.2	For standard service authorization decisions that deny or limit services, as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires and within fourteen (14) calendar days following receipt of the request for service, with a possible extension of up to fourteen (14) additional calendar days, if: • The member, or the provider, acting on	P/P for Notice of Action P/P for Notice of Action for Standard Service Authorizations P/P for Handling Extensions	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 81 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, requests extension; or • The MCO justifies (to DHH upon request) a need for additional information and how the extension is in the member's interest.	Notice of Decision to Extend Timeframe				
13.5.3.6	 If the MCO extends the timeframe in accordance with above, it must: Make reasonable efforts to give the member prompt oral notice of the delay; Within two (2) days, give the member written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and inform the member of the right to file a grievance if he or she disagrees with that decision, and Issue and carry out its determination as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires and no later than the date the extension expires. 		Full			
13.5.3.7	On the date the timeframe for service authorization as specified expires. Untimely service authorizations constitute a denial and are thus adverse actions.	P/P for Notice of Action	Full			
13.5.3.8	For expedited service authorization decisions where a provider indicates, or the MCO determines, that following the standard timeframe could seriously jeopardize the member's life or health or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function, the MCO must make an expedited authorization decision and provide notice as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires and no later than seventy-two (72) hours after receipt of the request for service.	P/P for Notice of Action P/P for Notice of Action for Expedited Service Authorizations	Full			
13.5.3.9	The MCO may extend the seventy-two (72) hours time period by up to fourteen (14) calendar days if the member requests an extension, or if the MCO justifies (to DHH upon	P/P for Notice of Action P/P for Handling Extensions	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 82 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	request) a need for additional information and	Notice of Decision to				
10.5.0.10	how the extension is in the member's interest.	Extend Timeframe				
13.5.3.10	DHH will conduct random reviews to ensure that members are receiving such notices in a timely manner.					
13.6	Resolution and Notification					
13.6	The MCO must dispose of a grievance and resolve each appeal, and provide notice, as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires, within the timeframes established below.	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.6.1	Specific Timeframes					
13.6.1.1	Standard Disposition of Grievances For standard disposition of a grievance and notice to the affected parties, the timeframe is established as ninety (90) days from the day the MCO receives the grievance.	P/P for Grievances	Full			
13.6.1.2	Standard Resolution of Appeals For standard resolution of an appeal and notice to the affected parties, the timeframe is established as thirty (30) calendar days from the day the MCO receives the appeal. This timeframe may be extended under Section 13.6.1.2 of this Section.	P/P for Appeals Includes Member Appeals File Review	Full			
13.6.1.3	Expedited Resolution of Appeals For expedited resolution of an appeal and notice to affected parties, the timeframe is established as seventy-two (72) hours after the MCO receives the appeal. This timeframe may be extended under Section 13.6.2 of this Section.	P/P for Appeals Includes Member Appeals File Review	Full			
13.6.2.1	Extension of Timeframes The MCO may extend the timeframes from Section 13.6.1 of this Section by up to fourteen (14) calendar days if: • The member requests the extension; or • The MCO shows (to the satisfaction of DHH, upon its request) that there is need for	P/P for Appeals P/P for Grievances P/P for Handling Extensions Notice of Decision to Extend Timeframe	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 83 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	additional information and how the delay is	Includes Appeals File				
10.6.0.0	in the member's interest.	review	5.11			
13.6.2.2	 Requirements Following Timeframe Extension If the MCO extends the timeframes, it must, for any extension not requested by the member: Give the member written notice of the reason for the delay. Within two (2) calendar days give the member written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and inform the member of the right to file a grievance if he or she disagrees with that decision. Resolve the appeal as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires and no later than the date the extension expires. 	P/P for Appeals P/P for Grievances P/P for Handling Extensions Notice of Decision to Extend Timeframe Includes Appeals File review	Full			
13.6.3	In the case of an MCO that fails to adhere to the notice and timing requirements in this section, the enrollee is deemed to have exhausted the MCO's appeal process and may initiate a state fair hearing.	P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.6.4 13.6.4.1 13.6.4.2	Format of Notice of Disposition Grievances. The MCO will provide written notice to the member of the disposition of a grievance. Appeals. For all appeals, the MCO must provide written notice of disposition. For notice of an expedited resolution, the MCO must also make reasonable efforts to provide	P/P for Grievances P/P for Appeals Resolution Notice	Full			
13.6.5 13.6.5.1 13.6.5.2	oral notice. Content of Notice of Appeal Resolution The written notice of the resolution must include the following: the results of the resolution process and the date it was completed.	P/P for Appeals Resolution Notice	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 84 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	For appeals not resolved wholly in favor of the members: the right to request a State Fair Hearing, and how to do so; the right to request to receive benefits while the hearing is pending, and how to make the request; and that the member may be held liable for the cost of those benefits if the hearing decision upholds the MCO's action.					
13.6.6	Requirements for State Fair Hearings The MCO shall comply with all requirements as outlined in this RFP.					
13.6.6.1	Availability. If the member has exhausted the MCO-level appeal procedures, the member may request a State Fair Hearing within one hundred twenty (120) days from the date of the MCO's notice of resolution. The member may also initiate a State Fair Hearing following deemed exhaustion of appeals processes.	P/P for Appeals P/P for Fair Hearings	Full			
13.6.6.2	Parties. The parties to the State Fair Hearing include the MCO as well as the member and his or her representative or the representative of a deceased member's estate.	P/P for Fair Hearings	Full			
13.7	Expedited Resolution of Appeals					
13.7.0	The MCO must establish and maintain an expedited review process for appeals, when the MCO determines (for a request from the member) or the provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, indicates (in making the request on the member's behalf or supporting the member's request) that taking the time for a standard resolution could seriously jeopardize the member's life or health or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function.	P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.7.1	Prohibition Against Punitive Action The MCO must ensure that punitive action is not taken against a provider, acting on behalf	P/P for Appeals Provider Handbook	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 85 of 210

Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410,	Suggested	Member Grievances and Appeals		Comments (Note: For any element that is	
	438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	of the member and with the member's written consent, who requests an expedited resolution or supports a member's appeal.					
13.7.2	 Action Following Denial of a Request for Expedited Resolution If the MCO denies a request for expedited resolution of an appeal, it must: Transfer the appeal to the timeframe for standard resolution; Make reasonable efforts to give the member prompt oral notice of the denial, and follow up within two (2) calendar days with a written notice. This decision (i.e., the denial of a request for expedited resolution of an appeal) does not constitute an Action or require a Notice of Action. The Member may file a grievance in response to this decision. 	P/P for Appeals Denial Notice	Full			
13.7.3	Failure to Make a Timely Decision Appeals shall be resolved no later than above stated timeframes and all parties shall be informed of the MCO's decision in writing. If a determination is not made by the above timeframes, the member's request will be deemed to have exhausted the MCO's appeal process as of the date upon which a final determination should have been made.	P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.7.4 13.7.4.1	Process The MCO is required to follow all standard appeal requirements for expedited requests except where differences are specifically noted in the requirements for expedited resolution. The member or provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, may file an expedited appeal either orally or in writing. No additional follow-up may be required.	P/P for Appeals Process for notifying	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 86 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	limited time available for the member to present evidence and allegations of fact or law, in person and in writing, in the case of expedited resolution.	member of opportunity to present evidence				
13.7.5	Authority to File The Medicaid member or their provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, may file an expedited appeal either orally or in writing. No additional member follow-up is required.	P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.7.6	Format of Resolution Notice In addition to written notice, the MCO must also make reasonable effort to provide oral notice.	P/P for Appeals	Full			
13.8	Continuation of Benefits					
13.8.1	Terminology - As used in this section, "timely" filing means filing on or before the later of the following: within ten (10) days of the MCO mailing the notice of action or the intended effective date of the MCO's proposed action.					
13.8.2	Continuation of Benefits The MCO must continue the member's benefits if: The member or the provider, acting on behalf of the member and with the member's written consent, files the appeal timely in accordance with 42 CFR §438.402(c)(1)(ii) and (c)(2)(ii); • The appeal involves the termination, suspension, or reduction of a previously authorized course of treatment; • The services were ordered by an authorized provider; • The original period covered by the original authorization has not expired; and • The member requests extension of benefits.	P/P for Continuation of Benefits Process for notifying member of continuation of benefits	Full			
13.8.3	Duration of Continued or Reinstated Benefits If the MCO continues or reinstates the member's benefits while the appeal is pending,	P/P for Continuation of Benefits Process for notifying	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 87 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	 the benefits must be continued until one of following occurs: The member withdraws the appeal; Ten (10) days pass after the MCO mails the notice, providing the resolution of the appeal against the member, unless the member, within the ten (10) day timeframe, has requested a State Fair Hearing with continuation of benefits until a State Fair Hearing decision is reached; A State Fair Hearing Officer issues a hearing 	member of continuation of benefits				
	 A state rail rearing officer issues a hearing decision adverse to the member; The time period or service limits of a previously authorized service has been met. 					
13.8.4	Member Responsibility for Services Furnished While the Appeal is Pending If the final resolution of the appeal is adverse to the member, that is, upholds the MCO's action, the MCO may recover the cost of the services furnished to the member while the appeal is pending, to the extent that they were furnished solely because of the requirements of this Section, and in accordance with the policy set forth in 42 C.F.R. § 431.230(b).	P/P for Continuation of Benefits Process for notifying member of continuation of benefits	Full			
13.9	Information to Providers and Contractors					
13.9.0	The MCO must provide the information specified at 42 C.F.R. § 438.10(g) (2)(xi) about the grievance system to all providers and contractors at the time they enter into a contract.	Provider Manual/Handbook Provider Contract Contractor Contract	Full			
13.10	Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements					
13.10.0	Reports of grievances and resolutions shall be submitted to DHH as specified in Section 13.4 and of this RFP. The MCO shall not modify the grievance procedure without the prior written approval of DHH.	P/P for Grievances P/P for reporting grievances and resolutions to DHH Report Format	Full			
13.11	Effectuation of Reversed Appeal Resolutions					
13.11.1	Services not Furnished While the Appeal is	P&P for effectuation	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 88 of 210

			Member Grievances and Appeals			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438. 400, 438.402, 438.404, 438.406, 438.408, 438.410, 438.414, 438.416, 438.420, 438.424)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	Pending If the MCO or the State Fair Hearing officer reverses a decision to deny, limit, or delay services that were not furnished while the appeal was pending, the MCO must authorize or provide the disputed services promptly, and as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires but no later than 72 hours from the date it receives notice reversing the decision.	of reversed appeal resolutions				
13.11.2	Services Furnished While the Appeal is Pending If the MCO or the State Fair Hearing officer reverses a decision to deny authorization of services, and the member received the disputed services while the appeal was pending, the MCO must pay for those services, in accordance with this Contract.	P&P for effectuation of reversed appeal resolutions	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 89 of 210

Provider Network Requirements

	Network Requirements	Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
7.1	General Provider Network Requirements					
7.1.1	The MCO shall maintain and monitor a network of appropriate providers that is supported by written network provider agreements and that is sufficient to provide adequate access to all services covered under this contract for all members, including those with limited English proficiency or physical or mental disabilities.					
7.1.2	The MCO must maintain a network that ensures, at minimum, equal access to qualified providers as the rest of the insured population in the area. [42 CFR 438.210.(a)(2)]	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full			
7.1.3	All services covered under this contract shall be accessible to MCO members in comparable timeliness, amount, duration and scope as those available to other insured individuals in the same service area.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access and Availability	Full			
7.1.4	Network providers must be available within a reasonable distance to members and accessible within an appropriate timeframe to meet the members' medical needs. Standards for distance and time are fully outlined in this Section and in the Provider Network Companion Guide. The MCO shall ensure that providers are available in network within the distance requirements set forth in this Section.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access and Availability	Full			
7.1.5	If the MCO is unable to provide the necessary services to a member within their network, the MCO must adequately and timely cover these services out of network. The MCO shall ensure coordination with respect to authorization and payment issues in these circumstances [42 CFR §438.206.(b)(4) and (5)].	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full			
7.1.7	The MCO's network providers shall ensure physical access, reasonable accommodations, culturally competent communications, and accessible equipment for Medicaid	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access and Availability	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 90 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	members with physical or mental disabilities.							
7.1.8	At the request of the member, the MCO shall provide for a second opinion from a network provider, or arrange for the member to obtain one outside the network, at no cost to the member.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access and Availability	Full					
7.1.9	The MCO and its providers shall deliver services in a culturally competent manner to all members, including those with limited English proficiency and diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, disabilities, and regardless of gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity and provide for cultural competency and linguistic needs, including the member's prevalent language(s) and sign language interpreters in accordance with 42 CFR §438.206(c)(2). MCOs must ensure that effective, equitable, understandable, and respectful quality care and services that are responsive to diverse cultural health beliefs and practices, preferred languages, health literacy, and other communication needs are provided. Assurances shall be achieved by: Collecting member demographic data, including but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, and social class, so that the provider will be able to respond appropriately to the cultural needs of the community being served (note: members must be given the opportunity to voluntarily disclose this information, it cannot be required); Assessing the cultural competency of the providers on an ongoing basis, at least annually; Assessing member satisfaction of the services provided as it pertains to cultural competence at least annually. Assessment shall capture necessary demographics of the member including, but not limited to, race/ethnicity, age, gender, parish, etc.; Assessing provider satisfaction of the services provided by the MCO at least annually; and	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Provider manual/handbook Provider contracts	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 91 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	Requiring and providing training on cultural competence, including tribal awareness, (or obtaining proof of attendance at other trainings on cultural competence) to MCO staff and behavioral health network providers for a minimum of three (3) hours per year and as directed by the needs assessments.							
7.2	Appointment Availability Access Standards							
7.2.1	The following appointment availability standards have been established as minimum requirements to ensure that members' needs are sufficiently met. LDH will monitor the MCO's compliance with these standards through regular reporting as shown in Provider Network Companion Guide. The MCO shall ensure that appointments with qualified providers are on a timely basis, as follows:							
7.2.1.1	Emergent or emergency visits immediately upon presentation at the service delivery site. Emergent, crisis or emergency behavioral health services must be available at all times and an appointment shall be arranged within one (1) hour of request;	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.2	Urgent Care within twenty-four (24) hours. Provisions must be available for obtaining urgent care, including behavioral health care, 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. Urgent care may be provided directly by the PCP or directed by the MCO through other arrangements. An appointment shall be arranged within forty-eight (48) hours of request;	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.3	condition(s) deteriorates into an urgent or emergency condition;	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.4	Routine, non-urgent, or preventative care visits within 6 weeks. For behavioral healthcare, routine, non-urgent	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 92 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	appointments shall be arranged within fourteen (14) days of referral;	Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook						
7.2.1.5	Specialty care consultation within one (1) month of referral or as clinically indicated;	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.6	Lab and X-ray services (usual and customary) not to exceed three (3) weeks for regular appointments and 48 hours for urgent care or as clinically indicated; and	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.7	Maternity Care - Initial appointment for prenatal visits for newly enrolled pregnant women shall meet the following timetables from the postmark date the MCO mails the member's welcome packet for members whose basis of eligibility at the time of enrollment in the MCO is pregnancy. The timeframes below apply for existing members or new members whose basis of eligibility is something other than pregnancy from the date the MCO or their subcontracted provider becomes aware of the pregnancy: within their first trimester within 14 days; within the second trimester within 7 days; within their third trimester within 3 days; high risk pregnancies within 3 days of identification of high risk by the MCO or maternity care provider, or immediately if an emergency exists;	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.2.1.8	Follow-up to ED visits in accordance with ED attending provider discharge instructions.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 93 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
		Provider contracts Member Handbook						
7.2.1.9 7.2.1.10 7.2.1.11 7.2.1.12	In office waiting time for scheduled appointments should not routinely exceed 45 minutes, including time in the waiting room and examining room. If a provider is delayed, patients shall be notified immediately. If the wait is anticipated to be more than 90 minutes, the patient shall be offered a new appointment. Walk-in patients with non-urgent needs should be seen if possible or scheduled for an appointment consistent with written scheduling procedures. Direct contact with a qualified clinical staff person must be available through a toll-free telephone number at all times.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Appointment Standards Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	Full					
7.3	Geographic Access Requirements							
7.3.0	The MCO shall comply with the following maximum travel time and/or distance requirements, as specified in the Provider Network Companion Guide. Requests for exceptions as a result of prevailing community standards must be submitted in writing to LDH for approval. Such requests should include data on the local provider population available to the non-Medicaid population. If LDH approves the exception, the MCO shall monitor member access to the specific provider type on an ongoing basis and provide the findings to LDH as part of its annual Network Provider Development Management Plan.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Full					
7.3.1 7.3.1.1 7.3.1.2	Primary Care Providers .3 Travel distance for members living in rural parishes shall not exceed 30 miles; and .4 Travel distance for members living in urban parishes shall not exceed 10 miles	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 12. Per Geo Access reports the member ratios meet the standard met in the Provider Network Companion Guide. Not all adult members in urban	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are met for all rural parishes, but not all urban parishes.	Access Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Primary Care Providers in urban settings		

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 94 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation				
			parishes had access to PCPs within 10 miles. All adult members in rural parishes had access to PCPs within 30 miles. Only 75% pediatric members in urban and 98% of rural parishes had access to PCPs within 10 and 30 miles, respectively. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		Recommendation The MCO should improve access to PCPs for their urban members.					
7.3.2 7.3.2.1 7.3.2.2	 Acute Inpatient Hospitals Travel distance for members living in rural parishes shall not exceed 30 miles; If no hospital is available within 30 miles of a member's residence, the MCO may request, in writing, an exception to this requirement. Travel distance for members living in urban parishes shall not exceed 10 miles. 	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 12. Not all members living in urban or rural parishes had access to acute inpatient hospitals within 10 and 30 miles, respectively. . MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes. The state is considering whether it is appropriate to modify its contract requirements.					

Provider Network Requirements									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
7.3.3 7.3.3.1 7.3.3.2 7.3.3.3 7.3.3.4	Specialists Travel distance to each specialty type shall not exceed 60 miles for at least 75% of members; and Travel distance shall not exceed 90 miles for all members. Specialists included under this requirement are listed the Provider Network Companion Guide LDH reserves the right to add additional specialty types as needed to meet the medical needs of the member population. Telemedicine may be used to facilitate access to specialists to augment MCO's network or to meet specific needs of a subset of the MCO's membership. If an MCO intends to utilize telemedicine to meet network adequacy requirements, the MCO's telemedicine utilization must be approved by LDH for this purpose.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements. Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on pages 16 and 17. Access criteria for ob/gyns in urban parishes are 15 miles/30 minutes and in rural parishes are 30 miles/60 minutes, as indicated in the Provider Network Companion Guide. Not all applicable members had access to ob/gyns within these parameters. Over 95% of members had access to specialists within 60 miles. All members had access to most specialists within 90 miles, except for access to dermatologists and endocrinologists. The MCO discusses this issue in their annual network plan and gap analysis. They have entered into value based payment arrangements to incent additional specialist to join their	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the 220 report 2019 Q3 Q4. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for: Dermatology (max distance over 90 miles). Endocrinology and metabolism (max distance over 90 miles). Recommendation The MCO should improve access to Dermatology and endocrinology and metabolism specialties.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Dermatology and Endocrinology in urban and rural settings			
			network. Recommendation						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
7.3.4 7.3.4.1 7.3.4.2	Lab and Radiology Services Travel distance shall not exceed 20 miles in urban parishes; and Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles for rural parishes.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements. Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 14. Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to radiology services within 20 and 30 miles, respectively. Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to lab services within 20 and 30 miles, respectively. Lab services are a challenge that the MCO addresses through negotiating for additional access points through Quest and LabCorp. Some providers and national and do not reflect as providers on their GeoAccess reports even though they are providing services to members in LA. Recommendation The MCO should continue to	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the 220 report 2019 Q3 Q4. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes (~88% overall) Recommendation The MCO should improve access to Lab and Radiology Services for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Labs and Radiology in urban and rural settings		

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
7.3.5 7.3.5.1 7.3.5.2	Pharmacies .3 Travel distance shall not exceed 10 miles in urban parishes; and .4 Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles in rural parishes.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements. Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 14. Not all members in urban parishes (97%) had access to pharmacies within 10 miles. All members in rural parishes had access to pharmacies within 30 miles. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to Pharmacies for members in urban parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to Pharmacies in urban and rural settings			
7.3.6	Hemodialysis Centers .3 Travel distance shall not exceed 10 miles in urban areas;	Network Provider	Substantial This requirement is addressed in	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in the	Network Development Plan			
7.3.6.1	.5 Travel distance shall not exceed 10 miles in urban areas;	Development and	This requirement is addressed in		audressed in the	was revised 1/30/2020 to			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 98 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
7.3.6.2	and .4 Travel distance shall not exceed 30 miles in rural areas.	Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	the NPDMP on page 15. Not all members in urban and rural parishes had access to hemodialysis centers within 10 and 30 miles, respectively. About one-fifth of urban members and more than one-third of rural members did not have access to hemodialysis centers within these parameters. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		Network Provider Development Management Plan. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban (~81% met) and rural (~62% met) parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to Hemodialysis centers for members in urban and rural parishes.	include actions to improve access to Hemodialysis Centers in urban and rural settings			
7.3.7 7.3.7.1	Specialized Behavioral Health Providers Travel distance to behavioral health specialists (i.e., psychologists, medical psychologists, APRN Nurse Practitioner or Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS) in mental health, or LCSW's) and to psychiatrists for members living in rural parishes shall not exceed 30 miles or 60 minutes for 90% of such members.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Full						
7.3.7.2	Travel distance to behavioral health specialists (i.e., psychologists, medical psychologists, APRN Nurse Practitioner or CNS in mental health, or LCSW's) and to	Network Provider Development and Management Plan	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 99 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	psychiatrists for members living in urban parishes shall not exceed 15 miles or 30 minutes for 90% of such members.	Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions						
7.3.7.3	Travel distance to psychiatric inpatient hospital services shall not exceed 90 miles or 90 minutes for 90% of members. Maximum time for admission shall not exceed 4 hours (emergency involuntary), 24 hours (involuntary), or 24 hours (voluntary).	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Full					
7.3.7.4	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.3 shall not exceed 30 miles or 60 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission/appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8. None of the members in urban or rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.3 services within 30 miles or 90 minutes. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes. Recommendations The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.3 for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.3 in urban and rural settings		

