

AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines PELVIS MRI	Original Date: September 1997
CPT Codes: 72195, 72196, 72197, +0698T	Last Revised Date: March 2023
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_037	Implementation Date: January 2024

GENERAL INFORMATION

- It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*
- Where a specific clinical indication is not directly addressed in this guideline, medical necessity determination will be made based on widely accepted standard of care criteria. These criteria are supported by evidence-based or peer-reviewed sources such as medical literature, societal guidelines and state/national recommendations.*

Note: There is no MRI Abdomen/Pelvis combo (comparable to a CT Abdomen/Pelvis) such that if imaging of both the abdomen and pelvis are indicated, two separate exams (and authorization) are required (i.e., MRI Abdomen and MRI Pelvis)

INDICATIONS FOR PELVIC MRI [\(Click here for Fetal MRI indications\)](#)[\(Click here for Fetal MRI indications\)](#)

Initial pelvic imaging for staging of prostate cancer [\(if not recently performed for biopsy planning; Abdomen MRI can also be approved for staging if PSMA PET not requested\)](#)

- Unfavorable intermediate risk, high risk and above (T3a or higher, PSA >20*, very high-risk disease***
 - Gleason 8-, **9 or 10** **disease**

~~*National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.~~

- ~~Intermediate Risk (T2b-T2c or PSA 10-20* or Gleason 7) when Nomogram predicts >10% probability of lymph node involvement (MSKCC/Kattan is the nomogram recommended by NCCN 2021)¹~~

- *Gleason 4+3=7 disease (primary pattern 4)
- Gleason 3+4=7 disease AND PSA > 10 or clinical stage ≥ T2b
- Gleason 3+3=6 disease AND PSA > 20 or clinical stage ≥ T3
- > 50% cores positive for cancer in a random (non-targeted) biopsy^{1,2}

Pelvis MRI can be approved in combination with PSMA PET (see PET GL) for initial staging if meets above criteria

* In patients who have been on a 5-alpha reductase inhibitor (such as Proscar) in the past 12 months, an “adjusted PSA” should be used. To adjust, multiply PSA by a factor of 2 (i.e., PSA 6 on finasteride adjusts to a PSA of 12)

~~Known prostate cancer for workup of recurrence and response to treatment^{1,2}~~

Known prostate cancer for workup of recurrence and response to treatment³

- Initial treatment by active surveillance (asymptomatic very low, low, or intermediate risk with expected patient survival ≥ 10 years):
 - Initial multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) for patients who chose active surveillance
 - mpMRI to be repeated no more than every 12 months unless clinically indicated
- Initial treatment by radical prostatectomy:
 - Failure of PSA to fall to undetectable level or PSA detectable and rising on at least 2 subsequent determinations
- Initial treatment radiation therapy:
 - Post-radiation therapy (Post-RT) rising PSA on at least 2 subsequent determinations or positive digital exam and is candidate for local therapy

Indication for prostate MRI (suspected prostate cancer)^{1,3-8)4-9}

- Prior to prostate biopsy when notes indicate that biopsy is planned^{9,10}
- In individuals with previous negative biopsy and ongoing concerns of increased risk of prostate cancer (i.e., rising or persistently elevated PSA ~~with lab reports on 2 or more separate days~~ OR suspicious digital rectal exam (DRE))
- ~~When the MRI is requested to potentially avoid a prostate biopsy:~~
 - ~~If there are risk factors/comorbidities associated with the biopsy, AND there is intent to biopsy if a high-risk lesion is seen on MRI prostate OR~~
 - ~~If a thorough risk assessment has been done and the patient is considered low risk for cancer AND the PI-RADS classification would be used to help risk stratify the patient before making a final decision on biopsy. (Typically, this risk assessment would be done by~~For evaluation of elevated PSA (on two separate levels) when PI-RADS classification

needed to make decision on whether or not to perform a biopsy when ALL of the following has been provided¹¹:

- Digital rectal examination (DRE) findings
- PSA elevation not attributed to benign disease
- Biopsy has been discussed with the patient (Typically, this request would be from the person performing the biopsy (i.e., urologist) and imaging done at the facility where the fusion biopsy would be performed should a higher risk lesion be identified.)

Evaluation of suspicious or known mass/tumors for further evaluation of indeterminate or questionable findings

- ~~Initial evaluation of suspicious pelvic masses/tumors found only in the pelvis by physical exam or imaging study, such as ultrasound (US), or CT¹~~
- ~~Further evaluation of abnormality seen on ultrasound (US) or when US is inconclusive¹⁰~~
 - Surveillance: For evaluation of a very suspicious prostate nodule on exam when biopsy is under consideration¹¹
 - Follow up MRI can be approved at the following intervals^{12, 13}:
 - PI-RADS 3-5 lesions: 12-month interval
 - PI-RADS 1-2 lesions: 24-month interval
 - Earlier for PI-RADS 1-2 if biopsy is clearly planned, progressive rise in PSA or other risk factors exist

Evaluation of masses seen on ultrasound or CT for further evaluation of indeterminate or questionable findings:

- Initial imaging (see organ specific guidance below)
- One follow-up exam to ensure no suspicious change has occurred in a tumor in the pelvis. No further surveillance MR unless tumor(s) is/are specified as highly suspicious, or change was found on exam or last follow-up imaging.
- For abnormal incidental pelvic lymph nodes when follow-up is recommended based on prior imaging (initial 3-month follow-up)⁴

Initial staging of known cancer

Follow-up of known cancer^{2, 113, 14}:

- ~~Of In a~~ patient undergoing active treatment within the past year or as per surveillance imaging guidance for that cancer
- With suspected pelvic metastasis based on a sign, symptom, (e.g., anorexia, early satiety, intestinal obstruction, night sweats, pelvic pain, weight loss, vaginal bleeding) or an abnormal lab value (alpha-fetoprotein, CEA, CA 19-9, p53 mutation)
- ~~For abnormal incidental pelvic lymph nodes when follow-up is recommended based on prior imaging (initial 3-month follow-up)³~~

Indication for combination studies for the initial pre-therapy staging of cancer, OR active monitoring for recurrence as clinically indicated OR evaluation of suspected metastases

- ≤ 5 concurrent studies to include CT or MRI of any of the following areas as appropriate depending on the cancer: Neck, Abdomen, Pelvis, Chest, Brain, Cervical Spine, Thoracic Spine or Lumbar Spine

For evaluation of suspected infection or inflammatory disease after preliminary imaging (such as CT, US, or nuclear medicine) has been performed or is contraindicated (includes MR urography (MRU) which includes abdomen MRI when indicated)^{10, 12-14, 15-18}

- Suspected perianal fistula
- Suspected infection (based on elevated WBC, fever, anorexia, or nausea and vomiting) in the pelvis
- For suspected urethral stricture or periurethral pathology^{15,19}
- Suspected peritonitis (would typically need to include MRI Abdomen), abdominal pain and tenderness to palpation is present, and at LEAST one of the following:
 - Rebound, guarding or rigid abdomen, OR
 - Severe tenderness to palpation over the entire abdomen
- Complications of diverticulitis with severe abdominal pain or severe tenderness or mass, not responding to antibiotic treatment (prior imaging study is not required for diverticulitis diagnosis)

For evaluation of known infection or inflammatory disease follow-up^{136, 1620, 1721}

- Any known infection that is clinically suspected to have created an abscess in the pelvis and preliminary imaging has been performed or is contraindicated
- Any history of fistula limited to the pelvis that requires re-evaluation or is suspected to have recurred
- For patients with recurrent fistula~~in ano~~ or perianal Crohn's disease
- Abnormal fluid collection seen on prior imaging that needs follow-up evaluation and is limited to the pelvis

For evaluation of ~~suspected inflammatory bowel disease or follow-up~~ Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) such as Crohn's or Ulcerative Colitis (includes MR enterography and can also approve Abdomen MRI/MRE)

- ~~For suspected inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis) with abdominal pain AND one of the following¹⁷⁻¹⁹:~~
 - ~~Chronic diarrhea~~
 - ~~Bloody diarrhea~~
- ~~High clinical suspicion~~ after complete work up including physical exam, labs, ~~endoscopy with biopsy¹⁷⁻²⁰~~ and recent colonoscopy²²⁻²⁴

- ~~Known inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn's or ulcerative colitis) with signs/symptoms (e.g., abdominal pain, diarrhea, or hematochezia) requiring re-evaluation, or for monitoring therapy¹⁷~~ Known inflammatory bowel disease with recurrence or worsening signs/symptoms requiring re-evaluation or for monitoring therapy²⁵

