

AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines UPPER EXTREMITY CT (Hand, Wrist, Elbow, Long bone, or Shoulder CT)	Original Date: September 1997
CPT Codes: 73200, 73201, 73202	Last Revised Date: March 2022 May 2023
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_057-1	Implementation Date: January 2023 4

GENERAL INFORMATION

- It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.
- Where a specific clinical indication is not directly addressed in this guideline, medical necessity determination will be made based on widely accepted standard of care criteria. These criteria are supported by evidence-based or peer-reviewed sources such as medical literature, societal guidelines and state/national recommendations.

INDICATIONS FOR UPPER EXTREMITY CT (HAND, WRIST, ARM, ELBOW, OR SHOULDER) (Plain radiographs must precede CT evaluation)

Some indications are for MRI, CT, or MR or CT Arthrogram. More than one should not be approved at the same time.

If a CT Arthrogram fits approvable criteria below, approve as CT.

Joint or muscle pain without positive findings on an orthopedic exam as listed above, after x-ray completed^{1,2} (does not apply to young children). If MRI contraindicated or cannot be performed or requested as a CT arthrogram.

- Persistent joint or musculotendinous pain unresponsive to conservative treatment*, within the last 6 months which includes active medical therapy (physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, and/or physician-supervised exercise**), of at least four (4) weeks, OR

*-National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.

- With progression or worsening of symptoms during the course of conservative treatment

Joint specific provocative orthopedic examination and MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed or requested as a CT arthrogram

Note: With a positive orthopedic sign, an initial x-ray is always preferred. However, it is not required to approve advanced imaging. Any test that suggests joint instability requires further imaging (list is not all inconclusive)

• Shoulder¹⁻⁴

- Any positive test listed
 - Rotator cuff weakness⁵

Shoulder³⁻⁶

- Rotator cuff weakness on exam
- Subscapularis tendon tear
 - Belly press off test
 - Napoleon test
 - Bear Hug test
 - Internal rotation lag ~~Belly press~~
 - Lift-off test
- Supraspinatus tendon tear
 - Drop Arm ~~test~~
 - Full Can test
 - ~~Hornblower's sign~~
 - Empty Can (aka Jobe or Internal rotation lag sign)
 - Supraspinatus test ~~(aka Empty Can Test)~~
 - Hawkins or Neer test⁷ (only when positive because of ordered by an orthopedic surgeon if there is clear documentation in the records that an actual rotator cuff tear is suspected, and NOT just for the evaluation of impingement)
- Infraspinatus / Teres Minor / Biceps tendon tear
 - External rotation lag sign at 0 and 90 degrees
 - Pain or weakness with resisted external rotation testing

• Elbow^{6,7}

- Any positive test listed
 - ~~Valgus stress~~
 - ~~Varus stress~~
 - Hornblower test
 - Popeye sign (if acute finding or for evaluation of surgical correction)
- Labral tear/ Instability
 - Grind test
 - Clunk test

- Crank test, Compression-rotation test
- O'Brien's test
- Anterior load and shift
- Apprehension test
- Posterior load and shift test
- Jerk Test
- Sulcus sign

Elbow^{8, 9}

- Biceps tendon
 - Bicipital aponeurosis (BA) flex test
 - Biceps squeeze test
 - Hook test
 - Passive forearm pronation test
 - Reverse Popeye sign (if acute finding or for evaluation of surgical correction)
- Instability
 - Posterolateral rotatory drawer test
 - Tabletop relocation test
 - Valgus stress
 - Varus stress
 - Milking maneuver
 - Push-up test
 - Popeye sign

Wrist^{8, 9, 10, 11}

- Any positive Lunotriquetral ligament
 - Derby Relocation test ~~listed~~
 - Reagan test (lunotriquetral ballottement test)
- TFCC tear
 - Press test
 - Ulnar foveal sign/test
 - Ulnocarpal stress test
- Scaphoid ligament
 - Watson test (scaphoid shift test)
 - Scapholunate ballottement test
 - ~~Reagan test (lunotriquetral ballottement test)~~
 - Snuff box pain (after initial x-ray)

Joint

Tendon or Muscle ~~pain without positive findings on an orthopedic exam as Rupture after x-ray¹²⁻¹⁴ (not listed above, after x-ray completed and an)~~ If MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed^{10,11} (does not apply to young children).

- ~~• Persistent joint or musculotendinous pain unresponsive to conservative treatment*, within the last 6 months which includes active medical therapy (physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, and/or physician supervised exercise**) of at least four (4) weeks; OR~~
- ~~• With progression or worsening of symptoms during the course of conservative treatment~~
- High clinical suspicion of injury with clinical findings, which may be nonspecific, a specific tendon rupture based on mechanism of injury and physical findings (i.e., triceps or pectorals tendon rupture)

Shoulder Dislocations ~~x-ray completed, and^{15, 16} If MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed unless requested as CT arthrogram or to evaluate glenoid bone stock or size of Hill- Sachs lesion.~~

- ~~• TFCC (triangular fibrocartilage complex) injury^{12,13}~~
- ~~• SLAP (superior labral anterior to posterior complex) lesions⁴~~

Shoulder Dislocations^{14, 15}

- Recurrent
- First time in any of the situations below that increase the risk or repeated dislocation
 - Glenoid or humeral bone loss on x-ray
 - Bankart lesion on radiographs
 - 14-35 – 40-year-old competitive contact sport athlete
 - > 40 with exam findings concerning for rotator cuff tear (i.e., weakness on exam)

Bone Fracture (If MRI contraindicated or cannot be performed)

- Suspected occult scaphoid fracture with snuffbox pain after initial x-ray
- Non scaphoid suspected occult, stress or insufficiency fracture with a negative initial x-ray¹⁷⁻¹⁹
 - Repeat x-rays in 10-14 days if negative or non-diagnostic
- Pathologic fracture on x-ray or CT²⁰
- Suspected ligamentous/tendon injury with known fractures on x-ray/CT that may require surgery

Fracture Nonunion

- Nonunion or delayed union as demonstrated by no healing between two sets of x-rays. If a fracture has not healed by 4-6 months, there is delayed union. [Incomplete healing by 6-8 months is nonunion.](#)²¹

Osteochondral Lesions (defects, fractures, osteochondritis dissecans) and x-ray completed²²⁻²⁵

- [Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings](#)

Loose bodies or synovial chondromatosis and after x-ray or ultrasound completed

- [In the setting of joint pain or mechanical symptoms](#)²⁶

Osteonecrosis (

Extremity Mass

- ~~Mass or lesion after non-diagnostic x-ray or ultrasound¹⁶~~
 - ~~○ If superficial, then ultrasound is the initial study~~
 - ~~○ If deep, then x-ray is the initial study~~
 - ~~○ CT is better than MRI to evaluate mass calcification or bone involvement and may complement or replace MRI¹⁷~~
 - ~~○ If there is a contraindication to MRI~~

~~Known e.g., [Avascular necrosis \(AVN\)](#)²⁷⁻²⁹ when MRI is contraindicated or cannot be preformed~~

- ~~• [To further characterize a prior abnormal x-ray](#)~~
- ~~• [Normal x-rays but symptomatic and high-risk \(e.g., glucocorticosteroid use, renal transplant recipient, glycogen storage disease, alcohol abuse,27 sickle cell anemia28\)](#)~~
- ~~• [Known osteonecrosis to evaluate a contralateral joint after initial x-rays](#)~~

