

AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

| | |
|--|--|
| National Imaging Associates, Inc.* | |
| Clinical guidelines ABDOMEN CTA (Angiography) | Original Date: September 1997 |
| CPT Codes: 74175 | Last Revised Date: April 202 21 |
| Guideline Number: NIA_CG_034-1 | Implementation Date: January 202 32 |

IMPORTANT NOTE

When vascular imaging of the aorta and both legs, i.e., CTA aortogram and runoff is desired (sometimes incorrectly requested as Abd/Pelvis CTA & Lower Extremity CTA Runoff), only one authorization request is required, using CPT Code 75635 Abdominal Arteries CTA. This study provides for imaging of the abdomen, pelvis, and both legs. The CPT code description is CTA aorto-iliofemoral runoff; abdominal aorta and bilateral ilio-femoral lower extremity runoff.

~~Abd/Pelvis CTA & Lower Extremity CTA Runoff Requests: Only one authorization request is required, using CPT Code 75635 Abdominal Arteries CTA. This study provides for imaging of the abdomen, pelvis and both legs. The CPT code description is CTA aorto-iliofemoral runoff; abdominal aorta and bilateral ilio-femoral lower extremity runoff.~~

INDICATIONS FOR ABDOMEN CT ANGIOGRAPHY/CT VENOGRAPHY (CTA/CTV)

For evaluation of known or suspected abdominal vascular disease

Arterial Disease

- Evaluation of known or suspected aortic aneurysm[†] (~~also approve MRA pelvis or~~ can approve CTA abdomen and pelvis if concern extends into pelvis)¹⁻³ (~~Chaikof, 2018; Khosa, 2013; Kumar, 2017~~)
 - For screening, US is initial study
 - Known or suspected aneurysm > 2.5 cm AND equivocal or indeterminate ultrasound results
 - Prior imaging (e.g., ultrasound) demonstrating aneurysm >2.5 cm in diameter
 - Suspected complications of known aneurysm as evidenced by signs/symptoms such as new onset of abdominal or pelvic pain
 - Surveillance imaging every three years for diameter 2.0-2.9 cm and annually for 3.0-3.4 cm if doppler ultrasound is inconclusive. If > 3.5 cm, < 6 month follow-up (and consider intervention)⁴ (~~Wainhainen, 2019~~)

* National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.

1— Abdomen CTA

© 2019-202~~21~~ National Imaging Associates, Inc., All Rights Reserved

‡NOTE: For known or suspected abdominal aneurysm, CT/MRI should not be approvable without a contraindication to CTA/MRA (such as severe renal dysfunction, contrast allergy, or another specific reason CT/MRI is preferred).

- Evidence of vascular abnormality seen on prior imaging studies and limited to the abdomen
- For known large vessel diseases (abdominal aorta, inferior vena cava, superior/inferior mesenteric, celiac, splenic, renal or iliac arteries/veins), e.g., aneurysm, dissection, compression syndromes, arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), fistulas, intramural hematoma, and vasculitis limited to the abdomen
- For suspected aortic dissection (approve CTA/MRA abdomen and pelvis)
- For diagnosis or follow-up of visceral artery aneurysm^{5, 6} (~~Ibrahim, 2018; Junternamms, 2018~~)
- Suspected retroperitoneal hematoma or hemorrhage to determine vascular source of hemorrhage, in setting of trauma, tumor invasion, fistula or vasculitis, otherwise CT/MR abdomen and pelvis (rather than CTA/MRA) may be sufficient and the modality of choice for diagnosing hemorrhage⁷ (~~Ioannou, 2018~~)
- For evaluation of suspected mesenteric ischemia/ischemic colitis (can approve CTA/MRA abdomen and pelvis)⁸ (~~ACR, 2018~~)
- For patients with fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD), a one-time vascular study of the abdomen and pelvis (CTA or MRA)⁹ (~~Kadian-Dodov, 2016~~)
- For patients with vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome or Marfan syndrome recommend a one-time study of the abdomen and pelvis (CTA/MRA)
- For Loeys-Dietz imaging at least every two years¹⁰ (~~Chu, 2014~~)
- For assessment in patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) can be done at time of coronary angiography (also approve CTA pelvis)¹¹ (~~Crousillat, 2020~~)
- Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor
- For evaluation of hepatic blood vessel abnormalities (aneurysm, hepatic vein thrombosis, stenosis post-transplant) after doppler ultrasound has been performed; to clarify or further evaluate ultrasound findings
- **For evaluation of known or suspected renal artery stenosis or resistant hypertension** in the setting of normal renal function (with impaired renal function, eGFR <30, use US with Doppler) unrelated to recent medication demonstrated by any of the following¹²⁻¹⁹ (~~Akbeyaz, 2017; Bailey, 2018; Gulas, 2018; Hartman, 2009; Harvin, 2017; Mohammed, 2012; Tullus, 2010; Whelton, 2018~~):
 - Unsuccessful control after treatment with 3 or more (>2) anti-hypertensive medication at optimal dosing and one should be a diuretic
 - Acute elevation of creatinine after initiation of an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE inhibitor) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB)
 - Asymmetric kidney size noted on ultrasound
 - Onset of hypertension in a person younger than age 30 without any other risk factors or family history of hypertension**
 - Significant hypertension (diastolic blood pressure > 110 mm Hg) in a young adult (i.e., younger than 35 years) suggestive of fibromuscular dysplasia²⁰ (~~Kong, 2018~~)
 - Diagnosis of a syndrome with a higher risk of vascular disease, such as neurofibromatosis, tuberous sclerosis, and Williams' syndrome

