

AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines PELVIS CTA (Angiography)	Original Date: July 2008
CPT Codes: 72191	Last Revised Date: April 2022 <u>March 2023</u>
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_038	Implementation Date: January 2023 <u>4</u>

GENERAL INFORMATION

- It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.
- Where a specific clinical indication is not directly addressed in this guideline, medical necessity determination will be made based on widely accepted standard of care criteria. These criteria are supported by evidence-based or peer-reviewed sources such as medical literature, societal guidelines and state/national recommendations.

INDICATIONS FOR PELVIS CT Angiography / CT Venography (CTA/CTV)

IMPORTANT NOTE—~~when~~

When vascular imaging of the aorta and both legs, i.e., CTA aortogram and runoff is desired (sometimes incorrectly requested as Abd/Pelvis CTA & Lower Extremity CTA Runoff), only one authorization request is required, using CPT Code 75635 Abdominal Arteries CTA. This study provides for imaging of the abdomen and, pelvis are involved (or suspected, and both legs. The CPT code description is CTA aorto-iliofemoral runoff; abdominal aorta and bilateral ilio-femoral lower extremity runoff.

When separate requests for CTA abdomen and CTA Pelvis are encountered for processes involving both the abdomen and pelvis (but do NOT need to be), should be ordered as include legs/runoff), they need to be resubmitted as a single Abdomen/Pelvis CTA (CPT Code: 74174),

*National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.

using CPT Code 74174 (to avoid unbundling). Otherwise, the exam should be limited to the appropriate area (i.e., Abdomen OR Pelvis) that includes the area of concern.

For evaluation of known or suspected vascular disease¹

For pelvic extent

Evaluation of known or suspected pelvic vascular disease

Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) (needs to be resubmitted as CTA Abdomen and Pelvis unless there is a specific finding limited to the pelvis)

Other vascular abnormalities seen on prior imaging studies limited to the pelvis:

- **Initial evaluation of inconclusive vascular findings on prior imaging**
- **Follow-up** of known ~~large vessel diseases (abdominal aorta, inferior vena cava, superior/inferior mesenteric, celiac, splenic, renal or iliac arteries/veins), e.g.,~~ **visceral vascular conditions in the pelvis (such as** aneurysm, dissection, **compression syndromes,** arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), fistulas, intramural hematoma, and vasculitis)
- ~~Evidence of vascular abnormality seen on prior imaging studies~~
- ~~For suspected pelvic extent of aortic dissection~~
- ~~Evaluation of known or suspected aneurysms limited to the pelvis or in evaluating pelvic extent of aortic aneurysm²⁻⁴~~
 - ~~Known or suspected iliac artery aneurysm AND equivocal or indeterminate Doppler ultrasound results~~
 - ~~If repeat Doppler ultrasound is indeterminate~~
- **Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor (conventional CT or MRI also appropriate)¹**
- **For known iliac vascular disease, e.g., aneurysm, dissection, arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), and fistulas, intramural hematoma, and vasculitis²⁻⁴ when ultrasound is inconclusive (See background for ultrasound screening intervals). CTA/MRA rather than CT/MRI is needed for non-aortic disease when ultrasound is inconclusive.⁵**
- Suspected complications of known aneurysm as evidenced by clinical findings such as new onset of pelvic pain
- ~~Follow up of iliac artery aneurysm:~~
 - ~~Every three years for diameter 2.0–2.9 cm~~
 - ~~Annually for 3.0–3.4 cm if Doppler ultrasound is inconclusive~~
 - ~~If > 3.5 cm, < six-month follow-up (and consider intervention)⁴~~
- ~~Suspected retroperitoneal hematoma or hemorrhage: to determine vascular source of hemorrhage, in setting of trauma, tumor invasion, fistula or vasculitis, otherwise CT/MR abdomen and pelvis (rather than CTA/MRA) may be sufficient and the modality of choice for diagnosing hemorrhage⁵~~

Vascular ischemia or hemorrhage needs to be resubmitted as CTA Abdomen and Pelvis unless there is a specific finding limited to the pelvis)

For patients at increased risk for vascular abnormalities (CTA or MRA): (needs to be resubmitted as CTA Abdomen and Pelvis unless there is a specific finding limited to the pelvis)