For suspected or known hernia

- For pelvic pain due to a suspected occult, spigelian, or incisional hernia when physical exam and prior imaging (ultrasound AND CT) are non-diagnostic or equivocal^{21-24,26-29} and limited to the pelvis
- ~~Hernia with suspected complications, such as strangulation or incarceration, based on physical exam (guarding, rebound) or prior imaging²⁵ (CT preferred)~~
- Hernia with suspected complications, such as strangulation or incarceration, based on physical exam (guarding, rebound) or prior imaging³⁰ (CT preferred)
- Suspected athletic pubalgia (sports hernia) in a patient with persistent groin pain that occurs with exertion, who has not responded to conservative treatment for four weeks, when other imaging is inconclusive^{26,27,31,32}
- Deep pelvic hernia is suspected (obturator, sciatic or perineal) (does not require US first but this type of hernia needs to be specified in notes)³³

Indications for Musculoskeletal Pelvic MRI

- Initial evaluation of suspicious mass/tumor of the bones, muscles or soft tissues of the pelvis found on an imaging study, and needing clarification, or found by physical exam and after x-ray or ultrasound is completed
- Evaluation of suspected fracture and/or injury when initial imaging is completed or for confirmed stress (fatigue) fracture for "return to play" evaluation^{28,34}
- For evaluation of known or suspected aseptic/avascular necrosis of hip(s) after completion of initial x-ray^{29,35}
- Known or suspected sacroiliitis (infectious or inflammatory) after ~~abnormal completion of~~ x-ray^{30,36} and rheumatologic workup
- Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction (after initial X-ray) when there is^{30,36}:
 - Persistent back and/or sacral pain unresponsive to four (4) weeks of conservative treatment, received within the past six (6) months, including physical therapy or physician supervised home exercise plan (HEP)
- For evaluating the lumbosacral plexus^{31,32,37,38}:
 - To confirm involvement in symptomatic patients with known tumor
 - To assess extent of injuries in the setting of pelvic trauma
 - To exclude the presence of masses in patients with unilateral changes, or inconclusive or abnormal findings on EMG when there are persistent symptoms
 - For evaluation when lumbar spine MRI is suspicious or indeterminate

- For suspicion of pudendal neuralgia in the setting of chronic pelvic pain with genital numbness and erectile dysfunction when other causes have been ruled out (see [Background](#) regarding diagnosis)^{33,9}
- For suspicion of meralgia paresthetica when prior testing is inconclusive (diagnostic nerve block; electrodiagnostic testing; AND somatosensory evoked potentials)^{34, 35, 40, 41}
- Persistent Pain:
 - For evaluation of persistent pain unresponsive to four (4) weeks of conservative treatment received within the past six (6) months
 - ~~For suspected piriformis syndrome after failure of 4 weeks conservative treatment~~³⁶
 - For suspected piriformis syndrome after failure of 4 weeks conservative treatment⁴²
- For evaluation of both hips when the patient meets hip MRI guidelines (x-ray + persistent pain unresponsive to conservative treatment) for both the right and left hip, Pelvis MRI is the preferred study.
 - If labral tear is suspected due to a positive anterior impingement sign or posterior impingement sign, then bilateral hip MRIs are the preferred studies (not Pelvis MRI)
 - If bilateral hip arthrograms are requested and otherwise meet guidelines, bilateral hip MRIs are the preferred studies (not Pelvis MRI)
- For further evaluation of congenital anomalies of the sacrum and pelvis and initial imaging has been performed

For evaluation of known or suspected non-aortic vascular disease (e.g., aneurysms, hematomas)^{43, 44}, CTA/MRA is the preferred study when ultrasound is inconclusive

- If a contraindication to CTA/MRA has been provided, MRI can be approved

Other Indications for a Pelvic MRI when CT is inconclusive or cannot be completed

- Pelvic pain not explained by previous imaging/preprocedure³⁷ Persistent abdominal/pelvic pain not explained by previous imaging
- For any of the following B symptoms: fevers more than 101° F, drenching night sweats, or unexplained weight loss of more than 10% of body weight over 6 months with documented concern for lymphoma/malignancy when CT is inconclusive or cannot be completed (can approve abdomen MRI, too, when appropriate)
- Clinically significant unintentional weight loss i.e., ≥ 5% of body weight in less than 12 months (or ≥ 2% in one month), with signs or symptoms suggestive of an abdominal cause (see Background for weight loss definitions and initial evaluation), Abdomen MRI should also be approved)
- Ongoing unexplained clinically significant weight loss i.e., ≥ 5% of body weight in less than 12 months (or ≥ 2% in one month)⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷, after initial workup (see Background) has been completed, no cause identified, and second visit documenting further decline in weight

- For fever of unknown origin (temperature of $\geq 101^\circ$ degrees for a minimum of 3 weeks) after standard diagnostic tests are negative⁴⁸
- For suspected or known retroperitoneal fibrosis after complete workup and ultrasound to determine extent of disease⁴⁹
- For suspected paraneoplastic syndrome (including dermatomyositis) with high suspicion of abdominal malignancy and appropriate workup has been done (see Background for details)
- For diffuse, unexplained lower extremity edema with negative or inconclusive ultrasound⁵⁰
- For suspected May-Thurner syndrome (CTV/MRV preferred)^{51, 52}
- For further evaluation of a new onset or non-reducible varicocele⁵³
- Prior to liver transplantation (Abdomen CT preferred, MRCP also approvable), may repeat studies immediately prior to transplantation with known HCC, PSC or cholangiocarcinoma
- Prior to Bone Marrow Transplant (BMT) (along with CT Chest⁵⁴, CT (or MR) Abdomen, CT Sinus and Brain MRI)⁵⁵. Alternatively, PET might be sufficient to evaluate the abdomen and pelvis if indicated based on that malignancy (see PET Guideline)
- Prior to solid organ transplantation
- Von Hippel Lindau (VHL) at least every other year starting at age 16; can also approve abdomen MRI (abdomen and pelvis ultrasound starting at age 8)⁵⁶
- Hereditary Paraganglioma syndromes every 2-3 years IF whole body MRI (unlisted MRI CPT 76498) not available. (WB MRI is the preferred study; if unable to do whole body MRI may approve abdomen MRI, pelvis MRI, skull base and neck MRI and chest CT. SDHB mutation may start at age 6, all other SDHx start at age 10).
- Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) every 1-3 years (chest CT or MRI also approvable for this syndrome at same interval) ⁵⁷

Other indications for a Pelvic MRI (MRI preferred over CT)

- Pelvic pain not explained by previous imaging/pre-procedure⁵⁸
 - Appropriate laboratory testing (chemistry profile, complete blood count, and urinalysis) and initial imaging, such as ultrasound
- ~~For B symptoms of fevers more than 101 F, drenching night sweats, or unexplained weight loss of more than 10% of body weight over 6 months when CT is inconclusive or cannot be completed (can approve abdomen MRI, too, when appropriate)~~
- ~~For location or evaluation of undescended testes in adults and in children, including determination of location of testes, if ordered by a specialist³⁸~~
- For evaluation and characterization of uterine and adnexal masses, (e.g., For location or evaluation of undescended testes in adults and in children, including determination of location of testes, if ordered by a specialist⁵⁹

- For evaluation and characterization of uterine and adnexal masses, (e.g., fibroids, ovaries, tubes, and uterine ligaments) or congenital uterine or renal abnormality where ultrasound has been done previously^{37,58}
- For evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding when ultrasound findings are indeterminate^{39,60}
 - Age ≤ 50 – Vascular stalk or focal doppler signal on US
 - Age > 50 – Thickened endometrium, vascular stalk or focal doppler signal on US
- ~~For evaluation of uterus prior to and after embolization (MRA preferred)~~⁴⁰
 - ~~For evaluation of endometriosis when preliminary imaging has been completed or to follow up known endometriosis~~For evaluation of uterus prior to and after embolization (MRA may be approved in addition to MRI for preprocedural planning)⁶¹
- For evaluation of endometriosis when preliminary imaging has been completed or to follow up known endometriosis^{41, 42, 62, 63}
- ~~For further evaluation of suspected adenomyosis when ultrasound is inconclusive,~~⁴³ ~~such as the following:~~
 -
 - For further evaluation of suspected adenomyosis when ultrasound is inconclusive,⁶⁴ such as the following:
 - Uterine abnormality on US
 - Anechoic spaces/cysts in myometrium
 - Heterogeneous echotexture
 - Obscured endometrial/myometrial border
 - Sub-endometrial echogenic linear striations
 - Thickening of the transition zone
 - Uterine enlargement
 - Uterine wall thickening
- Prior to uterine surgery if there is abnormality suspected on prior ultrasound
- For suspected placenta accreta or percreta when ultrasound is indeterminate^{44,65}
- For further assessment of a scrotal or penile mass when ultrasound is inconclusive^{45, 46, 66, 67}
- For investigation of a malfunctioning penile prosthesis
- Suspected urethral diverticula and other imaging is inconclusive^{47,68}
(MRI may be indicated without prior ultrasound in limited situations as suggested, such as when there is compelling evidence suggestive of urethral diverticulum (i.e., ostia on cystoscopy or tender cystic lesion on anterior vaginal wall overlying the urethra) or for surgical planning.)
- ~~For suspected pelvic congestion syndrome in women with chronic pelvic pain when other imaging is non-diagnostic~~⁴⁸
 - ~~For suspected patent urachus or other urachal abnormalities when ultrasound is non-diagnostic~~For suspected pelvic congestion syndrome in women with chronic pelvic pain when other imaging is non-diagnostic⁶⁹

