AmeriHealth Caritas Louisiana

National Imaging Associates, Inc.	
Clinical guidelines **LOWER EXTREMITY MRI** **(Foot, Ankle, Knee, Leg or Hip MRI)**	**Original Date: September 1997**
CPT Codes: 73718, 73719, 73720, 73721, 73722, 73723, +0698T	**Last Revised Date: ~~March 2022~~April 2023**
Guideline Number: NIA_CG_057-4	**Implementation Date: January 2023~~3~~4**

GENERAL INFORMATION

- *It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*
- ***Where a specific clinical indication is not directly addressed in this guideline, medical necessity determination will be made based on widely accepted standard of care criteria. These criteria are supported by evidence-based or peer-reviewed sources such as medical literature, societal guidelines and state/national recommendations.***

INDICATIONS FOR LOWER EXTREMITY MRI (FOOT, ANKLE, KNEE, LEG or HIP)
(Plain radiographs must precede MRI evaluation)

Some indications are for MRI, CT, or MR or CT Arthrogram. More than one should not be approved at the same time.

If an MR Arthrogram fits approvable criteria below, approve as MRI

~~**Joint specific provocative orthopedic examination**[1]~~
~~**Note**: With a positive orthopedic sign, an initial x-ray is always preferred. However, it is not required to approve advanced imaging (see Table 1).~~

- ~~Ankle~~
  - ~~Unstable syndesmotic injury (high ankle injury)~~
    - ~~With inconclusive stress x-rays and a standing CT cannot be done~~

~~* National Imaging Associates, Inc. (NIA) is a subsidiary of Magellan Healthcare, Inc.~~

- ~~Can have positive fibular translation, squeeze or cotton test, but imaging may be needed to confirm diagnosis~~

- ~~Knee[2-7]~~
  - ~~Joint instability or meniscal injury on exam, demonstrated with a positive~~
    - ~~McMurray's~~
    - ~~Apley'sLachman's~~
    - ~~Anterior or Posterior Drawer sign~~
    - ~~Varus or valgus stress~~
    - ~~Acute mechanical locking of the knee not due to guarding[8]~~
- ~~Hip~~
  - ~~Anterior Impingement sign (labral tear)[9-11]~~
  - ~~Posterior Impingement sign (labral tear)[12]~~

Joint or muscle pain without positive findings on an orthopedic exam as listed ~~above~~below and , after x-ray completed[~~4, 13, 14~~1-3] (does not apply to young children).

- Persistent joint or musculotendinous pain unresponsive to conservative treatment*, within the last 6 months which includes active medical therapy (physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, and/or physician supervised exercise**) of at least four (4) weeks~~, OR~~
- With progression or worsening of symptoms during the course of conservative treatment

Joint specific approvable provocative orthopedic examination tests and suspected injuries[4]
Note: With a positive orthopedic sign, an initial x-ray is always preferred, however, it is not required to approve advanced imaging UNLESS otherwise specified in bold below. Any test that suggests joint instability requires further imaging (list is not all inconclusive)

ANKLE[5-7]

- **Syndesmotic injury (high ankle injury) with tenderness to palpation over the syndesmosis (AITFL – anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament) and any of the following:**
  - **Positive stress X-rays**
  - Squeeze test
  - **Cotton test**
  - **Dorsiflexion external rotation test.**
- **Unstable lateral injury to ATFL (anterior talofibular ligament) with suspicion of a possible associated fracture around the ankle or a possible osteochondral injury of the talus AFTER non-diagnostic or inconclusive X-rays and any ONE of the following:**
  - **Positive stress x-rays**
  - **Positive anterior drawer test**
  - **Positive posterior drawer test**
- **Achilles tendon tear**

- Thompson test

KNEE[1, 8-12]

- Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) Injury
  - Positive testing:
    - Anterior drawer
    - Lachman's
    - Pivot shift test
- Suspected ACL Rupture - acute knee injury with physical exam limited by pain and swelling AFTER initial x-ray completed[13, 14]
  - Based on mechanism of injury, i.e., twisting, blunt force
    - Normal x-ray:
  - Extreme pain, inability to stand, audible pop at time of injury, very swollen joint
  - Abnormal x-ray:
    - Large joint effusion on x-ray knee effusion
- Acute mechanical locking of the knee not due to guarding[15]
- Meniscal injury/tear (A positive test is denoted by pain or audible/palpable clunk)
  - McMurray's Compression
  - Apley's
  - Thessaly test
- Patellar dislocation (acute or recurrent)
  - Positive patellofemoral apprehension test
  - Radiographic findings compatible with a history of patellar dislocation (i.e., lipohemarthrosis or osteochondral fracture)
- Posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) injury
  - Posterior drawer
  - Posterior tibial sag (Godfrey or step-off test)
- Medial collateral ligament tear
  - Positive valgus stress testing/laxity
- Lateral Collateral ligament tear
  - Positive Varus stress testing/laxity

HIP

- Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) / Labral tear
  - Anterior Impingement sign (aka FADIR test)[16-18]
  - Posterior Impingement sign (Pain with hip extension and external rotation on exam)[19]
  - Persistent hip mechanical symptoms (after initial radiographs completed) including clicking, locking, catching, giving way or hip instability with a clinical suspicion of labral tear~~, with or without clinical findings suggestive of~~

~~impingement~~[12, 15]~~.~~ and/or radiographic findings suggestive of FAI (i.e., cross over sign/pistol grip deformity) and suspected labral tear

- ~~Ankle instability and~~To determine candidacy for hip preservation surgery for known FAI[20]

NOTE: For evaluation of both hips when the patient meets hip MRI guidelines (x-ray + persistent pain unresponsive to conservative treatment) for both the right and left hip, Pelvis MRI (NIA_CG_037) is the preferred study.