Joint prosthesis/replacement

- [Suspected joint prosthesis loosening or dysfunction, \(i.e. pseudotumor formation\) after initial x-rays](#)^{30, 31}

Extremity Mass³²

- [Mass or lesion after non-diagnostic x-ray or ultrasound³³ MRI preferred. CT is better than MRI to evaluate mass calcification or bone involvement and may complement or replace MRI³⁴](#)
 - [○ If superficial mass, then ultrasound is the initial study](#)
 - [○ If deep mass, then x-ray is the initial study](#)
- [Vascular malformations](#)
 - [○ After initial evaluation with ultrasound and results will change management or for preoperative planning³⁵](#)
 - [▪ CTA is also approvable for initial evaluation](#)

▪ Follow up after treatment/embolization

Known Primary Cancer of the Extremity^{18-22,36-40}

- ~~Cancer~~Initial staging primary extremity tumor
- ~~Cancer restaging~~
 - Follow-up of known primary cancer of patient undergoing active treatment within the past year or as per surveillance imaging guidance for that cancer
 - Signs or symptoms ~~of~~for imaging findings suspicious for recurrence
 - Suspected metastatic disease with signs/symptoms and after initial imaging with radiographs

Further evaluation of indeterminate or questionable findings on prior imaging and MRI cannot be performed or CT is preferred (i.e., tumor matrix)

- For initial evaluation of an inconclusive finding on a prior imaging report (i.e., x-ray, ultrasound or MRI) that requires further clarification.
- One follow-up exam of a prior indeterminate MR/CT finding to ensure no suspicious interval change has occurred. (No further surveillance unless specified as highly suspicious or change was found on last follow-up exam).

Infection of Bone ~~or~~ Joint or Soft tissue abscess^{23, 24,41, 42}

MRI and nuclear medicine studies are recommended for acute infection as they are more sensitive in detecting early changes of osteomyelitis.^{25,43} CT is better at demonstrating findings of chronic osteomyelitis (sequestra, involucrum, cloaca, sinus tracts) as well as detecting soft tissue gas and foreign bodies.^{26,44}

- Abnormal x-ray or ultrasound
- Negative x-ray but with a clinical suspicion of infection
 - Signs and symptoms of joint or bone infection include:
 - Pain and swelling
 - Decrease range of motion
 - Fever
 - Laboratory findings of infection include any of the following:
 - Elevated ESR or CRP
 - Elevated white blood cell count
 - Positive joint aspiration
- Ulcer (diabetic, pressure, ischemic, traumatic) with signs of infection (redness, warm, swelling, pain, discharge which may range from white to serosanguineous) that is not improving despite treatment and bone ~~or~~ deep infection is suspected
 - ~~Increased suspicion if size or temperature increases, bone is exposed/positive probe to bone test, new areas of breakdown, new smell~~²⁷

- Increased suspicion if size or temperature increases, bone is exposed/positive probe-to-bone test, new areas of breakdown, new smell⁴⁵

Osteonecrosis (Avascular necrosis (AVN)) [MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed]²⁸⁻³¹

- Abnormal x-ray
- Normal or indeterminate x-rays but symptomatic and high-risk (e.g., glucocorticosteroid use, renal transplant recipient, glycogen storage disease, alcohol abuse,³² sickle cell anemia³³)

Pre-operative/procedural evaluation:

- Pre-operative evaluation for a planned surgery or procedure

Post-operative/procedural evaluation:

- When imaging, physical, or laboratory findings indicate joint infection, delayed or non-healing, or other surgical/procedural complications

Inflammatory Arthropathy (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis) and MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed^{34, 35, 46, 47}

- Further evaluation of an abnormality or non-diagnostic findings on prior imaging
- Initial imaging of a single joint for diagnosis or response to therapy after plain films and appropriate lab tests (e.g., RF, ANA, CRP, ESR)
- To determine change in treatment or when diagnosis is uncertain prior to start of treatment
- Follow-up to determine treatment efficacy in the following:
 - Early rheumatoid arthritis
 - Advanced rheumatoid arthritis if x-ray and ultrasound are equivocal or noncontributory

Known or suspected inflammatory myopathies (If MRI contraindicated or cannot be performed): (Includes polymyositis, dermatomyositis, immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy, inclusion body myositis)^{48, 49}

- For diagnosis
- For biopsy planning

Crystalline Arthropathy

- Dual-energy CT can be used to characterize crystal deposition disease (i.e. gout) after
 - Appropriate rheumatological work up and initial x-rays AND
 - After inconclusive joint aspiration or when joint aspiration cannot be performed OR⁵⁰

○ In the setting of extra-articular crystal deposits (i.e., tendons or bursa)

- ~~Foreign Body~~ Dual energy CT can be used to characterize crystal deposition disease, such as gout versus CPPD³⁶

51

~~Bone Fracture or Ligament Injury~~

- ~~Suspected stress or insufficiency fracture with a negative initial x-ray^{37,38}~~
 - ~~Repeat x rays in 10-14 days if negative or non-diagnostic.~~
- ~~Intraarticular fractures or carpal bone fractures or instability that may require surgery³⁹~~
- ~~Suspected scaphoid fracture with negative x-ray~~
- ~~Other upper extremity fractures that may require surgery~~
- ~~Nonunion or delayed union as demonstrated by no healing between two sets of x-rays. If a fracture has not healed by 4-6 months, there is delayed union. Incomplete healing by 6-8 months is nonunion.^{40,41}~~
- ~~Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings, x-ray completed and MRI contraindicated~~
 - ~~TFCC (triangular fibrocartilage complex) injury^{12,13}~~
 - ~~SLAP (superior labral anterior to posterior complex) lesions⁴~~

~~Note: Imaging approvable in the setting of known trauma; otherwise, active conservative therapy is recommended (see background).~~

~~Osteochondral Lesions (defects, fractures, osteochondritis dissecans) and x-ray completed⁴²⁻⁴⁵~~
~~Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings~~

- ~~Loose bodies or synovial chondromatosis seen on x-ray or ultrasound~~
 - ~~In the setting of joint pain⁴⁶~~

~~Foreign Body⁴⁷~~

- Indeterminate x-ray and ultrasound

~~Tendon or Muscle Rupture after x-ray and MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰~~

- ~~Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings (i.e., Popeye, Hook, Yergason's sign)~~

Peripheral Nerve Entrapment (e.g., carpal tunnel) and MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed, including any of the following^{51-55, 52-56}:

- Abnormal electromyogram or nerve conduction study
- Abnormal x-ray or ultrasound
- Clinical suspicion and failed 4 weeks conservative treatment including at least two of the following (active treatment with physical therapy is not required):

- Activity modification
- Rest, ice, or heat
- Splinting or orthotics
- Medication

Brachial Plexopathy and MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed^{56, 57, 58}

- If mechanism of injury or EMG/NCV studies are suggestive
- Chest **MRI/CT** is preferred study, but neck and/or shoulder (upper extremity) **MRI/CT** can be **ordered/approved** depending on the suspected location of injury

~~Pre-operative/procedural evaluation:~~

- ~~• Pre-operative evaluation for a planned surgery or procedure~~

~~Post-operative/procedural evaluation:~~

- ~~• When imaging, physical, or laboratory findings indicate joint infection, delayed or non-healing, or other surgical/procedural complications~~
- ~~• Joint prosthesis loosening or dysfunction, x-rays non-diagnostic^{58, 59}~~

Note: Any test that suggest joint impingement or instability requires further imaging (list is not all inconclusive)

Pediatrics

- Osteoid Osteoma after an x-ray is done⁵⁹

BACKGROUND

Computed tomography (CT) may be used for the diagnosis, evaluation, and management of conditions of the hand, wrist, elbow, and shoulder. CT is not usually the initial imaging test, but it is performed after standard radiographs. CT is used for preoperative evaluation or to evaluate specific abnormalities of the bones, joints, and soft tissues of the upper extremities.