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 100 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
			member needs and access requirements.						
7.3.7.5	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.5 shall not exceed 30 miles or 60 minutes for 90% of adult members and shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for adolescent members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8. Fewer than 60% of adult and adolescent members had access to ASAM Level 3.5 services within the indicated parameters. Only 13.9% of adolescent members had access to ASAM Level 3.5 services within 60 miles or 90 minutes. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are not met for urban and rural parishes. Recommendations The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.5 for members in urban and rural parishes.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.5 in urban and rural settings			
7.3.7.6	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.7 co-occurring treatment shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximus time for admission or appointment	Network Provider Development and Management Plan	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in report 348.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 101 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	shall not exceed 10 business days.	Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8. Fewer than 30% of members in urban and rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.7 services within 60 miles or 90 minutes. For urban parishes the access rate was 16.8% and for rural parishes it was 27.1%. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		Distance and/or time requirements are met for urban and rural parishes. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to ASAM 3.7 for members in urban and rural parishes.	access to ASAM Level 3.7 in urban and rural settings
7.3.7.7	Travel distance to ASAM Level 3.7WM shall not exceed 60 miles or 90 minutes for 90% of adult members. Maximum time for admission or appointment shall not exceed 10 business days. Withdrawal management shall be available within 24 hours when medically necessary.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Minimal The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on page 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is addressed in the Access to Care Plan on page 8, except for withdrawal management (24-hour) requirement.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are met for urban but not rural parishes. Recommendation The MCO should	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to ASAM Level 3.7WM in urban and rural settings

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			Fewer than 90% of adult members in urban and rural parishes had access to ASAM Level 3.7WM services. For urban parishes the access rate was 16.8% and for rural parishes it was 27.1%. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements.		improve access to ASAM 3.7WM for members in rural parishes.	
7.3.7.8	Travel distance to Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities (PRTF) shall not exceed 200 miles or 3.5 hours for 100% of members. Maximum time for admission shall not exceed 20 calendar days. Access and adequacy is based on availability of in-state PRTFs unless the MCO provides evidence that indicates an out-of-state provider is clinically appropriate to treat the specific needs of the member.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Substantial The distance and time requirements are addressed in the NPDMP on pages 19 and 46. The admission and appointment maximum time is not addressed in any policy. Not all members had access to PRTFs within 200 miles or 3.5 hours. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in report 348. Distance and/or time requirements are not met. Recommendation The MCO should improve access to psychiatric residential treatment facilities (PRTF) for members.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access to PRTF in urban and rural settings. Additionally in Q3 of 2020 PRTF network adequacy reached 100%.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			meets the access requirements.			
			MCO Response: MCO continues outreaching to providers for enrollment efforts in key areas and for provider types needed to meet all member needs and access requirements. We have been working with an organization that has 3 locations in the state in hopes of contracting with them. We will continue our efforts with them! We are also in discussions with an out of state facility for			
			potential contracting, if the need arises. They are in a			
			contiguous state.			
7.3.7.9	Request for exceptions as a result of prevailing community standards for time and distance accessibility standards must be submitted in writing to LDH for approval.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Access and Availability GeoAccess reports Requests for exceptions	Full			
7.3.7.10	There shall be no penalty if the member chooses to travel further than established access standards in order to access a preferred provider. The member shall be responsible for travel arrangements and costs.	Policy for Access standards Member handbook	Full			
7.4.1	Provider to Member Ratios The MCO must demonstrate that their network has a sufficient number of providers and facilities to meet	Network Provider Development and Management Plan	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 104 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	minimum ratio requirements and allow adequate access for members. Adequate ratios of providers to members can be found in the Provider Network Companion Guide.	Policy for Access and Availability Evidence of meeting provider to member ratios						
7.5	Monitoring and Reporting on Provider Networks							
7.5.1 7.5.1.1 7.5.1.2	 Appointment Availability Monitoring The MCO shall have written policies and procedures about educating its provider network about appointment time requirements. The MCO must include their appointment standards in the Provider Manual and shall disseminate appointment standards and procedures to its members and include this information on their website. The MCO is encouraged to include the standards in the provider subcontracts. The MCO is responsible for monitoring and assurance of provider compliance with appointment availability standards and provision of appropriate after-hour coverage. 	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Provider contracts Provider manual/handbook Policy for Access and Availability Policy for Monitoring Provider Compliance with Access Standards Plan website Evidence that monitoring was implemented	Full					
7.5.2 7.5.2.1 7.5.2.2 7.5.2.3	Geographic Availability Monitoring The MCO shall submit quarterly GeoAccess reports documenting the geographic availability of network providers including PCPs, hospitals, pharmacies, and each specialty type listed the Provider Network Companion Guide. The attestation included with this report shall provide narrative identifying any gaps in coverage and the corrective measures that will address them. The data in the quarterly GeoAccess reports shall be current, accurate, and consistent with provider registry data submitted to LDH by the plans as required in the MCO Systems Companion Guide. The MCO report on accessibility shall include assessment of coverage including distance, population density, and provider availability variables. All gaps in coverage must be	GeoAccess reports Communication to LDH/ attestation	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 105 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation	
	identified and addressed in the Network Development Plan.						
7.5.3	Provider to Member Ratios	GeoAccess reports	Full				
7.5.3.1 7.5.3.2	 Quarterly GeoAccess reports shall include analysis of provider-to-member ratios in each geographical area as outlined in this Section and the Provider Network Companion Guide. Member linkages to Primary Care providers shall be submitted to LDH weekly as described in the MCO Systems Companion Guide. 	Communications to LDH					
7.6							
7.6.1	Provider Participation -						
7.6.1.6	 The MCO must offer a Contract to the following providers: Louisiana Office of Public Health (OPH); all OPH-certified School Based Health Clinics (SBHCs); all small rural hospitals meeting the definition in the Rural Hospital Preservation Act of 1997; Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs); Rural Health Clinics (RHCs) (free-standing and hospital based); Clinics and outpatient providers funded under the HRSA administered Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program. The MCO shall make a reasonable effort to contract with all local family planning clinics and providers, including those funded by Title X of the Public Health Services Act services; and All providers approved by the LDH PSH program to provide tenancy and pre-tenancy supports for the Louisiana Permanent Supportive Housing program. Local Governing Entities; Methadone Clinics pending CMS approval; Providers of addiction services for youth and adults at all levels of care (i.e., ASAM Levels 1, 2.1, 2-WM, 3.1, 3.2-WM, 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, 3.7-WM, 4-WM); Providers of Evidenced Based Practices (EBPs), i.e. 	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 106 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	 Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST), Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Homebuilders®; Providers trained to implement specialized behavioral health services for the at-risk youth population age zerp (0) – age six (6) [e.g. Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT), Child-Parent Psychotherapy (CPP) and Parent Management Training (PMT)]; All current Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities (PRTFs) and Therapeutic Group Homes (TGHs); Current LMHPs (Psychologists, LCSW, LPC, LMFT, LAC, APRNs). 							
7.6.1.7	The MCO shall make a good faith effort to execute a contract with significant traditional providers (STPs). In the event an agreement cannot be reached and a STP does not participate in the MCO, the MCO shall maintain documentation detailing efforts that were made.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full					
7.6.1.8	If a current Medicaid provider requests participation in an MCO, the MCO shall make a good faith effort to execute a contract. In the event an agreement cannot be reached and the provider does not participate in the MCO, the MCO has met this requirement; the MCO shall maintain documentation detailing efforts made.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full					
7.6.1.9	The provisions above do not prohibit the MCO from limiting provider participation to the extent necessary to meet the needs of the MCO's members. These provisions also do not interfere with measures established by the MCO to control costs and quality consistent with its responsibilities under this contract nor does it preclude the MCO from using reimbursement amounts that are the greater than the published Medicaid fee schedule for different specialists or for different practitioners in the same specialty [42 CFR 438.12(b)(1)].	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network	Full					
7.6.1.10	If the MCO declines requests of individuals or groups of providers to be included in the MCO network, the MCO	Network Provider Development and	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 107 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	must give the requested providers written notice of the reason for its decision within fourteen (14) calendar days of its decision [42 CFR 438.12(a)(1)].	Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Selection and Retention Evidence of timely notice of denied provider requests for participation Sample notice to providers				
7.6.1.11	The MCO shall work with LDH and other MCOs to convene local/regional forums to explore care coordination and care integration and build partnerships with providers.	Policy for care coordination Meeting/Forum Meetings	Full			
7.6.1.12	The MCO shall comply with any additional requirements established by LDH.					
7.6.2 7.6.2.1	Exclusion from Participation - The MCO shall not execute contracts with individuals or groups of providers who have been excluded from participation in Federal health care programs under either section 1128 or section 1128A of the Social Security Act [42 CFR 438.214(d)] or state funded health care programs. The list of providers excluded from federally funded health care programs can be found at http://exclusions.oig.hhs.gov/ and the System for Award Management, https://www.sam.gov/index.html/ , and Health Integrity and Protection Data Bank at http://www.npdb-hipdb . hrsa.gov/index.jsp.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Credentialing Policy for Provider Selection and Retention	Full			
7.6.2.2 7.6.2.2.1 7.6.2.2.2 7.6.2.2.3 7.6.2.2.4 7.6.2.2.5 7.6.2.2.6	The MCO shall not contract or shall terminate contracts with providers who have been excluded from participation in the Medicare and/or Medicaid program pursuant to Section 1128 (42 U.S.C. §1320a-7) or Section 1156 (42 U.S.C. §1320c-5) of the Social Security Act or who are otherwise barred from participation in the Medicaid and/or Medicare program. This includes providers undergoing any of the following conditions identified through LDH proceedings: .1 Revocation of the provider's home and community-	Policy for Provider Network	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 108 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	based services license or behavioral health service license; 2 Exclusion from the Medicaid program; 3 Termination from the Medicaid program; 4 Withholding of Medicaid reimbursement as authorized by the Department's Surveillance and utilization Review (SURS) Rule (LAC 50:I.Chapter 41); 5 Provider fails to timely renew its home and community-based services license as required by the Home and Community-Based Services providers Licensing Standards Rule (LAC 48:I.Chapter 50); or The Louisiana Attorney General's Office has seized the assets of the service provider.							
7.6.2.3	The MCO shall not remit payment for services provided under this contract to providers located outside of the United States. The term "United States" means the fifty (50) states, the District of Columbia, and any U.S. territories.	Policy for Provider Network	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies submitted for review. However, the RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 4. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.11 Out of Network Provider Payments on page 3. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.11 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.		
7.6.3 7.6.3.1	Other Enrollment and Disenrollment Requirements - The MCO shall not discriminate with respect to participation in the MCO program, reimbursement or	Network Provider Development and Management Plan	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 109 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	indemnification against any provider solely on the provider's type of licensure or certification [42 CFR 438.12(a)(1)] The MCO shall establish and follow a documented process for credentialing and re-credentialing of network providers [42 CFR §438.12(a)(2).In addition, the MCO must not discriminate against particular providers that service high-risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment [42 CFR 438.214(c)].	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Selection and Retention Policy for Provider Credentialing				
7.6.3.2	All providers shall be in compliance with American with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements and provide physical access for Medicaid members with disabilities.	Network Provider Development and Management Plan Policy for Provider Network Provider manual/handbook Policy for Provider Credentialing	Full			
7.6.3.4	If the MCO terminates a provider's contract for cause, the MCO shall provide immediate written notice to the provider within one (1) business day of the decision being made. The notice shall be through electronic means followed by a certified letter mailed within one (1) business day. The MCO shall notify LDH through email prior to provider notification.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Termination Sample notice to providers Sample notice to LDH	Full			
7.6.3.5	If termination affects network adequacy, the MCO shall include in the notification to LDH their plans to notify MCO members of such change and strategy to ensure timely access for MCO members through different in-network and/or out-of network providers. If termination is related to the MCO's operations, the notification shall include the MCO's plan for how it will ensure there will be no stoppage or interruption of services to members.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Termination Sample notice to members	Full			
7.6.3.6	The MCO shall make a good faith effort to give written notice of termination of a contracted provider, within fifteen (15) days after receipt of issuance of the termination notice, to each MCO member who received	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Provider Termination Sample notice to members	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 110 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	his or her care from or was seen on a regular basis by the terminated provider as specified in 42 CFR §438.10(f)(1) within the past two years.	Member Handbook						
7.15.1	The MCO must designate a credentialing committee that uses a peer review process to evaluate provider credentialing files (including re-credentialing files). The credentialing committee, including the Medical Director, is responsible for credentialing decisions and is required to document its steps in the decision process and maintain individual provider files. A physician must oversee the credentialing committee.	P/P for credentialing committee. P/P for credentialing decisions Credentialing committee minutes	New Requirement	Full	This requirement is addressed by QM70 MD Cred Policy111119 and CPC Minutes 0919 .			
7.7	Mainstreaming							
7.7.1	LDH considers mainstreaming of MCO members into the broader health delivery system to be important. The MCO therefore must ensure that all MCO providers accept members for treatment and that MCO providers do not intentionally segregate members in any way from other persons receiving services.	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.		
7.7.2	To ensure mainstreaming of members, the MCO shall take affirmative action so that members are provided covered services without regard to race, color, creed, sex, religion, age, national origin ancestry, marital status, sexual preference, health status, income status, program	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual Member Handbook	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the NPDMP on page 73; however, the specifics of this requirement, for example	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are		

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 111 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	membership, or physical, behavioral, or cognitive disability, except where medically indicated. Examples of prohibited practices include, but are not limited to, the following:		income status and cognitive disability, are not included in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.		are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	needed.			
7.7.2.2	Denying or not providing to a member any covered service or availability of a facility. Providing to a member any covered service which is	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. This requirement is	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed. Recommendation			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 112 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	different, or is provided in a different manner, or at a different time from that provided to other members, other public or private patients, or the public at large.	Provider Handbook/Manual	This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.		addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.
7.7.2.3	Discriminatory practices with regard to members such as separate waiting rooms, separate appointment days, or preference to private pay or Medicaid fee-for-service patients.	Provider contracts Provider Handbook/Manual	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any policy. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 16. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our Network policies accordingly, as we already comply in practice.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 6300.13 Provider Manual. However the edits to the document are dated 7/10/20 which is outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the last review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies regarding provider network.	Recommendation acknowledged. Policy 6300.13 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 113 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
7.7.3	When the MCO becomes aware of a specialized behavioral health provider's failure to comply with mainstreaming, the MCO shall develop a written plan for coming into compliance with the Contract requirement for mainstreaming with the behavioral health provider within thirty (30) calendar days and notify LDH in writing	Policy for provider contracts Provider Contract Provider Handbook	Full			
7.7.4	The MCO shall ensure that providers do not exclude treatment or placement of members for authorized behavioral health services solely on the basis of state agency (DCFS or OJJ, etc.) involvement or referral.	Policy for provider contracts Provider Contract Provider Handbook	Full			
7.8.2.0	Primary Care Provider Responsibilities The MCO must ensure that network Primary Care Providers fulfill their responsibilities including but not limited to the following:					
7.8.2.1	Managing and coordinating the medical and behavioral health care needs of members to assure that all medically necessary services are made available in a timely manner;	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.2	Referring patients to subspecialists and subspecialty groups and hospitals as they are identified for consultation and diagnostics according to evidence-based criteria for such referrals as it is available;	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.3	Communicating with other levels of medical care to coordinate, and follow up the care of individual patients;	Policy for PCP responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.4	Providing the coordination necessary for the referral of patients to specialists and for the referral of patients to services available through fee-for-service Medicaid;	Policy for PCP responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.5	Maintaining a medical record of all services rendered by the PCP and record of referral to other providers and any documentation provided by the rendering provider to the PCP for follow up and/or coordination of care;	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.6	Development of plan of care to address risks and medical needs and other responsibilities as defined in Section 6.33.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 114 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
7.8.2.7	Ensuring that in the process of coordinating care, each enrollee's privacy is protected consistent with the confidentiality requirements in 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164. 45 CFR Part 164 specifically describes the requirements regarding the privacy of individually identifiable health information and all state statutes.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.8	Providing after-hours availability to patients who need medical advice. At minimum, PCP office must have a return call system staffed and monitored in order to assure that the member is connected to a designated medical practitioner within 30 minutes of the call.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.9	Maintaining hospital admitting privileges or arrangements with a physician who has admitting privileges at an MCO participating hospital.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.10	Working with MCO case managers to develop plans of care for members receiving case management services.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.11	Participating in the MCO's case management team, as applicable and medically necessary.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.2.12	Conducting screens for common behavioral issues, including but not limited to depression, anxiety, trauma/adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), and substance use, to determine whether the member needs behavioral health services.	Policy for PCP Responsibilities Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full			
7.8.3 7.8.3.1	Specialty Providers The MCO shall assure access to specialty providers, as appropriate, for all members. The MCO shall assure access standards and guidelines to specialty providers are met as specified in this Section in regard to timeliness and service area.					
7.8.3.2	The MCO provider network shall include participating specialists with pediatric expertise for children/adolescents when the need for pediatric specialty care is significantly different from the need for adult	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access to Specialty Providers GeoAccess reports	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 115 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	specialty care (e.g. a pediatric cardiologist).								
7.8.3.3	The MCO shall ensure access to appropriate service settings for members needing medically high risk perinatal care, including both prenatal and neonatal care.	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access to Specialty Providers GeoAccess reports	Full						
7.8.3.4	The MCO shall establish and maintain a provider network of physician specialists that is adequate and reasonable in number, in specialty type, and in geographic distribution to meet the medical needs of its members (adults and children) without excessive travel requirements. This means that, at a minimum: • The MCO has signed a contract with providers of the specialty types listed in the Provider Network Companion Guide who accept new members and are available on at least a referral basis; and • The MCO is in compliance with access and availability requirements	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access to Specialty Providers GeoAccess reports Evidence of signed contracts with listed specialty provider types	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the NPDMP on page 21 and evidenced by the GeoAccess reports. Recommendation The MCO should continue to enroll providers to ensure it meets the access requirements. MCO Response: The MCO will continue outreaching to providers in an effort of enrollment and contracting in multiple areas and with various provider types and specialties to meet our members needs.	Substantial	This requirement is addressed in section 7.3. Recommendation See recommendations for individual requirements in 7.3.	Network Development Plan was revised 1/30/2020 to include actions to improve access			
7.8.3.5	The MCO shall assure, at a minimum, the availability of the specialists listed in the Provider Network Companion Guide with the ratio, distance, and appointment time requirements set in this Section and in the Provider Network Companion Guide.								
7.8.3.6	The MCO will be required to provide a higher ratio of specialists per member population and/or additional specialist types/member ratios may be established, if it is determined by LDH the MCO does not meet the access standards specified in the Contract. In accordance with 42 CFR §438.208(c)(4), for enrollees	Policy for Provider Network Policy for Access to Specialty Providers Policy for Provider Network	Full						
1.0.3./	iii accordance with 42 CFN 3438.208(C)(4), for enrollees	Policy for Provider Network	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 116 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	determined to need a course of treatment or regular monitoring, the MCO must have a mechanism in place to allow enrollees to directly access a specialist as appropriate for the enrollee's condition and identified needs.	Policy for Access to Specialty Providers Policy for direct access services				
7.8.4 7.8.4.1	Hospitals Hospital services providers must be qualified to provide services under the Medicaid program. All services must be provided in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations and adhere to the requirements set forth in this RFP.					
7.8.4.2 7.8.4.2.1 7.8.4.2.2	The MCO shall include, at a minimum, access to the following: 1 One (1) hospital that provides emergency room services, inpatient, and outpatient care in each parish in the state, provided the parish has such a hospital. 2 MCO must establish access to the following within their network of hospitals: • Level III Obstetrical services; • Level III Neonatal Intensive Care (NICU) services; • Pediatric services; • Trauma services; • Burn services; and • A Children's Hospital that meets the CMS definition in 42CFR, Parts 412 and 413.	Policy for Provider Network GeoAccess reports	Full			
7.8.4.3	The MCO may contract with out-of-state hospitals in the trade area.	Policy for Provider Network GeoAccess reports	Full			
7.8.4.4	If there are no hospitals within the parish that meet these requirements in section 7.8.4.2.1 or a contract cannot be negotiated, the MCO may contract with out-of-state hospitals to comply with these requirements.	Policy for Provider Network GeoAccess reports	Full			
7.8.5	Tertiary Care Tertiary care is defined as health services provided by highly-specialized providers, such as medical subspecialists; these services frequently require complex	Policy for use of out-of- network providers Policy for providing access to tertiary care	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 117 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	technological and support facilities. The MCO shall provide tertiary care services including trauma centers, burn centers, level III (high risk) nurseries, rehabilitation facilities, and medical sub-specialists available twenty-four (24) hours per day. If the MCO does not have a full range of tertiary care services, the MCO shall have a process for providing such services including transfer protocols and arrangements with out-of-network providers.	GeoAccess reports						
7.8.6	Direct Access to Women's Health Care The MCO shall provide direct access to a health specialist(s) in-network for core benefits and services necessary to provide women's routine and preventive health care services. This access shall be in addition to the member's PCP if that provider is not a women's health specialist.	Policy for direct access services	Full					
7.8.6.1	The MCO shall demonstrate its network includes sufficient family planning providers to ensure timely access to covered services.	Policy for direct access services	Full					
7.8.6.2	The MCO shall notify and give each member, including adolescents, the opportunity to use their own PCP or utilize any family planning service provider for family planning services without requiring a referral or authorization. Family planning services shall be available to help prevent unintended or unplanned pregnancies. Family planning services include examinations, assessments and traditional contraceptive devices. The MCO family planning services shall also include preconception and interconception care services for members to optimize member health entering pregnancy. The MCO shall agree to make available all family planning services to MCO members as specified in this RFP.	Policy for direct access services Member Handbook	Full					
7.8.6.3	MCO members shall have the freedom to receive family planning services and related supplies from appropriate Medicaid providers outside the MCO's provider network without any restrictions as specified in 42 CFR	Policy for direct access services Member Handbook	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 118 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	§431.51(b)(2). The out-of-network Medicaid enrolled family planning services provider shall bill the MCO and be reimbursed no less than the Medicaid rate in effect on the date of service. MCO members should be encouraged by the MCO to receive family planning services through the MCO's network of providers to ensure continuity and coordination of the member's total care. No additional reimbursements shall be made to the MCO for MCO members who elect to receive family planning services outside the MCO's provider network.					
7.8.6.5	The MCO shall maintain the confidentiality of family planning information and records for each individual member including those of minor patients.	Policy for Direct Access Services	Full			
7.8.7 7.8.7.1	Prenatal Care Services The MCO shall assist all pregnant members in choosing a pediatrician, or other appropriate PCP, for the care of their newborn babies before the beginning of the last trimester of gestation. In the event that the pregnant member does not select a pediatrician, or other appropriate PCP, the MCO shall provide the member with a minimum of fourteen (14) calendar days after birth to select a PCP prior to assigning one.	Policy for Prenatal Care Services Access Policy for Assignment of PCPs including Auto Assignment	Full			
7.8.8	Other Service Providers The MCO shall ensure the availability of medical service providers including, but not limited to, ambulance services, durable medical equipment, orthotics, prosthetics and certain supplies, and radiology, and laboratories. All services must be provided in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations.	Evidence of availability of other medical service providers	Full			
7.8.10 7.8.10.1	FQHC/RHC Clinic Services The MCO must offer to contract with all FQHCs and RHCs (both freestanding and hospital-based) in the state.	Policy for Provider Network Contracts with FQHC/RHCs	Full			
7.8.11 7.8.11.1	School-Based Health Clinics (SBHCs) SBHC (certified by the LDH Office of Public Health) services are those Medicaid services provided within school					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 119 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	settings to Medicaid eligible children under the age of 21.					
7.8.11.2	The MCO must offer a contract to each SBHC. The MCO may stipulate that the SBHC follow all of the MCO's required policies and procedures.	Policy for Provider Network Contracts with SBHCs	Full			
7.8.13 7.8.13.1	Local Parish Health Clinics The MCO must offer a contract to the Louisiana Office of Public Health (OPH) for the provision of personal health services offered within the parish health units (e.g. immunizations, STI, family planning).	Policy for Provider Network Contract with Louisiana OPH	Full			
7.8.13.2	The MCO shall coordinate its public health-related activities with OPH. Coordination mechanisms and operational protocols for addressing public health issues shall be negotiated with OPH and BHSF (Medicaid) and reflect Louisiana public health priorities. The coordination of activities related to public health will take the form of agreements among the parties which may include policy memos or separate memorandums of understanding signed by OPH, BHSF (Medicaid), and the MCO.	Policy for Provider Network Contract with Louisiana OPH	Full			
7.8.14 7.8.14.1	Specialized Behavioral Health Providers The MCO shall ensure behavioral health services are offered to address the needs of youth with serious emotional disorders, adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI), members with substance use disorders, members with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders and other developmental disorders. This shall include coordination with the Local Governing Entities (LGEs) for the provision of Medicaid services.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.2	The MCO shall ensure its provider network offers a range of preventive and specialized behavioral health services as reflected in the LDH Behavioral Health Provider Manual and meets the network adequacy standards defined in this contract. The provider network shall be adequate for the anticipated number of members for the service area. The service array shall comply with the waivers and Medicaid State Plan requirements.					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 120 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
7.8.14.3	The network shall be developed to meet the needs of members, including but not limited to providing assessment to identify and treat the behavioral health needs of members with past history or current display of aggression, runaway behavior, sexual offenses, or intellectual disability.		Full			
7.8.14.4	The MCO shall design its provider network to maximize the availability of community-based behavioral healthcare that reduces utilization of emergency services when lower cost community-based services are available and eliminates preventable hospital admissions. The MCO shall coordinate with other state agencies, as appropriate, to match services to meet behavioral health needs in the community with services and supports to meet the members other needs in the community, such as I/DD.		Full			
7.8.14.5	The MCO shall design its provider network to increase the emerging use of peers as providers. This includes peers providing services for youth, adults and parents/families served in community and residential settings, peer services as approved by LDH as cost-effective alternative services, and peer support specialists with OBH approved credentials to serve as qualified providers.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.6	The MCO shall ensure that within the provider network, members enrolled in 1915(c) CSoC Home and Community Based waiver services have a choice of behavioral health providers, which offer the appropriate level of care and may change providers in accordance with Medicaid home and community based waiver requirements pertaining to Freedom of Choice.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.7	The MCO shall ensure the provider network has a sufficient number of prescribers and other qualified behavioral health service providers to deliver services during evenings and weekends.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.8	The MCO shall have a fully operational network of behavioral health crisis response providers offering a	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 121 of 210