- If labral tear is suspected and fulfills above criteria, then bilateral hip MRIs are the preferred studies (not Pelvis MRI)
- If bilateral hip arthrograms are requested and otherwise meet guidelines, bilateral hip MRIs are the preferred studies (not Pelvis MRI)

Tendon ~~anterior talofibular ligament~~Rupture after X-Ray[21-24] (not listed in above)

- High clinical suspicion of specific tendon rupture based on mechanism of injury and physical findings (i.e., palpable defect in quadriceps or patellar tendon rupture)

Trauma
Bone Fracture

- ~~(anterior and posterior drawer tests) as~~Hip and Femur
  - Suspected occult, stress or insufficiency fracture with a ~~result of a sprain requires~~ negative or non-diagnostic initial ~~active conservative therapy (above) and x-ray~~x-ray[25]:
    - Approve an immediate MRI (no follow up radiographs required)- MRI preferred test
  - Suspicion of a hip fracture in a pregnant patient does not require an initial x-ray
- Non-hip extremities: Suspected occult, stress, or insufficiency fracture
  - If x-rays, taken 10-14 days after the injury or clinical assessment, are negative or non-diagnostic[26]
  - If at high risk for a complete fracture with conservative therapy (e.g., navicular bone), then immediate MRI is warranted[27]
- Pathologic or concern for impending fracture on x-ray or CT[28] – approve immediate MRI
- Suspected ligamentous/tendon injury with known fractures on x-ray/CT that may require surgery
- Nonunion or delayed union as demonstrated by no healing between two sets of x-rays. If a fracture has not healed by 4-6 months, there is delayed union. Incomplete healing by 6-8 months is nonunion, CT is the preferred study[29]

Osteochondral lesions (defects, fractures, osteochondritis dissecans) and x-ray completed[8, 30-32]

- Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings

~~**Painful acquired or congenital flatfoot deformity in an adult**, after x-ray completed~~

~~After failure of active conservative therapy listed above~~**Joint prosthesis/replacement**

- **Suspected joint prosthesis loosening or dysfunction, (i.e., pseudotumor formation) after initial x-rays** ~~16, 17~~**33, 34**
- **Suspected Metallosis with painful metal on metal hip replacement after initial x-rays**

Extremity Mass[35]

- Mass or lesion after non-diagnostic x-ray or ultrasound[18]-.[36] **CT is better than MRI to evaluate mass calcification or bone involvement and may complement or replace MRI**[37]
    - Baker's cyst should be initially evaluated with ultrasound
    - If superficial mass, then ultrasound is the initial study
    - If deep mass, then x-ray is the initial study
- **Vascular malformations**
    - **After initial evaluation with ultrasound and results will change management**
    - **Inconclusive ultrasound**
    - **For preoperative planning**
        - **MRA is also approvable**
    - **Follow up after treatment/embolization**

Known Primary Cancer of the Extremity[19-23]**38-42**

- ~~Cancer~~**Initial** staging **primary extremity tumor**

~~- Cancer Restaging~~

- **Follow-up of known primary cancer of patient undergoing active treatment within the past year or as per surveillance imaging guidance for that cancer**
- Signs or symptoms ~~of~~ **or imaging findings suspicious for** recurrence
- **Suspected metastatic disease with signs/symptoms and after initial imaging with radiographs**

Further evaluation of indeterminate findings on prior imaging (unless follow up is otherwise specified within the guideline):

- **For initial evaluation of an inconclusive finding on a prior imaging report (i.e., x-ray, ultrasound or CT) that requires further clarification**
- **One follow-up exam of a prior indeterminate MR/CT finding to ensure no suspicious interval change has occurred. (No further surveillance unless specified as highly suspicious or change was found on last follow-up exam)**

Osteonecrosis (e.g., Avascular Necrosis (AVN), Legg-Calve-Perthes Disease)[43-45]

- To further characterize a prior abnormal x-ray or CT suggesting osteonecrosis
- Normal or Indeterminate X-rays, but symptomatic and high risk (such as glucocorticosteroid use, renal transplant, glycogen storage disease, alcohol abuse, sickle cell anemia)[46]
- Known osteonecrosis to evaluate a contralateral joint after initial x-rays

Loose bodies or synovial chondromatosis and after x-ray or ultrasound completed

- In the setting of joint pain or mechanical symptoms[47, 48]

Infection of Bone ~~or,~~ Joint[24-26], or Soft tissue abscess[49-51]