OVERVIEW

***Conservative Therapy** – (Musculoskeletal) should include a multimodality approach consisting of a combination of active and inactive components. Inactive components, such as rest, ice, heat, modified activities, medical devices, (including crutches, immobilizer, metal braces, orthotics, rigid stabilizer, or splints, etc. and not to include neoprene sleeves), medications, injections (bursal, and/or joint, not including trigger point), and diathermy, can be utilized.

Active modalities may consist of physical therapy, a physician-supervised home exercise program**, and/or chiropractic care.

****Home Exercise Program - (HEP)** – The following two elements are required to meet guidelines for completion of conservative therapy:

- Information provided on exercise prescription/plan AND
- Follow-up with member with information provided regarding completion of HEP (after suitable 4-week period), or inability to complete HEP due to physical reason- i.e., increased pain, inability to physically perform exercises. (Patient inconvenience or noncompliance without explanation does not constitute “inability to complete” HEP).

~~**Joint Implants and Hardware**—Dual-energy CT may be useful for metal artifact reduction if available, but it is also imperfect as the correction is based on a projected approximation of x-ray absorption and does not correct for scatter.⁶⁰ Dual-energy CT can be used to characterize crystal deposition disease, such as gout versus CPPD.³⁶~~

~~**CT to Evaluate Shoulder Pain**—The initial work-up for chronic shoulder pain includes plain radiographs. When the diagnosis remains unclear, further testing including may include computed tomography. CT is the preferred imaging technique for evaluating bony disorders of the shoulders, e.g., arthritis, tumors, occult fractures. CT may be useful in patients with suspected rotator cuff tears who cannot undergo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).~~

Shoulder Dislocation – Glenoid bone loss occurs in anterior shoulder dislocation. Severe degrees of glenoid bone loss are shown on axial radiography, but it can be quantified more definitively using CT. This information is important as it helps to predict the likelihood of further dislocation and the need for bone augmentation surgery. The number of dislocations cannot reliably predict the degree of glenoid bone loss; it is important to quantify glenoid bone loss, initially by arthroscopy and later by CT. ~~In the CT examination, both glenoids can be examined simultaneously, resulting in a comparison of the width of the glenoid in the dislocating shoulder and in the non-dislocating shoulder.~~

~~**Shoulder fractures**—CT may be used to characterize shoulder fractures when more information is needed preoperatively. CT can show the complexity of the fracture, the displacement, and angulation.~~

~~**CT and Wrist Fractures**—CT is indicated for wrist fractures where there is fracture comminution, displacement, or complex intraarticular extension. CT can provide a detailed evaluation of radiocarpal articular step-off and gap displacement which can predict the development of radiocarpal osteoarthritis. CT can be performed in several planes, providing soft tissue and bone detail. CT is also useful in determining the position of known fracture fragments and in assessing the union or status of fracture healing.~~

CT for Preoperative Evaluation—Where more information is needed preoperatively, CT is used to demonstrate fracture complexity, displacement, and angulation.

CT and Scaphoid Fractures—CT is accurate in depicting occult cortical scaphoid fractures. It may be used as a second choice diagnostic method when patients are clinically suspected of having a scaphoid fracture, but radiographs are negative or equivocal. Usually, the diagnosis of a scaphoid fracture of the wrist is based upon clinical presentation and conventional radiographs. However, a large percentage of patients with a high clinical probability of a scaphoid fracture have unremarkable radiographs. Multidetector CT allows coverage of the whole wrist with excellent spatial resolution. It has been proven to be superior to MRI in the detection of cortical involvement of occult scaphoid fractures.

CT and Avascular Necrosis Complicating Chronic Scaphoid Nonunion—Preoperative CT of a scaphoid nonunion may be helpful in identifying avascular necrosis and predicting subsequent fracture union. If the results of CT suggest avascular necrosis, treatment options may include vascularized bone grafts or limited wrist arthrodesis.

CT and Posttraumatic Elbow Effusions—Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) may help to detect occult fractures of the elbow when posttraumatic elbow effusions are shown on radiographs without any findings of fracture. Effusions may be visualized on radiographs as fat pads, which can be elevated by the presence of fluid in the joint caused by an acute fracture. MDCT may be useful when effusions are shown on radiographs without a visualized fracture, but there is a clinical suspicion of a lateral condylar or radial head fracture.

CT and Avascular Necrosis—Sports, such as racquetball and gymnastics, may cause repeated microtrauma due to the compressive forces between the radial head and capitellum. Focal avascular necrosis and osteochondritis dissecans of the capitellum may result. CT may show the extent of subchondral necrosis and chondral abnormalities. The images may also help detect intraarticular loose bodies.

CT and Acute Osseous Trauma—Many elbow injuries result from repetitive microtrauma rather than acute trauma and the injuries are sometimes hard to diagnose. Non-displaced fractures are not always evident on plain radiographs. When fracture is suspected, CT may improve diagnostic specificity and accuracy.

CT and Wrist Tumor—Osteoma does not often occur in the wrist. Symptoms may resemble atypical tenosynovitis. Pain may seem to be related to an injury. CT, however, may be used to evaluate a suspected tumor and may visualize a round lucency surrounded by a rim of sclerosis. CT can give details about the location of the tumor, relative to joints.

Upper Extremity Osteomyelitis and Septic Arthritis—CT helps to distinguish among the types of musculoskeletal infections. Its specific imaging features help identify the forms of infection in

the bones and soft tissue. Osteomyelitis, a bone infection most commonly associated with an open fracture or direct trauma, is often not detected in the initial conventional radiographic evaluation because bone changes are not evident for 14-21 days after the onset of infection. CT is also used to help diagnose septic arthritis; CT features include joint effusion and bone erosions around the joint.

Adhesive Capsulitis a.k.a. Frozen Shoulder⁶¹⁻⁶³ — MRI is the preferred modality for imaging after a failure of improvement with active conservative therapy. Affected patients have impaired range of shoulder motion with forward flexion, abduction, and external and internal rotation which may be associated with pain. Clinically, it can be distinguished from rotator cuff pathology where passive range of motion is preserved, or neoplasm which may also have associated fever or weight loss. Treatment is with a combination of intracapsular steroid injection and active conservative care. Anti-inflammatory medications are also given to facilitate active treatment. When nonsurgical management, including anti-inflammatory medication, active care (physical therapy, a supervised home exercise program or manipulations), and injections, have failed to provide relief of symptoms by 9 to 12 months, surgical intervention is indicated, but this represents the minority of patients.