Venous

- For evaluation of suspected pelvic vascular disease or pelvic congestive syndrome when findings on ultrasound are indeterminate (MR or CT venography (CTV) may be used as the initial study for pelvic thrombosis or thrombophlebitis)^{6, 7}
- For evaluation of venous thrombosis in the inferior vena cava⁸ For unexplained lower extremity edema (typically unilateral or asymmetric) with negative or inconclusive ultrasound⁸
- For evaluation of venous thrombosis in the inferior vena cava⁹
- Venous thrombosis if previous studies have not resulted in a clear diagnosis^{9,10}
- Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor (Conventional CT or MRI also appropriate)^{10, 11}
- ~~For evaluation of suspected mesenteric ischemia/ischemic colitis (can approve CTA/MRA abdomen and pelvis)¹²~~

Other vascular indications

- For suspected May-Thurner Syndrome (iliac vein compression syndrome) (can include abdomen CTA)^{13, 14, 12, 13}

Other vascular indications

- ~~Lower gastrointestinal hemorrhage: Active bleeding in a hemodynamically stable patient or non-localized intermittent bleeding as an alternative to Tc-99m RBC scan when colonoscopy did not localize the bleeding, is contraindicated, or unavailable^{15, 16}~~
- For evaluation of erectile dysfunction when a vascular cause is suspected and Doppler ultrasound is inconclusive^{17, 14}
- ~~For patients with fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD), a one-time vascular study of the abdomen and pelvis¹⁸ so should be Abdomen/Pelvis CTA (CPT 74174)~~
- ~~For patients with vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome or Marfan syndrome recommend a one-time vascular study of the abdomen and pelvis so should be Abdomen/Pelvis CTA (CPT 74174)~~
- ~~For Loeys-Dietz vascular imaging every two years (include abdomen CTA)¹⁹~~
- ~~For spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAT) at time of coronary arteriography (includes CTA abdomen)²⁰ so should be Abdomen/Pelvis CTA (CPT 74174)~~

Pre-operative evaluation^{21, 22}

Other Indications

Further evaluation of indeterminate findings on prior imaging (unless follow up is otherwise specified within the guideline):

- For initial evaluation of an inconclusive finding on a prior imaging report that requires further clarification
- One follow-up exam of a prior indeterminate MR/CT finding to ensure no suspicious interval change has occurred. (No further surveillance unless specified as highly suspicious or change was found on last follow-up exam)

Pre-operative evaluation^{15, 16}

- Evaluation of interventional vascular procedures prior to endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR), or for luminal patency versus restenosis due to conditions such as atherosclerosis, thromboembolism, and intimal hyperplasia
- ~~Imaging of the deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery) include abdomen CTA/MRA²²~~
- ~~Prior to uterine artery embolization for fibroids (MRA preferred)²³~~
 - Imaging of the deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery), if abdomen CTA is also needed, resubmit as abdomen and pelvis CTA¹⁶
 - Prior to uterine artery embolization for fibroids (MRA preferred)¹⁷
 - Prior to solid organ transplantation when vascular anatomy is needed

Post-operative or post-procedural evaluation

- Evaluation of post-operative complications of renal transplant allograft²⁴¹⁸
- Evaluation of endovascular/interventional vascular procedures for luminal patency versus restenosis due to conditions such as atherosclerosis, thromboembolism, and intimal hyperplasia
- Evaluation of post-operative complications, e.g., pseudoaneurysms related to surgical bypass grafts, vascular stents, and stent-grafts in the pelvis
- Follow-up for post-endovascular repair (EVAR) or open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) ~~and iliac artery aneurysms typically needs to include abdominal imaging, therefore Abdomen Pelvis CTA would usually be the appropriate study⁵ or~~ abdominal extent of iliac artery aneurysms. CT preferred unless MRA/CTA is needed for procedural planning or to evaluate complex anatomy. (Needs to be resubmitted as CTA Abdomen and Pelvis unless there is a specific finding limited to the pelvis)

When Pelvis CTA is requested in combination with Chest CTA ~~and~~, the Pelvis CTA needs to be resubmitted as an Abdomen/Pelvis CTA ~~or~~ (see Abdomen/Pelvis CTA Guidelines for approvable combo indications)

- ~~For evaluation of extensive vascular disease involving the chest and abdominal cavities~~

- For preoperative or preprocedural evaluation, such as TAVR (transcatheter aortic valve replacement) or transcatheter venous ablation^{24, 25}
- Acute aortic dissection²⁶
- Takayasu's arteritis²⁷
- Marfan syndrome
- Loeys-Dietz syndrome
- Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD)
- Vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome
- Post-operative complications^{28, 29}
- Significant post-traumatic or post-procedural vascular complications

IMPORTANT NOTE: When encountering requests for Abd/Pelvis CTA & Lower Extremity CTA (Runoff) requests, these should be Abdominal Arteries CTA. Only one authorization request is required, using CPT Code 75635. This study provides for imaging of the abdomen, pelvis, and both legs and is the noninvasive equivalent to an "aortogram and run-off".