- Abnormal x-ray or ultrasound
- Negative x-ray or ultrasound but with a clinical suspicion of infection based on either of the following:
    - Signs and symptoms of joint or bone infection include:
        - Pain and swelling
        - Decreased range of motion
        - Fevers
    - Laboratory findings of infection include any of the following:
        - Elevated ESR or CRP
        - Elevated white blood cell count
        - Positive joint aspiration
- Ulcer (diabetic, pressure, ischemic, traumatic) with signs of infection (redness, warm, swelling, pain, discharge which may range from white to serosanguineous) that is not improving despite treatment and bone, or deep infection is suspected
    - Increased suspicion if size or temperature increases, bone is exposed/positive probe-to-bone test, new areas of breakdown, new smell[27]52
- Neuropathic foot with friable or discolored granulation tissue, foul odor, non-purulent discharge, and delayed wound healing[28]53

~~**Osteonecrosis (e.g., Avascular Necrosis (AVN), Legg-Calve-Perthes Disease)**[29-31]~~

- ~~Abnormal x-ray~~
- ~~Normal or Indeterminate X-rays, but symptomatic and high risk~~
    - ~~Glucocorticosteroid use~~
    - ~~Renal Transplant recipient~~
    - ~~Alcohol abuse[32]~~
    - ~~Sickle Cell Anemia[33]~~

Pre-operative/procedural evaluation

- Pre-operative evaluation for a planned surgery or procedure

Post-operative/procedural evaluation

- When imaging, physical or laboratory findings indicate joint infection, delayed or non-healing or other surgical/procedural complications.
- **Trendelenburg sign[54] or other indication of muscle or nerve damage after recent hip surgery**

For evaluation of known or suspected autoimmune disease (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis)[34][55]

- Further evaluation of an abnormality or non-diagnostic findings on prior imaging
- Initial imaging of a single joint for diagnosis or response to therapy after plain films and appropriate lab tests (e.g., RF, ANA, CRP, ESR)
- To determine change in treatment or when diagnosis is uncertain prior to start of treatment
- Follow-up to determine treatment efficacy of the following:
    - Early rheumatoid arthritis
    - Advanced rheumatoid arthritis if x-ray and ultrasound are equivocal or noncontributory

~~**Trauma**~~

~~**Bone Fracture**~~

- ~~Suspected stress or insufficiency fracture with a negative initial x-ray[35, 36]:~~
    - ~~If hips, then approve an immediate MRI~~
        - ~~Suspicion of a hip fracture in a pregnant patient does not require an initial x-ray~~
    - ~~Non-hip extremities: if x-rays, taken 10-14 days after the injury or clinical assessment, are negative or nondiagnostic[37]~~
    - ~~If at high risk for a complete fracture with conservative therapy (e.g., navicular bone), then immediate MRI is warranted[38]~~
- ~~Suspected acute hip fracture with initial x-rays negative or non-diagnostic[11, 39]~~
- ~~Pathologic fracture on x-ray[40]~~
    - ~~Intra-articular fractures that may require surgery (e.g., depressed tibial plateau fracture)[41]~~
- ~~Nonunion or delayed union as demonstrated by no healing between two sets of x-rays. If a fracture has not healed by 4-6 months, there is delayed union. Incomplete healing by 6-8 months is nonunion, CT is the preferred study[42]~~

~~**Tendon or Muscle Rupture after X-Ray**[43-46]~~

- ~~Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings~~
    - ~~**Suspected ACL Rupture** - Acute knee injury with physical exam limited by pain and swelling with x-ray completed[47, 48]~~
        - ~~Based on mechanism of injury, i.e., twisting, blunt force~~

- Normal x-ray:
  - Extreme pain, inability to stand, audible pop at time of injury, very swollen joint, leg numbness
    - Abnormal x-ray:
  - Large joint effusion on x-ray knee effusion

Osteochondral lesions (defects, fractures, osteochondritis dissecans) and x-ray completed[2, 15, 49, 50]

- Clinical suspicion based on mechanism of injury and physical findings

Foreign Body[51]

- Indeterminate x-ray and ultrasound

Loose bodies or synovial chondromatosis seen on x-ray or ultrasound

- In the setting of joint pain[52]

Hip Impingement (Femoroacetabular Impingement)

- With negative, equivocal, or non-diagnostic x-rays[15] (and imaging would change treatment – active conservative care or surgery are the two mainstays of treatment)[53]
- To determine candidacy for hip preservation surgery[54]

Known or suspected inflammatory myopathies: (Includes polymyositis, dermatomyositis, immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy, inclusion body myositis)[55, 56][56, 57]

- For diagnosis
- For biopsy planning

Peripheral Nerve Entrapment (e.g., tarsal tunnel, Morton's neuroma)[57-60][58-61]

- Abnormal electromyogram or nerve conduction study
- Abnormal x-ray or ultrasound
- Clinical suspicion and failed 4 weeks conservative treatment including at least two of the following (active treatment with physical therapy is not required):
  - Activity modification
  - Rest, ice, or heat
  - Splinting or orthotics
  - Medication

Pediatrics

Foreign Body[62]