		Provide	Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	complete array of crisis services, available twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week. Crisis services shall include an on-call, 24-hour crisis hotline, warm line, crisis counseling crisis intervention and follow up, linkage to ongoing behavioral health management and intervention, mobile crisis teams, and crisis stabilization for children. The MCO may also coordinate with community resources to expand the crisis response. The community-based crisis response system may include, but is not limited to, warmlines, mobile crisis teams, collaboration with law enforcement crisis stabilization in alternative settings, and crisis stabilization/crisis receiving centers for adults. If shortages in provider network sufficiency are identified by LDH, the MCO shall conduct outreach efforts approved by LDH, and take necessary actions to assure member access to medically necessary behavioral health services. The MCO shall execute an ad hoc or single case agreement	Network reports				
	when a clinical need or a specialized behavioral health service is identified for a member and no network provider is available to meet that particular need. In such cases, all transportation necessary to receive necessary services will be provided and reimbursed through the MCO, including meals and lodging as appropriate.					
7.8.14.10	The MCO shall require behavioral health providers to screen for basic medical issues.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.11	The MCO shall monitor and support development of local provider capacity for the purpose of identifying and filling gaps in service availability.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.14.12	The MCO shall report the number of out-of-state placements as specified by LDH. LDH may require the MCO to take corrective action in the event LDH determines the MCO's rate of out of state placements to be excessive.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination	Substantial This requirement is not included in any policy provided by the MCO.	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 7100.05 Prior Authorization on page 24	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 122 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
			The monthly behavioral health out-of-state reports evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this language in their policies and procedures. MCO Response:		and monthly behavioral health out-of-state reports.			
			We accept this recommendation and will ensure our policies are updated appropriately.					
7.8.15 7.8.15.1	Indian Health Care providers (IHCPs) The MCO shall demonstrate that there are sufficient IHCPs participating in the provider network of the MCO to ensure timely access to services available under the contract from such providers for Indian members who are eligible to receive services.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full					
7.8.15.2 7.8.15.2.1 7.8.15.2.2 7.8.15.2.3	 The IHCPs, whether participating in the MCO network or not, shall be paid for covered services provided to Indian members who are eligible to receive services from such providers as follows: At a rate negotiated between the MCO and the IHCP; or In the absence of a negotiated rate, at a rate not less than the level and amount of payment that the MCO would make for the services to a participating provider which is not an IHCP; and Make payment to all IHCPs in its network in a timely manner as required for payments to practitioners in individual or group practices under 42 CFR §447.45 and §447.46. 							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 123 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
7.8.15.3	The MCO shall permit any Indian who is enrolled with the MCO and is eligible to receive services from an IHCP primary care provider participating as a network provider, to choose that IHCP as his or her PCP, as long as that provider has capacity to provide the services.		Full			
7.8.15.4	The MCO shall permit Indian members to obtain services covered under the contract from out-of-network IHCPs from whom the member is otherwise eligible to receive such services.		Full			
7.8.15.5 7.8.15.5.1 7.8.15.5.2	Where timely access to covered services cannot be ensured due to few or no IHCPs, the MCO will be considered to have met the requirement in paragraph 42 CFR §438.14 (b)(1) if: 1 Indian members are permitted by the MCO to access out-of-state IHCPs; or 1 If this circumstance is deemed to be good cause for disenrollment from the State's Managed Care Program in accordance with 42 CFR §438.56(c).	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.8.15.6	The MCO shall permit an out-of-network IHCP to refer an Indian member to a network provider.	Policy for provider network Policy for care coordination Network reports	Full			
7.9	Network Provider Development Management Plan					
7.9.1	The MCO shall develop and maintain a Provider Network Development and Management Plan which ensures that the provision of core benefits and services will occur [42 CFR 438.207(b)]. The Network Development and Management Plan shall be submitted to LDH as part of the proposal, as well as when significant changes occur and annually thereafter. The Network Development and Management Plan shall include the MCO's process to develop, maintain and monitor an appropriate provider network that is supported by written agreements and is sufficient to provide adequate access of all required services included in the Contract. When designing the network of providers, the MCO shall consider the	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 124 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	following (42 CFR 438.68):					
7.9.1.1	Anticipated maximum number of Medicaid members;	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.1.2	Expected utilization of services, taking into consideration the characteristics and health care needs of the members in the MCO;	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.1.3	The numbers and types (in terms of training, experience, and specialization) of providers required to furnish Medicaid core benefits and services;	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.1.4	The numbers of MCO providers who are not accepting new MCO members; and	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.1.5	The geographic location of providers and members, considering distance, travel time, the means of transportation ordinarily used by members, and whether the location provides physical access for Medicaid enrollees with disabilities.	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2	The Network Provider Development and Management Plan shall demonstrate access to Services and Benefits as defined in this RFP, access standards in 42 CFR §438.206 and shall include:	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.1	Assurance of Adequate Capacity and Services and supporting documentation that demonstrates that it has the capacity to serve the expected enrollment in its service area in accordance with the state standards for access to care, including the standards at 42 CFR §438.68 and 438.206(b)	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.2	Assurance it offers an appropriate range of preventive, primary care, and specialty services that is adequate for the anticipated number of members in the service area;	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.3	Access to Primary Care Providers	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.4	Access to Specialists	Provider Network	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 125 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
		Development and Management Plan				
7.9.2.5	Access to Hospitals	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.6	Access to Behavioral Health Services	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.7	Timely Access	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.8	Service Area	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.2.9	Other Access Requirements: Direct Access to Women's Health, Special Conditions for Prenatal Providers, Second Opinion Out-of-Network Providers	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.3	The Network Provider Development and Management Plan shall identify gaps in the MCO's provider network and describe the process by which the MCO shall assure all covered services are delivered to MCO members. Planned interventions to be taken to resolve such gaps shall also be included. The MCO shall include the corrective action(s) taken when a network provider fails to comply with timely access requirements.	Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.3.1		Provider Network Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.3.2	Providers specializing in serving individuals with dual diagnosis of behavioral health and developmental	Provider Network Development and	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 126 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	disabilities shall be clearly identified in the provider	Management Plan						
	directory.	Provider Directory						
7.9.4	The MCO shall provide GEO mapping and coding of all network providers for each provider type by the deadline specified in the Schedule of Events, to geographically demonstrate network capacity. The MCO shall provide updated GEO coding to LDH quarterly, or upon material change (as defined in the Glossary) or upon request.	Provider Network Development and Management Plan GeoAccess reports	Full					
7.9.5	The MCO shall develop and implement Network Development policies and procedures detailing how the MCO will [42 CFR 438.214(a)]:							
7.9.5.1	Communicate and negotiate with the network regarding contractual and/or program changes and requirements;	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full					
7.9.5.2	Monitor network compliance with policies and rules of LDH and the MCO, including compliance with all policies and procedures related to the grievance/appeal processes and ensuring the member's care is not compromised during the grievance/appeal processes;	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full					
7.9.5.3	Evaluate the quality of services delivered by the network;	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full					
7.9.5.4	Provide or arrange for medically necessary covered services should the network become temporarily insufficient within the contracted service area;	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full					
7.9.5.5	Monitor the adequacy, accessibility and availability of its provider network to meet the needs of its members, including the provision of care to members with limited proficiency in English; and	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full					
7.9.5.6 7.9.5.7	Process expedited and temporary credentials. Recruit, select, credential, re-credential and contract with providers in a manner that incorporate quality management, utilization, office audits and provider profiling; Provide training for its providers and maintain records of	Policy for Network Development and Management Policy for Network	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 127 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	such training;	Development and Management				
7.9.5.8	Track and trend provider inquiries/complaints/requests for information and take systemic action as necessary and appropriate;	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full			
7.9.5.9	Ensure that provider complaints are acknowledged within 3 business days of receipt; resolve and/or state the result communicated to the provider within 30 business days of receipt (this includes referrals from LDH). If not resolved in 30 days the MCO must document why the issue goes unresolved; however, the issue must be resolved within 90 days.	Policy for Network Development and Management	Full			
7.9.6	An evaluation of the initial Network Provider Development and Management Plan, including evaluation of the success of proposed interventions and any needed revisions, shall be submitted to LDH at the end of the first year of operations and annually thereafter.	Policy for Evaluation of Network Provider Development and Management Plan	Full			
7.9.7	MCO Network Development and Management policies shall be subject to approval by LDH, Medicaid Managed Care Section and shall be monitored through operational audits.	Evidence of submission of policy for Network Development and Management to LDH	Full			
7.9.8	Specialized Behavioral Health Network Development and Management Plan An initial Network Development and Management Plan focusing on specialized behavioral health providers shall be submitted to LDH by November 1, 2015. Thereafter, the Specialized Behavioral Health network shall be included in a distinct section of the overall MCO Network Development and Management Plan which shall be updated at least annually or more often as needed to reflect material changes in network status.	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full			
7.9.8.1	The plan shall contain separate sections for each specialized behavioral health provider type for all covered specialized behavioral health services for both children and adults, and satisfy all service delivery requirements	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 128 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	described in this contract					
7.9.8.2	The MCO's Network Development and Management Plan shall include the following requirements for specialized behavioral health providers: • The methodology the MCO will use for the evaluation of specialized behavioral health providers' ability to perform activities associated with this contract;	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full			
	The numbers and types (in terms of training, experience, and specialization) of specialized behavioral health providers required to furnish the contracted specialized behavioral health services, including providers of specialized services (e.g., DD population, sexual offending behaviors, and early childhood development);	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full			
	GEO mapping and coding of all specialized behavioral health network providers for each specialized behavioral health provider type to geographically demonstrate network capacity. The MCO shall provide updated GEO mapping and coding to LDH quarterly by contract year, upon material change of the network, or upon request;	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full			
	 An annual needs assessment to identify unmet service needs in the specialized behavioral health service delivery system. The needs assessment shall analyze and include: Volume of single case agreements and out-of-network, out-of-state and telemedicine referrals for specialized behavioral health services; Specialized behavioral health service needs of members; and Growth trends in eligibility and enrollment, including:	Policy for network Needs assessment findings	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 129 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	service utilization trends, including prevalent diagnoses, age, gender, and race/ethnicity characteristics of the enrolled population by region; best practice approaches; and network and contracting models consistent with LDH goals and principles.							
	 Accessibility of services, including: The number of current qualified specialized behavioral health service providers by individual specialized behavioral health service in the network who are not accepting new Medicaid referrals and a plan for updating on a regular, reoccurring basis as close to real time as possible; The geographic location of specialized behavioral health providers and members considering distance, travel time, and available means of transportation; Availability of specialized behavioral health services and appointments with physical access for persons with disabilities; and Any service access standards detailed in a SPA or waiver. 	Network development Implementation plan Policy for provider network	Full					
7.9.8.3	 The MCO shall submit to LDH as part of its annual Network Development and Management Plan, and upon request of LDH, specialized behavioral health provider profiling data, which shall include: Member eligibility/enrollment data; Specialized behavioral health service utilization data; The number of single case agreements by specialized behavioral health service type; Specialized behavioral health treatment and functional outcome data; The number of members diagnosed with developmental/cognitive disabilities; The number of prescribers required to meet 	Evidence of submission of network development Plan to LDH Network and development plan	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 130 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	 specialized behavioral health members' medication needs; The efforts given to recruit specialized behavioral health providers and specialty providers to address any unmet need; Provider grievance, appeal and request for arbitration data; and Issues, concerns and requests identified by other state agency personnel, local agencies and community stakeholders. 							
7.9.8.4	 For adults, the MCO shall include in its Network Development and Management Plan strategies for continued transformation of the specialized behavioral health service delivery system into a comprehensive system that: Includes qualified specialized behavioral health service providers and community resources designed and contracted to deliver specialized behavioral healthcare that is strength-based, community-based, and culturally competent; Includes specific specialized behavioral health services for adults eligible for services as defined in this contract; Is of sufficient size and scope to offer members a choice of providers for all covered specialized behavioral health services; Makes uniformly available over time recognized EBPs, best practices and culturally competent services that promote resiliency through nationally recognized integrated service models; and Provides adequate, proactive development and monitoring of community-based options that limit reliance on hospital based services. 	Network development and management plan	Full					
7.9.8.5	For children, the MCO shall include in its Network Development and Management Plan strategies for	Network development and management plan	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 131 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	continued transformation of the specialized behavioral health service delivery system into a comprehensive system that includes the above elements for adults as well as: Includes specific specialized behavioral health services for children; Targets the development of family and community-based services for children/youth in out-of-home placements; Increases access to family and community-based services, optimizing the use of natural and informal supports and reduces reliance on out-of-home placements; and Provides adequate, proactive development and monitoring of in-state regional out-of-home options to serve the needs of youth in the state.							
7.9.8.6	The Network Development and Management Plan shall state that the MCO's provider network meets requirements with regard to cultural competence and linguistics as follows: Cultural competence and linguistic needs, including the member's prevalent language(s) and sign language in accordance with 42 CFR §438.206; Provides effective, equitable, understandable, and respectful quality care and services that are responsive to diverse cultural health beliefs and practices, preferred languages, health literacy, and other communication needs. This shall be achieved by: Collecting member demographic data, including but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, and social class, so that the provider will be able to respond appropriately to the cultural needs of the community being served (note:	Network development and management plan	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 132 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	 members must be given the opportunity to voluntarily disclose this information, it cannot be required); Assessing the cultural competence of the providers on an ongoing basis, at least annually; Assessing member satisfaction of the services provided as it pertains to cultural competence at least annually. Assessment shall capture necessary demographics of the member including, but not limited to, race/ethnicity, age, gender, parish, etc.; Assessing provider satisfaction of the services provided by the MCO at least annually; and Requiring and providing training on cultural competence, including tribal awareness, (or obtaining proof of attendance at other trainings on cultural competence) to MCO staff and behavioral health network providers for a minimum of three (3) hours 					
7.9.8.7	per year and as directed by the needs assessments. The Network Development and Management Plan shall be inclusive of an evaluation of the initial Network Development and Management Plan in each subsequent year, which shall include evaluation of the success of proposed interventions, barriers to implementation, and any needed revisions pertaining to the delivery of specialized behavioral healthcare.	Network development and management plan	Full			
7.11 7.11.1	Material Change to Provider Network The MCO shall provide written notice to LDH, no later than seven (7) business days of any network provider contract termination that materially impacts the MCO's provider network, whether terminated by the MCO or the provider, and such notice shall include the reason(s) for the proposed action. A material change is defined as one which affects, or can reasonably be foreseen to affect, the MCO's ability to meet the performance and network standards as described in the Contract, including but not limited to the following:	Evidence of communications with LDH Policy for provider contracting	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 133 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	 Any change that would cause more than five percent (5%) of members within the service area to change the location where services are received or rendered. A decrease in the total of individual PCPs by more than five percent (5%); A loss of any participating specialist which may impair or deny the members' adequate access to providers; A loss of a hospital in an area where another MCO hospital of equal service ability is not available as required by access standards specified in this RFP; or Other adverse changes to the composition of the MCO which impair or deny the members' adequate access to providers. 					
7.11.2	The MCO shall also submit, as needed, an assurance when there has been a significant change in operations that would affect adequate capacity and services. These changes would include, but would not be limited to, changes in value-added benefits and services, payments, or eligibility of a new population.	Evidence of communication with LDH Policy for Provider network	Full			
7.11.3	When the MCO has advance knowledge that a material change will occur, the MCO must submit a request for approval of the material change in their provider network, including a copy of draft notification to affected members, sixty (60) days prior to the expected implementation of the change.	Request for approval communications Notification to Member	Full			
7.11.4	The request must include a description of any short-term gaps identified as a result of the change and the alternatives that will be used to fill them.	Request for approval	Full			
7.11.5	If LDH does not respond within thirty (30) days the request and the notice are deemed approved. A material change in the MCO's provider network requires thirty (30) days advance written notice to affected members. For emergency situations, LDH will expedite the approval process.					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 134 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
7.11.6	The MCO shall notify the LDH/BHSF/Medicaid Managed Care Section within one (1) business day of the MCO becoming aware of any unexpected changes (e.g., a provider becoming unable to care for members due to provider illness, a provider dies, the provider moves from the service area and fails to notify the MCO, or when a provider fails credentialing or is displaced as a result of a natural or man-made disaster) that would impair its provider network [42 CFR §438.207(c)]. The notification shall include: Information about how the provider network change will affect the delivery of covered services, and The MCO's plan for maintaining the quality of member care, if the provider network change is likely to affect the delivery of covered services.	Notification to LDH Policy for provider network	Full					
7.11.7	MCO's shall give hospitals and provider groups ninety (90) days' notice prior to a contract termination without cause. Contracts between the MCO and single practitioners are exempt from this requirement.	Provider contracts Policy for provider contracting	Full					
7.11.8 7.11.8.1	As it pertains to a material change in the network for behavioral health providers, the MCO shall also: 1 Provide written notice to LDH, no later than seven (7) business days of any behavioral health network provider contract termination that materially impacts the MCO's provider network, whether terminated by the MCO or the provider, and such notice shall include the reason(s) for the proposed action. Material changes in addition to those noted in Section 7.11 include: A decrease in a behavioral health provider type by more than five percent (5%); A loss of any participating behavioral health specialist which may impair or deny the members' adequate access to providers; or A loss of a hospital or residential treatment in an area	Evidence of notifications Policy for provider network	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 135 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	where another provider of equal service ability is not available as required by access standards approved by LDH.					
7.11.8.2	The MCO shall provide or arrange for medically necessary covered services should the network become temporarily insufficient within a service area.	Policy for provider network	Full			
7.11.8.3 7.11.8.3.1	 When the MCO has advance knowledge that a material change will occur to its network of behavioral health providers, the MCO must submit a written request for approval of the material change in their provider network to LDH, including a copy of draft notification to affected members, sixty (60) calendar days prior to the expected implementation of the change. The request must include a description of any short-term gaps identified as a result of the change and the alternatives that will be used to fill them, including: Detailed information identifying the affected provider; Demographic information and number of members currently served and impacted by the event or material change, including the number of Medicaid members affected by program category; Location and identification of nearest providers offering similar services; and A plan for clinical team meetings with the member, his/her family/caregiver, and other persons requested by the member and/or legal guardian to discuss available options and revise the service plan to address any changes in services or service providers. 	Request for approval letter	Full			
7.11.8.4	If a provider loss results in a material gap or behavioral health network deficiency, the MCO shall submit to LDH a written plan with time frames and action steps for correcting the gap or deficiency within thirty (30) calendar days that includes the transitioning of members to appropriate alternative behavioral health service providers	Written plan Policy for provider network	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 136 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
	in accordance with the network notification requirements.							
7.11.8.5	The MCO shall track all members transitioned due to a subcontract's suspension, limitation, termination, or material change to ensure behavioral health service continuity and provide member information as requested by LDH (e.g., name, Title XIX or Title XXI status, date of birth, services member is receiving or will be receiving, name of new provider, date of first appointment, and activities to re-engage persons who miss their first appointment with the new provider).	Tracking report Policy for service coordination	Minimal The MCO provided the network development plan in support of this requirement, however the required language was not found. The MCO states that no suspensions or terminations occurred during the review period that would trigger the reporting requirements contained in this element. Recommendation The MCO should include the required language in their policies and procedures. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will ensure our policies are updated appropriately.	Minimal	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 7000.40 Member Transition on page 8. However the policy document was edited with this information on 5/11/20 or 7/1/20 which is after the review period. Recommendation The recommendation is unchanged from the prior review. The MCO should include the required language in their policies and procedures.	Recommendation acknowledged. 7000.40 was updated 7/01/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.		
7.12	Coordination with Other Service Providers		aparea appropriately.					
7.12.0	The MCO shall encourage network providers and subcontractors to cooperate and communicate with other service providers who serve Medicaid members. Such other service providers may include: Head Start programs; Healthy Start programs; Nurse Family Partnership; Early Intervention programs; Aging and Disability Councils; Areas on Aging; and school systems. Such cooperation may include performing annual physical examinations for schools and the sharing of information (with the consent of the enrollee).	Policy for Coordination with Other Service Providers	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 137 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
7.13	Provider Subcontract Requirements								
7.13.2.2	The MCO provider selection policies and procedures must not discriminate against particular providers that serve high-risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment.	Policy for Network Management Policy for Provider Selection and Retention	Full						
7.14	Credentialing and Re-credentialing of Providers and Clinical	Staff							
7.14.1	The MCO must have a written credentialing and recredentialing process that complies with 42 CFR §438.12, §438.206, §438.214, §438.224, §438.230 and NCQA health plan Accreditation Standards for the review, credentialing and re-credentialing of licensed, independent providers and provider groups with whom it contracts or employs and with whom it does not contract but with whom it has an independent relationship. An independent relationship exists when the MCO selects and directs its members to see a specific provider or group of providers. These procedures shall be submitted to LDH within sixty (60) calendar days after contract amendment, when a change is made, and annually thereafter by contract year.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Credentialing Policy and Procedure Development Amendment on page 1; however, the timeliness requirement is not included in this policy. The requirement is also addressed in the Practitioner Credentialing, Recredentialing Policy on page 1; however, this language was added after the review period on May 2019. As such, the timeliness requirement is not included in any policy submitted for review. Recommendation The MCO should include the timeliness requirement in their policies. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will ensure the policy is	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA QM 54 Practitioner Credentialing Recredentialing Amendment. This addresses the prior recommendation.				
7.14.1.1	Prior to contracting, the MCO shall credential providers to	Policy for provider	updated appropriately. Substantial	Full	This requirement is				
	ensure provider facilities, organizations, and staff meet all	contracting	This requirement is addressed in		addressed in A-LA				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 138 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
7442	qualifications and requirements established by LDH including but not limited to the Medicaid Behavioral Health Provider Manual, state and federal laws, and rules and regulations for all specialized behavioral health providers. MCO credentialing files on providers shall include verification of meeting said requirements. This shall include that agencies offering mental health rehabilitation services (CPST, PSR and/or CI), Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), PRTFs, TGHs and SUD residential treatment facilities to supply proof of accreditation by an LDH approved accrediting body, which shall be made part of the agency's credentialing file with the MCO. Agencies not accredited at the time of credentialing shall supply proof that the agency applied for accreditation and paid the initial application fee Agencies must present proof of full accreditation within eighteen (18) months following the initial contracting date with the MCO. Specialized behavioral health provider types required to be accredited by rule, regulation, waiver or State Plan Amendment (SPA) prior to contracting or prior to receiving Medicaid reimbursement, shall have proof of accreditation on file with the MCO. LDH approved national accrediting bodies include: • The Council on Accreditation (COA); • The Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF); or • The Joint Commission (TJC).		the Non-Traditional Provider Credentialing Policy on pages 2 and 3; however, this language was added after the review period on May 2019. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Non-Traditional Provider Credentialing Policy to include this requirement for review in the next cycle. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will ensure the policy is finalized with the appropriate language.		8100.32A Non- Traditional Provider Credentialing.			
7.14.2	The MCO shall use the Louisiana Standardized Credentialing Application Form (Appendix F) or Council for Affordable Quality Healthcare (CAQH) standardized credentialing form. The MCO must allow providers to use CAQH if available for their provider type.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Includes Credentialing/Recredentialing File Review	Full					
7.14.3	The MCO shall utilize the current NCQA Standards and Guidelines for the Accreditation of MCOs for the	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 139 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	credentialing and re-credentialing of licensed independent providers and provider groups with whom it contracts or employs and who fall within its scope of authority and action.					
7.14.4	If the MCO has NCQA health plan Accreditation those credentialing policies and procedures shall meet LDH's credentialing requirements.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Full			
7.14.5	The MCO shall completely process credentialing applications from all types of provider types within sixty (60) calendar days of receipt of a completed credentialing application, including all necessary documentation and attachments, and a signed provider agreement. "Completely process" shall mean that the MCO shall:	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Policy for subcontractor delegation and requirements Credentialing subcontractor contract Includes Credentialing/Recredentialing File Review	Full			
7.14.5.1	Review, approve and load approved applicants to its provider files in its claims processing system; and	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Full			
7.14.5.2	Submit on the weekly electronic Provider Directory to LDH or LDH's designee; or	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Provider Directory Evidence of submission of the Provider Directory	Full			
7.14.5.3	Deny the application and assure that the provider is not used by the MCO.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Full			
7.14.6	If the MCO has delegated credentialing to a subcontractor, there shall be a written description of the delegation of credentialing activities within the contract. The MCO must require that the subcontractor provide assurance that all licensed medical professionals are credentialed in accordance with LDH's credentialing requirements.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Delegation Contracts	Full			
7.14.7	The MCO shall not delegate credentialing of specialized behavioral health providers unless approved by LDH in advance.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA 8100.32A Non-	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 140 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			submitted for review. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 19. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement regarding credentialing of specialized BH providers in its credentialing and recredentialing policies. MCO Response: We accept this recommendation and will update our policies accordingly.		Traditional Provider Credentialing Amendment for Administered Plans pages 2 and 3.	
7.14.8	To the extent the MCO has delegated credentialing agreements in place with any approved delegated credentialing agency, the MCO shall ensure all providers submitted to the MCO from the delegated credentialing agent is loaded to its provider files and into its claims processing system within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Full			
7.14.9	The MCO shall notify LDH when the MCO denies a provider credentialing application for program integrity-related reasons or otherwise limits the ability of providers to participate in the program for program integrity reasons.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Practitioner Credentialing, Recredentialing Policy on page 1; however, this language was added after the review period in May 2019. The RCA contract states that the subcontractor will notify MCO, not LDH, on page 19.	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA QM 54 Practitioner Credentialing Recredentialing Amendment. This addresses the prior recommendation.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 141 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation		
7.14.10	The process of periodic re-credentialing shall be completed at least once every three (3) years.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Practitioner Credentialing, Recredentialing Policy to include this requirement for review in the next cycle. MCO Response: We will ensure the policies are updated appropriately. Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Practitioner Credentialing, Recredentialing Policy on page 1; however, this language was added after the review period in May 2019. The RCA contract evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 19. Recredentialing File Review Results Five (5) of five (5) files were completed for recredentialing within three years. Recommendation The MCO should finalize the Practitioner Credentialing, Recredentialing Policy for review in the next cycle. MCO Response:	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA QM 54 Practitioner Credentialing Recredentialing Amendment. This addresses the prior recommendation.			