BACKGROUND

Computed tomographic angiography (CTA) is used in the evaluation of many conditions affecting the veins and arteries of the pelvis or lower extremities. It is not appropriate as a screening tool for asymptomatic patients without a previous diagnosis.

OVERVIEW

CT/MRI and acute hemorrhage: MRI is not indicated ~~and~~ MRA/MRV ~~(MR Angiography/Venography)~~ is rarely indicated for evaluation of intraperitoneal or retroperitoneal hemorrhage, particularly in the acute setting.

CT is the study of choice due to its availability, speed of the study and less susceptibility to artifact from patient motion. Advances in technology have allowed conventional CT to not just detect hematomas but **to also identify** the source of acute vascular extravasation. In special cases, finer vascular detail to assess the specific **source** vessel responsible for hemorrhage may require the use of CTA. CTA in diagnosis of lower gastrointestinal bleeding is such an example.¹⁵¹⁹

MRA/MRV ~~is often~~ **can be** utilized in non-acute situations to assess vascular structure involved in atherosclerotic disease and its complications, **such as** vasculitis, venous thrombosis, vascular congestion, or tumor invasion. Although some of these conditions may be associated with hemorrhage, **bleeding** is usually not the primary reason why MRI/MRA/MRV is selected for the evaluation. A special condition where MRI may be superior to CT for evaluating hemorrhage is to detect an underlying neoplasm as the cause of bleeding.³⁰²⁰

Follow-up of asymptomatic, incidentally detected iliac artery aneurysms: The definition of an iliac artery aneurysm (IAA) is dilatation to more than 1.5 times its normal diameter; in general, a common iliac artery ≥ 18 mm in men and ≥ 15 mm in women; an internal iliac artery (IIA) > 8 mm is considered aneurysmal. ~~Four types of isolated iliac aneurysms are classified by Reber. Suggested surveillance is extrapolated from AAA surveillance and can be done by Doppler ultrasound or CTA if hard to visualize by ultrasound.~~^{4,31}

Iliac aneurysm ultrasound screening intervals:

- Aneurysm size 2.0 -2.9 cm, every 3 years
- Aneurysm size 3.0-3.4 cm, annually
- Aneurysm size > 3.5 cm, every 6 months⁵

REFERENCES

1. Čertík B, Třeška V, Moláček J, Šulc R. How to proceed in the case of a tumour thrombus in the inferior vena cava with renal cell carcinoma. *Cor et Vasa*. 2015/04/01/ 2015;57(2):e95-e100. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvasa.2015.02.015>
2. Thakur V, Inampudi P, Pena CS. Imaging of mesenteric ischemia. *Applied Radiol* 2018;47(2):13-18.
3. Harvin HJ, Verma N, Nikolaidis P, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Renovascular Hypertension. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2017;14(11s):S540-s549. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.040
4. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Noncerebral Vasculitis. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2021. Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3158180/Narrative/>
5. Wanhainen A, Verzini F, Van Herzele I, et al. Editor's Choice - European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Abdominal Aorto-iliac Artery Aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. Jan 2019;57(1):8-93. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.09.020
6. Bookwalter CA, VanBuren WM, Neisen MJ, Bjarnason H. Imaging Appearance and Nonsurgical Management of Pelvic Venous Congestion Syndrome. *Radiographics*. Mar-Apr 2019;39(2):596-608. doi:10.1148/rg.2019180159
7. Knuttinen MG, Xie K, Jani A, Palumbo A, Carrillo T, Mar W. Pelvic venous insufficiency: imaging diagnosis, treatment approaches, and therapeutic issues. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Feb 2015;204(2):448-58. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12709
8. Hoshino Y, Machida M, Shimano Si, et al. Unilateral Leg Swelling: Differential Diagnostic Issue Other than Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Journal of General and Family Medicine*. 2016;17(4):311-314.
9. Aw-Zoretic J, Collins JD. Considerations for Imaging the Inferior Vena Cava (IVC) with/without IVC Filters. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. Jun 2016;33(2):109-21. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1583207
10. Hanley M, Steigner ML, Ahmed O, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S413-s417. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.028
11. Smillie RP, Shetty M, Boyer AC, Madrazo B, Jafri SZ. Imaging Evaluation of the Inferior Vena Cava. *RadioGraphics*. 2015/03/01 2015;35(2):578-592. doi:10.1148/rg.352140136
12. Shammam NW, Jones-Miller S, Kovach T, et al. Predicting Significant Iliac Vein Compression Using a Probability Scoring System Derived From Minimal Luminal Area on Computed Tomography Angiography in Patients 65 Years of Age or Younger. *J Invasive Cardiol*. Jan 2021;33(1):E16-e18.
13. Kalu S, Shah P, Natarajan A, Nwankwo N, Mustafa U, Hussain N. May-thurner syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. *Case Rep Vasc Med*. 2013;2013:740182. doi:10.1155/2013/740182