- Indeterminate x-ray and ultrasound

Painful acquired or congenital flatfoot deformity in an adult, after x-ray completed

- After failure of active conservative therapy listed above~~Painful flatfoot deformity with suspected tarsal coalition, not responsive to active conservative care[61]~~
  - ~~63, 64~~

Special pediatric considerations

- **Painful flatfoot deformity with suspected tarsal coalition, not responsive to active conservative care[65]**
- Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis with negative frog leg and AP x-rays of the hips but clinically suspected~~[62-64]~~[66-68]
  - Drehmann sign
  - Limited internal rotation of the hip
  - ~~Consider imaging the asymptomatic contralateral hip with a normal x-ray to detect early SCFE if prophylactic surgery is planned[65]~~
- ~~Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis after initial work-up (labs and x-ray)[66]~~
  - **Consider imaging the asymptomatic contralateral hip with a normal x-ray to detect early SCFE if prophylactic surgery is planned[69]**
- **Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis after initial work-up (labs (i.e. CRP/ESR and x-ray)[70, 71] – (Whole body bone marrow MRI is more appropriate when multiple joints requested see NIA_CG_059)**
- Acute limp in a child 5 or less years old
- ~~,~~Concern for infection ~~(initial x-rays~~ not ~~needed)[67]~~
  - ~~There is no relevant literature regarding the use of MRI pelvis~~**localized** to the ~~feet in the initial evaluation of acute limp with nonlocalized symptoms and no~~ **hip (initial imaging not required)[72]**
  - Concern for infection~~.~~ **localized to the hip after initial evaluation with**
- ~~Osteoid Osteoma – MRI not usually done because x-ray and CT more accurate for diagnosis[68]~~

~~**Pre-operative/procedural evaluation**~~

- ~~Pre-operative evaluation for a planned surgery or procedure~~

~~**Post-operative/procedural evaluation**~~

- ~~When imaging, physical or laboratory findings indicate joint infection, delayed or non-healing or other surgical/procedural complications~~
- ~~Joint prosthesis loosening or dysfunction, x-rays non-diagnostic[69, 70]~~
- ~~Trendelenburg sign[71] or other indication of muscle or nerve damage after recent hip surgery~~

ultrasound[72]

- Osteoid Osteoma – MRI not usually done because x-ray and CT more accurate for diagnosis[73]

- ~~Table 1: Positive Orthopedic Joint Tests, Lower Extremity~~

~~ANKLE~~

~~Fibular translation~~
~~Squeeze~~
~~Cotton~~
~~Thompson~~
~~Thumb Squeeze test~~
~~Mulder click~~

~~HIP~~

~~KNEE~~

~~Anterior draw~~
~~Lachman~~
~~Posterior tibial Sag~~
~~Posterior Draw~~
~~McMurray's Test~~
~~Valgus stress~~
~~Varus stress~~
~~Ege~~

BACKGROUND

Magnetic resonance imaging shows the soft tissues and bones. With its multiplanar capabilities, high contrast, and high spatial resolution, it is an accurate diagnostic tool for conditions affecting the joint and adjacent structures. MRI can positively influence clinicians' diagnoses and management plans for patients with conditions such as primary bone cancer, fractures, abnormalities in ligaments/tendons/cartilage, septic arthritis, and infection/inflammation.

OVERVIEW

***Conservative Therapy** – (Musculoskeletal) should include a multimodality approach consisting of a combination of active and inactive components. Inactive components such as rest, ice, heat, modified activities, medical devices, (including crutches, immobilizer, metal braces, orthotics, rigid stabilizer, or splints, etc. and not to include neoprene sleeves), medications, injections (bursal, and/or joint, not including trigger point), and diathermy, can be utilized.

Active modalities may consist of physical therapy, a physician supervised home exercise program**, and/or chiropractic care.

****Home Exercise Program (HEP)** – the following two elements are required to meet guidelines for completion of conservative therapy:

- Information provided on exercise prescription/plan AND
- Follow-up with member with information provided regarding completion of HEP (after suitable 4-week period), or inability to complete HEP due to physical reason- i.e., increased pain, inability to physically perform exercises. (Patient inconvenience or noncompliance without explanation does not constitute "inability to complete" HEP).

~~**Joint Implants and Hardware –** The presence of a metallic implant or metallic fixation device does not represent a contraindication to MRI. More recently, the advent of implants made with less ferromagnetic alloys and technical advancements of MR sequences (metal artifact reduction sequences [MARS], slice encoding for metal artifact correction [SEMAC], and multi-acquisition with variable-resonance image combination [MAVRIC]) made MRI fully feasible in patients with joint implants, with artifacts mostly limited to the area of the implant itself.[26]~~

~~**Stress Fractures –** "Certain stress fractures are considered high risk based on a tendency for nonunion or delayed union. High-risk stress fractures include the anterior tibial diaphysis, lateral femoral neck and femoral head…patella, medial malleolus, navicular, fifth metatarsal base, proximal second metatarsal, tibial hallux sesamoid, and talus. The second-line test to diagnose a stress fracture should be guided by the location of the patient's pain and likelihood of high-risk injury. A follow-up radiographic examination has increased sensitivity compared to initial radiographs but is less sensitive than MRI."[35]~~