- For suspected patent urachus or other urachal abnormalities when ultrasound is non-diagnostic^{49, 50, 70, 71}
- MR defecography for suspected structural cause of defecatory outlet obstruction to confirm diagnosis if other testing is equivocal (anorectal manometry and balloon expulsion testing)^{51, 72}
- For evaluation of enlargement of organ abnormality seen on previous imaging - to provide an alternative to an indeterminate or inconclusive ultrasound
- For diffuse, unexplained lower extremity edema with negative or inconclusive ultrasound
- ~~For May Thurner syndrome (MRV preferred)~~
- ~~For further evaluation of an isolated right varicocele with additional signs and symptoms that suggest malignancy or suspicious prior imaging findings~~⁵²
- ~~Surveillance MRI (include abdomen) every 2-3 years for patients with Hereditary Paraganglioma syndromes Type 1-5~~⁵³
 - Surveillance MRI (include abdomen) every 2-3 years for patients with Hereditary Paraganglioma syndromes Type 1-5⁷³
 - For transient or episodic hematospermia in men over and age ≥ 40 , if transrectal ultrasound is with negative or inconclusive⁵⁴ ultrasound
 - For persistent hematospermia (duration > 1 month, any age) with negative or inconclusive ultrasound⁷⁴

Other Indications

Further evaluation of indeterminate findings on prior imaging (unless follow up is otherwise specified within the guideline):

- For initial evaluation of an inconclusive finding on a prior imaging report that requires further clarification
- One follow-up exam of a prior indeterminate MR/CT finding to ensure no suspicious interval change has occurred. (No further surveillance unless specified as highly suspicious or change was found on last follow-up exam)

Pre-operative evaluation

- For diagnostic purposes prior to pelvic surgery or procedure

Post-operative/procedural evaluation

- Follow-up of known or suspected post-operative complication involving the hips or the pelvis^{55, 56, 75, 76} within six months
- A follow-up study to help evaluate a patient's progress after treatment, procedure, intervention, or surgery. Documentation requires a medical reason that clearly indicates why additional imaging is needed.

Note: If an Abdomen/Pelvis MRI is indicated and the Abdomen MRI has already been approved, then the Pelvis MRI may be approved.

Fetal MRI (CPT codes 74712-74713) - To better define or confirm a known or suspected abnormality of the fetus after ultrasound has been performed during the second trimester⁵⁷ or when fetal surgery is planned and/or to make a decision about therapy, delivery or to advise the family about prognosis.^{58,78} Also includes evaluation of the maternal pelvis and placenta.

BACKGROUND

Magnetic resonance imaging of the pelvis is a noninvasive technique for the evaluation, assessment of severity, and follow-up of diseases of the male and female pelvic organs. MRI provides excellent contrast of soft tissues and provides multiplanar and 3D depiction of pathology and anatomy. Patients undergoing MRI do not have exposure to ionizing radiation or iodinated contrast materials. MRI techniques utilize body coils to image the entire pelvis or endoluminal coils for evaluation of the rectum, prostate, and genitourinary system.

OVERVIEW

PI-RADS Assessment Categories for Prostate Cancer⁵⁹:

PI-RADS Assessment Categories for Prostate Cancer⁷⁹:

The assignment of a PI-RADS category is based on mpMRI findings only and does not incorporate other factors, including PSA testing, DRE (digital rectal exam), or clinical history.

PI-RADS 1 – Very low (clinically significant cancer is highly unlikely to be present)

PI-RADS 2 – Low (clinically significant cancer is unlikely to be present)

PI-RADS 3 – Intermediate (the presence of clinically significant cancer is equivocal)

PI-RADS 4 – High (clinically significant cancer is likely to be present)

PI-RADS 5 – Very high (clinically significant cancer is highly likely to be present)

***Conservative Therapy** – Conservative therapy should include a multimodality approach consisting of a **combination of active and inactive components**. Inactive components, such as rest, ice, heat, modified activities, medical devices, acupuncture and/or stimulators, medications, injections (epidural, facet, bursal, and/or joint, not including trigger point), and diathermy can be utilized. Active modalities may consist of physical therapy, a physician-supervised home exercise program**, and/or chiropractic care.

****Home Exercise Program - (HEP)/Therapy** – the following elements are required to meet guidelines for completion of conservative therapy^{60, 61, 80, 81}:

- Information provided on exercise prescription/plan AND

- Follow up with member with documentation provided regarding lack of improvement (failed) after completion of HEP (after suitable 4-week period), or inability to complete HEP due to physical reason- i.e., increased pain, inability to physically perform exercises. (Patient inconvenience or noncompliance without explanation does not constitute “inability to complete” HEP).
- Dates and duration of failed PT, physician-supervised HEP, or chiropractic treatment should be documented in the original office notes or an addendum to the notes.

MRI and Undescended Testes – The most common genital malformation in boys is undescended testis. In one series, 70% of undescended testes are palpable. Despite the advances in ultrasound technology, ultrasound cannot reliably identify intra-abdominal testes, which comprise 20% of all undescended testes.⁶⁸² The timely management of undescended testis is important to potentially minimize the risk of infertility and lessen the risk of malignancy. MRI is used as a diagnostic tool in the detection of undescended testes and can reveal information for both anatomic and tissue characterization. It is noninvasive, non-ionizing, and can obtain multiplanar images.

MRI and Adnexal Masses – MRI is used in the evaluation of adnexal masses. It can identify and characterize different neoplastic and nonneoplastic abnormalities, e.g., exophytic leiomyoma, endometrioma, dermoid cyst, and ovarian edema. It is a useful adjunct when sonography is inconclusive in the evaluation of adnexal masses.

MRI and Endometriosis – MRI manifestations of endometriosis vary including endometrioma, peritoneal endometrial implant, adhesion, and other rare features. The data obtained from imaging must be combined with clinical data to perform preoperative assessment of endometriosis.

MRI and Lumbosacral Plexopathy – Complete lumbar (L1-L4) or sacral plexopathy (L5-S3) may present with weakness, sensory loss, and flaccid loss of tendon reflexes. Clinical diagnosis is confirmed by EMG. Acute and chronic plexopathies may be caused by nerve sheath tumors; infectious, autoimmune, hereditary, or idiopathic neuropathies; extrinsic compression; or trauma.³²³⁸ There is no CPT® code specifically for imaging of the LS plexus. Pudendal neuralgia may be considered in chronic pain patients who meet the Nantes criteria: pain in the area innervated by the pudendal nerve, pain more severe with sitting, pain that does not awaken the patient from sleep, pain with no objective sensory impairment, and pain relieved by pudendal block. All five criteria must be met for diagnosis.³²⁹

MRI and Prostate Cancer – Although prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer in men, most cases do not lead to a prostate cancer-related death. Aggressive treatment of prostate cancer can have side effects, such as incontinence, rectal injury, and impotence. It is very important to do an evaluation that will assist in making decisions about therapy or treatment. MRI can non-invasively assess prostate tissue, functionally and morphologically.

MRI evaluation may use a large array of techniques, e.g., T1-weighted images, T2-weighted images, and dynamic contrast enhanced T1-weighted images.

~~**Prostate Cancer** – MRI is not recommended in patients with suspected cancer but prior negative biopsy because MRI alone can miss up to 26% of clinically significant cancers that would be detected on TRUS biopsy.⁵ Patients with suspected prostate cancer should first undergo a systematic biopsy and if that fails to demonstrate tumor, an MRI can then be obtained to guide future biopsy attempts.^{4,8}~~

~~Per NCCN,^{63,64} for asymptomatic patients with prostate cancer, in very low, low, or intermediate groups with life expectancy ≤ 5 years, no further treatment or work-up indicated (unless the patient becomes symptomatic). Active surveillance is indicated if life expectancy is determined to be ≥ 10 years.~~

MRI and Rectal Cancer – MRI is used in the evaluation of rectal cancer to visualize not only the intestinal wall but also the surrounding pelvic anatomy. MRI is an excellent imaging technique due to its high soft-tissue contrast, powerful gradient system, and high resolution. It provides accurate evaluation of the topographic relationship between lateral tumor extent and the mesorectal fascia.