14. Shindel AW, Brandt WO, Bochinski D, Bella AJ, Leu TF. Medical and Surgical Therapy of Erectile Dysfunction. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, et al, eds. *Endotext*. MDText.com, Inc. Copyright © 2000-2021, MDText.com, Inc.; 2000.
15. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: Interventional Planning and Follow-up. American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed November 16, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70548/Narrative/>
16. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Deep Inferior Epigastric Arteries for Surgical Planning (Breast Reconstruction Surgery). American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed November 16, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101591/Narrative/>
17. Maciel C, Tang YZ, Sahdev A, Madureira AM, Vilares Morgado P. Preprocedural MRI and MRA in planning fibroid embolization. *Diagn Interv Radiol*. Mar-Apr 2017;23(2):163-171. doi:10.5152/dir.2016.16623
18. Bultman EM, Klaers J, Johnson KM, et al. Non-contrast enhanced 3D SSFP MRA of the renal allograft vasculature: a comparison between radial linear combination and Cartesian inflow-weighted acquisitions. *Magn Reson Imaging*. Feb 2014;32(2):190-5. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2013.10.004
19. Clerc D, Grass F, Schäfer M, Denys A, Demartines N, Hübner M. Lower gastrointestinal bleeding-Computed Tomographic Angiography, Colonoscopy or both? *World J Emerg Surg*. 2017;12:1. doi:10.1186/s13017-016-0112-3
20. Abe T, Kai M, Miyoshi O, Nagaie T. Idiopathic Retroperitoneal Hematoma. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. Sep 11 2010;4(3):318-322. doi:10.1159/000320590

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary
<u>March 2023</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Redirected vascular requests for abdomen alone or pelvis imaging alone to resubmit as abdomen and pelvis CTA required unless condition limited to pelvis</u> • <u>Other vascular abnormalities: clarified indication for non-aortic vascular conditions</u> • <u>Transplant: added section</u> • <u>General Information moved to beginning of guideline with added statement on clinical indications not addressed in this guideline</u> • <u>Added statement regarding further evaluation of indeterminate findings on prior imaging</u> • <u>Aligned sections across body imaging guidelines</u>
April 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Removed follow-up intervals for EVAR and AAA since Abdomen Pelvis CTA is usually appropriate study
April 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No substantial changes
May 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added important note for runoff requests and authorizations • Added note that abdominal CTA can be added when indicated • Removed iliac artery aneurysm size restriction of >2.5cm in diameter and changed to 'if repeat Doppler US is indeterminate • For retroperitoneal hematoma or hemorrhage, specified 'when an underlying neoplasm is suspected and prior imaging is inconclusive' • Added pelvic congestive syndrome; suspected May-Thurner Syndrome; erectile dysfunction when vascular cause is suspected and Doppler US inconclusive; post-operative complications of renal transplant allograft • Modified combo study from 'Chest CTA/Pelvis CTA' to 'Chest CTA and Abdomen CTA or Abdomen/Pelvis CTA combo' • Updated background information and references
June 2019	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added evaluation of FMD, Vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Loetz-Dietz and SCAD • Added uterine artery embolization • Added combo studies