~~**MRI and Knee Trauma –** MRI is an effective means of evaluating internal derangements of the knee with a very high accuracy for detection of meniscal injury. On MRI of the knee, meniscal injury may appear "free-floating", corresponding to a meniscal avulsion or detachment from the tibial plateau. The floating meniscus seen on MRI is a result of significant trauma. It may also be associated with significant ligamentous injury. The results of the MRI are valuable to the surgeon as they plan to reattach the meniscus to the tibial plateau.~~

~~**MRI and Osteonecrosis –** Osteonecrosis is a complication of knee surgery which may be accompanied by new or persistent pain after meniscal surgery. It can be detected by MRI with subcortical low signal intensity of T1-weighted images with or without central high signal intensity on T2-weighted images. Osteonecrosis can result in collapse of the articular surface.~~

~~**MRI and Legg-Calve-Perthes Disease (LPD) –** This childhood condition is associated with an insufficient blood supply to the femoral head which is then at risk for osteonecrosis. Clinical signs of LPD include a limp with groin, thigh, or knee pain. Flexion and adduction contractures may develop as the disease progresses and eventually movement may only occur in the flexion-~~

extension plane. This condition is staged based on plain radiographic findings. MRI is used in identifying the early stage of LPD when plain films are normal. It is also used in preoperative planning to diagnose "hinge abduction" (lateral side of the femoral head contacts the acetabular margin and femoral head does not slide as it should). However, MRI is not used as a standard diagnostic tool.

MRI and Septic Arthritis – Young children and older adults are the most likely to develop septic arthritis in the hip joint. Early symptoms include pain in the hip, groin, or thigh along with a limping gait and fever. It is sometimes hard to differentiate this condition from transient synovitis, a less serious condition with no known long-term sequelae. MRI may help in the differential diagnosis of these two conditions. Coronal T1-weighted MRI, performed immediately after contrast administration, can evaluate blood perfusion at the femoral epiphysis.

MRI and Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis – This condition, where the femoral head is displaced in relation to the femoral neck, is the most common hip disorder in adolescents, and it is more common in obese children. Its symptoms include a limping gait, groin pain, thigh pain and knee pain. Most cases are stable, and the prognosis is good with early diagnosis and treatment. Unstable slipped capital femoral epiphysis may lead to avascular necrosis. MRI is used for diagnosis of slipped capital femoral epiphysis. Its image can be oriented to a plane orthogonal to the plane of the physis to detect edema in the area of the physis.

MRI and Tarsal Coalition – This is a congenital condition in which two or more bones in the midfoot or hindfoot are joined. It usually presents during late childhood or late adolescence and is associated with repetitive ankle sprains. Mild pain, deep in the subtalar joint and limited range of motion are clinical symptoms. Tarsal coalition is detectable on oblique radiographs, but these are not routinely obtained at many institutions. Clinical diagnosis is not simple; it requires the expertise of skilled examiners. MRI is valuable in diagnosing tarsal coalition because it allows differentiation of osseous from non-osseous coalitions and depicts the extent of joint involvement as well as degenerative changes. It may also detect overgrowth of the medial aspect of the talus that may be associated with talocalcaneal coalitions.

MRI and Tarsal Tunnel – Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome is due to compression of the posterior tibial nerve as it passes through the tarsal tunnel into the foot. Compression can cause a sensation of burning or numbness to the bottom of the foot. Common causes include flat foot, over-protonation, and arthritis. Nerve conduction studies can reveal damage to the posterior tibial nerve. MRI may be valuable in demonstrating other structures causing extrinsic compression on the nerve.[72]

MRI and Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis – This noninfectious inflammation of the bone in children can have non-elevated inflammatory markers and a normal CBC. This condition presents as bone pain of insidious onset with or without localized swelling but can be multifocal

~~and have silent areas of involvement (vertebral silent lesions can lead to compression). MRI can be approved after initial labs and x-ray. CT is not sensitive, so the next option is a bone scan.~~

~~**The American Medical Society for Sports Medicine "Choosing Wisely" Guidelines** advise against ordering a knee MRI for a patient with anterior knee pain without mechanical symptoms or effusion unless the patient has not improved following completion of an appropriate functional rehabilitation program. "The most common cause of anterior knee pain is patellofemoral pain syndrome. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is rarely helpful in managing this syndrome. Treatment should focus on a guided exercise program to correct lumbopelvic and lower limb strength and flexibility imbalances. If pain persists, if there is recurrent swelling or if mechanical symptoms such as locking and painful clicking are present, and radiographs are non-diagnostic, an MRI may be useful."[73]~~

~~The~~ **American Academy of Pediatrics "Choosing Wisely" Guidelines** advise against ordering advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. **"**History, physical examination, and appropriate radiographs remain the primary diagnostic modalities in pediatric orthop~~a~~edics, as they are both diagnostic and prognostic for the great majority of pediatric musculoskeletal conditions. Examples of such conditions would include, but not be limited to, the work up of injury or pain (spine, knees~~,~~ and ankles), possible infection, and deformity. MRI examinations and other advanced imaging studies frequently require sedation in the young child (5 years old or less) and may not result in appropriate interpretation if clinical correlations cannot be made. Many conditions require specific MRI sequences or protocols best ordered by the specialist who will be treating the patient…if you believe findings warrant additional advanced imaging, discuss with the consulting orthop~~a~~edic surgeon to make sure the optimal studies are ordered."[74]~~74~~