Imaging of hernias – Most hernias are diagnosed clinically with imaging recommended for the diagnosis of occult hernias or in the evaluation of hernia complications, such as bowel obstruction or strangulation. To detect occult hernias, ultrasound is a first-line study with a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 77%, compared to 80% sensitivity and 65% specificity for CT.²⁴²⁹ According to Miller et al, “Magnetic resonance imaging is generally not considered a first- or even second-line evaluation modality for hernias....”²³²⁸ Both MRI and US can be valuable for diagnosing pathology in athletes with groin pain when a sports hernia is suspected. Pain usually occurs with exertion with tenderness over the pubic symphysis or tubercle and exquisite tenderness on direct palpation of the superficial inguinal ring (positive direct stress test). This term initially denoted a posterior inguinal wall deficiency due to disruption of fascia and/or muscle but more recently given the label “core injury” to also include adductor tendon tears, injury to the aponeurosis of the rectus abdominus and adductor longus tendons, and osteitis pubis.²⁶³¹

Elevated CA-125 and pelvic imaging – There is no evidence that isolated levels of CA-125 with no other clinical or radiologic evidence of pathology is sensitive or specific and should not be performed as an isolated test as it can lead to unnecessary studies and anxiety. It is elevated in most cases of epithelial ovarian cancer and is used in monitoring response to treatment as an adjunct to pelvic US. CA-125 has been shown to be increased in many conditions such as fibroids, adenomyosis, pancreatic cancer, endometriosis, tuberculosis, and interstitial lung disease. MRI is not indicated as a first-line test.⁶⁵⁸³

Fever of Unknown Origin

Initial work up prior to CT would include a comprehensive history, repeated physical exam, complete blood count with differential, three sets of blood cultures, chest x-ray, complete metabolic panel, urinalysis, ESR, ANA, RA, CMV IgM antibodies, virus detection in blood, heterophile antibody test, tuberculin test, and HIV antibody test.⁴⁸ Lastly, with a negative CXR, only when initial workup and abdomen/pelvis CT/MR fail to identify the cause for fever can Chest CT be approved. If CXR suggests a malignancy and/or source of fever, then Chest CT would be approved.

Suspected paraneoplastic syndromes with no established cancer diagnosis: laboratory evaluation and imaging

The laboratory evaluation for paraneoplastic syndrome is complex. If the appropriate lab test results are suspicious for malignancy, imaging is indicated.

For SIADH (hyponatremia + increased urine osmolality), there is a high association with small cell lung cancer, therefore imaging typically starts with chest CT. If other symptoms suggest a different diagnosis other than small cell lung cancer, different imaging studies may be reasonable.

For hypercalcemia (high serum calcium, low-normal PTH, high PTHrP) it is reasonable to start with bone imaging followed by a more directed evaluation such as mammogram, chest, abdomen and pelvis imaging as appropriate.

For Cushing syndrome (hypokalemia, normal-high midnight serum ACTH NOT suppressed with dexamethasone) abdominal and chest imaging is reasonable. If dexamethasone suppression test DOES suppress ACTH, pituitary MRI is reasonable.

For hypoglycemia, labs drawn during a period of hypoglycemia (glucose < 55, typically a 72 hour fast) (insulin level, C-peptide and IGF-2:IGF-1 ratio) should be done to evaluate for an insulinoma. An elevated insulin level, elevated C-peptide and/or normal IGF-2:IGF-1 ratio warrant CT or MRI abdomen to look for insulinoma. A low insulin, low C-peptide and/or elevated IGF-2:IGF-1 ratio warrant chest and abdominal imaging.

When a paraneoplastic neurologic syndrome is suspected, nuclear and cytoplasmic antibody panels are often ordered to further identify specific tumor types. Results are needed prior to imaging. Because these tests are highly specific, if an antibody highly associated with a specific cancer is positive, then further imaging for that cancer is reasonable. For example, anti-Hu has a high association with SCLC and chest CT would be reasonable. Anti-MA2 has a high association with testicular cancer and testicular ultrasound would be a reasonable next step.

Weight loss definitions and initial evaluation

Unintentional weight loss is considered clinically significant if the amount of weight lost over 12 months is $\geq 5\%$. Older age and higher percentage of weight loss correlates with higher

likelihood of malignancy. A targeted evaluation is recommended when there are signs or symptoms suggestive of a specific source. For example, when there is clinically significant weight loss with abdominal pain that prompts an evaluation for an abdominal source of the weight loss; CXR and labs such as TSH would not be needed prior to abdominal imaging. Conversely a smoker with a cough and weight loss would not start with abdominal imaging, a chest x-ray (CXR) would be the first test to start with. When there is no suspected diagnosis, initial evaluation includes CXR, age-appropriate cancer screening (such as colonoscopy and mammography) and labs (including CBC, CMP, HbA1C, TSH, stool hemoccult, ESR/CRP, HIV, Hepatitis C). If this initial evaluation fails to identify a cause of weight loss, then the patient is monitored and if progressive weight loss is seen on subsequent visits/weights, then CT Abdomen/Pelvis is reasonable (MRI if there is a contraindication to CT such as contrast allergy or impaired renal function). Lastly, with a negative CXR, only when initial workup and abdomen/pelvis CT/MR fail to identify the cause for weight loss can Chest CT be approved. If CXR suggests a malignancy and/or source of weight loss, then Chest CT would be approved.

REFERENCES

1. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines(r)) Prostate Cancer NCCN Evidence Blocks (tm) Version 1.2023. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Updated November 4, 2022. Accessed December 17, 2022.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_blocks.pdf
2. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Version 1.2023. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated September 16, 2022. Accessed March 2, 2023.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf
3. NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Accessed January 31, 2023.
<https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx>
4. Bjurlin MA, Carroll PR, Eggener S, et al. Update of the Standard Operating Procedure on the Use of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer. *J Urol*. Apr 2020;203(4):706-712. doi:10.1097/ju.0000000000000617
5. Fulgham PF, Rukstalis DB, Rubenstein JN, et al. Standard Operating Procedure for Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer: A Collaborative Initiative by the American Urological Association and the Society of Abdominal Radiology Prostate Disease Focus Panel. American Urological Association. Updated May 2019. Accessed December 28, 2022.
<https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/guidelines/other-clinical-guidance/mri-of-the-prostate-sop>
6. Borofsky S, George AK, Gaur S, et al. What Are We Missing? False-Negative Cancers at Multiparametric MR Imaging of the Prostate. *Radiology*. Jan 2018;286(1):186-195. doi:10.1148/radiol.2017152877
7. Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, et al. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part II-2020 Update: Treatment of Relapsing and Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol*. Feb 2021;79(2):263-282. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2020.09.046
8. Mottet N, Bellmunt J, Briers E, et al. EAU–ESTRO–ESUR–SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. European Association of Urology. Updated 2022. Accessed December 15, 2022.
<https://uroweb.org/guidelines/prostate-cancer>
9. Elkhoury FF, Felker ER, Kwan L, et al. Comparison of Targeted vs Systematic Prostate Biopsy in Men Who Are Biopsy Naive: The Prospective Assessment of Image Registration in the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer (PAIREDCAP) Study. *JAMA Surg*. Sep 1 2019;154(9):811-818. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2019.1734
10. Alexander LF, Oto A, Allen BC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms-Suspicion of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2019;16(11s):S378-s383. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.031
11. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Early Detection Version 1.2023. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated January

9, 2023. Accessed March 2, 2023.

https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf

12. Boschheidgen M, Schimmöller L, Doerfler S, et al. Single center analysis of an advisable control interval for follow-up of patients with PI-RADS category 3 in multiparametric MRI of the prostate. *Sci Rep*. Apr 25 2022;12(1):6746. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-10859-9

13. Schoots IG. MRI in early prostate cancer detection: how to manage indeterminate or equivocal PI-RADS 3 lesions? *Transl Androl Urol*. Feb 2018;7(1):70-82. doi:10.21037/tau.2017.12.31

14. Bourgioti C, Chatoupis K, Mouloupoulos LA. Current imaging strategies for the evaluation of uterine cervical cancer. *World J Radiol*. Apr 28 2016;8(4):342-54. doi:10.4329/wjr.v8.i4.342

15. Cartwright SL, Knudson MP. Diagnostic imaging of acute abdominal pain in adults. *Am Fam Physician*. Apr 1 2015;91(7):452-9.

16. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Anorectal Disease. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed December 17, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3102384/Narrative/>

17. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Left Lower Quadrant Pain-Suspected Diverticulitis. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed December 17, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69356/Narrative/>

18. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft-Tissue Masses. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed January 23, 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/>

19. Aldamanhori R, Inman R. The treatment of complex female urethral pathology. *Asian J Urol*. Jul 2018;5(3):160-163. doi:10.1016/j.ajur.2018.03.003

20. Vogel JD, Johnson EK, Morris AM, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Anorectal Abscess, Fistula-in-Ano, and Rectovaginal Fistula. *Dis Colon Rectum*. Dec 2016;59(12):1117-1133. doi:10.1097/dcr.0000000000000733

21. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Crohn Disease. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69470/Narrative/>

22. Rubin DT, Ananthakrishnan AN, Siegel CA, Sauer BG, Long MD. ACG Clinical Guideline: Ulcerative Colitis in Adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Mar 2019;114(3):384-413. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000152

23. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, Regueiro MD, Gerson LB, Sands BE. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Apr 2018;113(4):481-517. doi:10.1038/ajg.2018.27

24. Arif-Tiwari H, Taylor P, Kalb BT, Martin DR. Magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease. *Applied Radiology*. 2019;48(1):9-16.

25. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Crohn Disease. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 15, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69470/Narrative/>

26. Bedewi MA, El-sharkawy M. Imaging of Hernias. *Hernia*. 2017;30:31.

27. Lassandro F, Iasiello F, Pizza NL, et al. Abdominal hernias: Radiological features. *World J Gastrointest Endosc.* Jun 16 2011;3(6):110-7. doi:10.4253/wjge.v3.i6.110
28. Miller J, Cho J, Michael MJ, Saouaf R, Towfigh S. Role of imaging in the diagnosis of occult hernias. *JAMA Surg.* Oct 2014;149(10):1077-80. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.484
29. Robinson A, Light D, Kasim A, Nice C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the role of radiology in the diagnosis of occult inguinal hernia. *Surg Endosc.* Jan 2013;27(1):11-8. doi:10.1007/s00464-012-2412-3
30. Halligan S, Parker SG, Plumb AAO, et al. Use of imaging for pre- and post-operative characterisation of ventral hernia: systematic review. *Br J Radiol.* Sep 2018;91(1089):20170954. doi:10.1259/bjr.20170954
31. Lee SC, Endo Y, Potter HG. Imaging of Groin Pain: Magnetic Resonance and Ultrasound Imaging Features. *Sports Health.* Sep/Oct 2017;9(5):428-435. doi:10.1177/1941738117694841
32. Paksoy M, Sekmen Ü. Sportsman hernia; the review of current diagnosis and treatment modalities. *Ulus Cerrahi Derg.* 2016;32(2):122-9. doi:10.5152/ucd.2015.3132
33. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Hernia. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed March 2, 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3158169/Narrative/>
34. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2016. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69435/Narrative/>
35. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis of the Hip. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69420/Narrative/>
36. Jans L, Van Praet L, Elewaut D, et al. MRI of the SI joints commonly shows non-inflammatory disease in patients clinically suspected of sacroiliitis. *Eur J Radiol.* Jan 2014;83(1):179-84. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.10.001
37. Muniz Neto FJ, Kihara Filho EN, Miranda FC, Rosemberg LA, Santos DCB, Taneja AK. Demystifying MR Neurography of the Lumbosacral Plexus: From Protocols to Pathologies. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018;2018:9608947. doi:10.1155/2018/9608947
38. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Plexopathy. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69487/Narrative/>
39. Wadhwa V, Hamid AS, Kumar Y, Scott KM, Chhabra A. Pudendal nerve and branch neuropathy: magnetic resonance neurography evaluation. *Acta Radiol.* Jun 2017;58(6):726-733. doi:10.1177/0284185116668213
40. Ally RM, Velleman MD, Suleman FE. Meralgia paresthetica: Now showing on 3T magnetic resonance neurography. *SA J Radiol.* 2019;23(1):1745. doi:10.4102/sajr.v23i1.1745
41. Cheatham SW, Kolber MJ, Salamh PA. Meralgia paresthetica: a review of the literature. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* Dec 2013;8(6):883-93.

42. Ro TH, Edmonds L. Diagnosis and Management of Piriformis Syndrome: A Rare Anatomic Variant Analyzed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Clin Imaging Sci*. 2018;8:6. doi:10.4103/jcis.JCIS 58 17
43. Khosa F, Krinsky G, Macari M, Yucel EK, Berland LL. Managing incidental findings on abdominal and pelvic CT and MRI, Part 2: white paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee II on vascular findings. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Oct 2013;10(10):789-94. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2013.05.021
44. Uberoi R, Tsetis D, Shrivastava V, Morgan R, Belli AM. Standard of practice for the interventional management of isolated iliac artery aneurysms. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. Feb 2011;34(1):3-13. doi:10.1007/s00270-010-0055-0
45. Nicholson BD, Thompson MJ, Hobbs FDR, et al. Measured weight loss as a precursor to cancer diagnosis: retrospective cohort analysis of 43 302 primary care patients. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. Oct 2022;13(5):2492-2503. doi:10.1002/jcsm.13051
46. Wong CJ. Involuntary weight loss. *Med Clin North Am*. May 2014;98(3):625-43. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2014.01.012
47. Gaddey HL, Holder K. Unintentional weight loss in older adults. *Am Fam Physician*. May 1 2014;89(9):718-22.
48. Brown I, Finnigan NA. Fever of Unknown Origin. StatPearls Publishing. Updated August 22, 2022. Accessed November 19, 2022. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK532265/>
49. Runowska M, Majewski D, Puszczewicz M. Retroperitoneal fibrosis - the state-of-the-art. *Reumatologia*. 2016;54(5):256-263. doi:10.5114/reum.2016.63667
50. Hoshino Y, Machida M, Shimano Si, et al. Unilateral Leg Swelling: Differential Diagnostic Issue Other than Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Journal of General and Family Medicine*. 2016;17(4):311-314.
51. Ibrahim W, Al Safran Z, Hasan H, Zeid WA. Endovascular management of may-thurner syndrome. *Ann Vasc Dis*. 2012;5(2):217-21. doi:10.3400/avd.cr.12.00007
52. Wu WL, Tzeng WS, Wu RH, et al. Comprehensive MDCT evaluation of patients with suspected May-Thurner syndrome. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Nov 2012;199(5):W638-45. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.8040
53. Schlegel PN, Sigman M, Collura B, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Infertility in Men: AUA/ASRM Guideline Part I. *J Urol*. Jan 2021;205(1):36-43. doi:10.1097/ju.0000000000001521
54. Gerull S, Medinger M, Heim D, Passweg J, Stern M. Evaluation of the Pretransplantation Workup before Allogeneic Transplantation. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2014/11/01/ 2014;20(11):1852-1856. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2014.06.029
55. Kaste SC, Kaufman RA, Sunkara A, et al. Routine pre- and post-hematopoietic stem cell transplant computed tomography of the abdomen for detecting invasive fungal infection has limited value. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jun 2015;21(6):1132-5. doi:10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.02.023
56. Varshney N, Kebede AA, Owusu-Dapaah H, Lather J, Kaushik M, Bhullar JS. A Review of Von Hippel-Lindau Syndrome. *J Kidney Cancer VHL*. 2017;4(3):20-29. doi:10.15586/jkcvhl.2017.88

57. Kamilaris CDC, Stratakis CA. Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 1 (MEN1): An Update and the Significance of Early Genetic and Clinical Diagnosis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2019;10:339. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00339
58. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Pelvic Pain in the Reproductive Age Group. American College of Radiology. Updated 2015. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69503/narrative/>
59. Kolon TF, Herndon CD, Baker LA, et al. Evaluation and treatment of cryptorchidism: AUA guideline. *J Urol*. Aug 2014;192(2):337-45. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.05.005
60. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Abnormal Uterine Bleeding. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2020. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69458/Narrative/>
61. Deshmukh SP, Gonsalves CF, Guglielmo FF, Mitchell DG. Role of MR imaging of uterine leiomyomas before and after embolization. *Radiographics*. Oct 2012;32(6):E251-81. doi:10.1148/rg.326125517
62. Siegelman ES, Oliver ER. MR imaging of endometriosis: ten imaging pearls. *Radiographics*. Oct 2012;32(6):1675-91. doi:10.1148/rg.326125518
63. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Female Infertility. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3093336/Narrative/>
64. Cunningham RK, Horrow MM, Smith RJ, Springer J. Adenomyosis: A Sonographic Diagnosis. *Radiographics*. Sep-Oct 2018;38(5):1576-1589. doi:10.1148/rg.2018180080
65. Kilcoyne A, Shenoy-Bhangle AS, Roberts DJ, Sisodia RC, Gervais DA, Lee SI. MRI of Placenta Accreta, Placenta Increta, and Placenta Percreta: Pearls and Pitfalls. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jan 2017;208(1):214-221. doi:10.2214/ajr.16.16281
66. Kirkham A. MRI of the penis. *Br J Radiol*. Nov 2012;85 Spec No 1(Spec Iss 1):S86-93. doi:10.1259/bjr/63301362
67. Parker RA, 3rd, Menias CO, Quazi R, et al. MR Imaging of the Penis and Scrotum. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2015;35(4):1033-50. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140161
68. Dwarkasing RS, Dinkelaar W, Hop WC, Steensma AB, Dohle GR, Krestin GP. MRI evaluation of urethral diverticula and differential diagnosis in symptomatic women. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2011;197(3):676-82. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.6144
69. Knuttinen MG, Xie K, Jani A, Palumbo A, Carrillo T, Mar W. Pelvic venous insufficiency: imaging diagnosis, treatment approaches, and therapeutic issues. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Feb 2015;204(2):448-58. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12709
70. Buddha S, Menias CO, Katabathina VS. Imaging of urachal anomalies. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. Dec 2019;44(12):3978-3989. doi:10.1007/s00261-019-02205-x
71. Parada Villavicencio C, Adam SZ, Nikolaidis P, Yaghmai V, Miller FH. Imaging of the Urachus: Anomalies, Complications, and Mimics. *Radiographics*. Nov-Dec 2016;36(7):2049-2063. doi:10.1148/rg.2016160062
72. Wald A, Bharucha AE, Cosman BC, Whitehead WE. ACG clinical guideline: management of benign anorectal disorders. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Aug 2014;109(8):1141-57; (Quiz) 1058. doi:10.1038/ajg.2014.190