- New onset of hypertension after age 50
- Acute rise in blood pressure in a person with previously stable blood pressures
- Flash pulmonary edema without identifiable causes
- Malignant or accelerated hypertension
- Bruit heard over renal artery and hypertension
- Abnormal/inconclusive renal doppler ultrasound

Venous Disease

- Suspected renal vein thrombosis in patient with known renal mass or from other causes²¹ ~~(Mazhar, 2018)~~
- Venous thrombosis if previous studies have not resulted in a clear diagnosis (add pelvis CTA/CTV when appropriate)
- For known/suspected May-Thurner syndrome (include pelvic CTV)^{22, 23} ~~(Ibrahim 2012; Wan-Ling, 2012)~~
- Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor in the abdomen
- For evaluation of portal venous system (hepatic portal system) after doppler ultrasound has been performed
- For diffuse unexplained lower extremity edema with negative or inconclusive ultrasound²⁴ ~~(Hoshino, 2016)~~

Pre-operative evaluation

- For evaluation of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) when Doppler ultrasound indicates suspected complications²⁵⁻²⁸ ~~(Darcy, 2012; Dariushnia, 2016; Farsad, 2014; Raissi, 2019)~~
- Evaluation prior to interventional vascular procedures for luminal patency versus restenosis due to conditions such as atherosclerosis, thromboembolism, and intimal hyperplasia
- For pre-transplant evaluation of either liver or kidney
- Imaging of the deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery), include pelvic CTA/MRA²⁹ ~~(ACR, 2018)~~
- For surgical planning for UPJ (ureteropelvic junction) obstruction to look for a lower pole crossing vessel
- Planning prior Y90 radiation treatment for liver cancer in order to evaluate anatomic variation/shunts/determine best catheter placement/see if coil(s) needed^{30,30}

Post-operative or post-procedural evaluation

- Evaluation of endovascular/interventional abdominal vascular procedures for luminal patency versus restenosis due to conditions such as atherosclerosis, thromboembolism, and intimal hyperplasia
- Evaluation of post-operative complications, e.g., pseudoaneurysms related to surgical bypass grafts, vascular stents, and stent-grafts in the peritoneal cavity
- Follow-up for post-endovascular repair (EVAR) or open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) or abdominal extent of iliac artery aneurysms typically needs to include pelvic imaging, therefore Abdomen Pelvis CTA would usually be the appropriate study. ~~Routine, baseline study (post-~~

op/intervention) is warranted within 1–3 months (CTA abdomen and pelvis should be approved)^{1, 31, 32} (ACR 2018; Chaikof, 2018; Uberoi, 2011)

- If asymptomatic at six (6) month intervals for one (1) year, then annually
- If symptomatic/complications related to stent graft — more frequent imaging may be needed
- Follow up study may be needed to help evaluate a patient's progress after treatment, procedure, intervention, or surgery. Documentation requires a medical reason that clearly indicates why additional imaging is needed for the type and area(s) requested.