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
			We will ensure the policy is finalized with the updated language.			
7.14.11	The MCO shall develop and implement policies and procedures for approval of new providers, and termination or suspension of providers to assure compliance with the Contract. The policies and procedures should include but are not limited to the encouragement of applicable board certification.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies submitted for review. The MCO does have policies and procedures for approval of providers, and termination or suspension of providers that evidence the implementation of this requirement. Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: We will update our policies to ensure the requirement language is added in accordance with our practice of the requirement.	Full	This requirement is addressed by A-LA QM 54 Practitioner Credentialing Recredentialing Amendment. The MCE indicates they follow NCQA procedures and the NCQA procedures include encouragement of applicable board certification.	
7.14.12	The MCO shall develop and implement a mechanism, subject to LDH approval, for reporting quality deficiencies which result in suspension or termination of a network provider/ subcontractor(s). This process shall be submitted for review and approval thirty (30) days from the date the Contract is signed and at the time of any change.	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Policy for reporting provider quality deficiencies Documented process for reporting quality deficiencies resulting in suspension or termination	Full			
7.14.13	The MCO shall develop and implement a provider dispute and appeal process, with LDH's approval, for sanctions, suspensions, and terminations imposed by the MCO	Policy for credentialing & recredentialing Policy for provider dispute	Substantial This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies	Full	This requirement is addressed in A-LA QM 54 Practitioner	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 143 of 210

	Provider Network Requirements								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation			
	against network provider/contractor(s) as specified in the Contract. This process shall be submitted for review and approval thirty (30) days from the date the Contract is signed and at the time of any change.	and appeal process Documented provider dispute and resolution process for sanctions, suspensions and terminations Evidence of timely process submission	submitted for review. However, the MCO does have policies and processes for provider dispute (Provider Dispute Resolution Policy), provider complaints (Provider Complaints Policy), provider appeals (Provider Appeals Policy), and sanctions and terminations (Provider Network Voluntary and Involuntary Terminations Policy) that evidence the implementation of this requirement. During onsite interviews, The MCO described how provider grievances go through their regular queue process. Non claims disputes such as quality of care issues go out to the relevant team. There are different entry points for issues based on type ie grievance v compliance. Etc Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: We will update our policies to ensure the requirement language is added in accordance with our practice of the		Credentialing Recredentialing Amendment and Provider Disputes policy.				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health

	Provider Network Requirements									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation				
			requirement.							
7.14.14	The State reserves the right to contract with a single Credential Verification Organization (CVO). If this option is pursued, MCOs and their subcontractors shall agree to use the CVO for the credentialing and recredentialing of all participating providers. The MCO will be given at least 90 days' notice before implementation of any CVO contract.									
7.16	Provider-Member Communication Anti-Gag Clause									
7.16.1	Subject to the limitations in 42 CFR §438.102(a)(2), the MCO shall not prohibit or otherwise restrict a health care provider acting within the lawful scope of practice from advising or advocating on behalf of a member, who is a patient of the provider, regardless of whether the benefits for such care or treatment are provided under the Contract, for the following:	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full							
7.16.1.1	The member's health status, medical care, or treatment options, including any alternative treatment that may be self-administered;	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full							
7.16.1.2	Any information the member needs in order to decide among relevant treatment options;	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full							
7.16.1.3	The risks, benefits and consequences of treatment or non-treatment; and	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full							
7.16.1.4	The member's right to participate in decisions regarding their health care, including, the right to refuse treatment, and to express preferences about future treatment decisions.	Policy for Communication of Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts	Full							
7.16.1.5	Any MCO that violates the anti-gag provisions set forth in 42 U.S.C §438.102(a)(1) shall be subject to intermediate sanctions.									
7.16.1.6	The MCO shall comply with the provisions of 42 CFR	Policy for Communication of	Substantial	Substantial	This requirement is	Recommendation				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 145 of 210

		Provide	r Network Requirements			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.12, 438.102, 438.206, 438.207, 438.208, 438.210, 438.214, 438.230)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation
	§438.102(a)(1)(ii) concerning the integrity of professional advice to members, including interference with provider's advice to members and information disclosure requirements related to physician incentive plans.	Anti-gag Clause Provider Handbook/Manual Provider contracts Member Handbook	This requirement is not addressed in any of the policies submitted for review. The RCA contract partially evidences the implementation of this requirement on page 7; however, does not explicitly indicate "information disclosure requirements related to physician incentive plans." Recommendation The MCO should include this requirement in its policies. MCO Response: We accept the recommendation and will update our policies accordingly.		addressed in A-LA 1400.04 Value Based Solutions Pay for Quality Program on page 11. However the policy revision was made outside the review period. Recommendation The recommendation remains unchanged from the prior review. The MCO should include this requirement in its policies.	acknowledged. Policy 1400.04 was updated 7/10/2020 with annual review scheduled for 7/2021 or as changes are needed.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 146 of 210

Utilization Management

Othization Ma		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
8.1	General Requirements					
8.1.1	The MCO shall develop and maintain policies and procedures with defined structures and processes for a Utilization Management (UM) program that incorporates Utilization Review and Service Authorization.	Policy for UM Evidence of timely submission of Policy for UM	Substantial This requirement is partially addressed in the Prior Authorization Policy, page 12 and the Concurrent Review/OBS Care Policy, pages 6-7. The MCO states that policies for 2019 were reviewed and submitted to LDH on 6/2/19. There was no evidence of transmission provided, and furthermore, there was no evidence that policies from 2018 (9/12 months of the review period) were submitted to LDH. During the interview on-site, the MCO stated that despite having updated their policy, they did not recall sending the updated policy to LDH. Recommendation The MCO should ensure that UM policies and procedures are submitted to LDH for timely approval. MCO Response: Aetna Better Health of Louisiana will submit policies and procedures to the state as required no later than 30 days from final approval.	Full	This requirement is addressed through the submitted suite of UM policies. Proof of submission to LDH "MCO Policies" email address is also submitted with documentation.	
8.1.2	The UM Program policies and procedures shall meet all NCQA standards and include medical management criteria and practice guidelines that:					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 147 of 210

			Utilization Management								
Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action						
Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals;	Policy for UM	Full									
Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field;	Policy for UM	Full									
Are considerate of the needs of the members; and	Policy for UM	Full									
Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate.	Policy for UM	Full									
The policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to:											
The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services;	Policy for UM	Full									
The data sources and clinical review criteria used in decision making;	Policy for UM	Full									
The appropriateness of clinical review shall be fully documented;	Policy for UM	Full									
The process for conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations;	Policy for UM	Full									
Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and compatible decisions;	Policy for UM	Full									
Data collection processes and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services;	Policy for UM	Full									
Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and proprietary information;	Policy for UM	Full									
Service authorization criteria for specialized behavioral	Policy for UM Policy for Coordination of services	Full									
Collaborating with OJJ, DCFS and schools to coordinate the discharge and transition of children and youth in out-of-home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to reentry into the community, including the referral to necessary providers or a WAA if indicated;	Policy for UM Policy for Coordination of services	Full									
	Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals; Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field; Are considerate of the needs of the members; and Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate. The policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services; The data sources and clinical review criteria used in decision making; The appropriateness of clinical review shall be fully documented; The process for conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations; Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and compatible decisions; Data collection processes and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services; Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and proprietary information; Service authorization criteria for specialized behavioral health services that are consistent with the Medicaid State Plan; Collaborating with OJJ, DCFS and schools to coordinate the discharge and transition of children and youth in out-of-home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to reentry into the community, including the referral to necessary providers or a WAA if indicated;	Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals; Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field; Are considerate of the needs of the members; and Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate. The policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services; The data sources and clinical review criteria used in decision making; The appropriateness of clinical review shall be fully documented; The process for conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations; Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and compatible decisions; Data collection processes and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services; Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and proprietary information; Service authorization criteria for specialized behavioral health services that are consistent with the Medical State Plan; Collaborating with OJJ, DCFS and schools to coordinate the discharge and transition of children and youth in out-of-home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to reentry into the community, including the referral to necessary providers or a WAA if indicated;	Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals; Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field; Are considerate of the needs of the members; and Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate. Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate. The policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services; The data sources and clinical review shall be fully documented; The process for conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations; Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and compatible decisions; Data collection processes and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services; Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and proprietary information; Service authorization criteria for specialized behavioral health services that are consistent with the Medicald State Plan; Collaborating with OJI, DCFS and schools to coordinate the discharge and transition of children and youth in out-of-home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to recentry into the community, including the referral to necessary providers or a WAA if indicated;	Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals; Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field; Are considerate of the needs of the members; and Policy for UM Full Are reviewed annually and updated periodically as appropriate. The policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services; The data sources and clinical review criteria used in decision making; The protess for conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations; Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and proprietary information; Policy for UM Full Policy for Coordination of services and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services. Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and proprietary information; Policy for UM Full Policy fo	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.430) Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals; Are objective and based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field; Are reconsiderate of the needs of the members; and Are received annually and updated periodically as appropriate. The methodology utilized to evaluate the medical necessity, appropriateness, efficacy, or efficiency of health care services; The data sources and clinical review shall be fully documented; The propriateness of clinical review shall be fully documented; The propriateness of conducting informal reconsiderations for adverse determinations; Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and compatible decisions; Data collection processes and analytical methods used in assessing utilization of health care services; Provisions for assuring confidentiality of clinical and propried and procedure of the propriate of the reconsistent with the Medicaid State Plain; Collaborating with OJJ, DCFs and schools to coordinate the discharge and transition of children and youth in out of home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and the discharge and transition of children and youth in out of home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and refers and competed are desired for the discharge and transition of children and youth in out of home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and the discharge and transition of hildren and youth in out of home placement for the continuance of prescribed medication and reference professions and process or with a find discate; Position for the members; and a procedure in the part of the procedure of the members; and the plain of the discharge and transition of children and youth in out of home placement for the continuance of prescribed members and the plain of the plant and placement for the continuance of prescribed mem						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 148 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	inpatient facilities to coordinate aftercare planning prior to discharge and transition of members for the continuance of behavioral health services and medication prior to reentry into the community, including referral to community providers;	Policy for Coordination of services				
8.1.3.11	Collaborating with the Department of Corrections and criminal justice system in Louisiana to facilitate access to and/or continuation of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to reentry into the community, including referral to community providers; and	Policy for UM Policy for Coordination of services	Full			
8.1.3.12	Collaborating with nursing facilities in Louisiana to coordinate the discharge and transition of members into the community for continuance of prescribed medication and other behavioral health services prior to re-entry into the community, including referral to community providers.	Policy for UM Policy for Coordination of services	Full			
8.1.4	The MCO shall coordinate the development of clinical practice guidelines with other LDH MCOs to avoid providers receiving conflicting practice guidelines from different MCOs.	Policy for UM Policy for guideline development coordination Policy for guideline research, selection, adoption, review, update, & update schedule Sample adopted guidelines	Full			
8.1.5	The MCO shall disseminate the practice guidelines to all affected providers and, upon request, to members and potential members.	Policy for UM Policy for guideline dissemination Sample adopted guidelines	Full			
8.1.5.1	The MCO shall take steps to require adoption of the clinical practice guidelines by subcontracted specialized behavioral healthcare providers, and to measure compliance with the guidelines, until such point that ninety percent (90%) or more of the providers consistently achieve eighty percent (80%) compliance,	Provider contracts Compliance reports	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 149 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	based on MCO measurement findings. The MCO should employ substantive provider motivational incentive strategies, such as financial and non-financial incentives, to improve compliance.					
8.1.6	The MCO must identify the source of the medical management criteria used for the review of service authorization requests, including but not limited to:	Policy for UM Policy for medical management criteria	Full			
8.1.6.1	The vendor must be identified if the criteria was purchased;	Policy for UM Policy for medical management criteria	Full			
8.1.6.2	The association or society must be identified if the criteria are developed/recommended or endorsed by a national or state health care provider association or society;	Policy for UM Policy for medical management criteria	Full			
8.1.6.3	The guideline source must be identified if the criteria are based on national best practice guidelines; and	Policy for UM Policy for medical management criteria	Full			
8.1.6.4	The individuals who will make medical necessity determinations must be identified if the criteria are based on the medical training, qualifications, and experience of the MCO medical director or other qualified and trained professionals.	Policy for UM Policy for medical management criteria	Full			
8.1.7	UM Program medical management criteria and practice guidelines shall be posted to the MCO's website. If the MCO uses proprietary software that requires a license and may not be posted publicly according to associated licensure restrictions, the MCO may post the name of the software only on its website. Upon request by an enrollee, their representative, or LDH, the MCO must provide the specific criteria and practice guidelines utilized to make a decision and may not refuse to provide such information on the grounds that it is proprietary. Decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply should be consistent with the guidelines.	Policy for UM Policy for guideline dissemination	Full			
8.1.8	The MCO shall have written procedures listing the	Policy for UM	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 150 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	information required from a member or health care provider in order to make medical necessity determinations. Such procedures shall be given verbally to the covered person or health care provider when requested. The procedures shall outline the process to be followed in the event the MCO determines the need for additional information not initially requested.	Policy for required information Policy for additional information				
8.1.9	The MCO shall have written procedures to address the failure or inability of a provider or member to provide all the necessary information for review. In cases where the provider or member will not release necessary information, the MCO may deny authorization of the requested service(s) within two (2) business days.	Policy for UM	Full			
8.1.10 8.1.10.1 8.1.10.2	The MCO shall have sufficient staff with clinical expertise and training to apply service authorization medical management criteria and practice guidelines. The MCO shall provide UM staff specifically assigned to: Specialized behavioral health services, and PSH to ensure appropriate authorization of tenancy services.	Policy for UM Staffing plan	Full			
8.1.11	The MCO shall use LDH's medical necessity definition as defined in LAC 50:I.1101 (Louisiana Register, Volume 37, Number 1) for medical necessity determinations. The MCO shall make medical necessity determinations that are consistent with the State's definition.	Policy for UM	Full			
8.1.13	The MCO must identify the qualification of staff who will determine medical necessity.	Policy for UM Staffing plan	Full			
8.1.14	Determinations of medical necessity must be made by qualified and trained practitioners in accordance with state and federal regulations.	Policy for UM	Full			
8.1.15	The MCO shall ensure that only licensed clinical professionals with appropriate clinical expertise in the treatment of a member's condition or disease shall determine service authorization request denials or authorize a service in an amount, duration or scope that	Policy for UM Includes UM File Review	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 151 of 210