Shoulder Impingement, Non-Traumatic Shoulder Instability, and Glenoid Labral tears—require active conservative therapy* and x ray (orthopedic signs listed below):

- **Shoulder Impingement** — Hawkin's, Neer's, Painful arc, Load and shift, and Yocum tests
- **Non-Traumatic Shoulder Instability** — Sulcus, Surprise, Anterior or Posterior draw, Apprehension, Anterior slide, Clunk, Crank, Empty can, HERI (hyperextension internal rotation) tests
- **Glenoid labral tear (i.e., SLAP lesion)** — Apprehension, Relocation, Surprise, Jobe's, O'Brien's, Superior labral, Anterior slide, Jerk, Compression rotation, Crank tests

American Academy of Pediatrics “Choosing Wisely” Guidelines advise against ordering advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. “History, physical examination, and appropriate radiographs remain the primary diagnostic modalities in pediatric orthopedics, as they are both diagnostic and prognostic for the great majority of pediatric musculoskeletal conditions. Examples of such conditions would include, but not be limited to, the work up of injury or pain (spine, knees, and ankles), possible infection, and deformity. MRI examinations and other advanced imaging studies frequently require sedation in the young child (5 years old or less) and may not result in appropriate interpretation if clinical correlations cannot be made. Many conditions require specific MRI sequences or protocols best ordered by the specialist who will be treating the patient... if you believe findings warrant additional advanced imaging, discuss with the consulting orthopedic surgeon to make sure the optimal studies are ordered.”^{64,60}

REFERENCES

1. Katz JN, Brophy RH, Chaisson CE, et al. Surgery versus physical therapy for a meniscal tear and osteoarthritis. *N Engl J Med*. May 2 2013;368(18):1675-84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301408
2. Mordecai SC, Al-Hadithy N, Ware HE, Gupte CM. Treatment of meniscal tears: An evidence based approach. *World J Orthop*. Jul 18 2014;5(3):233-41. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.233
3. Bencardino JT, Gyftopoulos S, Palmer WE. Imaging in anterior glenohumeral instability. *Radiology*. Nov 2013;269(2):323-37. doi:10.1148/radiology.13121926
4. Jain NB, Luz J, Higgins LD, et al. The Diagnostic Accuracy of Special Tests for Rotator Cuff Tear: The ROW Cohort Study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. Mar 2017;96(3):176-183. doi:10.1097/phm.0000000000000566
5. Loh B, Lim JB, Tan AH. Is clinical evaluation alone sufficient for the diagnosis of a Bankart lesion without the use of magnetic resonance imaging? *Ann Transl Med*. Nov 2016;4(21):419. doi:10.21037/atm.2016.11.22
6. Somerville LE, Willits K, Johnson AM, et al. Clinical Assessment of Physical Examination Maneuvers for Superior Labral Anterior to Posterior Lesions. *Surg J (N Y)*. Oct 2017;3(4):e154-e162. doi:10.1055/s-0037-1606829
7. Balevi Batur E, Bekin Sarıkaya PZ, Kaygısız ME, Albayrak Gezer I, Levendoglu F. Diagnostic Dilemma: Which Clinical Tests Are Most Accurate for Diagnosing Supraspinatus Muscle Tears and Tendinosis When Compared to Magnetic Resonance Imaging? *Cureus*. Jun 2022;14(6):e25903. doi:10.7759/cureus.25903
8. Kane SF, Lynch JH, Taylor JC. Evaluation of elbow pain in adults. *Am Fam Physician*. Apr 15 2014;89(8):649-57.
9. Karbach LE, Elfar J. Elbow Instability: Anatomy, Biomechanics, Diagnostic Maneuvers, and Testing. *J Hand Surg Am*. Feb 2017;42(2):118-126. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2016.11.025
10. Pandey T, Slaughter AJ, Reynolds KA, Jambhekar K, David RM, Hasan SA. Clinical orthopedic examination findings in the upper extremity: correlation with imaging studies and diagnostic efficacy. *Radiographics*. Mar-Apr 2014;34(2):e24-40. doi:10.1148/rg.342125061
11. Ruston J, Konan S, Rubinraut E, Sorene E. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical examination and magnetic resonance imaging for common articular wrist pathology. *Acta Orthop Belg*. Aug 2013;79(4):375-80.
12. Garras DN, Raikin SM, Bhat SB, Taweel N, Karanjia H. MRI is unnecessary for diagnosing acute Achilles tendon ruptures: clinical diagnostic criteria. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2012;470(8):2268-73. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2355-y
13. Peck J, Gustafson K, Bahner D. Diagnosis of Achilles tendon rupture with ultrasound in the emergency department setting. Images in Academic Medicine: Republication. *International Journal of Academic Medicine*. May 1, 2017 2017;3(3):205-207. doi:10.4103/ijam.ijam_16_17
14. Wilkins R, Bisson LJ. Operative versus nonoperative management of acute Achilles tendon ruptures: a quantitative systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2012;40(9):2154-60. doi:10.1177/0363546512453293

15. Galvin JW, Ernat JJ, Waterman BR, Stadecker MJ, Parada SA. The Epidemiology and Natural History of Anterior Shoulder Instability. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* Dec 2017;10(4):411-424. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9432-5
16. Waterman BR, Kilcoyne KG, Parada SA, Eichinger JK. Prevention and management of post-instability glenohumeral arthropathy. *World J Orthop.* Mar 18 2017;8(3):229-241. doi:10.5312/wjo.v8.i3.229
17. Bencardino JT, Stone TJ, Roberts CC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. *J Am Coll Radiol.* May 2017;14(5s):S293-s306. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.035
18. Sadineni RT, Pasumarthi A, Bellapa NC, Velicheti S. Imaging Patterns in MRI in Recent Bone Injuries Following Negative or Inconclusive Plain Radiographs. *J Clin Diagn Res.* Oct 2015;9(10):Tc10-3. doi:10.7860/jcdr/2015/15451.6685
19. Yin ZG, Zhang JB, Kan SL, Wang XG. Diagnosing suspected scaphoid fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Mar 2010;468(3):723-34. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1081-6
20. Fayad LM, Kawamoto S, Kamel IR, et al. Distinction of long bone stress fractures from pathologic fractures on cross-sectional imaging: how successful are we? *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* Oct 2005;185(4):915-24. doi:10.2214/ajr.04.0950
21. Morshed S. Current Options for Determining Fracture Union. *Adv Med.* 2014;2014:708574. doi:10.1155/2014/708574
22. Smith TO, Drew BT, Toms AP, Donell ST, Hing CB. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and computed tomography for the detection of chondral lesions of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Dec 2012;20(12):2367-79. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1905-x
23. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Trauma to the Knee. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>
24. van Dijk CN, Reilingh ML, Zengerink M, van Bergen CJ. Osteochondral defects in the ankle: why painful? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* May 2010;18(5):570-80. doi:10.1007/s00167-010-1064-x
25. van Bergen CJ, van den Ende KI, Ten Brinke B, Eygendaal D. Osteochondritis dissecans of the capitellum in adolescents. *World J Orthop.* Feb 18 2016;7(2):102-8. doi:10.5312/wjo.v7.i2.102
26. Rajani R, Quinn RH, Fischer SJ. Synovial Chondromatosis. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Updated January 2022. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases--conditions/synovial-chondromatosis>
27. Felten R, Perrin P, Caillard S, Moulin B, Javier RM. Avascular osteonecrosis in kidney transplant recipients: Risk factors in a recent cohort study and evaluation of the role of secondary hyperparathyroidism. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(2):e0212931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212931