-

Other Vascular indications

- Suspected retroperitoneal hematoma or hemorrhage to determine vascular source of hemorrhage, in setting of trauma, tumor invasion, fistula or vasculitis; otherwise, CT/MR abdomen and pelvis (rather than CTA/MRA) may be sufficient and the modality of choice for diagnosing hemorrhage⁷ (Ioannou, 2018)
- For evaluation of hepatic blood vessel abnormalities (aneurysm, hepatic vein thrombosis, stenosis post-transplant) after doppler ultrasound has been performed; to clarify or further evaluate ultrasound findings
-
- Lower gastrointestinal hemorrhage: Active bleeding in a hemodynamically stable patient or non-localized intermittent bleeding as an alternative to Tc-99m RBC scan when colonoscopy did not localize the bleeding, is contraindicated, or unavailable^{31, 32} (Clerc, 2017; Karuppasamy, 2021)

-

Chest CTA/Abdomen/Pelvis CTA combo

- For evaluation of extensive vascular disease involving the chest and abdominal cavities
- For pre-op or preprocedural evaluation for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)^{33, 34} (Achenbach, 2012; ACR, 2018)
- Acute aortic dissection³⁵ (Barman, 2014)
- Takayasu's arteritis³⁶ (Kesser, 2014)
- Marfans syndrome
- Loeys-Dietz
- Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD)
- Vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome
- Post-op complications^{37, 38} (Bennet, 2017; Choudhury, 2017)
- Significant post-traumatic or post-procedural vascular complications

BACKGROUND

Computed tomography angiography (CTA) generates images of the arteries that can be evaluated for evidence of stenosis, occlusion, or aneurysms. It is used to evaluate the arteries of the abdominal aorta and the renal arteries. CTA uses ionizing radiation and requires the administration of iodinated contrast agent, which is a potential hazard in patients with impaired renal function. Abdominal CTA is not used as a screening tool, e.g., evaluation of asymptomatic patients without a previous diagnosis.

Cross-sectional imaging (liver ultrasound with Doppler, CT or MRI) should be completed no more than a month prior to the transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) to assess for vascular patency and look for hepatic masses or other problems that could complicate the procedure.

Post-procedure, an ultrasound of the liver is conducted a day after to assess shunt patency. Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is the most common complication and usually occurs 2-3 weeks after insertion of TIPS. Unique complications may include intravascular hemolysis and infection of the shunt. Other complications can include capsule puncture, intraperitoneal bleed, hepatic infarction, fistula, hematuria, thrombosis of stent, occlusion, or stent migration and may require cross-sectional imaging.

Follow-up and maintenance imaging if complications suspected include Doppler ultrasound to assess shunt velocity. If asymptomatic sonogram performed at 4 weeks post placement, then every 6 months to a year. The gold standard for shunt patency is portal venography, usually reserved if concern for shunt occlusion.

OVERVIEW

CTA and Renal Artery Stenosis: Renal artery stenosis is the major cause of secondary hypertension. It may also cause renal insufficiency and end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis is one of the common causes of this condition, especially in older patients with multiple cardiovascular risk factors and worsening hypertension or deterioration of renal function. CTA is used to evaluate the renal arteries and detect renal artery stenosis.

**NF1 may present with hypertension due to renal artery stenosis in children. All young patients (<30 year) with hypertension should be clinically screened for secondary causes of hypertension, including NF1, so that renal revascularization can be offered before permanent end organ damage has occurred ~~(Duan, 2014)~~.³⁹

Abdominal Aneurysms and general guidelines for follow-up: The normal diameter of the suprarenal abdominal aorta is 3.0 cm and that of the infrarenal is 2.0 cm. Aneurysmal dilatation of the infrarenal aorta is defined as diameter ≥ 3.0 cm or dilatation of the aorta ≥ 1.5 x the normal diameter ~~(Khesa, 2013)~~.² ~~Initial~~ evaluation of AAA ~~can be is~~ accurately made by **ultrasound**. Ultrasound can detect and size AAA, with the advantage of being relatively inexpensive, noninvasive, and not requiring iodinate contrast. The limitations are that overlying bowel gas can obscure findings and the technique is operator-dependent. CTA/MRA needed only when ultrasound is inconclusive/insufficient or when surgery is planned.