REFERENCES

1. Eren S. Multi-detector row computed tomography findings of pelvic congestion syndrome caused by dilated ovarian veins. *Eurasian J Med*. Dec 2010;42(3):128-31. doi:10.5152/eajm.2010.36
2. Khosa F, Krinsky G, Macari M, Yucel EK, Berland LL. Managing incidental findings on abdominal and pelvic CT and MRI, Part 2: white paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee II on vascular findings. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Oct 2013;10(10):789-94. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2013.05.021
3. Uberoi R, Tsetis D, Shrivastava V, Morgan R, Belli AM. Standard of practice for the interventional management of isolated iliac artery aneurysms. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. Feb 2011;34(1):3-13. doi:10.1007/s00270-010-0055-0
4. Wanhainen A, Verzini F, Van Herzele I, et al. Editor's Choice—European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Abdominal Aorto-iliac Artery Aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. Jan 2019;57(1):8-93. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.09.020
5. Ioannou P, Alexakis G. Spontaneous Retroperitoneal Bleeding in a Patient with Primary Antiphospholipid Syndrome on Aspirin. *Case Rep Emerg Med*. 2018;2018:4397893. doi:10.1155/2018/4397893
6. Bookwalter CA, VanBuren WM, Neisen MJ, Bjarnason H. Imaging Appearance and Nonsurgical Management of Pelvic Venous Congestion Syndrome. *Radiographics*. Mar-Apr 2019;39(2):596-608. doi:10.1148/rg.2019180159
7. Knuttinen MG, Xie K, Jani A, Palumbo A, Carrillo T, Mar W. Pelvic venous insufficiency: imaging diagnosis, treatment approaches, and therapeutic issues. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Feb 2015;204(2):448-58. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12709
8. Aw-Zoretic J, Collins JD. Considerations for Imaging the Inferior Vena Cava (IVC) with/without IVC Filters. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. Jun 2016;33(2):109-21. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1583207
9. Hanley M, Steigner ML, Ahmed O, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S413-S417. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.028
10. Čertík B, Třeška V, Moláček J, Šulc R. How to proceed in the case of a tumour thrombus in the inferior vena cava with renal cell carcinoma. *Cor et Vasa*. 2015/04/01/ 2015;57(2):e95-e100. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvasa.2015.02.015>
11. Smillie RP, Shetty M, Boyer AC, Madrazo B, Jafri SZ. Imaging Evaluation of the Inferior Vena Cava. *RadioGraphics*. 2015/03/01 2015;35(2):578-592. doi:10.1148/rg.352140136
12. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Mesenteric Ischemia. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed November 5, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70909/Narrative/>
13. Shammas NW, Jones-Miller S, Kovach T, et al. Predicting Significant Iliac Vein Compression Using a Probability Scoring System Derived From Minimal Luminal Area on Computed Tomography Angiography in Patients 65 Years of Age or Younger. *J Invasive Cardiol*. Jan 2021;33(1):E16-e18.

14. Kalu S, Shah P, Natarajan A, Nwankwo N, Mustafa U, Hussain N. May-thurner syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. *Case Rep Vasc Med*. 2013;2013:740182. doi:10.1155/2013/740182
15. Clerc D, Grass F, Schäfer M, Denys A, Demartines N, Hübner M. Lower gastrointestinal bleeding-Computed Tomographic Angiography, Colonoscopy or both? *World J Emerg Surg*. 2017;12:1. doi:10.1186/s13017-016-0112-3
16. Karuppasamy K, Kapoor BS, Fidelman N, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiologic Management of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding: 2021 Update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2021;18(5s):S139-s152. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2021.02.018
17. Shindel AW, Brandt WO, Bochinski D, Bella AJ, Leu TF. Medical and Surgical Therapy of Erectile Dysfunction. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, et al, eds. *Endotext*. MDText.com, Inc. Copyright © 2000-2021, MDText.com, Inc.; 2000.
18. Kadian-Dodov D, Gornik HL, Gu X, et al. Dissection and Aneurysm in Patients With Fibromuscular Dysplasia: Findings From the U.S. Registry for FMD. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jul 12 2016;68(2):176-85. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.044
19. Chu LC, Johnson PT, Dietz HC, Fishman EK. CT angiographic evaluation of genetic vascular disease: role in detection, staging, and management of complex vascular pathologic conditions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2014;202(5):1120-9. doi:10.2214/ajr.13.11485
20. Crousillat DR, Wood MJ. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: An Update for the Interventionalist. HMP Global Learning Network. Updated March 2020. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://www.hmpgloballearningnetwork.com/site/cathlab/content/spontaneous-coronary-artery-dissection-update-interventionalist>
21. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: Interventional Planning and Follow-up. American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed November 5, 2021. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70548/Narrative/>
22. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Deep Inferior Epigastric Arteries for Surgical Planning (Breast Reconstruction Surgery). American College of Radiology. Updated 2017. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101591/Narrative/>
23. Maciel C, Tang YZ, Sahdev A, Madureira AM, Vilares Morgado P. Preprocedural MRI and MRA in planning fibroid embolization. *Diagn Interv Radiol*. Mar-Apr 2017;23(2):163-171. doi:10.5152/dir.2016.16623
24. Bultman EM, Klaers J, Johnson KM, et al. Non-contrast enhanced 3D SSFP-MRA of the renal allograft vasculature: a comparison between radial-linear combination and Cartesian inflow-weighted acquisitions. *Magn Reson Imaging*. Feb 2014;32(2):190-5. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2013.10.004
25. Ohana M, Bakouboula B, Labani A, et al. Imaging before and after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. Nov 2015;96(11):1113-23. doi:10.1016/j.diii.2014.12.011
26. Barman M. Acute aortic dissection. *ESC e-J Cardio Pract*. 2014;12(25):02Jul2014. doi:<https://www.escardio.org/Journals/E-Journal-of-Cardiology-Practice/Volume-12/Acute-aortic-dissection>