28. [Murphey MD, Foreman KL, Klassen-Fischer MK, Fox MG, Chung EM, Kransdorf MJ. From the radiologic pathology archives imaging of osteonecrosis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34\(4\):1003-28. doi:10.1148/rg.344140019](#)
29. [American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed November 15, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69420/Narrative/>](#)
30. [Fritz J, Lurie B, Potter HG. MR Imaging of Knee Arthroplasty Implants. *Radiographics*. Sep-Oct 2015;35\(5\):1483-501. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140216](#)
31. [Fritz J, Lurie B, Miller TT, Potter HG. MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34\(4\):E106-32. doi:10.1148/rg.344140010](#)
32. [Church DJ, Krumme J, Kotwal S. Evaluating Soft-Tissue Lumps and Bumps. *Mo Med*. Jul-Aug 2017;114\(4\):289-294.](#)
33. [Kransdorf MJ, Murphey MD, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria\(®\) Soft-Tissue Masses. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018;15\(5s\):S189-s197. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.012](#)
34. [Subhawong TK, Fishman EK, Swart JE, Carrino JA, Attar S, Fayad LM. Soft-tissue masses and masslike conditions: what does CT add to diagnosis and management? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2010;194\(6\):1559-67. doi:10.2214/ajr.09.3736](#)
35. [American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Clinically Suspected Vascular Malformation of the Extremities. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed March 30, 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3102393/Narrative/>](#)
36. [American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/>](#)
37. [American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Malignant or Aggressive Primary Musculoskeletal Tumor-Staging And Surveillance. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69428/Narrative/>](#)
38. [Holzapfel K, Regler J, Baum T, et al. Local Staging of Soft-Tissue Sarcoma: Emphasis on Assessment of Neurovascular Encasement-Value of MR Imaging in 174 Confirmed Cases. *Radiology*. May 2015;275\(2\):501-9. doi:10.1148/radiol.14140510](#)
39. [Kircher MF, Willmann JK. Molecular body imaging: MR imaging, CT, and US. Part II. Applications. *Radiology*. Aug 2012;264\(2\):349-68. doi:10.1148/radiol.12111703](#)
40. [NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network \(NCCN\). Updated 2022. Accessed November 15, 2022. <https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx>](#)
41. [Dodwell ER. Osteomyelitis and septic arthritis in children: current concepts. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. Feb 2013;25\(1\):58-63. doi:10.1097/MOP.0b013e32835c2b42](#)
42. [Glaudemans A, Jutte PC, Cataldo MA, et al. Consensus document for the diagnosis of peripheral bone infection in adults: a joint paper by the EANM, EBJIS, and ESR \(with ESCMID endorsement\). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. Apr 2019;46\(4\):957-970. doi:10.1007/s00259-019-4262-x](#)

43. Mandell JC, Khurana B, Smith JT, Czuczman GJ, Ghazikhanian V, Smith SE. Osteomyelitis of the lower extremity: pathophysiology, imaging, and classification, with an emphasis on diabetic foot infection. *Emerg Radiol*. Apr 2018;25(2):175-188. doi:10.1007/s10140-017-1564-9
44. Fayad LM, Carrino JA, Fishman EK. Musculoskeletal infection: role of CT in the emergency department. *Radiographics*. Nov-Dec 2007;27(6):1723-36. doi:10.1148/rg.276075033
45. Bowers S, Franco E. Chronic Wounds: Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 1 2020;101(3):159-166.
46. Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Østergaard M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Jun 2013;72(6):804-14. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-203158
47. Sudół-Szopińska I, Cwikła JB. Current imaging techniques in rheumatology: MRI, scintigraphy and PET. *Pol J Radiol*. Jul 2013;78(3):48-56. doi:10.12659/pjr.889138
48. Jia Y, Tian H, Deng J, Yu K. Multimodal imaging for the clinical assessment of dermatomyositis and polymyositis: A systematic review. *Radiology of Infectious Diseases*. 2017/06/01/ 2017;4(2):81-87. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrid.2017.01.003
49. Joyce NC, Oskarsson B, Jin LW. Muscle biopsy evaluation in neuromuscular disorders. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. Aug 2012;23(3):609-31. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2012.06.006
50. Chou H, Chin TY, Peh WC. Dual-energy CT in gout - A review of current concepts and applications. *J Med Radiat Sci*. Mar 2017;64(1):41-51. doi:10.1002/jmrs.223
51. Laya BF, Restrepo R, Lee EY. Practical Imaging Evaluation of Foreign Bodies in Children: An Update. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Jul 2017;55(4):845-867. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2017.02.012
52. Domkundwar S, Autkar G, Khadilkar SV, Virarkar M. Ultrasound and EMG-NCV study (electromyography and nerve conduction velocity) correlation in diagnosis of nerve pathologies. *J Ultrasound*. Jun 2017;20(2):111-122. doi:10.1007/s40477-016-0232-3
53. Dong Q, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, et al. Entrapment neuropathies in the upper and lower limbs: anatomy and MRI features. *Radiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:230679. doi:10.1155/2012/230679
54. Donovan A, Rosenberg ZS, Cavalcanti CF. MR imaging of entrapment neuropathies of the lower extremity. Part 2. The knee, leg, ankle, and foot. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2010;30(4):1001-19. doi:10.1148/rg.304095188
55. Meyer P, Lintings PF, Pesquer L, Poussange N, Silvestre A, Dallaudière B. The Median Nerve at the Carpal Tunnel ... and Elsewhere. *J Belg Soc Radiol*. Jan 31 2018;102(1):17. doi:10.5334/jbsr.1354
56. Tos P, Crosio A, Pugliese P, Adani R, Toia F, Artiaco S. Painful scar neuropathy: principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*. 2015;2:156-164. doi:10.4103/2347-9264.160878
57. Mansukhani KA. Electrodiagnosis in traumatic brachial plexus injury. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol*. Jan 2013;16(1):19-25. doi:10.4103/0972-2327.107682
58. Vijayasarithi A, Chokshi FH. MRI of the brachial plexus: A practical review. *Appl Radiol*. 2016;45(4):9-18.

59. Iyer RS, Chapman T, Chew FS. Pediatric bone imaging: diagnostic imaging of osteoid osteoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2012;198(5):1039-52. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.7313

60. American Academy of Pediatrics. Five things physicians and patients should question: Do not order advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. Choosing Wisely Initiative ABIM Foundation. Updated February 12, 2018. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/aap-posna-mri-or-ct-for-musculoskeletal-conditions-in-children/>