Asymptomatic Aneurysms may require treatment when:

- Diameter is > 2 cm
- Identified during pregnancy
- Multiple aneurysms are present
- Hepatic transplant

~~Recommended intervals for initial follow-up imaging of ectatic aortas and abdominal aortas (follow-up intervals may vary depending on comorbidities and the growth rate of the aneurysm) from the white paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee II on vascular findings² (Khosa, 2013):~~

~~2.5–2.9 cm:.....5 yr
3.0–3.4 cm:.....3 yr
3.5–3.9 cm:.....2 yr
4.0–4.4 cm:.....1 yr
4.5–4.9 cm:.....6 mo
5.0–5.5 cm:.....3–6 mo~~

~~The Society of Vascular Surgery has different follow-up intervals for AAA⁴ (Chaikof, 2018):~~

~~> 2.5 cm < 3 cm.....10 yr
3.0–3.9 cm.....3 yr
4.0–4.9 cm.....12 mo
5.0–5.4 cm.....6 mo~~

The Society of Vascular Surgery recommends elective repair of AAA ≥ 5.5 cm in patients at low or acceptable surgical risk ~~(Chaikof, 2018)~~.¹

MRI/CT and acute hemorrhage: MRI is not indicated and MRA/MRV (MR Angiography/Venography) is rarely indicated for evaluation of intraperitoneal or retroperitoneal hemorrhage, particularly in the acute setting. **CT is usually the study of choice** due to its availability, speed of the study, and less susceptibility to artifact from patient motion. Advances in technology have allowed conventional CT to not just detect hematomas but also the source of acute vascular extravasation. In special cases finer vascular detail to assess the specific source vessel responsible for hemorrhage may require the use of CTA. CTA in diagnosis of lower gastrointestinal bleeding is such an example ~~(Clerc, 2017)~~.³²

MRA/MRV is often utilized in non-acute situations to assess vascular structure involved in atherosclerotic disease and its complications, vasculitis, venous thrombosis, vascular congestion, or tumor invasion. Although some of these conditions may be associated with hemorrhage, it is usually not the primary reason why MRI/MRA/MRV is selected for the evaluation. A special condition where MRI may be superior to CT for evaluating hemorrhage is to detect an underlying neoplasm as the cause of bleeding ~~(Abe, 2010)~~.⁴⁰

POLICY HISTORY

| Date | Summary |
|-------------------|--|
| <u>April 2022</u> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Added indication for UPJ surgery</u> • <u>Clarified note regarding vascular imaging of the aorta and both legs (i.e., CTA aortogram and runoff)</u> • <u>Clarified evaluation of known or suspected aortic aneurysm</u> • <u>Removed follow-up intervals for EVAR and AAA since Abdomen Pelvis CTA is usually appropriate study</u> • <u>Added Y90 indication</u> |
| April 2021 | <p>Added Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For syndromes for which imaging starts in the pediatric age group, MRI preferred • ABDOMEN or Pelvis CT ALONE SHOULD ONLY BE APPROVED WHEN DISEASE PROCESS IS SUSPECTED TO BE LIMITED TO THE ABDOMEN or Pelvis. CT Abdomen/Pelvis Combo (CPT Codes: 74176, 74177, 74178) is the correct study when the indication(s) include both the abdomen AND pelvis, such as CTU (CT Urography), CTE (CT Enterography), acute abdominal pain, widespread inflammatory disease or neoplasm. Otherwise, the exam should be limited to the appropriate area. (i.e., Abdomen OR Pelvis) which includes the specific organ, area of known disease/abnormality or the area of concern. |
| May 2020 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added compression syndromes for evaluation of vascular disease • Added evaluation of FMD, Vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Loetz-Dietz • Added May-Thurner Added to assess DVT in pregnant women vs serial compression ultrasound, to include pelvis • Added indications for combo studies for chest CTA/abdomen and pelvis CTA |
| May 2019 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added indications for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt when Doppler ultrasound indicates suspected complications; accelerated hypertension; pre-transplant evaluation of either liver or kidney; imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery • For chest CTA/Abdomen CTA combo: added Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement; Acute Aortic dissection; Takayasu's arteritis; post op complications; significant post-traumatic or post-procedural vascular complications • Added and modified Background information and updated references |