73. Benn DE, Robinson BG, Clifton-Bligh RJ. 15 YEARS OF PARAGANGLIOMA: Clinical manifestations of paraganglioma syndromes types 1-5. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. Aug 2015;22(4):T91-103. doi:10.1530/erc-15-0268
74. Hosseinzadeh K, Oto A, Allen BC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Hematospermia. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S154-s159. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.023
75. Davis DL, Morrison JJ. Hip Arthroplasty Pseudotumors: Pathogenesis, Imaging, and Clinical Decision Making. *J Clin Imaging Sci*. 2016;6:17. doi:10.4103/2156-7514.181493
76. Yanny S, Cahir JG, Barker T, et al. MRI of aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesions in metal-on-metal hip replacements. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2012;198(6):1394-402. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.7504
77. Prayer D, Malinge G, Brugger PC, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: performance of fetal magnetic resonance imaging. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. May 2017;49(5):671-680. doi:10.1002/uog.17412
78. ACR-SPR Practice Parameter for the Safe and Optimal Performance of Fetal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). American College of Radiology (ACR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). Updated 2020. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Fetal.pdf>
79. Weinreb JC, Barentsz JO, Choyke PL, et al. PI-RADS® Prostate Imaging – Reporting and Data System Version 2.1. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed December 28, 2022. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/RADS/PI-RADS/PIRADS-V2-1.pdf>
80. Last AR, Hulbert K. Chronic low back pain: evaluation and management. *Am Fam Physician*. Jun 15 2009;79(12):1067-74.
81. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Low Back Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed January 29, 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/>
82. Tasian GE, Copp HL, Baskin LS. Diagnostic imaging in cryptorchidism: utility, indications, and effectiveness. *J Pediatr Surg*. Dec 2011;46(12):2406-13. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.08.008
83. Tahmasebi F, Nath R, Sokolovsky N, Scaoli J, Boley J, Mehra G. Incidental finding of raised CA125: a cause for concern. *Crit Care Obst Gyne*. 2018;5(01):1-5.

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary
<u>March 2023</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Prostate cancer: updated guidance based on new NCCN criteria</u> • <u>IBD: clarified indications</u> • <u>Hernia: added indication for deep pelvic hernia</u> • <u>Musculoskeletal: additional guidance provided for hip imaging, non-diagnostic requests added, corrected statement requiring abnormal x-ray to requiring prior x-ray</u> • <u>Other: specified guidance for weight loss, paraneoplastic syndrome, edema; added indications for thrombocytopenia, gestational trophoblastic disease, cancer predisposition syndromes</u> • <u>Aneurysm: added section about non-aortic vascular disease</u> • <u>Transplant: added section</u> • <u>General Information moved to beginning of guideline with added statement on clinical indications not addressed in this guideline</u> • <u>Added statement regarding further evaluation of indeterminate findings on prior imaging</u> • <u>Aligned sections across body imaging guidelines</u>
March 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added when MRI is requested to potentially avoid a prostate biopsy • Added abnormal incidental pelvic lymph nodes when follow-up is recommended based on prior imaging (initial 3-month follow-up) • Within section concerning evaluation of suspected infection or inflammatory disease, added: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Suspected peritonitis (typically needing to include MRI Abd) with abd pain, tenderness to palpation, and at least one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rebound, guarding or rigid abdomen, OR ▪ Severe tenderness to palpation over entire abdomen ○ Complications of diverticulitis with severe abdominal pain or severe tenderness or mass, not responding to antibiotic treatment (prior imaging study is not required for diverticulitis diagnosis) • Removed “For MR Enterography (MRE) if CT or MRI of the abdomen and pelvis are inconclusive” from the section on evaluation of suspected IBD • Clarified pelvic pain due to suspected occult, spigelian, or incisional hernia • Clarified hernia with suspected complications

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added “after initial x-ray” to Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction Removed “For evaluation of suspected pelvic floor weakness in women with functional disorders, such as urinary or fecal incontinence, obstructed defecation, and pelvic organ prolapse” from “Other Indications for a Pelvic MRI” Added B symptoms to “Other Indications for a Pelvic MRI”
November 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added +0698T
July 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarified language in Indication for prostate MRI (suspected prostate cancer) based on updates to Version 2.2021 NCCN guidelines and 2020 publication of updated AUA-SAR SOPs regarding MRI
April 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated the initial imaging for prostate cancer to reflect 2021 NCCN changes and “adjusted PSA” Revised indication for prostate MRI (suspected prostate cancer) to clarify criteria related to a negative prior biopsy and added criteria for when imaging is appropriate prior to biopsy Included criteria for ultrasound abnormalities for adenomyosis Added limited circumstances when prior imaging is not needed before MRI for the evaluation of urethral diverticula
May 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mention MRU which includes MRI abd Perianal fistula including with Crohn’s Urethral eval Added section on MRE for IBD Added section on Lumbosacral plexus, pudendal neuralgia, maralgia-paresthetica, piriformis syndrome Added separate section on hernia including sports hernia Added abnormal uterine bleeding; adenomyosis; pelvic floor weakness; urachal anomalies; MR defecography; surveillance for paraganglioma syndromes; hematospermia; LE edema; right varicocele; May-Thurner Added the Fetal MR GL to page Comment section on Lumbar plexopathy, sports hernia, elevated CA-125
June 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added the following indications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> rising or persistent elevated PSA OR suspicious DRE and at least 15 years life expectancy and negative prior biopsy suspected perianal fistula 6 months time specification for f/u of known or suspected post-operative complication involving hips or pelvis

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ for confirmed stress (fatigue) fracture for “return to play” evaluation ○ post operative complications after pelvic floor surgery ○ For known prostate cancer: Initial treatment by active surveillance w/initial mpMRI and mpMRI to be repeated no more than every 12 months unless clinically indicated ○ suspected placenta accrete or percreta when US is indeterminate ○ further assessment of a scrotal or penile mass when ultrasound is inconclusive ○ investigation of a malfunctioning penile prosthesis ○ suspected urethral diverticula and other imaging is inconclusive ○ evaluation of adenomyosis when ultrasound is equivocal, especially in the case of suspected focal adenomyoma when it will help determine if surgery is indicated ○ suspected pelvic congestion syndrome in patients with chronic pelvic pain when other imaging is non diagnostic ○ suspected patent urachus when ultrasound is non diagnostic ○ evaluation of enlargement of organ abnormality seen on previous imaging to provide an alternative to an indeterminate or inconclusive ultrasound ○ PI-RADS information to background section ○ Home exercise program information updated to include dates and duration of failed PT and other
--	---

REFERENCES

1. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Early Detection Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated July 14, 2021. Accessed July 20, 2021.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf
2. NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated 2021. Accessed November 4, 2021.
<https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx>
3. Bjurlin MA, Carroll PR, Eggener S, et al. Update of the Standard Operating Procedure on the Use of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer. *J Urol*. Apr 2020;203(4):706-712. doi:10.1097/ju.0000000000000617
4. Fulgham PF, Rukstalis DB, Rubenstein JN, et al. Standard Operating Procedure for Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer: A Collaborative Initiative by the American Urological Association and the Society of Abdominal Radiology Prostate Disease Focus Panel. American Urological Association. Updated May 2019. Accessed November 4, 2021.
<https://www.auanet.org/guidelines/guidelines/mri-of-the-prostate-sop>
5. Borefsky S, George AK, Gaur S, et al. What Are We Missing? False Negative Cancers at Multiparametric MR Imaging of the Prostate. *Radiology*. Jan 2018;286(1):186-195. doi:10.1148/radiol.2017152877
6. Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, et al. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part II 2020 Update: Treatment of Relapsing and Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol*. Feb 2021;79(2):263-282. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2020.09.046
7. Mottet N, Bellmunt J, Briers E, et al. EAU-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. European Association of Urology. Updated 2018. Accessed November 4, 2021.
<https://uroweb.org/wp-content/uploads/Prostate-Cancer-2017-pocket.pdf>
8. Elkhoury FF, Felker ER, Kwan L, et al. Comparison of Targeted vs Systematic Prostate Biopsy in Men Who Are Biopsy Naive: The Prospective Assessment of Image Registration in the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer (PAIREDCAP) Study. *JAMA Surg*. Sep 1 2019;154(9):811-818. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2019.1734
9. Alexander LF, Oto A, Allen BC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms-Suspicion of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2019;16(11s):S378-S383. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.031
10. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft Tissue Masses. American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed November 2, 2021.
<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/>
11. Bourgioti C, Chatoupis K, Moulopoulos LA. Current imaging strategies for the evaluation of uterine cervical cancer. *World J Radiol*. Apr 28 2016;8(4):342-54. doi:10.4329/wjr.v8.i4.342
12. Cartwright SL, Knudson MP. Diagnostic imaging of acute abdominal pain in adults. *Am Fam Physician*. Apr 1 2015;91(7):452-9.

13. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Anorectal Disease. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed March 18, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3102384/Narrative/>
14. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Left Lower Quadrant Pain-Suspected Diverticulitis. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed March 18, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69356/Narrative/>
15. Aldamanhori R, Inman R. The treatment of complex female urethral pathology. *Asian J Urol*. Jul 2018;5(3):160-163. doi:10.1016/j.ajur.2018.03.003
16. Vogel JD, Johnson EK, Morris AM, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Anorectal Abscess, Fistula-in-Ano, and Rectovaginal Fistula. *Dis Colon Rectum*. Dec 2016;59(12):1117-1133. doi:10.1097/dcr.0000000000000733
17. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Crohn Disease. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 5, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69470/Narrative/>
18. Arif-Tiwari H, Taylor P, Kalb BT, Martin DR. Magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease. *Applied Radiology*. 2019;48(1):9-16.
19. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, Regueiro MD, Gerson LB, Sands BE. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Apr 2018;113(4):481-517. doi:10.1038/ajg.2018.27
20. Rubin DT, Ananthakrishnan AN, Siegel CA, Sauer BG, Long MD. ACG Clinical Guideline: Ulcerative Colitis in Adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Mar 2019;114(3):384-413. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000152
21. Bedewi MA, El-sharkawy M. Imaging of Hernias. *Hernia*. 2017;30:31.
22. Lassandro F, Iasiello F, Pizza NL, et al. Abdominal hernias: Radiological features. *World J Gastrointest Endosc*. Jun 16 2011;3(6):110-7. doi:10.4253/wjge.v3.i6.110
23. Miller J, Cho J, Michael MJ, Saouaf R, Towfigh S. Role of imaging in the diagnosis of occult hernias. *JAMA Surg*. Oct 2014;149(10):1077-80. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.484
24. Robinson A, Light D, Kasim A, Nice C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the role of radiology in the diagnosis of occult inguinal hernia. *Surg Endosc*. Jan 2013;27(1):11-8. doi:10.1007/s00464-012-2412-3
25. Halligan S, Parker SG, Plumb AAO, et al. Use of imaging for pre- and post-operative characterisation of ventral hernia: systematic review. *Br J Radiol*. Sep 2018;91(1089):20170954. doi:10.1259/bjr.20170954
26. Lee SC, Endo Y, Potter HG. Imaging of Groin Pain: Magnetic Resonance and Ultrasound Imaging Features. *Sports Health*. Sep/Oct 2017;9(5):428-435. doi:10.1177/1941738117694841
27. Paksoy M, Sekmen Ü. Sportsman hernia; the review of current diagnosis and treatment modalities. *Ulus Cerrahi Derg*. 2016;32(2):122-9. doi:10.5152/ucd.2015.3132
28. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2016. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69435/Narrative/>

29. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis of the Hip. American College of Radiology. Updated 2015. Accessed November 22, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69420/Narrative/>
30. Jans L, Van Praet L, Elewaut D, et al. MRI of the SI joints commonly shows non-inflammatory disease in patients clinically suspected of sacroiliitis. *Eur J Radiol.* Jan 2014;83(1):179-84. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.10.001
31. Muniz Neto FJ, Kihara Filho EN, Miranda FC, Rosemberg LA, Santos DCB, Taneja AK. Demystifying MR Neurography of the Lumbosacral Plexus: From Protocols to Pathologies. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018;2018:9608947. doi:10.1155/2018/9608947
32. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Plexopathy. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed December 6, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69487/Narrative/>
33. Wadhwa V, Hamid AS, Kumar V, Scott KM, Chhabra A. Pudendal nerve and branch neuropathy: magnetic resonance neurography evaluation. *Acta Radiol.* Jun 2017;58(6):726-733. doi:10.1177/0284185116668213
34. Ally RM, Velleman MD, Suleman FE. Meralgia paresthetica: Now showing on 3T magnetic resonance neurography. *SA J Radiol.* 2019;23(1):1745. doi:10.4102/sajr.v23i1.1745
35. Cheatham SW, Kolber MJ, Salamh PA. Meralgia paresthetica: a review of the literature. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* Dec 2013;8(6):883-93.
36. Ro TH, Edmonds L. Diagnosis and Management of Piriformis Syndrome: A Rare Anatomic Variant Analyzed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Clin Imaging Sci.* 2018;8:6. doi:10.4103/jcis.JCIS_58_17
37. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Pelvic Pain in the Reproductive Age Group. American College of Radiology. Updated 2015. Accessed March 18, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69503/narrative/>
38. Kolon TF, Herndon CD, Baker LA, et al. Evaluation and treatment of cryptorchidism: AUA guideline. *J Urol.* Aug 2014;192(2):337-45. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.05.005
39. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Abnormal Uterine Bleeding. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2020. Accessed March 18, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69458/Narrative/>
40. Deshmukh SP, Gonsalves CF, Guglielmo FF, Mitchell DG. Role of MR imaging of uterine leiomyomas before and after embolization. *Radiographics.* Oct 2012;32(6):E251-81. doi:10.1148/rg.326125517
41. Siegelman ES, Oliver ER. MR imaging of endometriosis: ten imaging pearls. *Radiographics.* Oct 2012;32(6):1675-91. doi:10.1148/rg.326125518
42. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Female Infertility. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed March 18, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3093336/Narrative/>
43. Cunningham RK, Horrow MM, Smith RJ, Springer J. Adenomyosis: A Sonographic Diagnosis. *Radiographics.* Sep-Oct 2018;38(5):1576-1589. doi:10.1148/rg.2018180080

44. Kilcoyne A, Shenoy-Bhangle AS, Roberts DJ, Sisodia RC, Gervais DA, Lee SI. MRI of Placenta Accreta, Placenta Increta, and Placenta Percreta: Pearls and Pitfalls. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jan 2017;208(1):214-221. doi:10.2214/ajr.16.16281
45. Kirkham A. MRI of the penis. *Br J Radiol*. Nov 2012;85 Spec No 1(Spec Iss 1):S86-93. doi:10.1259/bjr/63301362
46. Parker RA, 3rd, Menias CO, Quazi R, et al. MR Imaging of the Penis and Scrotum. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2015;35(4):1033-50. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140161
47. Dwarkasing RS, Dinkelaar W, Hop WC, Steensma AB, Dohle GR, Krestin GP. MRI evaluation of urethral diverticula and differential diagnosis in symptomatic women. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2011;197(3):676-82. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.6144
48. Knuttinen MG, Xie K, Jani A, Palumbo A, Carrillo T, Mar W. Pelvic venous insufficiency: imaging diagnosis, treatment approaches, and therapeutic issues. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Feb 2015;204(2):448-58. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12709
49. Buddha S, Menias CO, Katabathina VS. Imaging of urachal anomalies. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. Dec 2019;44(12):3978-3989. doi:10.1007/s00261-019-02205-x
50. Parada Villavicencio C, Adam SZ, Nikolaidis P, Yaghmai V, Miller FH. Imaging of the Urachus: Anomalies, Complications, and Mimics. *Radiographics*. Nov-Dec 2016;36(7):2049-2063. doi:10.1148/rg.2016160062
51. Wald A, Bharucha AE, Cosman BC, Whitehead WE. ACG clinical guideline: management of benign anorectal disorders. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Aug 2014;109(8):1141-57; (Quiz) 1058. doi:10.1038/ajg.2014.190
52. Gleason A, Bishop K, Xi Y, Fetzer DT. Isolated Right Sided Varicocele: Is Further Workup Necessary? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Apr 2019;212(4):802-807. doi:10.2214/ajr.18.20077
53. Benn DE, Robinson BG, Clifton-Bligh RJ. 15 YEARS OF PARAGANGLIOMA: Clinical manifestations of paraganglioma syndromes types 1-5. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. Aug 2015;22(4):T91-103. doi:10.1530/erc-15-0268
54. Hosseinzadeh K, Oto A, Allen BC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Hematospermia. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S154-s159. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.023
55. Davis DL, Morrison JJ. Hip Arthroplasty Pseudotumors: Pathogenesis, Imaging, and Clinical Decision Making. *J Clin Imaging Sci*. 2016;6:17. doi:10.4103/2156-7514.181493
56. Yanny S, Cahir JG, Barker T, et al. MRI of aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesions in metal-on-metal hip replacements. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2012;198(6):1394-402. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.7504
57. Prayer D, Malinge G, Brugger PC, et al. ISUOG Practice Guidelines: performance of fetal magnetic resonance imaging. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. May 2017;49(5):671-680. doi:10.1002/uog.17412
58. ACR-SPR Practice Parameter for the Safe and Optimal Performance of Fetal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). American College of Radiology (ACR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). Updated 2020. Accessed December 17, 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Fetal.pdf>