	Utilization Management									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action				
	is less than requested.									
8.1.16	The individual(s) making these determinations shall have no history of disciplinary action or sanctions; including loss of staff privileges or participation restrictions, that have been taken or are pending by any hospital, governmental agency or unit, or regulatory body that raise a substantial question as to the clinical peer reviewer's physical, mental, or professional or moral character.	Policy for UM	Full							
8.1.17	The individual making these determinations is required to attest that no adverse determination will be made regarding any medical procedure or service outside of the scope of such individual's expertise.	Policy for UM	Full							
8.1.18	The MCO shall provide a mechanism to reduce inappropriate and duplicative use of health care services. Services shall be sufficient in an amount, duration, and scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished and that are no less than the amount, duration or scope for the same services furnished to eligibles under the Medicaid State Plan. The MCO shall not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration or scope of required services solely because of diagnosis, type of illness or condition of the member. The MCO may place appropriate limits on a service on the basis of medical necessity or for the purposes of utilization control (with the exception of EPSDT services), provided the services furnished can reasonably be expected to achieve their purpose in accordance with 42 CFR §438.210.	Policy for UM	Full							
8.1.21		Policy for UM	Full							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 152 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
8.4	Service Authorization					
8.4.1	Service authorization includes, but is not limited to, prior authorization, concurrent authorization and post authorization.	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2	The MCO UM Program policies and procedures shall include service authorization policies and procedures consistent with 42 CFR §438.210, 42 CFR §441 Subpart D, state laws and regulations, Medicaid State Plan and waivers, and the court-ordered requirements of <i>Chisholm v. Gee and Wells v. Gee</i> for initial and continuing authorization of services that include, but are not limited to, the following:	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2.1	Written policies and procedures for processing requests for initial and continuing authorizations of services, where a service authorization member's request is for the provision of a service if a provider refuses a service or does not request a service in a timely manner;	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2.2	Mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions and consultation with the requesting provider as appropriate;	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2.3	Requirement that any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested is made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease;	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2.4	Provide a mechanism in which a member may submit,	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.2.5	The MCO's service authorization system shall provide the authorization number and effective dates for authorization to participating providers and applicable non-participating providers; and	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 153 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
8.4.2.6	The MCO's service authorization system shall have capacity to electronically store and report the time and date all service authorization requests are received, decisions made by the MCO regarding the service requests, clinical data to support the decision, and time frames for notification of providers and members of decisions.	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.3	The MCO shall not deny continuation of higher level services (e.g., inpatient hospital) for failure to meet	Policy for UM Policy for service authorization	Full			
8.4.4	Not later than July 1, 2018, the MCO shall utilize a common hospital observation policy that is developed and maintained collectively by MCO personnel with approval of LDH. The common hospital observation policy shall be reviewed annually by the MCOs in its entirety. Any revisions shall be reviewed and approved by LDH at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to implementation.	Policy for prior authorization Policy for UM	Full			
8.4.5	The MCO shall perform prior authorization and concurrent utilization review for admissions to inpatient general hospitals, specialty psychiatric hospitals in Louisiana or out-of-state or state mental hospitals.	Policy for prior authorization Policy for UM	Full			
8.4.5.1	The MCO shall ensure that inpatient psychiatric hospital and concurrent utilization reviews are completed by an LMHP for each enrollee referred for psychiatric admissions to general hospitals. The MCO shall comply with the requirements set forth in the Inpatient Psychiatric Services Rule [Louisiana Register, Vol. 21, No. 6, Page 575].	Policy for UM	Full			
8.4.5.2	Concurrent utilization reviews are administrative in nature and should not be reported to LDH in encounter data. These reviews are not considered prior authorizations because inpatient reimbursement is not edited against the utilization review prior to payment.	Policy for UM	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 154 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	Also, there are instances where individuals personally presenting at the inpatient psychiatric hospital may be admitted by hospital staff. However, LDH does reserve the right to recoup reimbursement when concurrent utilization reviews fail to document medical necessity for the inpatient psychiatric treatment.					
8.4.5.3	Concurrent utilization review includes: Provision of Emergency Inpatient Hospital Psychiatric Screen: A concurrent utilization review screening for inpatient services following the sudden onset of severe psychiatric symptoms, which could reasonably be expected to make the individual harmful to self or others if not immediately under psychiatric treatment. The individual is in crisis and not currently in a place of safety. If the individual presents in a hospital, where they will not be hospitalized due to not having a psychiatric unit or trained psychiatric personnel, then the utilization screen would be emergent, as the person needs to be seen right away to determine appropriate treatment. The referral from the MCO for an Emergency Inpatient Psychiatric Hospital Screen shall be made immediately. The screen to determine appropriate treatment shall be completed within one hour after request is received by an emergency room for post- stabilization treatment or three hours after receipt of the request in other circumstances. If psychiatric residential treatment is recommended, in lieu of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization, the procedures specified below should be utilized. Provision of an Urgent Inpatient Hospital Psychiatric Screen: A concurrent utilization review screening is initiated if the individual meets one criterion specified on the state approved screening form and is currently in a place of safety. If the member presents in a hospital,	Policy for UM Evidence of timely submissions Notification communication to member/provider	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 155 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	where they will not be hospitalized due to not having a psychiatric unit or trained psychiatric personnel, then the utilization screen would be emergent and follow the protocols and timeframes specified above. If the member presents at a hospital with a psychiatric unit or trained psychiatric personnel, and is admitted by the treating physician, then it will be classified as an urgent screen. The referral from the MCO for an Urgent Inpatient Psychiatric Hospital Screen shall be made within 24 hours after the referral and full medical information is received by MCO. The screen to determine appropriate treatment shall be completed within 24 hours of the MCO's referral after the referral and full medical information is received by MCO. If psychiatric residential treatment is recommended, in lieu of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization, due to concerns regarding the safety of a child/youth, the procedures specified above should be utilized. Upon completion of the Inpatient Psychiatric Hospital Concurrent Utilization Review, if the inpatient admission is approved, the MCO shall notify the provider and individual requesting the screen of the results in writing within 48 hours of receipt of the request by the MCO. If denied, the MCO shall notify the individual requesting the screen immediately, and within 48 hours of receipt of the request by the MCO provide written notification of the results to the provider and individual requesting the					
	screen. The notification shall include whether or not an alternative community services plan is appropriate, the right of the member to appeal and the process to do so.					
8.4.6	Certification of Need (CON) for PRTFs					
8.4.6.1	The MCO shall comply with the requirements set forth at 42 CFR §441 Subpart D.					
8.4.6.2	The MCO shall ensure LMHPs are included in the team	Policy for Service utilization	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 156 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	responsible for certification and recertification of PRTF services in Louisiana. This shall include a face-to-face assessment by an LMHP or a telephonic/video consultation with an LMHP who has had a face-to-face interview with the child/youth, in addition to the recommendations of a team specified at 42 CFR §441.154.	Policy for Certification/recertification				
8.4.6.3	The MCO may use an LMHP/team composed of the MCO's staff or the MCO may subcontract with an LMHP. To ensure the team has knowledge of the ambulatory resources available to the youth and the youth's situation, the MCO shall ensure that the team is assembled by a subcontract in the child's/youth's parish of residence or adjacent parish (if not in state custody) or the child's/youth's parish or adjacent parish of responsibility (if in state custody).	Policy for UM LMHP Subcontract	Full			
8.4.6.4	Recertification shall occur every sixty (60) calendar days. For the PRTF screens to be complete, the team shall meet and rule out other community based options. This does not apply to other inpatient screens.	Policy for certification	Full			
8.4.6.5	 In addition to certifying the need, the MCO shall: Be responsible for tracking the member's authorization period for PRTF stays and providing notification to the responsible party when a recertification is due. Ensure that PRTF certification, including the independent certification, are forwarded to the admitting facility. Upon completion of the screen, if the PRTF is approved, within 48 hours the MCO shall notify in writing the provider requesting the certification of the results, the member/guardian and, with member guardian consent, the referring party requesting the PRTF services on behalf of the youth. If approved, the MCO shall, in consultation with the member's guardian and referring party, locate a 	Policy for certification Tracking report Policy for UM Hospital reports	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 157 of 210

		Utilization	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	PRTF provider appropriate to meet the member's needs with availability to admit the member. If denied, the MCO shall notify the provider requesting the certification immediately and within 48 hours provide written notification to the provider requesting the certification of the results, the member/guardian and, with the member/guardian consent, the referring party requesting the PRTF services on behalf of the youth. The notification shall include: information on alternative community services that may meet the member's needs to ensure health and safety, including information on available providers of those services, the right of the member to appeal, and the process to do so. For youth pending release from a secure setting for whom a PRTF is being requested, the MCO is required to coordinate the completion of the screen and the CON prior to the youth's release if it is anticipated that the youth will be re-linked to the MCO following release. Generate a prior authorization for each PRTF admission within 48 hours of completion of the screen. Accurately determine admissions and discharges to PRTFs and perform PRTF-specific eligibility functions. Work with the Medicaid FI to determine retroactive eligibility and assignment, when applicable. Maintain near real time bed utilization/availability and manage a waiting list for PRTF placement including out-of-state replacements.					
8.5	Timing of Service Authorization Decisions					
8.5.1	Standard Service Authorization					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 158 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
8.5.1.1	The MCO shall make eighty percent (80%) of standard service authorization determinations within two (2) business days of obtaining appropriate medical information that may be required regarding a proposed admission, procedure, or service requiring a review determination, with the exception of authorizations for CPST and PSR services for which the standard for determination is within five (5) calendar days of obtaining appropriate medical information. All standard service authorization determinations shall be made no later than fourteen (14) calendar days following receipt of the request for service.	Policy for UM Policy for standard service authorization	Full			
8.5.1.1.1 8.5.1.1.1.1 8.5.1.1.1.2	 The service authorization decision may be extended up to fourteen (14) additional calendar days if: The member, or the provider, requests the extension; or The MCO justifies (to LDH upon request) a need for additional information and how the extension is in the member's interest. 		Full			
8.5.1.2	The MCO shall make ninety-five percent (95%) of concurrent review determinations within one (1) business day and ninety-nine point five percent (99.5%) of concurrent review determinations within two (2) business days of obtaining the appropriate medical information that may be required.	Policy for UM Policy for concurrent review determinations	Full			
8.5.2	Expedited Service Authorization					
8.5.2.1	In the event a provider indicates, or the MCO determines, that following the standard service authorization timeframe could seriously jeopardize the member's life or health or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function, the MCO shall make an expedited authorization decision and provide notice as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires, but no later than seventy-two (72) hours after receipt of the request for service.	Policy for UM Policy for expedited service authorization	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 159 of 210

	Utilization Management									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action				
8.5.2.2	The MCO may extend the seventy-two (72) hour time period by up to fourteen (14) calendar days if the member or if the MCO justifies to LDH a need for additional information and how the extension is in the member's best interest.	Policy for UM Policy for post authorization	Full							
8.5.3	Post Authorization									
8.5.3.1	The MCO shall make retrospective review determinations within thirty (30) days of obtaining the results of any appropriate medical information that may be required, but in no instance later than one hundred, eighty (180) days from the date of service.	Policy for UM Policy for post authorization	Full							
8.5.3.2	The MCO shall not subsequently retract its authorization after services have been provided or reduce payment for an item or service furnished in reliance upon previous service authorization approval, unless the approval was based upon a material omission or misrepresentation about the member's health condition made by the provider.	Policy for UM Policy for post authorization	Full							
8.5.4	Timing of Notice									
8.5.4.1	Notice of Action									
8.5.4.1.1	Approval [Notice of Action]									
8.5.4.1.1.1	For service authorization approval for a non-emergency admission, procedure or service, the MCO shall notify the provider verbally or as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires but not more than one (1) business day of making the initial determination and shall provide documented confirmation of such notification to the provider within two (2) business days of making the initial certification.	Policy for UM Policy for notice timing Includes UM File Review	Full							
8.5.4.1.1.2	For service authorization approval for extended stay or additional services, the MCO shall notify the provider rendering the service, whether a health care professional or facility or both, and the member receiving the service, verbally or as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires but not more than one (1) business	Policy for UM Policy for notice timing	Full							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 160 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	day of making the initial determination and shall provide documented confirmation of such notification to the provider within two (2) business days of making the initial certification.					
8.54.1.2	Adverse [Notice of Action]					
8.5.4.1.2.1	The MCO shall notify the member, in writing using	Policy for UM	Full			
0.5.4.1.2.1	language that is easily understood by the member, of decisions to deny a service authorization request, to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that	Policy for notice timing Includes UM File Review				
	is less than requested, and/or any other action as defined in Section 13 of this RFP. The notice of action to members	includes of File Review				
	shall be consistent with requirements in 42 CFR §438.404 and 42 CFR §438.210 and Section 12 of this RFP for member written materials.					
8.5.4.1.2.2	The MCO shall notify the requesting provider of a	Policy for UM	Full			
	decision to deny an authorization request or	Policy for notice timing				
	reauthorization or to authorize or reauthorize a service in					
	an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested.	Includes UM File Review				
	The MCO shall notify the provider rendering the service,					
	whether a health care professional or facility or both,					
	verbally or as expeditiously as the member's health					
	condition requires but not more than one (1) business					
	day of making the initial determination and shall provide					
	documented confirmation of such written notification to					
	the provider within two (2) business days of making the					
	initial certification.					
8.5.4.1.3	Informal Reconsideration	D. II. C. 111.6	- "			
8.5.4.1.3.1	As part of the MCO appeal procedures, the MCO should	Policy for UM	Full			
	include an Informal Reconsideration process that allows	Policy for informal				
	the member (or provider/agent on behalf of a member) a	reconsideration				
	reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and					
	allegations of fact or law, in person as well as in writing.	2 11 6 111	- "			
8.5.4.1.3.2	In a case involving an initial determination or a	Policy for UM	Full			
	concurrent review determination, the MCO should	Policy for informal				
	provide the member or a provider acting on behalf of the	reconsideration				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 161 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	member and with the member's written consent an					
	opportunity to request an informal reconsideration of an					
	adverse determination by the physician or clinical peer					
	making the adverse determination [(§438.402(b)(ii)].					
8.5.4.1.3.3	The informal reconsideration should occur within one (1)	Policy for UM	Full			
	working day of the receipt of the request and should be	Policy for informal				
	conducted between the provider rendering the service	reconsideration				
	and the MCO's physician authorized to make adverse	Policy for notice timing				
	determinations or a clinical peer designated by the	Includes Informal				
	medical director if the physician who made the adverse determination cannot be available within one (1) working	Consideration File Review				
	day.	Consideration File Review				
8.5.4.1.3.4	The Informal Reconsideration will in no way extend the	Policy for UM	Full			
	thirty (30) day required timeframe for a Notice of Appeal	Policy for informal				
	Resolution.	reconsideration				
		Policy for notice timing				
8.5.4.2	Exceptions to Requirements					
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require service authorization for	Policy for UM	Full			
	emergency services or post-stabilization services as	Policy for exceptions				
	described in this Section whether provided by an in-					
8.5.4.2	network or out-of-network provider.	Delieu fearling	Full			
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require hospital service authorization for non-emergency inpatient admissions for normal	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	ruii			
	newborn deliveries.	rolley for exceptions				
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require service authorization or	Policy for UM	Full			
0.012	referral for EPSDT screening services.	Policy for exceptions				
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require service authorization for the	Policy for UM	Full			
	·	Policy for exceptions				
	new member transitioning into the MCO, regardless of					
	whether such services are provided by an in-network or					
	out-of-network provider, however, the MCO may require					
	prior authorization of services beyond thirty (30) calendar					
	days.					
8.5.4.2	The MCO is prohibited from denying prior authorization	Policy for UM	Full			
	solely on the basis of the provider being an out-of-	Policy for exceptions				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 162 of 210

Utilization Management									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	network provider for the first 30 days of a newly enrolled member's linkage to the plan.								
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require a PCP referral (if the PCP is not a women's health specialist) for access to a women's health specialist contracted with the MCO for routine and preventive women's healthcare services and prenatal care.	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	Full						
8.5.4.2	The MCO shall not require a PCP referral for in-network eye care and vision services.	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	Full						
8.5.4.2	The MCO may require notification by the provider of Obstetrical care at the time of the first visit of the pregnancy.	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	Full						
8.5.4.2	The MCO may require notification by the provider of Obstetrical admissions exceeding forty-eight (48) hours after vaginal delivery and ninety-six (96) hours after caesarean section.	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	Full						
8.5.4.2	The MCO may require notification by the provider of inpatient emergency admissions within one (1) business day of admission.	Policy for UM Policy for exceptions	Full						
8.11	Medical History Information								
8.11.1	The MCO is responsible for eliciting pertinent medical record information from the treating health care provider(s), as needed and/or as requested by LDH, for purposes of making medical necessity determinations.	Policy for UM	Full						
8.11.2	The MCO shall take appropriate action when a treating health care provider does not cooperate with providing complete medical history information within the requested timeframe.	Policy for UM Provider Manual/Handbook Provider contracts	Full						
8.11.3	Providers who do not provide requested medical information for purposes of making medical necessity determinations, for a particular item or service, shall not be entitled to payment for the provision of such item or service.	Policy for UM Provider Manual/Handbook Provider contracts	Full						
8.11.4	Should a provider fail or refuse to respond to the MCO's request for medical record information, at the MCO's	Policy for UM Provider	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 163 of 210

		Utilizatio	n Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.210, 438.236, 438.420, 438.404)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	discretion or directive by LDH, the MCO shall, at a	Manual/Handbook				
	minimum, impose financial penalties against the provider as appropriate.	Provider contracts				
8.12	PCP and Behavioral Health Provider Utilization and Qualit	y Profiling				
8.12.1	The MCO shall profile its PCPs and specialized behavioral health providers (including but not limited to addiction, mental health, and residential providers) and analyze utilization data to identify utilization and/or quality of care issues.	PCP/BN profiling report	Full			
8.12.2	The MCO shall investigate and intervene, as appropriate, when utilization and/or quality of care issues are identified.	Policy for UM	Full			
8.13	Court-Ordered Assessment, Treatment, and Placement wl	nich Challenge Medical Necess	ity Determination and Defensible Length	s of Stay		
8.13.1	All court-ordered Medicaid behavioral health services are subject to medical necessity review. In order to be eligible for payment, the service must be medically necessary and a covered benefit/service, as determined by the MCO within Louisiana Medicaid's medical necessity definition and are subject to medical necessity review.	Evidence of timely submission of profile reports	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 164 of 210