REFERENCES

- ~~Abe T, Kai M, Miyoshi O, Nagaie T. Idiopathic retroperitoneal hematoma. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. 2010;4(3):318-322. doi:10.1159/000320590.~~
- ~~Achenbach S, Delgado V, Hausleiter J, et al. SCCT expert consensus document on computed tomography imaging before transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2012 Nov-Dec; 6(6):366-80.~~
- ~~Akbeyaz IH, Tirosh A, Robinson C, et al. Spontaneously resolving hyperreninemic hypertension caused by accessory renal artery stenosis in a 13-year-old girl: A case report. *J Clin Hypertension*. 2017 Jan; 19(1):100-102.~~
- ~~American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>. Revised 2018.~~
- ~~Bailey SR, Beckman JA, Dao TD, et al. ACC / AHA / SCAI / SIR / SVM 2018 Appropriate Use Criteria for Peripheral Artery Intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018 Dec.~~
- ~~Barman M. Acute aortic dissection. *ESC Eur Society Cardiol*. 2014 Jul 02; 12(25).~~
- ~~Bennet KM, Kent KC, Schumaker J, et al. Targeting the most important complications in vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg*. 2017; 65(3):793-803.~~
- ~~Chaikof EL, Dalman RL, Eskandari MK, et al. The Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines on the care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg*. January 2018; 67(1):2-77.e2. [http://www.jvascsurg.org/article/S0741-5214\(17\)32369-8/fulltext#sec1.3](http://www.jvascsurg.org/article/S0741-5214(17)32369-8/fulltext#sec1.3). Retrieved February 15, 2018.~~
- ~~Choudhury M. Postoperative Management of Vascular Surgery Patients: A Brief Review. *Clin Surg*. 2017; 2:1584.~~
- ~~Chu LC, Johnson PT, et al. CT Angiographic Evaluation of Genetic Vascular Disease: Role in Detection, Staging, and Management of Complex Vascular Pathologic Conditions. *AJR*. May 2014; 202(5).~~
- ~~Crousillat DR, Wood MJ, et al. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: An Update for the Interventionalist. *Cath Lab Digest*. 2020 Mar; 28(3).~~
- ~~Darcy M. Evaluation and management of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012 Oct; 199(4):730-6.~~
- ~~Dariushnia SR, Haskal ZJ, Midia M, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2016 Jan; 27(1):1-7.~~

~~Davis F, Rateri DL, et al. Aortic aneurysms in Loetz-Dietz Syndrome at tale of two pathways? *J Clin Invest*. 2014; 124(1).~~

~~Duan L, Feng K, Tong A, et al. Renal artery stenosis due to neurofibromatosis type 1: Case report and literature review. *Eur J Med Res*. 2014 Mar; 19:17.~~

~~Farsad K, Kolbeck KJ. Clinical and radiologic evaluation of patients before TIPS creation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 Oct; 203(4):739-45.~~

~~Gulas E, Wysiaddecki G, Szymanski J, et al. Morphological and clinical aspects of the occurrence of accessory (multiple) renal arteries. *Arch Med Sci*. 2018 Mar; 14(2):442-53.~~

~~Hartman R, Kawashima A. Radiologic evaluation of suspected renovascular hypertension. *Am Fam Physician*. August 1, 2009; 80(3):273-279. <https://www.aafp.org/afp/2009/0801/p273.html>. Retrieved February 15, 2018.~~

~~Harvin HJ, Verma N, Nikolaidis P, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®—Renovascular Hypertension. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 Nov; 14(11S):S540-9.~~

~~Hoshino Y, Machida M, et al. Unilateral leg swelling: Differential diagnostic issue other than deep venous thrombosis. *J Gen Fam Med*. 2016; 17(4):311-314.~~

~~Ibrahim F, Dunn J, Rundback J, et al. Visceral artery aneurysms: Diagnosis, surveillance, and treatment. *Curr Treat Options Med*. 2018 Oct 26; 20(12):97.~~