59. Weinreb JC, Barentsz JO, Choyke PL, et al. PI-RADS® Prostate Imaging—Reporting and Data System Version 2.1. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed November 5, 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/RADS/PI-RADS/PI-RADS-V2-1.pdf>
60. Last AR, Hulbert K. Chronic low back pain: evaluation and management. *Am Fam Physician*. Jun 15 2009;79(12):1067-74.
61. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Low Back Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed November 10, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/>
62. Tasian GE, Copp HL, Baskin LS. Diagnostic imaging in cryptorchidism: utility, indications, and effectiveness. *J Pediatr Surg*. Dec 2011;46(12):2406-13. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.08.008
63. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Version 3.2022. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated January 10, 2022. Accessed March 18, 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf
64. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Early Detection Version 1.2022. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated February 16, 2022. Accessed March 18, 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf
65. Tahmasebi F, Nath R, Sokolovsky N, Scaoli J, Boley J, Mehra G. Incidental finding of raised CA125: a cause for concern. *Crit Care Obst Gyne*. 2018;5(01):1-5.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Ahdoot M, Wilbur AR, Reese SE, et al. MRI-Targeted, Systematic, and Combined Biopsy for Prostate Cancer Diagnosis. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 5 2020;382(10):917-928. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1910038
2. Ahmed HU, El-Shater Bosaily A, Brown LC, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of multi-parametric MRI and TRUS biopsy in prostate cancer (PROMIS): a paired validating confirmatory study. *Lancet*. Feb 25 2017;389(10071):815-822. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)32401-1
3. Rosenkrantz AB, Verma S, Choyke PL, et al. Prostate MRI and MRI-Targeted Biopsy in Patients with Prior Negative Biopsy. American Urological Association (AUA). Updated 2016. Accessed November 5, 2021. <http://www.auanet.org/guidelines/prostate-mri-and-mri-targeted-biopsy>
4. Behr SC, Courtier JL, Qayyum A. Imaging of müllerian duct anomalies. *Radiographics*. Oct 2012;32(6):E233-50. doi:10.1148/rg.326125515
5. Bitti GT, Argiolas GM, Ballicu N, et al. Pelvic floor failure: MR imaging evaluation of anatomic and functional abnormalities. *Radiographics*. Mar-Apr 2014;34(2):429-48. doi:10.1148/rg.342125050
6. Bloch BN, Lenkinski RE, Rofsky NM. The role of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in prostate cancer imaging and staging at 1.5 and 3 Tesla: the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) approach. *Cancer Biomark*. 2008;4(4-5):251-62. doi:10.3233/cbm-2008-44-507

7. Brandon CJ, Jacobson JA, Fessell D, et al. Groin pain beyond the hip: how anatomy predisposes to injury as visualized by musculoskeletal ultrasound and MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Nov 2011;197(5):1190-7. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.4890
8. Jegannathan D, Indiran V. Magnetic resonance imaging of classified and unclassified Müllerian duct anomalies: Comparison of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology classifications. *SA J Radiol*. 2018;22(1):1259. doi:10.4102/sajr.v22i1.1259
9. Jhaveri KS, Hosseini Nik H. MRI of Rectal Cancer: An Overview and Update on Recent Advances. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jul 2015;205(1):W42-55. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.14201
10. Kasivisvanathan V, Rannikko AS, Borghi M, et al. MRI-Targeted or Standard Biopsy for Prostate Cancer Diagnosis. *N Engl J Med*. May 10 2018;378(19):1767-1777. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1801993
11. Klotz L, Chin J, Black PC, et al. Comparison of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Targeted Biopsy With Systematic Transrectal Ultrasonography Biopsy for Biopsy-Naive Men at Risk for Prostate Cancer: A Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol*. Apr 1 2021;7(4):534-542. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.7589
12. Koulouris G. Imaging review of groin pain in elite athletes: an anatomic approach to imaging findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Oct 2008;191(4):962-72. doi:10.2214/ajr.07.3410
13. Lassandro F, Iasiello F, Pizza NL, et al. Abdominal hernias: Radiological features. *World J Gastrointest Endosc*. Jun 16 2011;3(6):110-7. doi:10.4253/wjge.v3.i6.110
14. Li JJ, Chung JPW, Wang S, Li TC, Duan H. The Investigation and Management of Adenomyosis in Women Who Wish to Improve or Preserve Fertility. *Biomed Res Int*. 2018;2018:6832685. doi:10.1155/2018/6832685
15. Marks L, Young S, Natarajan S. MRI-ultrasound fusion for guidance of targeted prostate biopsy. *Curr Opin Urol*. Jan 2013;23(1):43-50. doi:10.1097/MOU.0b013e32835ad3ee
16. Meermeier NP, Foster BR, Liu JJ, Amling CL, Coakley FV. Impact of Direct MRI-Guided Biopsy of the Prostate on Clinical Management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Aug 2019;213(2):371-376. doi:10.2214/ajr.18.21009
17. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Melanoma: Cutaneous Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated February 19, 2021. Accessed November 5, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cutaneous_melanoma.pdf
18. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Melanoma Version 3.2018. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated July 12, 2018. Accessed November 5, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/melanoma.pdf
19. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Basal Cell Skin Cancer Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated February 25, 2021. Accessed November 5, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nmsc.pdf
20. O'Malley RB, Al-Hawary MM, Kaza RK, Wasnik AP, Liu PS, Hussain HK. Rectal imaging: part 2, Perianal fistula evaluation on pelvic MRI—what the radiologist needs to know. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jul 2012;199(1):W43-53. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.8361

21. Ostlere S. How to image metal-on-metal prostheses and their complications. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2011;197(3):558-67. doi:10.2214/ajr.11.6840
22. Reese AC, Pierorazio PM, Han M, Partin AW. Contemporary evaluation of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network prostate cancer risk classification system. *Urology*. Nov 2012;80(5):1075-9. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2012.07.040
23. Rouvière O, Puech P, Renard Penna R, et al. Use of prostate systematic and targeted biopsy on the basis of multiparametric MRI in biopsy naïve patients (MRI-FIRST): a prospective, multicentre, paired diagnostic study. *Lancet Oncol*. Jan 2019;20(1):100-109. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(18)30569-2
24. Shoji S, Hiraiwa S, Endo J, et al. Manually controlled targeted prostate biopsy with real-time fusion imaging of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging and transrectal ultrasound: an early experience. *Int J Urol*. Feb 2015;22(2):173-8. doi:10.1111/iju.12643
25. Thompson IM, Goodman PJ, Tangen CM, et al. The influence of finasteride on the development of prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*. Jul 17 2003;349(3):215-24. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa030660
26. Tosoian JJ, Chappidi M, Feng Z, et al. Prediction of pathological stage based on clinical stage, serum prostate-specific antigen, and biopsy Gleason score: Partin Tables in the contemporary era. *BJU Int*. May 2017;119(5):676-683. doi:10.1111/bju.13573
27. Trotter SC, Sroa N, Winkelmann RR, Olencki T, Bechtel M. A Global Review of Melanoma Follow-up Guidelines. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. Sep 2013;6(9):18-26.
28. Wallis CJD, Haider MA, Nam RK. Role of mpMRI of the prostate in screening for prostate cancer. *Transl Androl Urol*. Jun 2017;6(3):464-471. doi:10.21037/tau.2017.04.31
29. van der Leest M, Cornel E, Israël B, et al. Head-to-head Comparison of Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy Versus Multiparametric Prostate Resonance Imaging with Subsequent Magnetic Resonance-guided Biopsy in Biopsy-naïve Men with Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen: A Large Prospective Multicenter Clinical Study. *Eur Urol*. Apr 2019;75(4):570-578. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2018.11.023

Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee

Disclaimer: National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates ("NIA"). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.

~~GENERAL INFORMATION~~

~~It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable, All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.~~

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates ("Magellan"). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and