Quality Management

Quarty Manag	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
14.1	Quality Assessment and Performance Improve	ment Program (QAPI)							
14.1.1	The MCO shall establish and implement a Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) program, as required by 42 CFR §438.330(a)(1), to:								
14.1.1.2	Objectively and systematically monitor and evaluate the quality and appropriateness of care and services and promote improved patient outcomes through monitoring and evaluation activities;	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.3	Incorporate improvement strategies that include, but are not limited to: performance improvement projects; medical record audits; performance measures; Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles or continuous quality improvement activities; member and/or provider surveys; and activities that address health disparities identified through data collection.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.4	Detect and address underutilization and overutilization of services	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.6	The MCO shall establish a quality improvement strategy which includes at least three (3) non-medically indicated procedures for either prior authorization or nonpayment in specific populations. Multiple medical specialty recommendations on appropriate utilization of services can be found at www.choosingwisely.org/. The strategy will be reviewed and approved by LDH prior to initial implementation and prior to implementation of significant changes, defined as adding or deleting a procedure, to the strategy.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.7	The MCO shall reduce underutilization of services in areas including, but not limited to	QAPI Program Description	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 165 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	HIV and Syphilis screening in pregnant women, use of long acting reversible contraceptives, appropriate pain management approaches in patients with sickle cell disease, and behavioral therapy for ADHD and other disorders for children under age 6.	QAPI Work Plan							
14.1.8	The MCO shall reduce overutilization of services and medications through policies such as, but not limited to, prior authorization for prescription of ADHD drugs to children younger than six years of age.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.9	The MCO shall assess the quality and appropriateness of care furnished to enrollees with special health care needs.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.10	The MCO shall promote the Louisiana Medicaid Electronic Health Records (EHR) Incentive Payment Program to further expand adoption and support contracted participating providers through provider education in the collection and reporting on CMS electronic Clinical Quality Measures.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.11	The MCO shall collect data on race, ethnicity, primary language, disability, and geography (i.e., urban/rural). As part of the QAPI program description the MCO shall include the methodology utilized for collecting the data, as well as any interventions taken to enhance the accuracy of the data collected. The MCO shall have the ability to report all performance measures stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, disability, and geography at the request of LDH.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						
14.1.12	The QAPI Program's written policies and procedures shall address components of effective healthcare management and define processes for ongoing monitoring and	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 166 of 210

	Quality Management									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action				
	evaluation that will promote quality of care. High risk and high volume areas of patient care should receive priority in selection of QAPI activities.									
14.1.13	The QAPI Program shall define and implement improvements in processes that enhance clinical efficiency, provide effective utilization, and focus on improved outcome management achieving the highest level of success.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full							
14.1.15	The MCO's governing body shall oversee and evaluate the impact and effectiveness of the QAPI Program. The role of the MCO's governing body shall include providing strategic direction to the QAPI Program, as well as ensuring the QAPI Program is incorporated into the operations throughout the MCO.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Full							
14.1.16	The MCO shall have sufficient mechanisms in place to solicit feedback and recommendations from key stakeholders, members and their families/caregivers, and providers and use feedback and recommendations to improve performance.	Feedback reports QAPI work plan	Full							
14.1.17	The MCO shall disseminate information about findings and improvement actions taken and their effectiveness to LDH and other key stakeholders as directed by LDH.	Evidence of submission to LDH	Full							
14.1.18	The MCO shall increase the alignment of assessment and treatment with best practice	Clinical guidelines for ADHD Provider education Provider manual	Full Full							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 167 of 210

	Quality Management									
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action				
	the clinical competence and quality and appropriateness of care/services provided to members.	oversight Peer review reports								
14.1.20	The MCO shall participate in the LDH Interdepartmental Monitoring Team (IMT) meetings and other quality improvement-related meetings/workgroups, as directed by LDH.	IMT meeting minuets	Full							
14.1.21	The MCO shall report the percentage of members who are receiving behavioral health services whose clinical functioning is assessed over time (via clinician and/or member/family ratings on standardized tools, and/or measurable functional outcomes) to measure positive outcomes of service delivered. At a minimum, this will include children receiving CSoC services and EBPs.	BH utilization reports P/P BHUM Outcome measures and evidence that was shared with LDH	Full							
14.1.21.1 14.1.21.2	.1 For members for whom outcomes are assessed, the MCO shall report on the number and percentage of members who show improved functioning with treatment, as well as the amount of improvement. .2 In addition, the MCO shall develop a strategy to increase the use of outcome measurements for all members receiving specialized behavioral health services; the strategy will be due to LDH-OBH on an annual base.	Outcome measures and results BH outcome measures and evidence shared with LDH	Full							
14.2	QAPI Committee									
14.2.1	The MCO shall form a QAPI Committee that shall, at a minimum include:									
14.2.1.1	QAPI Committee Members The MCO Medical Director must serve as either the chairman or co-chairman;	QAPI Program Description Composition of QAPI Committee	Full							
14.2.1.2	The MCO Behavioral Health Director;	QAPI Program	Full							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 168 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
		Description Composition of QAPI Committee				
14.2.1.3	Appropriate MCO staff representing the various departments of the organization will have membership on the committee;	QAPI Program Description Composition of QAPI Committee	Full			
14.2.1.4	The MCO is encouraged to include a member advocate representative on the QAPI Committee; and	QAPI Program Description Composition of QAPI Committee	Full			
14.2.1.5	The MCO shall include LDH representative(s) on the QAPI Committee, as designated by LDH as non-voting member(s).	QAPI Program Description Composition of QAPI Committee	Full			
14.2.2	QAPI Committee Responsibilities The committee shall meet on a quarterly basis. Its responsibilities shall include:	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan QAPI Committee Description including roles and responsibilities	Full			
14.2.2.1	Direct and review quality improvement (QI) activities;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.2	Assure than QAPI activities take place throughout the MCO;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.3	Review and suggest new and or improved QI activities;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.4	Direct task forces/committees to review areas of concern in the provision of healthcare services to members;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.5	Designate evaluation and study design procedures;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.6	Conduct individual PCP and LMHP and practice quality performance measure profiling;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.7	Report findings to appropriate executive	QAPI Program	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 169 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	authority, staff, and departments within the MCO;	Description				
14.2.2.8	Direct and analyze periodic reviews of members' service utilization patterns;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.9	Maintain minutes of all committee and sub- committee meetings and submit meeting minutes to LDH;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.10	Report an evaluation of the impact and effectiveness of the QAPI program to LDH annually. This report shall include, but is not limited to, all care management services;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.11	Ensure that the QAPI committee chair attends LDH quality meetings; and	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.2.12	Update provider manuals and other relevant clinical content on a periodic basis as determined by the committee chairperson.	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.3	QAPI Work Plan The QAPI Committee shall develop and implement a written QAPI plan which incorporates the strategic direction provided by the governing body. The QAPI plan shall be submitted to LDH within thirty (30) days after the effective date of the contract and annually thereafter, and prior to implementation of revisions. The QAPI plan, at a minimum, shall:	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan Evidence of timely submission of the written QAPI plan	Full			
14.2.3.1	Reflect a coordinated strategy to implement the QAPI Program, including planning, decision making, intervention and assessment of results;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.3.2	Include processes to evaluate the impact and effectiveness of the QAPI Program;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.3.3	Include a description of the MCO staff assigned to the QAPI Program, their specific training, how they are organized, and their responsibilities;	QAPI Program Description	Full			
14.2.3.4	Describe the role of its providers in giving input	QAPI Program	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 170 of 210

	Quality Management							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	to the QAPI Program; and	Description						
14.2.3.5	Be exclusive to Louisiana Medicaid and shall not contain documentation from other state Medicaid programs or product lines operated by the MCO.	QAPI Program Description	Full					
14.2.3.6	Describe the methods for ensuring data collected and reported to LDH is valid, accurate, and reflects providers' adherence to clinical practice guidelines as appropriate.	QAPI program description	Full					
14.2.3.7	Include a fidelity monitoring plan which at a minimum includes the fidelity criteria for each applicable service/provider type, sampling approach, data collection methods, tools to be used, frequency of review, and validation methods.	Monitoring plan	Full					
14.2.4 14.2.4.1	 QAPI Reporting Requirements The MCO shall submit QAPI reports annually to LDH which, at a minimum, shall include: Quality improvement (QI) activities; Recommended new and/or improved QI activities; and Results of the evaluation of the impact and effectiveness of the QAPI program. 	QAPI Program Description	Full					
14.2.4.3	The MCO shall provide data reports, including but not limited to ad-hoc reports and reports for special populations (e.g., DCFS/OJJ, nursing home populations), to LDH using the specifications and format approved by LDH. The MCO shall submit the reports based on the agreed upon dates established by the MCO and LDH.	QAPI Program Description	Full					
14.2.5 14.2.5.1	Performance Measures The MCO shall report on performance measures listed in Attachment E and in accordance with the timeline and format specified in the MCO Quality Companion	HEDIS IDSS results PM results	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 171 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	Guide.					
14.2.5.2	The MCO shall have processes in place to monitor and self-report all performance measures.	P/P performance measures Final audit report	Full			
14.2.5.3	The data shall demonstrate adherence to clinical practice guidelines and improvement in patient outcomes.	P/P performance measurement	Full			
14.2.5.4	The MCO shall utilize systems, operations, and performance monitoring tools and/or automated methods for monitoring.	P/P QAPI program description	Full			
14.2.5.5	The tools and reports shall be flexible and adaptable to changes in the quality measurements required by LDH.	P/P QAPI program description	Full			
14.2.5.6	The MCO shall maintain integrity, accuracy, and consistency in data reported. Upon request, the MCO shall submit to LDH detail sufficient to independently validate the data reported.	QAPI Program Description QAPI Work Plan	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the LA_MCO_14_QM 2019 Program Description. A pre-onsite review of the annual 17P measure, as well-as the monthly ITM measures identified variance in monthly versus annual denominators of high risk pregnant women with prior preterm birth. Aetna states the following: "There are historical issues with rate calculation. This is a state measure. Aetna's QI Department did an audit of 17P calculation. Modifications to the logic have been made. Going forward, Aetna is using the Inovalon HEDIS product to eliminate this variance." Recommendation: Aetna should move forward with its remediated process and monitor this	Full	This requirement is addressed in the Quality Assessment Performance Improvement 2020 Program Description on page 17. Aetna has also addressed the last review's identification of the variance in denominators for the annual 17P measure in the Initiation of Injectable Progesterone for Preterm Birth Prevention Policy.	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 172 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			MCO Response: Aetna plans to build a process for validating and verifying data integrity to ensure accurate outcomes. Aetna will also put a regular audit process in place to monitor accuracy. A system such as Inovalon will be utilized to build non-hedis measures or custom measures to eliminate variance. This has been discussed with Aetna corporate as			
14.2.5.7 14.2.5.7.1	Incentive Based Performance Measures Incentive Based (IB) measures are measures that may affect PMPM payments and can be identified in Attachment E annotated with "\$\$".	HEDIS results – incentive measures	part of HEDIS project activities. Full			
14.2.5.7.2	Based on an MCO's Performance Measure outcomes for CYE 12/31/2015, a maximum of \$22,25000,000 (\$250,000 per measure) in October following the measurement CY will be withheld from payment if specified performance measures fall below LDH's established benchmarks for improvement.					
14.2.5.7.3	LDH expressly reserves the right to modify existing performance IB measures. Any changes in the Incentive Based performance measures will require an amendment to the Contract and LDH will provide six (6) months' notice of such change.	P/P Performance measures	Not Applicable This is a state function.			
14.2.5.8 14.2.5.8.1	Performance Measures Reporting The MCO shall utilize systems, operations, and performance monitoring tools and/or automated methods for monitoring.	HEDIS results IDSS submission Final audit report				
14.2.5.8.2	The tools and reports will be flexible and		Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 173 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	adaptable to changes in the quality measurements required by LDH.					
14.2.5.8.3	The MCO shall have processes in place to monitor and self-report performance measures as specified in Section 14.2.5 Performance Measures.	P/P performance measures	Full			
14.2.5.9	Beginning in 2018, the MCO shall submit audited HEDIS results to NCQA according to NCQA's HEDIS data submission timeline for health plans to submit final Medicaid HEDIS results (typically June 15 of each calendar year).	P/P performance measures	Full			
14.2.8 14.2.8.1	Performance Improvement Projects The MCO shall establish and implement an ongoing program of Performance Improvement Projects (PIP) that focuses on clinical and non- clinical performance measures as specified in 42 CFR §438.330.	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting minutes	Full			
14.2.8.2	The MCO shall perform two (2) LDH-approved PIPs listed in Appendix DD – Performance Improvement Projects for the initial three-year term of the contract. LDH may require up to two (2) additional projects for a maximum of four (4) projects.	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting minutes	Full			
14.2.8.2.1	Effective 2/1/16, the MCO shall perform a minimum of one (1) additional LDH-approved behavioral-health PIP each contract year.	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting minutes	Full			
14.2.8.3	Performance Improvement Projects shall be designed to achieve, through ongoing measurements and intervention, significant improvement sustained over time, with favorable effects on health outcomes and enrollee satisfaction. Each project must involve	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 174 of 210

Quality Management							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action	
	 the following: Measurement of performance using objective quality indicators; Implementation of interventions to achieve improvement in the access to and quality of care; Evaluation of the effectiveness of the interventions; and Planning and initiation of activities for increasing or sustaining improvement 						
14.2.8.4	increasing or sustaining improvement. Within three (3) months of the execution of the Contract and at the beginning of each Contract year thereafter, the MCO shall submit, in writing, a general and a detailed description of each Performance Improvement Project to LDH for approval. The detailed description shall include: • An overview explaining how and why the project was selected, the status of the PIP, and its relevance to the MCO members and providers; • The study question; • The study population; • The quantifiable measures to be used, including the baseline and goal for improvement; • Baseline methodology; • Data sources; • Data collection methodology and plan; • Data collection plan and cycle, which must be at least monthly; • Results with quantifiable measures; • Analysis with time period and the measures covered; • Explanation of the methods to identify opportunities for improvement; and	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting minutes	Substantial With the exception of the IET PIP, Aetna has met all PIP requirements. During the review period, there were two IET PIP components with a determination of Not Met: 2c. Objectives did not align aim and goals with interventions, and 4a. Susceptible subpopulations were not identified using claims data on performance measures, and stratified by demographic and clinical characteristics. Also during the review period, there was no primary contact person indicated on the IET PIP. Recommendation: Aetna should address these issues in their next PIP submission. MCO Response: Aetna has met with IPRO and LDH on 9/3/19 to discuss and clarify goals,	Full	This requirement is addressed in the proposal report of Aetna Better Health's PIP, the 2020 Justice Involved SWOT document, and the PIP report checklist submitted on March 13, 2020.		

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 175 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	An explanation of the initial interventions to be taken.		objectives and interventions. Aetna is in the process of revising the IET PIP to meet all requirements. The revision will be reflected in the next submission. Aetna will utilize claims data on performance measures to identify the appropriate population. A primary contact person has been assigned to lead the IET PIP.						
14.2.8.5	PIPs used to measure performance improvement shall include diagrams (e.g. algorithms and/or flow charts) for monitoring and shall: • Target specific conditions and specific health service delivery issues for focused system-wide and individual practitioner monitoring and evaluation; • Use clinical care standards and/or practice guidelines to objectively evaluate the care the MCO delivers or fails to deliver for the targeted clinical conditions; • Use appropriate quality indicators derived from the clinical care standards and/or practice guidelines to screen and monitor care and services delivered; • Implement system interventions to achieve improvement in quality, including a (PDSA) cycle; • Evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions; • Provide sufficient information to plan and initiate activities for increasing or sustaining improvement; • Monitor the quality and appropriateness of care furnished to enrollees with special	PIP proposal/reports P/P performance input projects PIP meeting minutes	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 176 of 210

	Quality Management							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	 health care needs; Reflect the population served in terms of age groups, disease categories, and special risk status, Ensure that multi-disciplinary teams will address system issues; Include objectives and quantifiable measures based on current scientific knowledge and clinical experience and have an established goal benchmark; Validate the design to assure that the data to be abstracted during the QI project is accurate, reliable and developed according to generally accepted principles of scientific research and statistical analysis, and Maintain a system for tracking issues over time to ensure that actions for 							
14.2.10 14.2.10.1	improvement are effective. Member Satisfaction Surveys The MCO shall conduct annual Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Subsystems (CAHPS) surveys and methodology to assess the quality and appropriateness of care to members.	CAHPS report	Full					
14.2.10.2 14.2.10.3	The MCO shall enter into an agreement with a vendor that is certified by NCQA to perform CAHPS surveys. The MCO's vendor shall perform CAHPS Adult surveys; and CAHPS Child surveys, including the Children with Chronic Conditions survey supplement.	CAHPS Vendor contract	Full					
14.2.10.4	Survey results and a description of the survey process shall be reported to LDH separately for each required CAHPS survey. CAHPS survey results are due with all other performance measures. The CAHPS survey results shall be reported to	CAHPS report CAHPS data file	Full Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 177 of 210

	Quality Management							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	LDH or its designee for each survey question. These results may be used by LDH for public reporting. Responses will be aggregated by LDH or its designee for reporting. The survey shall be administered to a statistically valid random sample of clients who are enrolled in the MCO at the time of the survey.							
14.2.10.6	The surveys shall provide valid and reliable data for results.	Evidence CAHPS vendor was used	Full					
14.2.10.7	Analyses shall provide statistical analysis for targeting improvement efforts and comparison to national and state benchmark standards.	CAHPS reports	Full					
14.2.10.8 14.2.10.8.1 14.2.10.8.2 14.2.10.8.3 14.2.10.8.4 14.2.10.8.5	The most current CAHPS Health Plan Survey (currently 5.0) for Medicaid Enrollees shall be used and include: .1 Getting Needed Care, .2 Getting Care Quickly, .3 How Well Doctors Communicate, .4 Health Plan Customer Service, .5 Global Ratings.	CAHPS reports	Full					
14.2.10.9	The MCO's vendor shall perform a LDH-approved behavioral health survey to be standardized across the MCOs. The survey results shall be reported to LDH on an annual basis.	P/P Behavioral health survey Timeline for BH survey administration BH survey results, if administered	Full					
14.4	Health Plan Accreditation							
14.4.1	The MCO must attain health plan accreditation by NCQA. If the MCO is not currently accredited by NCQA, the MCO must attain accreditation by meeting NCQA accreditation standards.	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Full					
14.4.2	The MCO's application for accreditation must	Accreditation	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 178 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	be submitted at the earliest point allowed by the organization. The MCO must provide LDH with a copy of all correspondence with NCQA regarding the application process and the accreditation requirements.	Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited				
14.4.3	The MCO shall provide LDH with a copy of its most recent accreditation review including:	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Full			
14.4.3.1	Accreditation status, survey type, and level (as applicable);	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Full			
14.4.3.2	Accreditation results, including recommended actions or improvements, corrective action plan, and summaries of findings; and	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Full			
14.4.3.3	Expiration date of the accreditation.	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Full			
14.4.4	Achievement of provisional accreditation status shall require a CAP within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Report from NCQA. Failure to obtain full NCQA accreditation and to maintain the accreditation thereafter shall be considered a breach of the Contract and shall result in termination of the Contract.	Accreditation Status including copy of accreditation report if accredited	Not Applicable Aetna had full accreditation.			
14.5	Member Advisory Council					
14.5.1	The MCO shall establish a Member Advisory	Member Advisory	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 179 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	Council to promote collaborative effort to enhance the service delivery system in local communities while maintaining member focus and allow participation in providing input on policy and programs.	Council Plan Member Advisory Council Composition Member Advisory Council Description including roles and responsibilities							
14.5.2	The Council is to be chaired by the MCO's Administrator/CEO/COO or designee and will meet at least quarterly.	Member Advisory Council Plan Composition of Member Advisory Council	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Member Advisory Committee charter. Aetna provided QAPI meeting minutes that reference the MAC and states that minutes were not kept. Recommendation: Aetna should keep minutes of its MAC meetings. MCO Response: Aetna has started keeping minutes of it's MAC meetings and they will be reported regularly to QMOC for documentation and tracking.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the quarterly 2019 Member Advisory Committee meeting minutes. Additionally, Aetna Better Health reported the following: "Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Q1 & Q2 2020 MAC meetings were canceled, the requirement was waived by LDH, and no minutes were taken. Minutes for future MAC meetings will be written and posted on the ABHLA website."				
14.5.3	Every effort shall be made to include a broad representation of both members/families/significant others, member advocacy groups and providers that reflect the population and community served. At least one family member/caregiver of a child with special health care needs shall have representation on the committee. Members/families/significant others and member advocacy groups shall make up at least fifty per cent (50%) of the membership.	Member Advisory Council Plan Member Advisory Council Composition	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 180 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
14.5.4.	The MCO shall provide an orientation and ongoing training for Council members so they have sufficient information and understanding to fulfill their responsibilities.	Member Advisory Council Plan	Substantial This requirement is addressed in The Member Advisory Committee charter. Aetna provided QAPI meeting minutes that reference the MAC and states that training materials or training agendas are available. Recommendation: Aetna should conduct required training and document attendance by MAC members. MCO Response: Training modules will be developed to orient new MAC members, and for ongoing training so Council members can be informed of their responsibilities. Attendance and minutes will be documented.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the MAC training documents and MAC meeting notes from January 2020.				
14.5.5.	The MCO shall develop and implement a Member Advisory Council Plan that outlines the schedule of meetings and the draft goals for the council that includes, but is not limited to, member's perspectives to improve quality of care. This plan shall be submitted to LDH within thirty (30) days of signing the Contract and annually thereafter.	Member Advisory Council Plan Evidence of timely submission of a Member Advisory Council Plan	Full						
14.5.6.	LDH shall be included in all correspondence to the Council, including agenda and Council minutes. Additionally, all agenda and Council minutes shall be posted to the MCO website in English and Spanish, with any memberidentifying information redacted.	Member Advisory Council Plan	Substantial This requirement is addressed in the Member Advisory Committee charter. Aetna provided QAPI meeting minutes that reference the MAC and states that minutes were not posted.	Full	This requirement is addressed in the quarterly 2019 Member Advisory Committee meeting minutes provided in both English and Spanish. Additionally, Aetna Better				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 181 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
			Recommendation: Aetna should keep and post minutes of its MAC meetings. MCO Response: Aetna will keep and post minutes of its MAC meetings on ABHLA website for members to access.		Health reported the following: "Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Q1 & Q2 2020 MAC meetings were canceled, the requirement was waived by LDH, and no minutes were taken. Minutes for future MAC meetings will be written and posted on the ABHLA website."	
14.6	Fidelity to Evidence-Based Practices	Fidelity monitoring	Full		···essive:	
14.6.1	The MCO will establish a fidelity-monitoring plan in place for Evidenced Based Practice providers to ensure providers' adherence to evidence-based and evidence-informed practices to ensure the core elements of the intervention are maintained and minimum fidelity standards are met. The providers maintain fidelity monitoring for Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Homebuilders and Assertive Community Treatment Act (ACT) as part of the certification/credentialing process. The MCO will maintain Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with the fidelity monitoring agencies for Family Functional Therapy, Multisystemic Therapy, and Homebuilders. The MOUs outline a collaborative protocol between the MCO and the monitoring agencies to ensure the appropriate exchange of fidelity reports and other quality reports.	plan MOUs Evidence of submission to LDH				
14.6.2	The MCO will manage the fidelity monitoring process for Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) providers to ensure minimum fidelity standards utilizing the LDH specified ACT Monitoring tool. The MCO shall ensure their	Fidelity monitoring plan Evidence of submission to LDH	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 182 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	staff are properly trained on utilization of the identified ACT Monitoring tool.								
14.6.3	A formal fidelity-monitoring plan will be submitted to the State within 30 days of the beginning of the contract, which includes at a minimum the fidelity criteria for each applicable service/provider type, sampling approach, data collection methods, tools to be used, frequency of review, and validation methods. The monitoring system shall include a formalized monitoring review process of all providers' performance on an ongoing basis including a procedure for formal review with site-visits. Site visits shall be conducted according to a periodic schedule individualized by provider type determined by the MCO and approved by LDH. Reports will be submitted to LDH according to the frequency established in the fidelity monitoring plan submitted to the State, but no less than once per year.	Fidelity monitoring plan Site visit reports Evidence of submission to LDH	Full						
14.8 14.8.1	Adverse Incident Reporting The MCO shall develop, submit, and implement a critical reporting and management procedures for the behavioral health population, subject to review and approval by LDH. The procedure shall describe how the MCO will detect, report, remediate (when applicable), and work to prevent the future re- occurrence of incidents.	P/P BH reporting Critical incident reporting system	Full						
14.8.2	The MCO, as directed by LDH, may be required to utilize a third party incident management system in lieu of, or in addition to, its own incident management reporting system. Connection to this third party system is at the cost of the MCO. It shall be the MCO's decision to replace its own incident management								