~~Ibrahim W, Zakareya AS, et al. Endovascular Management of May-Thurner Syndrome. *Ann Vasc. Dis*. 2012; 5(2):217-221.~~

~~Ioannou P, Alexakis G. Spontaneous retroperitoneal bleeding in a patient with primary antiphospholipid syndrome on aspirin. *Case Rep Emerg Med*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4397893>.~~

~~Juntermanns B, Bernheim J, Karaindros K, et al. Visceral artery aneurysms. *Gefasschirurgie*. 2018; 23(Suppl 1):19-22.~~

~~Kadian-Dodov D, Gornik HL, et al. Dissection and Aneurysm in Patients with Fibromuscular Dysplasia: Findings from the US Registry for FMD. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016; 68(2).~~

~~Karuppasamy K, Kapoor BS, Fidelman N, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiologic Management of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding: 2021 Update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021;18(5S):S139-S152. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2021.02.018.~~

~~Keser G, Direskeneli H, Aksu K. Management of Takayasu arteritis: A systematic review. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2014 May; 53(5):793-801.~~

~~Khosa F, Krinsky G, Macari M, et al. Managing incidental findings on abdominal and pelvic CT and MRI, Part 2: White paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee II on vascular findings. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2013; 10(10):789-94. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2013.05.021.~~

~~Kong W, Hu Z. Unique imaging findings in fibromuscular dysplasia of renal arteries: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018 Nov; 97(46):e12815.~~

~~Kranokpiraksa P, Kaufman JA. Follow-up of endovascular aneurysm repair: plain radiography, ultrasound, CT/CT angiography, MR imaging/MR angiography, or what? *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2008; 19(6):S27-S36. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2008.03.009.~~

~~Kumar Y, Hooda K, et al. Abdominal aortic aneurysm. Pictorial review of common appearances and complications. *Ann Transl Med*. 2017; 5(12):256.~~

~~Liu PS, Platt JF. CT angiography of the renal circulation. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2010; 48(2):347-65. doi: 10.1016/j.rcl.2010.02.005.~~

~~Mazhar HR, Aeddula NR. Renal Vein Thrombosis. StatPearls. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2019-2018-Dec-26.~~

~~Mohammed AMA, Abdalrasol RGE, Abdalhai KA, et al. Accessory renal vessels. *Acta Inform Med*. 2012 Sep; 20(3):196-97.~~

~~Raissi D, Roney EA, Issa MM, et al. Early TIPS failure in association with left mesenterico-gonadal spontaneous portosystemic venous shunt; a case report. *Clin Imaging*. 2019 Jan-Feb; 53:200-3.~~

~~Tullus K, Roebuck DJ, McLaren CA, et al. Imaging in the evaluation of renovascular disease. *Pediatr Nephrol*. June 2010; 25(6):1049-1056. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2855432/>. Retrieved February 15, 2018.~~

~~Uberoi R, Tsetis D, Shrivastava V, et al. Standard of practice for the interventional management of isolated iliac artery aneurysms. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2011; 34(1):3-13. doi: 10.1007/s00270-010-0055-0.~~

~~Wan-Ling MW, Wen-Sheng T, et al. Comprehensive MDCT Evaluation of Patients with Suspected May-Thurner Syndrome. *AJR*. 2012;199(5)~~

~~Wanhainen A, Verzini F, et al. Editor's choice-European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019. Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of aorto-iliac artery aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2019; 57(1):8-93.~~

~~Whelton PK, Carey RM, Wilbert S, et al. 2017 ACC / AHA / AAPA / ABC / ACPM / AGS / APHA / ASH / ASPC / NMA / PCNA Guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high~~

~~blood pressure in adults: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018; 71(6):e13-115.~~

~~Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee~~

GENERAL INFORMATION

~~It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.~~

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates ("Magellan"). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.

1.