27. Keser G, Direskeneli H, Aksu K. Management of Takayasu arteritis: a systematic review. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. May 2014;53(5):793–801. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ket320
28. Bennett KM, Kent KC, Schumacher J, Greenberg CC, Scarborough JE. Targeting the most important complications in vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg*. Mar 2017;65(3):793–803. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2016.08.107
29. Choudhury M. Postoperative management of vascular surgery patients: a brief review. *Clin Surg*. 2017;2:1584.
30. Abe T, Kai M, Miyoshi O, Nagaie T. Idiopathic Retroperitoneal Hematoma. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. Sep 11 2010;4(3):318–322. doi:10.1159/000320590
31. Reber PU, Brunner K, Hakki H, Stirnemann P, Kniemeyer HW. [Incidence, classification and therapy of isolated pelvic artery aneurysm]. *Chirurg*. Apr 2001;72(4):419–24. Häufigkeit, Klassifikation und Therapie der isolierten Beckenarterienaneurysmen. doi:10.1007/s001040051324

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Henes FO, Pickhardt PJ, Herzyk A, et al. CT angiography in the setting of suspected acute mesenteric ischemia: prevalence of ischemic and alternative diagnoses. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. Apr 2017;42(4):1152–1161. doi:10.1007/s00261-016-0988-0
2. Khalil H, Avruch L, Olivier A, Walker M, Rodger M. The natural history of pelvic vein thrombosis on magnetic resonance venography after vaginal delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Apr 2012;206(4):356.e1–4. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2012.01.006
3. Liu PS, Platt JF. CT angiography of the renal circulation. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Mar 2010;48(2):347–65, viii–ix. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2010.02.005
4. Seitz M, Waggershäuser T, Khoder W. Congenital intrarenal arteriovenous malformation presenting with gross hematuria after endoscopic intervention: a case report. *J Med Case Rep*. Oct 12 2008;2:326. doi:10.1186/1752-1947-2-326
5. Sommer WH, Becker CR, Haack M, et al. Time-resolved CT angiography for the detection and classification of endoleaks. *Radiology*. Jun 2012;263(3):917–26. doi:10.1148/radiol.12111217
6. Thakur V, Inampudi P, Pena CS. Imaging of mesenteric ischemia. *Applied Radiol*. 2018;47(2):13–18.

Disclaimer: National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Evolent Health LLC subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“NIA”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of NIA. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. NIA reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.

~~GENERAL INFORMATION~~

~~It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.~~

Disclaimer: Magellan Healthcare service authorization policies do not constitute medical advice and are not intended to govern or otherwise influence the practice of medicine. These policies are not meant to supplant your normal procedures, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment and/or care plans for your patients. Your professional judgement must be exercised and followed in all respects with regard to the treatment and care of your patients. These policies apply to all Magellan Healthcare subsidiaries including, but not limited to, National Imaging Associates (“Magellan”). The policies constitute only the reimbursement and coverage guidelines of Magellan. Coverage for services varies for individual members in accordance with the terms and conditions of applicable Certificates of Coverage, Summary Plan Descriptions, or contracts with governing regulatory agencies. Magellan reserves the right to review and update the guidelines at its sole discretion. Notice of such changes, if necessary, shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions of provider agreements and any applicable laws or regulations.