REFERENCES

1. Katz JN, Brophy RH, Chaisson CE, et al. Surgery versus physical therapy for a meniscal tear and osteoarthritis. *N Engl J Med*. May 2 2013;368(18):1675-84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301408
2. Mordecai SC, Al-Hadithy N, Ware HE, Gupte CM. Treatment of meniscal tears: An evidence based approach. *World J Orthop*. Jul 18 2014;5(3):233-41. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.233
3. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Foot Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2020. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative/
4. Fox MG, Chang EY, Amini B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Chronic Knee Pain. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S302-s312. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.016
5. Chen ET, Borg-Stein J, McInnis KC. Ankle Sprains: Evaluation, Rehabilitation, and Prevention. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. Jun 2019;18(6):217-223. doi:10.1249/jsr.0000000000000603
6. Petersen W, Rembitzki IV, Koppenburg AG, et al. Treatment of acute ankle ligament injuries: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Aug 2013;133(8):1129-41. doi:10.1007/s00402-013-1742-5
7. Scillia AJ, Pierce TP, Issa K, et al. Low Ankle Sprains: A Current Review of Diagnosis and Treatment. *Surg Technol Int*. Jul 25 2017;30:411-414.
8. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Trauma to the Knee. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/
9. Doral MN, Bilge O, Huri G, Turhan E, Verdonk R. Modern treatment of meniscal tears. *EFORT Open Rev*. May 2018;3(5):260-268. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.3.170067
10. Mohankumar R, White LM, Naraghi A. Pitfalls and pearls in MRI of the knee. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2014;203(3):516-30. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12969
11. Smith BE, Thacker D, Crewesmith A, Hall M. Special tests for assessing meniscal tears within the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Evid Based Med*. Jun 2015;20(3):88-97. doi:10.1136/ebmed-2014-110160
12. Smoak JB, Matthews JR, Vinod AV, Kluczynski MA, Bisson LJ. An Up-to-Date Review of the Meniscus Literature: A Systematic Summary of Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Sep 2020;8(9):2325967120950306. doi:10.1177/2325967120950306
13. Cecava ND, Dieckman S, Banks KP, Mansfield LT. Traumatic knee injury: correlation of radiographic effusion size with the presence of internal derangement on magnetic resonance imaging. *Emerg Radiol*. Oct 2018;25(5):479-487. doi:10.1007/s10140-018-1605-z
14. Wheeless CR, Nunley JA, Urbaniak JR, Duke University Medical Center's Division of Orthopedic Surgery, . *Wheeless' textbook of orthopaedics*. Data Trace Internet Publishing, LLC; 2016. Updated 2018. http://www.wheelessonline.com/
15. Hussin P, Mawardi M, Nizlan NM. The 'Chalky Culprit' of acute locked knee. *G Chir*. Sep-Oct 2014;35(9-10):239-40.
16. Hananouchi T, Yasui Y, Yamamoto K, Toritsuka Y, Ohzono K. Anterior impingement test for labral lesions has high positive predictive value. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Dec 2012;470(12):3524-9. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2450-0

17. Naraghi A, White LM. MRI of Labral and Chondral Lesions of the Hip. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2015;205(3):479-90. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12581
18. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Hip Pain-Suspected Fracture. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed Janaury 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/
19. Groh MM, Herrera J. A comprehensive review of hip labral tears. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. Jun 2009;2(2):105-17. doi:10.1007/s12178-009-9052-9
20. Li AE, Jawetz ST, Greditzer HGt, Burge AJ, Nawabi DH, Potter HG. MRI for the preoperative evaluation of femoroacetabular impingement. *Insights Imaging*. Apr 2016;7(2):187-98. doi:10.1007/s13244-015-0459-0
21. Wilkins R, Bisson LJ. Operative versus nonoperative management of acute Achilles tendon ruptures: a quantitative systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2012;40(9):2154-60. doi:10.1177/0363546512453293
22. Rubin DA. Imaging diagnosis and prognostication of hamstring injuries. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2012;199(3):525-33. doi:10.2214/ajr.12.8784
23. Peck J, Gustafson K, Bahner D. Diagnosis of Achilles tendon rupture with ultrasound in the emergency department setting. Images in Academic Medicine: Republication. *International Journal of Academic Medicine*. May 1, 2017 2017;3(3):205-207. doi:10.4103/ijam.Ijam_16_17
24. Garras DN, Raikin SM, Bhat SB, Taweel N, Karanjia H. MRI is unnecessary for diagnosing acute Achilles tendon ruptures: clinical diagnostic criteria. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2012;470(8):2268-73. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2355-y
25. Bencardino JT, Stone TJ, Roberts CC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S293-s306. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.035
26. Uthgenannt BA, Kramer MH, Hwu JA, Wopenka B, Silva MJ. Skeletal self-repair: stress fracture healing by rapid formation and densification of woven bone. *J Bone Miner Res*. Oct 2007;22(10):1548-56. doi:10.1359/jbmr.0070614
27. Kellar J, Givertz A, Mathias J, Cohen J. Bisphosphonate-related Femoral Shaft Fracture. *Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med*. Feb 2020;4(1):62-64. doi:10.5811/cpcem.2019.10.45007
28. Fayad LM, Kawamoto S, Kamel IR, et al. Distinction of long bone stress fractures from pathologic fractures on cross-sectional imaging: how successful are we? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Oct 2005;185(4):915-24. doi:10.2214/ajr.04.0950
29. Morshed S. Current Options for Determining Fracture Union. *Adv Med*. 2014;2014:708574. doi:10.1155/2014/708574
30. van Dijk CN, Reilingh ML, Zengerink M, van Bergen CJ. Osteochondral defects in the ankle: why painful? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. May 2010;18(5):570-80. doi:10.1007/s00167-010-1064-x
31. Smith TO, Drew BT, Toms AP, Donell ST, Hing CB. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and computed tomography for the detection of chondral lesions of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Dec 2012;20(12):2367-79. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1905-x