Chaikof EL, Dalman RL, Eskandari MK, et al. The Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines on the care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg*. Jan 2018;67(1):2-77.e2.

doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2017.10.044

2. Khosa F, Krinsky G, Macari M, Yucel EK, Berland LL. Managing incidental findings on abdominal and pelvic CT and MRI, Part 2: white paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee II on vascular findings. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Oct 2013;10(10):789-94. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2013.05.021

3. Kumar Y, Hooda K, Li S, Goyal P, Gupta N, Adeb M. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: pictorial review of common appearances and complications. *Ann Transl Med*. Jun 2017;5(12):256.

doi:10.21037/atm.2017.04.32

4. Wanhainen A, Verzini F, Van Herzele I, et al. Editor's Choice - European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Abdominal Aorto-iliac Artery Aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. Jan 2019;57(1):8-93. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.09.020

5. Ibrahim F, Dunn J, Rundback J, Pellerito J, Galmer A. Visceral Artery Aneurysms: Diagnosis, Surveillance, and Treatment. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med*. Oct 26 2018;20(12):97.

doi:10.1007/s11936-018-0696-x

6. Juntermanns B, Bernheim J, Karaindros K, Walensi M, Hoffmann JN. Visceral artery aneurysms. *Gefasschirurgie*. 2018;23(Suppl 1):19-22. doi:10.1007/s00772-018-0384-x

7. Ioannou P, Alexakis G. Spontaneous Retroperitoneal Bleeding in a Patient with Primary Antiphospholipid Syndrome on Aspirin. *Case Rep Emerg Med*. 2018;2018:4397893.

doi:10.1155/2018/4397893

8. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Mesenteric Ischemia. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed November 5, 2021.

<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70909/Narrative/>

9. Kadian-Dodov D, Gornik HL, Gu X, et al. Dissection and Aneurysm in Patients With Fibromuscular Dysplasia: Findings From the U.S. Registry for FMD. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jul 12 2016;68(2):176-85. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.044
10. Chu LC, Johnson PT, Dietz HC, Fishman EK. CT angiographic evaluation of genetic vascular disease: role in detection, staging, and management of complex vascular pathologic conditions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2014;202(5):1120-9. doi:10.2214/ajr.13.11485
11. Crousillat DR, Wood MJ. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: An Update for the Interventionalist. HMP Global Learning Network. Updated March 2020. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://www.hmpgloballearningnetwork.com/site/cathlab/content/spontaneous-coronary-artery-dissection-update-interventionalist>
12. Akbeyaz IH, Tirosh A, Robinson C, et al. Spontaneously Resolving Hyperreninemic Hypertension Caused by Accessory Renal Artery Stenosis in a 13-Year-Old Girl: A Case Report. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. Jan 2017;19(1):100-102. doi:10.1111/jch.12893
13. Bailey SR, Beckman JA, Dao TD, et al. ACC/AHA/SCAI/SIR/SVM 2018 Appropriate Use Criteria for Peripheral Artery Intervention: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, and Society for Vascular Medicine. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jan 22 2019;73(2):214-237. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.002
14. Gulas E, Wysocki G, Szymański J, et al. Morphological and clinical aspects of the occurrence of accessory (multiple) renal arteries. *Arch Med Sci*. Mar 2018;14(2):442-453. doi:10.5114/aoms.2015.55203
15. Hartman RP, Kawashima A. Radiologic evaluation of suspected renovascular hypertension. *Am Fam Physician*. Aug 1 2009;80(3):273-9.
16. Harvin HJ, Verma N, Nikolaidis P, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Renovascular Hypertension. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2017;14(11s):S540-s549. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.040
17. Ali Mohammed AM, Elseed Abdalrasol RG, Alamin Abdalhai K, Gomaa Hamad M. Accessory renal vessels. *Acta Inform Med*. Sep 2012;20(3):196-7. doi:10.5455/aim.2012.20.196-197
18. Tullus K, Roebuck DJ, McLaren CA, Marks SD. Imaging in the evaluation of renovascular disease. *Pediatr Nephrol*. Jun 2010;25(6):1049-56. doi:10.1007/s00467-009-1320-9
19. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension*. Jun 2018;71(6):e13-e115. doi:10.1161/hyp.0000000000000065
20. Kong W, Hu Z. Unique imaging findings in fibromuscular dysplasia of renal arteries: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Nov 2018;97(46):e12815. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000012815
21. Mazhar HR, Aeddula NR. Renal Vein Thrombosis. *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2021, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2021.
22. Ibrahim W, Al Safran Z, Hasan H, Zeid WA. Endovascular management of may-thurner syndrome. *Ann Vasc Dis*. 2012;5(2):217-21. doi:10.3400/avd.cr.12.00007
23. Wu WL, Tzeng WS, Wu RH, et al. Comprehensive MDCT evaluation of patients with suspected May-Thurner syndrome. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Nov 2012;199(5):W638-45. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.8040
24. Hoshino Y, Machida M, Shimano Si, et al. Unilateral Leg Swelling: Differential Diagnostic Issue Other than Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Journal of General and Family Medicine*. 2016;17(4):311-314.