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary
<u>May 2023</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Updated orthopedic signs</u> • <u>Modified background sections</u> • <u>Modified dual energy CT</u> • <u>Added known AVN to evaluate contralateral side</u> • <u>Added vascular malformations</u> • <u>Added indeterminate findings on prior imaging and follow up surveillance</u> • <u>Added Popeye sign and Reverse Popeye sign</u> • <u>Updated References</u> • <u>Removed Additional Resources</u> • <u>Added statement regarding clinical indications not addressed in the guideline.</u> • <u>General Information moved to beginning of guideline with added statement on clinical indications not addressed in this guideline</u>
March 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simplified orthopedic sign section to include only the most robust signs and removed Table 1 • Clarified the Supraspinatus Test • Moved the section on shoulder impingement, non-traumatic shoulder instability and glenoid labral tears to the background information section • Expanded Bone or Ligament Injury section to include triangular fibrocartilage injury and superior labral anterior to posterior complex lesions when MRI cannot be done • Removed occult wrist ganglion section • Added Snuff box pain after initial x-ray to wrist section and Popeye sign to elbow section
<u>May 2021</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional signs for rotator cuff tear that are considered useful • Removed signs for impingement, shoulder instability and glenoid labral tear since active conservative therapy should be done first • Added section about impingement, nontraumatic shoulder instability and glenoid labral tear requiring active conservative therapy • Added the following information: shoulder dislocation, suspected bone infection in the setting of ulcers and neuropathy, brachial plexopathy
<u>May 2020</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expanded the list of orthopedic signs and Added note: With a positive orthopedic sign, an initial x-ray is always

	<p>preferred. However, it is not required to approve advanced imaging.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added information about adhesive capsulitis • Clarified that if an CT Arthrogram fits approvable criteria, approve as CT. • Revised the information about an evaluation of an extremity mass. • Expanded information about osteomyelitis • Added information about crystalline arthropathy and dual energy CT • Added information about nonunion/delayed union • Included loose bodies or synovial chondromatosis
May 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added initial statement about approvals: ‘Some indications are for MRI, CT, or MR or CT Arthrogram. More than one should not be approved at the same time’. • Expanded Extremity mass indications including adenopathy; and mass with increased risk for malignancy • Modified Known Cancer indication to be more broad — ‘cancer staging, cancer restaging, signs or symptoms of recurrence’ • Expanded sections for bone fracture and infection of bone or joint to include list of signs or symptoms and laboratory findings (elevated ESR or CRP, elevated white blood cell count, positive joint aspiration)

REFERENCES

1. Bencardino JT, Gyftopoulos S, Palmer WE. Imaging in anterior glenohumeral instability. *Radiology*. Nov 2013;269(2):323-37. doi:10.1148/radiology.13121926
2. Jain NB, Luz J, Higgins LD, et al. The Diagnostic Accuracy of Special Tests for Rotator Cuff Tear: The ROW Cohort Study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. Mar 2017;96(3):176-183. doi:10.1097/phm.0000000000000566
3. Loh B, Lim JB, Tan AH. Is clinical evaluation alone sufficient for the diagnosis of a Bankart lesion without the use of magnetic resonance imaging? *Ann Transl Med*. Nov 2016;4(21):419. doi:10.21037/atm.2016.11.22
4. Somerville LE, Willits K, Johnson AM, et al. Clinical Assessment of Physical Examination Maneuvers for Superior Labral Anterior to Posterior Lesions. *Surg J (N Y)*. Oct 2017;3(4):e154-e162. doi:10.1055/s-0037-1606829
5. van Kampen DA, van den Berg T, van der Woude HJ, et al. The diagnostic value of the combination of patient characteristics, history, and clinical shoulder tests for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tear. *J Orthop Surg Res*. Aug 7 2014;9:70. doi:10.1186/s13018-014-0070-y
6. Kane SF, Lynch JH, Taylor JC. Evaluation of elbow pain in adults. *Am Fam Physician*. Apr 15 2014;89(8):649-57.
7. Karbach LE, Elfar J. Elbow Instability: Anatomy, Biomechanics, Diagnostic Maneuvers, and Testing. *J Hand Surg Am*. Feb 2017;42(2):118-126. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2016.11.025
8. Pandey T, Slaughter AJ, Reynolds KA, Jambhekar K, David RM, Hasan SA. Clinical orthopedic examination findings in the upper extremity: correlation with imaging studies and diagnostic efficacy. *Radiographics*. Mar-Apr 2014;34(2):e24-40. doi:10.1148/rg.342125061
9. Ruston J, Konan S, Rubinraut E, Sorene E. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical examination and magnetic resonance imaging for common articular wrist pathology. *Acta Orthop Belg*. Aug 2013;79(4):375-80.
10. Katz JN, Brophy RH, Chaisson CE, et al. Surgery versus physical therapy for a meniscal tear and osteoarthritis. *N Engl J Med*. May 2 2013;368(18):1675-84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301408
11. Mordecai SC, Al Hadithy N, Ware HE, Gupte CM. Treatment of meniscal tears: An evidence based approach. *World J Orthop*. Jul 18 2014;5(3):233-41. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.233
12. Barlow SJ. A Non-surgical Intervention for Triangular Fibrocartilage Complex Tears. *Physiother Res Int*. Dec 2016;21(4):271-276. doi:10.1002/pri.1672
13. Ng AWH, Griffith JF, Fung CSY, et al. MR imaging of the traumatic triangular fibrocartilaginuous complex tear. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. Aug 2017;7(4):443-460. doi:10.21037/qims.2017.07.01
14. Galvin JW, Ernat JJ, Waterman BR, Stadercker MJ, Parada SA. The Epidemiology and Natural History of Anterior Shoulder Instability. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. Dec 2017;10(4):411-424. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9432-5
15. Waterman BR, Kilcoyne KG, Parada SA, Eichinger JK. Prevention and management of post-instability glenohumeral arthropathy. *World J Orthop*. Mar 18 2017;8(3):229-241. doi:10.5312/wjo.v8.i3.229

16. Kransdorf MJ, Murphey MD, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft-Tissue Masses. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018;15(5s):S189–S197. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.012
17. Subhawong TK, Fishman EK, Swart JE, Carrino JA, Attar S, Fayad LM. Soft tissue masses and masslike conditions: what does CT add to diagnosis and management? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2010;194(6):1559–67. doi:10.2214/ajr.09.3736
18. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/>
19. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Follow-up of Malignant or Aggressive Musculoskeletal Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2015. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69428/Narrative/>
20. Holzapfel K, Regler J, Baum T, et al. Local Staging of Soft-Tissue Sarcoma: Emphasis on Assessment of Neurovascular Encasement-Value of MR Imaging in 174 Confirmed Cases. *Radiology*. May 2015;275(2):501–9. doi:10.1148/radiol.14140510
21. Kircher MF, Willmann JK. Molecular body imaging: MR imaging, CT, and US. Part II. Applications. *Radiology*. Aug 2012;264(2):349–68. doi:10.1148/radiol.12111703
22. NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated 2021. Accessed November 4, 2021. <https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx>
23. Dodwell ER. Osteomyelitis and septic arthritis in children: current concepts. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. Feb 2013;25(1):58–63. doi:10.1097/MOP.0b013e32835c2b42
24. Glaudemans A, Jutte PC, Cataldo MA, et al. Consensus document for the diagnosis of peripheral bone infection in adults: a joint paper by the EANM, EBJS, and ESR (with ESCMID endorsement). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. Apr 2019;46(4):957–970. doi:10.1007/s00259-019-4262-x
25. Mandell JC, Khurana B, Smith JT, Czuczman GJ, Ghazikhanian V, Smith SE. Osteomyelitis of the lower extremity: pathophysiology, imaging, and classification, with an emphasis on diabetic foot infection. *Emerg Radiol*. Apr 2018;25(2):175–188. doi:10.1007/s10140-017-1564-9
26. Fayad LM, Carrino JA, Fishman EK. Musculoskeletal infection: role of CT in the emergency department. *Radiographics*. Nov-Dec 2007;27(6):1723–36. doi:10.1148/rg.276075033
27. Bowers S, Franco E. Chronic Wounds: Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 1 2020;101(3):159–166.
28. Felten R, Perrin P, Caillard S, Moulin B, Javier RM. Avascular osteonecrosis in kidney transplant recipients: Risk factors in a recent cohort study and evaluation of the role of secondary hyperparathyroidism. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212931
29. Murphey MD, Foreman KL, Klassen-Fischer MK, Fox MG, Chung EM, Kransdorf MJ. From the radiologic pathology archives imaging of osteonecrosis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):1003–28. doi:10.1148/rg.344140019
30. Murphey MD, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Osteonecrosis of the Hip. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Feb 2016;13(2):147–55. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2015.10.033