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 183 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	system with the requested third party system or to utilize both systems for incident management.					
14.8.3	The MCO shall submit reports to LDH concerning quality of care concerns and adverse incidents, as documented in the Behavioral Health Companion Guide.	P/P BH reporting	Full			
14.9	Provider Monitoring Plan and Reporting					
14.9.1.1	The MCO shall develop and implement a plan for monitoring specialized behavioral health providers and facilities across all levels of care, which incorporates onsite reviews and member interviews. The MCO shall submit the plan to LDH for approval within 30 calendar days of contract execution and at least 60 days prior to revision. The MCO's plan shall comply with all the requirements as specified by LDH: Review criteria for each applicable provider type/level of care;	P/P BH reporting Evidence of report submission to LDH	Full			
14.9.1.2	Sampling approach including number and percent of onsite audits by provider type, number and percent of desktop audits, and number of charts to be reviewed at each provider location;		Full			
14.9.1.3	Member interview criteria;		Full			
14.9.1.4	Random audit selection criteria;		Full			
14.9.1.5	Tools to be used;		Full			
14.9.1.6	Frequency of review, including schedule of reviews by provider type;		Full			
14.9.1.7	Corrective actions to be imposed based on the degree of provider non-compliance with review criteria elements on both an individual and systemic basis;		Full			•
14.9.1.8	Plan for ensuring corrective actions are implemented appropriately and timely by providers; and		Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 184 of 210

	Quality Management								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
14.9.1.9	Inter-rater reliability testing methods.		Full						
14.9.2	At a minimum, the MCO's sampling approach shall result in a statistically significant representative sample with a confidence interval of 95% + or - 5 for each level of care. The sample shall be random and include providers who have served at least one member during the review period. Levels of care include mental health outpatient, substance use outpatient, and inpatient /residential. Additional levels of care may be	P/P BH reporting	Full						
1100	added at the discretion of LDH.	2/2 2/4		_					
14.9.3	The MCO's review criteria shall address the	P/P BH reporting							
14.9.3.1	following areas at a minimum: Adherence to clinical practice guidelines;		Full						
14.9.3.1	Member rights and confidentiality, including		Full						
14.5.5.2	advance directives and informed consent;		i un						
14.9.3.3	Cultural competency;		Full						
14.9.3.4	Patient safety;		Full						
14.9.3.5	Compliance with adverse incident reporting requirements;		Full						
14.9.3.6	Appropriate use of restraints and seclusion, if applicable;		Full						
14.9.3.7	Treatment Planning components, including criteria to determine: the sufficiency of assessments in the development of functional treatment recommendations; the treatment plan is individualized and appropriate for the enrollee and includes goals, Specific, Measurable, Action-Oriented, Realistic, and Time-Limited (SMART) objectives, and the appropriate service to achieve goal/objective; individualized crisis plan; members'/families' cultural preferences are assessed and included in the development of treatment plans; the treatment plan has been reviewed regularly		Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 185 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	and updated as the needs of the member changes; the treatment plan includes the involvement of family and other support systems in establishing treatment goals/objectives; the treatment plan includes evidence of implementation as reflected in progress notes; and evidence that the member is either making progress toward meeting goals/objectives or there is evidence the treatment has been revised/updated to meet the changing needs of the member; and					
14.9.3.8	Continuity and coordination of care, including adequate discharge planning		Full			
14.9.4	The MCO shall take steps to require adoption of clinical practice guidelines by specialized behavioral health providers and measure compliance with the guidelines until such point that 90% or more of providers consistently achieve at least 80% compliance based on MCO measurement findings.					
14.9.5	The MCO shall ensure that an appropriate corrective action is taken when a provider furnishes inappropriate or substandard services as determined by the MCO, when a provider does not furnish a service that should have been furnished, or when a provider is out of compliance with federal and state regulations. The MCO shall monitor and evaluate corrective actions taken to ensure that appropriate changes have been made in a timely manner.	Provider Monitoring P/P	Full			
14.9.6 14.10	The MCO shall submit quarterly reports which summarize monitoring activities, findings, corrective actions, and improvements for Specialized Behavioral Health Services. Outcome Assessment for Specialized Behaviora	Provider Monitoring P/P Provider Monitoring Reports	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 186 of 210

			Quality Management			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.240)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
14.10.1	The MCO shall assess the treatment progress and effectiveness of Specialized Behavioral Health Services for both children and adults using standardized clinical outcome tools and measures, according to the guidelines specified by LDH.	BH outcome assessment plan Assessment Reports	Full			
14.10.2	The MCO shall ensure providers and appropriate MCO staff are adequately trained/certified in the use of such tools and such training/certification is current.	BH outcome assessment plan Training materials Evidence of Training Attendance	Full			
14.10.3	The MCO shall be responsible for data collection of outcome data, data validation activities, and reporting to the LDH.	BH outcome assessment plan	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 187 of 210

Core Benefits and Services

	Core Benefits and Services						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action	
6.4	Behavioral Health Services						
6.4.5	Permanent Supportive Housing						
6.4.5.1	LDH partners with the Louisiana Housing Authority (LHA)						
	to co-manage the Louisiana Permanent Supportive						
	Housing (PSH) program. PSH provides deeply affordable,						
	community-integrated housing paired with tenancy						
	supports that assist persons with disabilities to be successful tenants and maintain stable housing. The						
	Louisiana PSH program is a cross-disability program that						
	provides access to over 3,300 affordable housing units						
	with rental subsidies statewide. In Louisiana, PSH services						
	are reimbursed under several Medicaid HCBS programs,						
	and under specialized behavioral health State Plan						
	services where it is billed as a component of CPST and						
	PSR. However, Medicaid Managed Care members must						
	meet PSH program eligibility criteria, in addition to medical necessity criteria for services in order to						
	participate in PSH						
	http://new.dhh.louisiana.gov/index.cfm/page/1732/n/388						
	Overall management of the PSH program is centralized						
	within LDH and final approval for members to participate						
	in PSH is made by the LDH PSH program staff. For the						
	Louisiana PSH program, the MCO shall:						
6.4.5.1.1	Provide outreach to qualified members with a potential	Member letters	Full				
	need for PSH;	Member handbook					
		Policy for member education					
6.4.5.1.2	Assist members in completing the PSH program	Member letters	Full				
0.1.3.1.2	application;	Member handbook					
	September 1	Policy for member					
		education					
6.4.5.1.3	Within one (1) working day of request by designated LDH	Communications to	Full				
	PSH program staff, provide accurate information about	LDH Policy for					
	status of eligibility assessment, determination, and	education					
C 4 F 4 O	recertification;	Commistadibil	F.III				
6.4.5.1.8	Report on PSH outreach monthly and quarterly using a	Completed LDH	Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 188 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	format to be provided by the LDH PSH program manager; and	template				
6.4.5.2	To assure effective accomplishment of the responsibilities required per Section 6.4.5.1 the MCO shall:					
6.4.5.2.1	Identify a PSH program liaison, to be approved by LDH, to work with LDH PSH program staff to assure effective performance of MCO responsibilities and requirements, effective implementation and delivery of PSH services, and to address problems or issues that may arise.	Organizational chart	Full			
6.4.9	The MCO shall provide guidelines, education and training, and consultation to PCPs to support the provision of basic behavioral health services in the primary care setting.	Training slides Policy for provider education Provider handbook	Full			
6.4.9.1	The MCO shall ensure network providers utilize behavioral health screening tools and protocols consistent with industry standards. The MCO shall work to increase screening in primary care for developmental, behavioral, and social delays, as well as screening for child maltreatment risk factors, trauma, and adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). The MCO may provide technical assistance to providers, incentives, or other means to increase screening for behavioral health needs in primary care.	Provider handbook Provider education materials Provider contracts Policy for provider education	Full			
6.4.9.2	The MCO shall work to increase provider utilization of consensus guidelines and pathways for warm handoffs and/or referrals to behavioral health providers for children who screen positive for developmental, behavioral, and social delays, as well as child maltreatment risk factors, trauma, and adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). The MCO shall work to increase the percentage of children with positive screens who: 1) receive a warm handoff to and/or are referred for more specialized assessment(s) or treatment and 2) receive specialized assessment or treatment.	Policy for provider education Provider handbook	Full			
6.4.10	Develop crisis intervention and stabilization services to better manage behavioral health issues in the community. The MCO shall maintain an active role in managing the	Policy for behavioral integration Communications		Full		

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 189 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	process to ensure resolution of behavioral health crises in the community and referral to and assistance with placement in behavioral health services required by the individual in need. Regional crisis community collaborations consist of an array of public and private partners such as law enforcement, emergency department directors, psychiatric acute unit directors, coroners, behavioral health advocates, and peer supports. The MCO shall familiarize itself with the local crisis collaborative and work with it to facilitate crisis resolution.	with community agencies				
6.8	Emergency Medical Services and Post Stabilization Services					
6.8.1 6.8.1.1	Emergency Medical Services The MCO shall provide that emergency services, including those for specialized behavioral health, be rendered without the requirement of prior authorization of any kind. The MCO must cover and pay for emergency services regardless of whether the provider that furnishes the emergency services has a contract with the MCO. If an emergency medical condition exists, the MCO is obligated to pay for the emergency service.	Member handbook Policy for ER services	Full			
6.8.1.2	The MCO shall advise all Medicaid MCO members of the provisions governing in and out-of-service area use of emergency services as defined in the Glossary.	Member handbook	Full			
6.8.1.3	The MCO shall not deny payment for treatment when a representative of the entity instructs the member to seek emergency services.	Member handbook Policy for Member services	Full			
6.8.1.4	The MCO shall not deny payment for treatment obtained when a member had an emergency medical condition as defined in 42 CFR §438.114(a), nor limit what constitutes an emergency behavioral health condition on the basis of behavioral health diagnoses or symptoms.	Member handbook Policy for emergency services	Full			
6.8.1.5	The attending emergency physician, Licensed Mental Health Provider (LMHP), or the provider actually treating the member shall determine when the member is sufficiently stabilized for transfer or discharge and that determination is binding on the MCO for coverage and payment.	Provider handbook Policy for Care coordination	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 190 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
6.8.1.6	If there is a disagreement between a hospital or other treating facility and an MCO concerning whether the member is stable enough for discharge or transfer from the Emergency Department (ED), the judgment of the attending emergency physician(s) at the hospital or other treating facility at the time of discharge or transfer prevails and is binding on the MCO. This subsection shall not apply to a disagreement concerning discharge or transfer following an inpatient admission once the member is stabilized.	Policy for Coordination of services Communications to hospital	Full					
6.8.1.7	The MCO will include in the proposal a plan to provide care in the most appropriate and cost-effective setting. The plan should specifically address non-emergent use of hospital Emergency Departments. Strategies of interest to LDH include but are not limited to access to primary care services through medical homes, urgent care and retail clinics; and, interventions targeted to super-utilizers, such as patients with sickle cell disease, chronic pain, dental, and/or behavioral health conditions.	Policy for Coordination of Services Quality of core plan Member handbook	Full					
6.8.1.8	The MCO shall be responsible for educating members and providers regarding appropriate utilization of ED services, including behavioral health emergencies.	Member & provider handbook Educational materials	Full					
6.8.1.9	The MCO shall monitor emergency services utilization by provider and member and shall have routine means for redressing inappropriate emergency department utilization. For utilization review, the test for appropriateness of the request for emergency services shall be whether a prudent layperson, similarly situated, would have requested such services. For the purposes of this contract, a prudent layperson is a person who possesses an average knowledge of health and medicine.	P./P Emergency services Member handbook	Full					
6.8.1.10	A member who has an emergency medical condition may not be held liable for payment of subsequent screening and treatment needed to diagnose the specific condition or stabilize the patient. Post Stabilization Services	Member handbook	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 191 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
6.8.2.1.	As specified in 42 CFR §438.114(e) and 42 CFR §422.113(c)(2)(i), (ii) and (iii), the MCO is financially responsible for post-stabilization care services obtained within or outside the MCO that are:					
6.8.2.1.1	Pre-approved by a network provider or other MCO representative; or	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.1.2	Not preapproved by a network provider or other MCO representative, but:	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.1.2.1	Administered to maintain the member's stabilized condition within one (1) hour of a request to the MCO for pre-approval of further post-stabilization care services or	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.1.2.2	Administered to maintain, improve or resolve the member's stabilized condition if the MCO: Does not respond to a request for pre-approval within one hour; Cannot be contacted; or	P./P post stabilization services Provider handbook	Full			
	• MCO's representative and the treating physician cannot reach an agreement concerning the member's care and a network physician is not available for consultation. In this situation, the MCO must give the treating physician the opportunity to consult with a network physician and the treating physician may continue with care of the patient until a network physician is reached or one of the criteria of (422.133(c)(3)) is met.					
6.8.2.2	The MCO's financial responsibility for post-stabilization care services that it has not pre-approved ends when:	P./P post stabilization services				
6.8.2.2.1	A network physician with privileges at the treating hospital assumes responsibility for the member's care;	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.2.2	A network physician assumes responsibility for the member's care through transfer;	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.2.3	A representative of the MCO and the treating physician reach an agreement concerning the member's care; or	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.8.2.2.4	The member is discharged.	P./P post stabilization services	Full			
6.16	Sterilization					
6.16.2	Sterilization must be conducted in accordance with Louisiana R.S. 40:1159.2, state Medicaid policy, federal	P/P Sterilization Services	New Requirement	Substantial	This requirement is partially addressed in the LA Policy-	MCO is currently in compliance with the

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 192 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	regulations contained in 42 CFR §441.250 - 441.259. All procedures must be documented with a completed Sterilization Consent Form OMB 0937-0166.	Member Handbook/website Provider Manual/portal			Limitations on Abortions by the link provided to the LA Medicaid Provider Forms that links to a selection of forms that includes the Sterilization Consent Form, as well as in the ABHLA 2020 Provider Manual For Review 1.21.2020 REDLINE 052920 that indicates that this consent form is required medical record documentation on page 32; however, it is not clear that this "REDLINE" document has been finalized. The plan provided this follow-up statement: Provider Manual Checklist and manual was submitted to LDH January 2020. 5/4 LDH communicated results of manual review with changes/feedback necessary. 5/29 Updated manual submitted to LDH. LDH communicated the track changes and comments were not intact in the manual. Manual was submitted again on 5/29 but later retracted as incomplete. In addition, there is no sterilization policy document to support that sterilization is conducted in accordance with federal regulations 441.253 Sterilization of a mentally competent individual aged 21 or older and 441.254 Mentally incompetent or institutionalized individuals. In addition, the 2020 Member Handbook informs the member about counseling on sterilization services on page 51, but does not	regulations as it pertains to the consent form through operational processes. MCO acknowledges recommendations and is currently drafting a new Sterilization Policy to include state and federal regulation language. The Member Handbook is being updated to include regulations as well in a member friendly fashion.

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 193 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
					inform the member about coverage of sterilization procedures. Recommendation The MCO should develop a sterilization policy that translates regulations 42 CFR §441.250 - 441.259 into policy and procedure language, and to clarify sterilization benefit coverage language in the Member Handbook.	
6.19	Services for Special Populations				Tidhubook.	
6.19.1	Special Health Care Needs (SHCN) population is defined as individuals of any age with mental disability, physical disability, or other circumstances that place their health and ability to fully function in society at risk, requiring individualized health care approaches. For the behavioral health population, individuals with special health care needs include:					
6.19.1.1	Individuals with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders;					
6.19.1.2	Individuals with intravenous drug use;					
6.19.1.3	Pregnant women with substance use disorders or co- occurring disorders including but not limited to pregnant women who are using alcohol, illicit or licit drugs such as opioid and benzodiazepines or at risk of delivering an infant affected by neonatal abstinence syndrome (NAS) or fetal alcohol syndrome;					
6.19.1.4	Individuals with substance use disorders who have dependent children;					
6.19.1.5	Children with behavioral health needs in contact with other child serving systems including OJJ, DCFS, or the judicial system, and not enrolled in CSoC;					
6.19.1.6	Nursing facility residents approved for specialized behavioral health services recommended as a result of					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 194 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action	
	PASRR Level II determination;						
6.19.1.7	Adults, 18 years or older, receiving mental health rehabilitation services under the state plan and children/youth who qualify for CSoC as assessed by the CSoC program contractor and have declined to enter or are transitioning out of the CSoC program.						
6.19.1.8	Individuals with 2 or more inpatient or 4 or more ED visits within the past 12 months;						
6.19.1.9	Individuals with co-occurring behavioral health and developmental disabilities;						
6.19.1.10	Individuals diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) or at risk of an ASD diagnosis;						
6.19.1.11	Newly diagnosed adolescents and young adults, 15-30 years of age, who experience first signs of symptom onset for serious mental illness, such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and/or major depression; and						
6.19.1.12	Persons living with HIV/AIDS and who are in need of mental health or substance use early intervention, treatment, or prevention services.						
6.19.2	The MCO shall identify members with special health care needs within ninety (90) days of receiving the member's historical claims data (if available). LDH may also identify special healthcare members and provide that information to the MCO. The LMHP or PCP can identify members as having special needs at any time the member presents with those needs. The MCO must assess those members within ninety (90) days of identification, with the exception of individuals referred for PASRR Level II, who shall be evaluated within federally required timelines as per Section 6.38.5.4. The assessment must be done by appropriate healthcare professionals. Assessments that determine a course of treatment or regular care monitoring as appropriate shall result in a referral for case management.	HRA Policy for members with Special Health Needs Documentation of assessment conducted Includes Case Management File Review	Full				
6.19.3	The mechanisms for identifying members with special health care needs (SHCN) that require an assessment to	Policy for members with Special Health	Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 195 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	determine if a course of treatment or regular care monitoring is needed are as follows: .1The MCO shall utilize Medicaid historical claims data (if available) to identify members who meet MCO, LDH approved, guidelines for SHCN criteria. .2MCO LMHPs and PCPs shall identify to the MCO those members who meet SHCN criteria. .3Members may self-identify to either the Enrollment Broker or the MCO that they have special health care needs. The Enrollment Broker will provide notification to the MCO of members who indicate they have special health care needs. .4Members may be identified by LDH and that information provided to the MCO.	Needs Documentation of assessment conducted Includes Case Management File Review						
6.19.4	Individualized Treatment Plans and Care Plans All SHCN members shall be referred for, and if found eligible, offered case management, including an individualized treatment plan developed by the treating provider(s)and a person-centered plan of care developed by the MCO care manager. The individualized treatment plans must be:	Policy for Individual Treatment Plans CM records Treatment &/or care plans Includes Case Management File Review	Full					
6.19.4.1	Developed by the member's primary care provider and/or other lead provider as appropriate, with member participation, and in consultation with any specialists caring for the member. For SHCN members, the treatment plan shall be submitted to the member's MCO no later than 30 days following the completion of the initial assessment or annual reassessment.	Treatment plan Policy for Individual Treatment Plans Documentation of communication Includes Case Management File Review Policy for Individual	Full					
	utilization management standards:	Treatment Plans						
6.19.4.3	Reviewed and revised upon reassessment of functional need, at least every 12 months, when the member's	Policy for Individual Treatment Plans	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 196 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	circumstances or needs change significantly, or at the request of the member; and	Plan of Care Includes Case Management File Review				
6.19.4.4	A person-centered integrated plan of care developed by the MCO care manager shall be completed within thirty (30) calendar days of provider treatment plan development that includes all medically necessary services including specialized behavioral health services and primary care services identified in the member's treatment plans (individualized treatment plans are developed by the provider(s)) and meet the requirements above.	Policy for Individual Treatment Plans Plan of Care	Full			
6.28	Care Management					
6.28.1	Care management is defined as the overall system of medical management, care coordination, continuity of care, care transition, chronic care management, and independent review. The MCO shall ensure that each member has an ongoing source of primary and/or behavioral healthcare appropriate to his or her needs and a person or entity formally designated as primarily responsible for coordinating Medicaid covered services provided to the member.	CM records Member Handbook	Full			
6.28.2 6.28.2.1	The MCO shall be responsible for ensuring: Member's health care needs and services/care are planned and coordinated through the MCO PCP and/or behavioral health provider;	Policy for member Services Provider handbook Includes Care Management File Review	Full			
6.28.2.2	Accessibility of services and promoting prevention through qualified providers and medical home practices in accordance with 42 CFR §438.6(k) which requires the provision for reasonable and adequate hours of operation including 24 hour availability of information, referral, and treatment for emergency medical conditions; and	Policy for member Services Call center documentation	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 197 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
6.28.2.3	Care coordination and referral activities, in person or telephonically depending on member's acuity, incorporate and identify appropriate methods of assessment and referral for members requiring both medical and behavioral health services. These activities must include scheduling assistance, monitoring and follow-up for member(s) requiring medical services and coordination for members requiring behavioral health services.	CM records Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full					
6.28.2.4	Patients with a condition that causes chronic pain and have five (5) or more ED visits in the most recent 12-month period for chief complaint of pain are contacted by the MCO for a pain management plan and this plan will be shared with the patients' PCP, the patient, and relevant ED staff	Pain management plans Policy for care coordination	Full					
6.30	Care Coordination, Continuity of Care, and Care Transition							
6.30.0	The MCO shall develop and maintain effective care coordination, continuity of care, and care transition activities to ensure a continuum of care approach to providing health care services to MCO members. The MCO shall establish a process to coordinate the delivery of core benefits and services with services that are reimbursed on a fee-for-service basis by LDH, provided by LDH's dental benefit program manager, or provided by community and social support providers. The MCO shall ensure memberappropriate provider choice within the MCO and interaction with providers outside the MCO. Continuity of care activities shall ensure that the appropriate personnel, including the service providers, are kept informed of the member's treatment needs, changes, progress or problems. These MCO activities and processes shall be demonstrated via workflows with specific decision points and provided to LDH by January 11, 2016. Continuity of care activities shall provide processes by which MCO members and network and/or non-network	Policy for care coordination Policy for PCP choice Member survey Detailed Workflows	Full					
	which MCO members and network and/or non-network provider interactions are effective and shall identify and address those that are not effective. The MCO shall ensure							