25. Darcy M. Evaluation and management of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Oct 2012;199(4):730-6. doi:10.2214/ajr.12.9060
26. Dariushnia SR, Haskal ZJ, Midia M, et al. Quality Improvement Guidelines for Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunts. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jan 2016;27(1):1-7. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2015.09.018
27. Farsad K, Kolbeck KJ. Clinical and radiologic evaluation of patients before TIPS creation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Oct 2014;203(4):739-45. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12999
28. Raissi D, Roney EA, Issa MM, Sanampudi S, Winkler MA. Early TIPS failure in association with left mesenterico-gonadal spontaneous portosystemic venous shunt; a case report. *Clin Imaging*. Jan-Feb 2019;53:200-203. doi:10.1016/j.clinimag.2018.10.023
29. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Deep Inferior Epigastric Arteries for Surgical Planning (Breast Reconstruction Surgery). American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101591/Narrative/>
30. Kim SP, Cohalan C, Kopek N, Enger SA. A guide to (90)Y radioembolization and its dosimetry. *Phys Med*. Dec 2019;68:132-145. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2019.09.236
31. Karuppasamy K, Kapoor BS, Fidelman N, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiologic Management of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding: 2021 Update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2021;18(5s):S139-s152. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2021.02.018
32. Clerc D, Grass F, Schäfer M, Denys A, Demartines N, Hübner M. Lower gastrointestinal bleeding-Computed Tomographic Angiography, Colonoscopy or both? *World J Emerg Surg*. 2017;12:1. doi:10.1186/s13017-016-0112-3
33. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed January 4, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082594/Narrative/>
34. Achenbach S, Delgado V, Hausleiter J, Schoenhagen P, Min JK, Leipsic JA. SCCT expert consensus document on computed tomography imaging before transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. Nov-Dec 2012;6(6):366-80. doi:10.1016/j.jcct.2012.11.002
35. Barman M. Acute aortic dissection. *ESC e-J Cardio Pract*. 2014;12(25):02Jul2014. doi:<https://www.escardio.org/Journals/E-Journal-of-Cardiology-Practice/Volume-12/Acute-aortic-dissection>
36. Keser G, Direskeneli H, Aksu K. Management of Takayasu arteritis: a systematic review. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. May 2014;53(5):793-801. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ket320
37. Bennett KM, Kent KC, Schumacher J, Greenberg CC, Scarborough JE. Targeting the most important complications in vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg*. Mar 2017;65(3):793-803. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2016.08.107
38. Choudhury M. Postoperative management of vascular surgery patients: a brief review. *Clin Surg*. 2017;2:1584.
39. Duan L, Feng K, Tong A, Liang Z. Renal artery stenosis due to neurofibromatosis type 1: case report and literature review. *Eur J Med Res*. Mar 28 2014;19(1):17. doi:10.1186/2047-783x-19-17
40. Abe T, Kai M, Miyoshi O, Nagaie T. Idiopathic Retroperitoneal Hematoma. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. Sep 11 2010;4(3):318-322. doi:10.1159/000320590

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Davis F, Rateri DL, Daugherty A. Aortic aneurysms in Loeys-Dietz syndrome - a tale of two pathways? *J Clin Invest*. Jan 2014;124(1):79-81. doi:10.1172/jci73906
2. Kranokpiraksa P, Kaufman JA. Follow-up of endovascular aneurysm repair: plain radiography, ultrasound, CT/CT angiography, MR imaging/MR angiography, or what? *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jun 2008;19(6 Suppl):S27-36. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2008.03.009
3. Liu PS, Platt JF. CT angiography of the renal circulation. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Mar 2010;48(2):347-65, viii-ix. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2010.02.005

Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee

GENERAL INFORMATION

It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.