31. Wenham CY, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG. The role of imaging modalities in the diagnosis, differential diagnosis and clinical assessment of peripheral joint osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Oct 2014;22(10):1692-702. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.06.005
32. Fukushima W, Fujioka M, Kubo T, Tamakoshi A, Nagai M, Hirota Y. Nationwide epidemiologic survey of idiopathic osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Oct 2010;468(10):2715-24. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1292-x
33. Wali Y, Almaskari S. Avascular Necrosis of the Hip in Sickle Cell Disease in Oman: Is it serious enough to warrant bone marrow transplantation? *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. Feb 2011;11(1):127-8.
34. Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Østergaard M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Jun 2013;72(6):804-14. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-203158
35. Sudol-Szopińska I, Cwikła JB. Current imaging techniques in rheumatology: MRI, scintigraphy and PET. *Pol J Radiol*. Jul 2013;78(3):48-56. doi:10.12659/pjr.889138
36. Chou H, Chin TY, Peh WC. Dual-energy CT in gout—A review of current concepts and applications. *J Med Radiat Sci*. Mar 2017;64(1):41-51. doi:10.1002/jmrs.223
37. Bencardino JT, Stone TJ, Roberts CC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S293-s306. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.035
38. Sadineni RT, Pasumarthi A, Bellapa NC, Velicheti S. Imaging Patterns in MRI in Recent Bone Injuries Following Negative or Inconclusive Plain Radiographs. *J Clin Diagn Res*. Oct 2015;9(10):Tc10-3. doi:10.7860/jcdr/2015/15451.6685
39. Kaewlai R, Avery LL, Asrani AV, Abujudeh HH, Sacknoff R, Novelline RA. Multidetector CT of carpal injuries: anatomy, fractures, and fracture-dislocations. *Radiographics*. Oct 2008;28(6):1771-84. doi:10.1148/rg.286085511
40. Morshed S. Current Options for Determining Fracture Union. *Adv Med*. 2014;2014:708574. doi:10.1155/2014/708574
41. Salih S, Blakey C, Chan D, et al. The callus fracture sign: a radiological predictor of progression to hypertrophic non-union in diaphyseal tibial fractures. *Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr*. Nov 2015;10(3):149-53. doi:10.1007/s11751-015-0238-y
42. Smith TO, Drew BT, Toms AP, Donell ST, Hing CB. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and computed tomography for the detection of chondral lesions of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Dec 2012;20(12):2367-79. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1905-x
43. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Trauma to the Knee. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>
44. van Bergen CJ, Gerards RM, Opdam KT, Terra MP, Kerkhoffs GM. Diagnosing, planning and evaluating osteochondral ankle defects with imaging modalities. *World J Orthop*. Dec 18 2015;6(11):944-53. doi:10.5312/wjo.v6.i11.944

45. van Dijk CN, Reilingh ML, Zengerink M, van Bergen CJ. Osteochondral defects in the ankle: why painful? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. May 2010;18(5):570-80. doi:10.1007/s00167-010-1064-x
46. Rajani R, Quinn RH, Fischer SJ. Synovial Chondromatosis. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Updated December 2016. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases-conditions/synovial-chondromatosis>
47. Laya BF, Restrepo R, Lee EY. Practical Imaging Evaluation of Foreign Bodies in Children: An Update. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Jul 2017;55(4):845-867. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2017.02.012
48. Garras DN, Raikin SM, Bhat SB, Taweel N, Karanjia H. MRI is unnecessary for diagnosing acute Achilles tendon ruptures: clinical diagnostic criteria. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2012;470(8):2268-73. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2355-y
49. Peck J, Gustafson K, Bahner D. Diagnosis of Achilles tendon rupture with ultrasound in the emergency department setting. Images in Academic Medicine: Republication. *Int J Academ Med*. May 1, 2017 2017;3(3):205-207. doi:10.4103/ijam.ijam_16_17
50. Wilkins R, Bisson LJ. Operative versus nonoperative management of acute Achilles tendon ruptures: a quantitative systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2012;40(9):2154-60. doi:10.1177/0363546512453293
51. Domkundwar S, Autkar G, Khadilkar SV, Virarkar M. Ultrasound and EMG NCV study (electromyography and nerve conduction velocity) correlation in diagnosis of nerve pathologies. *J Ultrasound*. Jun 2017;20(2):111-122. doi:10.1007/s40477-016-0232-3
52. Dong Q, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, et al. Entrapment neuropathies in the upper and lower limbs: anatomy and MRI features. *Radiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:230679. doi:10.1155/2012/230679
53. Donovan A, Rosenberg ZS, Cavalcanti CF. MR imaging of entrapment neuropathies of the lower extremity. Part 2. The knee, leg, ankle, and foot. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2010;30(4):1001-19. doi:10.1148/rg.304095188
54. Meyer P, Lintings PF, Pesquer L, Poussange N, Silvestre A, Dallaudière B. The Median Nerve at the Carpal Tunnel ... and Elsewhere. *J Belg Soc Radiol*. Jan 31 2018;102(1):17. doi:10.5334/jbsr.1354
55. Tos P, Crosio A, Pugliese P, Adani R, Toia F, Artiaco S. Painful-scar neuropathy: principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*. 2015;2:156-164. doi:10.4103/2347-9264.160878
56. Mansukhani KA. Electrodiagnosis in traumatic brachial plexus injury. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol*. Jan 2013;16(1):19-25. doi:10.4103/0972-2327.107682
57. Vijayasarithi A, Chokshi FH. MRI of the brachial plexus: A practical review. *Appl Radiol*. 2016;45(4):9-18.
58. Fritz J, Lurie B, Potter HG. MR Imaging of Knee Arthroplasty Implants. *Radiographics*. Sep-Oct 2015;35(5):1483-501. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140216
59. Fritz J, Lurie B, Miller TT, Potter HG. MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):E106-32. doi:10.1148/rg.344140010
60. Boas FE, Fleischmann D. CT artifacts: Causes and reduction techniques. *Imaging Med*. 2012;4(2):229-40.