32. Mintz DN, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Chronic Hip Pain. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S90-s102. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.035
33. Fritz J, Lurie B, Potter HG. MR Imaging of Knee Arthroplasty Implants. *Radiographics*. Sep-Oct 2015;35(5):1483-501. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140216
34. Fritz J, Lurie B, Miller TT, Potter HG. MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):E106-32. doi:10.1148/rg.344140010
35. Church DJ, Krumme J, Kotwal S. Evaluating Soft-Tissue Lumps and Bumps. *Mo Med*. Jul-Aug 2017;114(4):289-294.
36. Kransdorf MJ, Murphey MD, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Soft-Tissue Masses. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018;15(5s):S189-s197. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.012
37. Subhawong TK, Fishman EK, Swart JE, Carrino JA, Attar S, Fayad LM. Soft-tissue masses and masslike conditions: what does CT add to diagnosis and management? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Jun 2010;194(6):1559-67. doi:10.2214/ajr.09.3736
38. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/
39. NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Accessed Janaury 23, 2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx
40. Kircher MF, Willmann JK. Molecular body imaging: MR imaging, CT, and US. Part II. Applications. *Radiology*. Aug 2012;264(2):349-68. doi:10.1148/radiol.12111703
41. Holzapfel K, Regler J, Baum T, et al. Local Staging of Soft-Tissue Sarcoma: Emphasis on Assessment of Neurovascular Encasement-Value of MR Imaging in 174 Confirmed Cases. *Radiology*. May 2015;275(2):501-9. doi:10.1148/radiol.14140510
42. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Malignant or Aggressive Primary Musculoskeletal Tumor-Staging And Surveillance. American College of Radiology. Updated 2022. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69428/Narrative/
43. Felten R, Perrin P, Caillard S, Moulin B, Javier RM. Avascular osteonecrosis in kidney transplant recipients: Risk factors in a recent cohort study and evaluation of the role of secondary hyperparathyroidism. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212931
44. Murphey MD, Foreman KL, Klassen-Fischer MK, Fox MG, Chung EM, Kransdorf MJ. From the radiologic pathology archives imaging of osteonecrosis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):1003-28. doi:10.1148/rg.344140019
45. Murphey MD, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Osteonecrosis of the Hip. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Feb 2016;13(2):147-55. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2015.10.033
46. Wali Y, Almaskari S. Avascular Necrosis of the Hip in Sickle Cell Disease in Oman: Is it serious enough to warrant bone marrow transplantation? *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. Feb 2011;11(1):127-8.