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 198 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	that service delivery is properly Thmonitored through member surveys, medical and treatment record reviews, and EOBs to identify and overcome barriers to primary and preventive care that a MCO member may encounter. Corrective action shall be undertaken by the MCO on an as needed basis and as determined by LDH.					
6.30.1	The MCO shall be responsible for the coordination and continuity of care of healthcare services for all members consistent with 42 CFR §438.208. In addition, the MCO shall be responsible for coordinating with the Office of Citizens with Developmental Disabilities for the behavioral health needs of the I/DD co-occurring population.	Policy for care coordination	Full			
6.30.2	The MCO shall implement LDH approved care coordination and continuity of care policies and procedures that meet or exceed the following requirements:					
6.30.2.1	Ensure a best effort is made to conduct an initial screening of the member's needs within ninety (90) days of their enrollment date for all new members. If the initial attempt is unsuccessful, subsequent attempts shall be made within the ninety (90) day time period;	Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full			
6.30.2.2	Ensure that each member has an ongoing source of preventive and primary care appropriate to their needs;	Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full			
6.30.2.3	Ensure each member is provided with information on how to contact the person designated to coordinate the services the member accesses;	Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full			
6.30.2.4	Coordinate care between network PCPs and specialists; including specialized behavioral health providers;	Policy for care coordination	Full			
6.30.2.5	Coordinate care for out-of-network services, including	Policy for care	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 199 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
	specialty care services;	coordination						
6.30.2.6	Coordinate MCO provided services with services the member may receive from other health care providers;	Policy for care coordination	Full					
6.30.2.7	Upon request, share with LDH or other health care entities serving the member with special health care needs the results and identification and assessment of that member's needs to prevent duplication of those activities;	Policy for care coordination	Full					
6.30.2.8	Ensure that each provider furnishing services to the member maintains and shares the member's health record in accordance with professional standards;	Policy for care coordination Provider Handbook	Full					
6.30.2.9	Ensure that in the process of coordinating care, each member's privacy is protected in accordance with the privacy requirements in 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164, and other applicable state or federal laws;	Policy for care coordination	Full					
6.30.2.10	Maintain and operate a formalized hospital and/or institutional discharge planning program;	Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full					
6.30.2.11	Coordinate hospital and/or institutional discharge planning that includes post-discharge care as appropriate, including aftercare appointments, following an inpatient, PRTF, or other out-of-home stay and; assure that prior authorization for prescription coverage is addressed and or initiated before patient discharge. The MCO must have policies and procedures requiring and assuring that:	Policy for care coordination Includes Care Management File Review	Full					
6.30.2.11.1.	Behavioral health pharmacy prior authorization decisions are rendered before a member is discharged from a behavioral health facility (including, but not limited to, inpatient psychiatric facilities, PRTF's, and residential substance use disorder settings).	Policy for care coordination	Full					
6.30.2.11.2.	Care managers follow-up with members with a behavioral health related diagnosis within 72 hours following discharge.	Policy for care coordination CM records	Full					
6.30.2.11.3.	Coordination with LDH and other state agencies following	Policy for care	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 200 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	an inpatient, PRTF, or other residential stay for members with a primary behavioral health diagnosis occurs timely when the member is not to return home.	coordination				
6.30.2.11.4	Members approaching the end of medical necessity/continued stay for PRTF or TGH have concrete and proactive discharge plans in place, including linkage with aftercare providers to address the member's treatment needs in the member's next recommended level of care or living situation. Concrete and proactive discharge plans, including linkage with aftercare providers in the member's next LOC or living situation, should be in place thirty (30) calendar days prior to discharge from a PRTF or TGH. The MCO shall follow up and coordinate with the discharging PRTF or TGH, receiving provider(s), and the member/guardian to ensure that the member is contacted by and is receiving services from aftercare providers as per the member's discharge plan.	Policy for care coordination	Full			
6.30.2.12	Document authorized referrals in its utilization management system;	Policy for care coordination	Full			
6.30.2.13	Provide active assistance to members receiving treatment for chronic and acute medical conditions or behavioral health conditions to transition to another provider when their current provider has terminated participation with the MCO. The MCO shall provide continuation of such services for up to ninety (90) calendar days or until the member is reasonably transferred without interruption of care, whichever is less:	Policy for care coordination	Full			
6.30.2.14	Coordinate with the court system and state child-serving agencies with regard to court- and agency-involved youth, to ensure that appropriate services can be accessed. This may include, but is not limited to, attending court proceedings at the request of LDH when there is a need to inform the court of available services and limitations, and participating in cross-agency staffing; and	Policy care coordination Court proceedings	Full			
6.30.2.15	For the behavioral health population, provide aftercare planning for members prior to discharge from a 24-hour facility.	Policy care coordination	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 201 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services							
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action		
6.36	Continuity for Behavioral Health Care							
6.36.1	The PCP shall provide basic behavioral health services (as described in this Section) and refer the member(s) to the appropriate health care specialist as deemed necessary for specialized behavioral health services.	Policy for BH care continuity Provider contract Provider manual/handbook	Full					
6.36.2	 The MCO shall establish policies and procedures to facilitate the integration of physical and behavioral health and to provide for the appropriate continuity of care across programs. Principles that guide care integration are as follows: Mental illness and addiction are healthcare issues and must be integrated into a comprehensive physical and behavioral healthcare system that includes primary care settings; Many people suffer from both mental illness and addiction. As care is provided, both illnesses must be understood, identified, and treated as primary conditions; The system of care will be accessible and comprehensive, and will fully integrate an array of prevention and treatment services for all age groups. It will be designed to be evidence-informed, responsive to changing needs, and built on a foundation of continuous quality improvement; It is important that relevant clinical information is accessible to both the primary care and behavioral health providers consistent with federal and state laws and other applicable standards of medical record confidentiality and the protection of patient privacy. 	Policy for BH care continuity	Full					
6.36.3	In any instance when the member presents to the network provider, including calling the MCO's toll-free number listed on the Member's ID card, and a member is in need of emergency behavioral health services, the MCO shall instruct the member to seek help from the nearest emergency medical provider. The MCO shall initiate	Policy for BH care continuity Communication member	Full					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 202 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action	
	follow-up with the member within forty-eight (48) hours for follow-up to establish that appropriate services were accessed.						
6.36.4	The MCO shall comply with all post stabilization care service requirements found at 42 CFR §422.113.	Policy for BH care continuity	Full				
6.36.5	The MCO shall include documentation in the member's medical record that attempts are made to engage the member's cooperation and permission to coordinate the member's over-all care plan with the member's behavioral health and primary care provider.	Policy for BH care continuity	Full				
6.36.6	The MCO shall provide procedures and criteria for making referrals and coordinating care with behavioral health and primary care providers and agencies that will promote continuity, as well as, cost-effectiveness of care.	Policy for BH care continuity	Full				
6.36.7	These procedures must address members with co- occurring medical and behavioral conditions, including children with special health care needs, who may require services from multiple providers, facilities and agencies and require complex coordination of benefits and services.	Policy for BH care continuity	Full				
6.36.8	The MCO shall provide or arrange for training of providers and care managers on identification and screening of behavioral health conditions and referral procedures.	Policy for BH care continuity	Full				
6.36.9 6.36.9.1.1 6.36.9.1.2 6.36.9.1.3 6.36.9.1.4	 The MCO shall work with to strongly support the integration of both physical and behavioral health services through: Enhanced detection and treatment of behavioral health disorders in primary care settings; Coordination of care for members with both medical and behavioral health disorders, including promotion of care transition between inpatient services and outpatient care for members with co- existing medical-behavioral health disorders; Assisting members without a diagnosed behavioral health disorder, who would benefit from psychosocial guidance in adapting to a newly diagnosed chronic medical disorder; 	Policy for BH care coordination	Full				

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 203 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	Utilization of approved communication and consultation by PCPs with behavioral health providers of co-enrolled members with co-existing medical and behavioral health disorders requiring co- management.					
6.36.9.1.5	Develop capacity for enhanced rates or incentives to behavioral health clinics to employ a primary care provider (physician, physician's assistant, nurse practitioner, or nurse) part- or full-time in a psychiatric specialty setting to monitor the physical health of patients.	Policy for provider contracting Provider contracts	Full			
6.36.9.1.6	Distributing Release of Information forms as per 42 CFR §431.306, and provide training to MCO providers on its use.	Provider portal/handbook Training materials	Full			
6.36.9.1.7	Educating MCO members and providers regarding appropriate utilization of emergency room (ER) services, including referral to community behavioral health specialists for behavioral health emergencies, as appropriate;	Member/provider handbook Educational materials	Full			
6.36.9.1.8	Identifying those who use emergency department (ED) services to assist in scheduling follow-up care with PCP and/or appropriate contracted behavioral health specialists;	Policy for coordination of care	Full			
6.36.9.1.9	Ensuring continuity and coordination of care for members who have been screened positive or determined as having need of specialized medical health services or who may require inpatient/outpatient medical health services. These activities must include referral and follow-up for member(s) requiring behavioral health services.	Policy for coordination of care	Full			
6.36.9.1.10	Documenting authorized referrals in the MCO's clinical management system;	Clinical management system records	Full			
6.36.9.1.11	Developing capacity for enhanced rates or incentives for integrated care by providers;	Policy for provider initiatives	Full			
6.36.9.1.12	Providing or arranging for training of MCO providers and Care Managers on identification and screening of behavioral health conditions and referral procedures;	Training materials Provider handbook	Full			
6.36.9.1.13	Conducting Case Management rounds at least monthly with the Behavioral Health Case Management team; and	CM rounds minutes/schedule	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 204 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
6.36.9.1.14	Participating in regular collaborative meetings at least yearly or as needed, with LDH representatives for the purpose of coordination and communication.	Meeting minutes	Full						
6.40	Case Management (CM) Policies and Procedures								
6.40.0	The MCO shall submit Case Management Program policies and procedures to LDH for approval within thirty (30) days from the date the Contract is signed by the MCO, annually and prior to any revisions. Case Management policies and procedures shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:	Policy for CM	Full						
6.40.1	A process to offer voluntary participation in the Case Management Program to eligible members;	Policy for CM	Full						
6.40.2	Identification criteria, process, and triggers for referral and admission into the Case Management Program;	Policy for CM	Full						
6.40.3	Identification criteria, process, and triggers for referral and admission into a Perinatal Case Management Program which should include, but not be limited to, the following: .1 Reproductive aged women with a history of prior poor birth outcomes; and .2 High risk pregnant women.	Policy for CM	Full						
6.40.4	The provision of an individual needs assessment and diagnostic assessment; the development of an individual plan of care and treatment plan, as necessary, based on the needs assessment; the establishment of short and long term treatment objectives; the monitoring of outcomes; and a process to ensure that treatment care plans are revised as necessary. These procedures shall be designed to accommodate the specific cultural and linguistic needs of the MCO's members; Procedures must describe collaboration processes with member's treatment providers;	Policy for CM Treatment plan template	Full						
6.40.5	A strategy to ensure that all members and/or authorized family members or guardians are involved in treatment care planning;	Policy for CM	Full						
6.40.6	Procedures and criteria for making referrals to specialists and subspecialists;	Policy for CM	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 205 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
6.40.7	Procedures and criteria for maintaining care plans and referral services when the member changes PCPs and behavioral health providers; and	Policy for CM	Full			
6.40.8	Coordination of Case Management activities for members also receiving services through the MCO's Chronic Care Management Program.	Policy for CM	Full			
6.41	Case Management Reporting Requirements					
6.41	The MCO shall submit case management reports monthly to LDH. LDH reserves the right to request additional reports as deemed necessary. LDH will notify the MCO of additional required reports no less than sixty (60) days prior to due date of those reports. The case management reports shall include at a minimum:	Evidence of Communication to LDH	Full			
6.41.1	Number of members identified with potential special healthcare needs utilizing historical claims data;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.2	Number of members with potential special healthcare needs identified by the member's PCP and/or behavioral health provider;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.3	Number of members identified with potential special healthcare needs that self- refer;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.4	Number of members with potential special healthcare needs identified by the MCO;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.5	Number of members in the lock-in program;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.6	Number of members identified with special healthcare needs by the PASRR Level II authority;	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.7	Number of members with assessments completed, and	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.41.8	Number of members with assessments resulting in a referral for Case Management.	CM/Special health Care needs reports	Full			
6.42	Chronic Care Management Program (CCMP)					
6.42.1	The MCO shall provide a Chronic Care Management Program (CCMP) for members diagnosed with the following chronic conditions: Asthma; Congestive heart failure; Diabetes; HIV; Hepatitis C; Obesity; and Sickle Cell	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full			

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 206 of 210

	Core Benefits and Services								
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action			
	Anemia, particularly diagnosed members who are high utilizers of ED and inpatient services.								
6.42.3	The MCO shall also include one of the following chronic conditions in the CCMP for its members: hypertension as a precursor to coronary artery disease and stroke; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), low back pain and chronic pain. Additional chronic conditions may be added at the MCO's discretion. The MCO shall include additional discretionary chronic conditions in CCMP reports, as delineated for required chronic conditions in the CCMP, to LDH.	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4	The MCO shall submit Chronic Care Management Program policies and procedures to LDH for approval within thirty (30) days of signing the Contract, annually and previous to any revisions. The MCO shall develop and implement policies and procedures that:	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.1	Include the definition of the target population;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.2	Include member identification strategies, i.e. through encounter data;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.3	Include evidence-based clinical guidelines that have been formally adopted by the QA/PI committee;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.4	Include guidelines for treatment plan development, as described in NCQA Disease Management program content, that provide the outline for all program activities and interventions;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.5	Include a written description of the stratification levels for each chronic condition, including member criteria and associated interventions;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.6	Include methods for informing and educating members and providers;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.7	Emphasize exacerbation and complication prevention utilizing evidence- based clinical practice guidelines and patient empowerment and activation strategies;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.8	Address co-morbidities through a whole-person approach;	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full						
6.42.4.9	Identify members who require in-person case	Policy for CCMP	Full						

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 207 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	management services and a plan to meet this need;	CCMP descriptions				
6.42.4.10	Coordinate CCMP activities for members also identified in the Case Management Program; and	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full			
6.42.4.11	Include Program Evaluation requirements.	Policy for CCMP CCMP descriptions	Full			
6.44	CCMP Reporting Requirements					
6.44.1	The MCO shall submit Chronic Care Management reports quarterly to LDH. LDH reserves the right to request additional reports as deemed necessary. LDH will notify the MCO of additional required reports no less than sixty (60) days prior to due date of those reports.	Communications to LDH	Full			
6.44.2	The CCMP reports shall contain at a minimum:					
6.44.2.1	Total number of members;	CCMC reports	Full			
6.44.2.2	Number of members in each stratification level for each chronic condition; and	CCMC reports	Full			
6.44.2.3	Number of members who were disenrolled from program and explanation as to why they were disenrolled.	CCMC reports	Full			
6.44.3 6.44.3.1	The MCO shall submit the following report annually: Chronic Care Management Program evaluation.	CCMC reports	Full			
6.45	Services for Co-occurring Behavioral Health and Developm	ental Disabilities				
6.45.1	The MCO shall create a framework for delivery of services, staff development, and policies and procedures for providing effective care for members with co-occurring behavioral health and developmental disabilities. This population should have the same reasonable access to behavioral health services as someone without a co-occurring behavioral health and developmental disability. If a member qualifies for services through OCDD, the MCO shall coordinate with OCDD, LGEs, and support coordinators concerning the care of the member. A Statement of Approval from OCDD shall not preclude services from the MCO.	Care Management Policy		Full	This requirement is addressed in policy 7500.05 Integrated Care Management	
6.46	Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA)					
	Effective February 1, 2018, the MCO shall cover Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) services.	Statement of Covered Benefits		Full	This covered service is listed in the Enrollee Handbook	
	The MCO shall coordinate and ensure continuity of care	Care Management		Full	This requirement is addressed in	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 208 of 210

			Core Benefits and Services			
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.114, 438.208)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Prior Review Results	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	MCO Response and Plan of Action
	between behavioral health specialists, primary care physicians, and other health care specialists, including but not limited to providers qualified to perform Comprehensive Diagnostic Evaluations (CDE), occupational therapists, physical therapists, and speech therapists as indicated and based on medical necessity criteria for such services.	Policy			policy 7400.40 Member Transition	
	The MCO shall ensure member and provider call center staff and utilization management staff are knowledgeable in ABA services. Staff shall be capable of providing an explanation of ABA services, a list of ABA providers, and information regarding the prior authorization process to members or providers seeking information.	Care Management Policy Training Policy UM Policy and Procedures		Full	This is addressed in the following Aetna documents: ABA Desktop; ABA Staff Training; ABA Provider Directory and the ABCs of ABA.	
	ABA service shall not be denied solely because a member does not have an Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) diagnosis.	Statement of Covered Benefits		Full	This requirement is addressed in the Applied Behavioral Analysis Provider Manual	

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 209 of 210

Reporting

Kepor ting			Reportii	ng						
Contract Reference	Contract Requirement Language (Federal Regulation: 438.242)	Suggested Documentation and reviewer instructions	Plan Documentation (MCO please indicate policy number, page number reference to the supporting documentation)	Review Determination	Comments (Note: For any element that is less than fully compliant, an explanation of the finding and a recommendation must be documented below)	Plan Documentation				
4.5	Written Policies, Procedures, and Job Descriptions									
18.0	The MCO shall develop and maintain written policies, procedures and job descriptions for each functional area, including for specialized behavioral health services, consistent in format and style. The MCO shall maintain written guidelines for developing, reviewing and approving all policies, procedures and job descriptions. All policies and procedures shall be reviewed at least annually to ensure that the MCO's written policies reflect current practices. Reviewed policies shall be dated and signed by the MCO's appropriate manager, coordinator, director or administrator.	P/P MCO Policy Development and Approval		Substantial	This guidance is addressed in the A-LA 1501.03 Policy Development Revision Execution and Maintenance. However, the document for the job descriptions is effective 09/14/2020, which is out of the review period. Recommendation The MCO should include a job description within the review period.	A-LA 1501.03 Policy Development Revision Execution and Maintenance New Policy "Contractually Required Staffing and Job Descriptions" brought through plan committee and approved 9/2020.				
18.0	Reporting									
18.0	As per 42 CFR §438.242(a)(b)(1)-(3), the MCO shall maintain a health information system that collects, analyzes, integrates and reports data that complies with DHH and federal reporting requirements. The system must provide information on areas including, but not limited to, utilization, claims, grievances and appeals, and member disenrollment for reasons other than loss of Medicaid eligibility. The MCO shall collect data on member and provider characteristics and on services furnished to members.	Screen shot of health informatics system System reports		Full	This requirement is addressed in the screen shots Aetna provided of the Case Trakker and QNXT systems with links for Grievances, Appeals, enrollment, case management, and claims.					

2020 Compliance Report – Aetna Better Health Page 210 of 210