61. Ramirez J. Adhesive Capsulitis: Diagnosis and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Mar 1 2019;99(5):297-300.
62. Redler LH, Dennis ER. Treatment of Adhesive Capsulitis of the Shoulder. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Jun 15 2019;27(12):e544-e554. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-17-00606
63. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Shoulder Pain—Atraumatic. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed November 29, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>
64. American Academy of Pediatrics. Five things physicians and patients should question: Do not order advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. Choosing Wisely Initiative ABIM Foundation. Updated February 12, 2018. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/aap-posna-mri-or-ct-for-musculoskeletal-conditions-in-children/>

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Arnander M, Tennent D. Clinical assessment of the glenoid labrum. *Shoulder Elbow*. Oct 2014;6(4):291-9. doi:10.1177/1758573214546156
2. Buck FM, Jost B, Hodler J. Shoulder arthroplasty. *Eur Radiol*. Dec 2008;18(12):2937-48. doi:10.1007/s00330-008-1093-8
3. Chuang TY, Adams CR, Burkhart SS. Use of preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography to quantify glenoid bone loss in shoulder instability. *Arthroscopy*. Apr 2008;24(4):376-82. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2007.10.008
4. Consigliere P, Haddo O, Levy O, Sforza G. Subacromial impingement syndrome: management challenges. *Orthop Res Rev*. 2018;10:83-91. doi:10.2147/orr.S157864
5. Dommert RM, Redaniel MT, Stevens MC, Hamilton W, Martin RM. Features of cancer in teenagers and young adults in primary care: a population-based nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer*. Jun 11 2013;108(11):2329-33. doi:10.1038/bjc.2013.191
6. Gaddey HL, Riegel AM. Unexplained Lymphadenopathy: Evaluation and Differential Diagnosis. *Am Fam Physician*. Dec 1 2016;94(11):896-903.
7. Lee YJ, Sadigh S, Mankad K, Kapse N, Rajeswaran G. The imaging of osteomyelitis. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. Apr 2016;6(2):184-98. doi:10.21037/qims.2016.04.01
8. Mohseni S, Shojaieard A, Khorgami Z, Alinejad S, Ghorbani A, Ghafouri A. Peripheral lymphadenopathy: approach and diagnostic tools. *Iran J Med Sci*. Mar 2014;39(2 Suppl):158-70.
9. Mullan CP, Madan R, Trotman-Dickenson B, Qian X, Jacobson FL, Hunsaker A. Radiology of chest wall masses. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2011;197(3):W460-70. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.7259
10. Nazarian LN, Jacobson JA, Benson CB, et al. Imaging algorithms for evaluating suspected rotator cuff disease: Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound consensus conference statement. *Radiology*. May 2013;267(2):589-95. doi:10.1148/radiol.13121947
11. Ng AW, Chu CM, Lo WN, Lai YM, Kam CK. Assessment of capsular laxity in patients with recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation using MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2009;192(6):1690-5. doi:10.2214/ajr.08.1544

12. Rhee RB, Chan KK, Lieu JG, Kim BS, Steinbach LS. MR and CT arthrography of the shoulder. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. Feb 2012;16(1):3-14. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1304297
13. Scalapione LP, Gimber LH, Ho AM, Johnston SS, Sheppard JE, Taljanovic MS. Spectrum of carpal dislocations and fracture-dislocations: imaging and management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2014;203(3):541-50. doi:10.2214/ajr.13.11680
14. Sinha S, Peach AH. Diagnosis and management of soft tissue sarcoma. *BMJ*. Dec 29 2010;341:e7170. doi:10.1136/bmj.e7170
15. Beaman FD, von Herrmann PF, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S326-S337. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.008
16. Biederwolf NE. A proposed evidence-based shoulder special testing examination algorithm: clinical utility based on a systematic review of the literature. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. Aug 2013;8(4):427-40.
17. Cheimonidou AZ, Lamnisis D, Lisacek-Kiosoglous A, Chimonas C, Stasinopoulos D. Validity and reliability of the finkelsteins test. *Trends in Medicine*. 2019;19:1-7.
18. DaSilva MF, Goodman AD, Gil JA, Akelman E. Evaluation of Ulnar-sided Wrist Pain. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Aug 2017;25(8):e150-e156. doi:10.5435/jaaos-d-16-00407
19. Gismervik S, Drogset JO, Granviken F, Rø M, Leivseth G. Physical examination tests of the shoulder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test performance. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Jan 25 2017;18(1):41. doi:10.1186/s12891-017-1400-0
20. Hixson KM, Horris HB, McLeod TCV, Bacon CEW. The Diagnostic Accuracy of Clinical Diagnostic Tests for Thoracic Outlet Syndrome. *J Sport Rehabil*. Sep 2017;26(5):459-465. doi:10.1123/jsr.2016-0051
21. Holtby R, Razmjou H. Accuracy of the Speed's and Yergason's tests in detecting biceps pathology and SLAP lesions: comparison with arthroscopic findings. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2004;20(3):231-6. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2004.01.008
22. Lester B, Halbrecht J, Levy IM, Gaudinez R. "Press test" for office diagnosis of triangular fibrocartilage complex tears of the wrist. *Ann Plast Surg*. Jul 1995;35(1):41-5. doi:10.1097/00000637-199507000-00009
23. O'Driscoll SW, Goncalves LB, Dietz P. The hook test for distal Biceps tendon avulsion. *Am J Sports Med*. Nov 2007;35(11):1865-9. doi:10.1177/0363546507305016
24. Turan A, Çeltikçi P, Tufan A, Öztürk MA. Basic radiological assessment of synovial diseases: a pictorial essay. *Eur J Rheumatol*. Jun 2017;4(2):166-174. doi:10.5152/eurjrheum.2015.0032
25. Rayegani S, Adybeik D, Kia M. Sensitivity and Specificity of Two Provocative Tests (Phalen's Test and Hoffmann-Tinel's Sign) in The Diagnosis of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Orthop Med*. 2004;26(2):51-53.
26. Razmjou H, Christakis M, Dwyer T, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Clinical Tests in Detecting Rotator Cuff Pathology. *Orthop & Spo Med Op Acc J*. 2019;2(4):170-9. doi:10.32474/OSMOAJ.2019.02.000141
27. Sawalha S, Fischer J. The accuracy of "subacromial grind test" in diagnosis of supraspinatus rotator cuff tears. *Int J Shoulder Surg*. Apr-Jun 2015;9(2):43-6. doi:10.4103/0973-6042.154756

28. Smith ML, Bain GI, Chabrel N, Turner P, Carter C, Field J. Using computed tomography to assist with diagnosis of avascular necrosis complicating chronic scaphoid nonunion. *J Hand Surg Am*. Jul-Aug 2009;34(6):1037-43. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2009.02.016
29. Som A, Wermuth HR, Singh P. Finkelstein Sign. *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2021, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2021.
30. Tay SC, Tomita K, Berger RA. The "ulnar fovea sign" for defining ulnar wrist pain: an analysis of sensitivity and specificity. *J Hand Surg Am*. Apr 2007;32(4):438-44. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2007.01.022
31. Welling RD, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, Chong S, Caoili EM, Jebson PJ. MDCT and radiography of wrist fractures: radiographic sensitivity and fracture patterns. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jan 2008;190(1):10-6. doi:10.2214/ajr.07.2699
32. Yin ZG, Zhang JB, Kan SL, Wang XG. Diagnosing suspected scaphoid fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Mar 2010;468(3):723-34. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1081-6
33. Zwerus EL, Somford MP, Maissan F, Heisen J, Eygendaal D, van den Bekerom MP. Physical examination of the elbow, what is the evidence? A systematic literature review. *Br J Sports Med*. Oct 2018;52(19):1253-1260. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096712

Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee

Disclaimer: National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.

~~GENERAL INFORMATION~~

- ~~It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.~~

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.