47. Bargiela A. [The usefulness of ultrasonography in synovial disease]. *Radiologia*. Jul-Aug 2010;52(4):301-10; quiz 377-8. Utilidad de la ecografía en el estudio de la enfermedad sinovial. doi:10.1016/j.rx.2010.02.001
48. Habusta SF, Mabrouk A, Tuck JA. Synovial Chondromatosis. *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing
Copyright © 2023, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2023.
49. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2022. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/
50. Dodwell ER. Osteomyelitis and septic arthritis in children: current concepts. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. Feb 2013;25(1):58-63. doi:10.1097/MOP.0b013e32835c2b42
51. Glaudemans A, Jutte PC, Cataldo MA, et al. Consensus document for the diagnosis of peripheral bone infection in adults: a joint paper by the EANM, EBJIS, and ESR (with ESCMID endorsement). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. Apr 2019;46(4):957-970. doi:10.1007/s00259-019-4262-x
52. Bowers S, Franco E. Chronic Wounds: Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 1 2020;101(3):159-166.
53. Pitocco D, Spanu T, Di Leo M, et al. Diabetic foot infections: a comprehensive overview. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. Apr 2019;23(2 Suppl):26-37. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201904_17471
54. Gogu S, Gandbhir VN. Trendelenburg Sign. StatPearls Publishing. Updated November 14, 2022. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555987/
55. Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Østergaard M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Jun 2013;72(6):804-14. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-203158
56. Jia Y, Tian H, Deng J, Yu K. Multimodal imaging for the clinical assessment of dermatomyositis and polymyositis: A systematic review. *Radiology of Infectious Diseases*. 2017/06/01/ 2017;4(2):81-87. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrid.2017.01.003
57. Joyce NC, Oskarsson B, Jin LW. Muscle biopsy evaluation in neuromuscular disorders. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. Aug 2012;23(3):609-31. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2012.06.006
58. Domkundwar S, Autkar G, Khadilkar SV, Virarkar M. Ultrasound and EMG-NCV study (electromyography and nerve conduction velocity) correlation in diagnosis of nerve pathologies. *J Ultrasound*. Jun 2017;20(2):111-122. doi:10.1007/s40477-016-0232-3
59. Dong Q, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, et al. Entrapment neuropathies in the upper and lower limbs: anatomy and MRI features. *Radiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:230679. doi:10.1155/2012/230679
60. Donovan A, Rosenberg ZS, Cavalcanti CF. MR imaging of entrapment neuropathies of the lower extremity. Part 2. The knee, leg, ankle, and foot. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2010;30(4):1001-19. doi:10.1148/rg.304095188
61. Tos P, Crosio A, Pugliese P, Adani R, Toia F, Artiaco S. Painful scar neuropathy: principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*. 2015;2:156-164. doi:10.4103/2347-9264.160878

62. Laya BF, Restrepo R, Lee EY. Practical Imaging Evaluation of Foreign Bodies in Children: An Update. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Jul 2017;55(4):845-867. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2017.02.012
63. Abousayed MM, Alley MC, Shakked R, Rosenbaum AJ. Adult-Acquired Flatfoot Deformity: Etiology, Diagnosis, and Management. *JBJS Rev*. Aug 2017;5(8):e7. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.16.00116
64. Thorpe SW, Wukich DK. Tarsal coalitions in the adult population: does treatment differ from the adolescent? *Foot Ankle Clin*. Jun 2012;17(2):195-204. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2012.03.004
65. Bouchard M, Mosca VS. Flatfoot deformity in children and adolescents: surgical indications and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Oct 2014;22(10):623-32. doi:10.5435/jaaos-22-10-623
66. Hesper T, Zilkens C, Bittersohl B, Krauspe R. Imaging modalities in patients with slipped capital femoral epiphysis. *J Child Orthop*. Apr 2017;11(2):99-106. doi:10.1302/1863-2548-11-160276
67. Kamegaya M, Saisu T, Nakamura J, Murakami R, Segawa Y, Wakou M. Drehmann sign and femoro-acetabular impingement in SCFE. *J Pediatr Orthop*. Dec 2011;31(8):853-7. doi:10.1097/BPO.0b013e31822ed320
68. Peck DM, Voss LM, Voss TT. Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis: Diagnosis and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Jun 15 2017;95(12):779-784.
69. Balch Samora J, Adler B, Druhan S, et al. MRI in idiopathic, stable, slipped capital femoral epiphysis: evaluation of contralateral pre-slip. *J Child Orthop*. Oct 1 2018;12(5):454-460. doi:10.1302/1863-2548.12.170204
70. Roderick MR, Shah R, Rogers V, Finn A, Ramanan AV. Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO) - advancing the diagnosis. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J*. Aug 30 2016;14(1):47. doi:10.1186/s12969-016-0109-1
71. Zhao DY, McCann L, Hahn G, Hedrich CM. Chronic nonbacterial osteomyelitis (CNO) and chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO). *J Transl Autoimmun*. 2021;4:100095. doi:10.1016/j.jtauto.2021.100095
72. Safdar NM, Rigsby CK, Iyer RS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Acutely Limping Child Up To Age 5. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S252-s262. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.030
73. Iyer RS, Chapman T, Chew FS. Pediatric bone imaging: diagnostic imaging of osteoid osteoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2012;198(5):1039-52. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.7313
74. American Academy of Pediatrics. Five things physicians and patients should question: Do not order advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. Choosing Wisely Initiative ABIM Foundation. Updated February 12, 2018. Accessed January 23, 2023. https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/aap-posna-mri-or-ct-for-musculoskeletal-conditions-in-children/

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary

April 2023	• Updated orthopedic signs
	• Clarified hip versus pelvis imaging
	• Added:
	  ○ Evaluation of indeterminate findings on imaging reports
	  ○ Metallosis
	  ○ Statement regarding clinical indications not addressed in the guideline
	• Modified:
	  ○ References
	  ○ Background section
	  ○ CRMO
	• Removed Additional Resources

March 2022	• Clarification of language for non-hip stress fractures • Deleted Thessaly sign based on updated literature
~~November 2021~~	~~• Added +0698T~~
~~May 2021~~	~~• Added unstable syndesmotic injury~~ ~~• Removed ankle instability~~ ~~• Added the following: navicular bone to high risk stress fracture; information about suspected bone infection in the setting of ulcers and neuropathy, following treatment for rheumatoid arthritis~~ ~~• Clarified that pre-operative imaging is for a planned surgery or procedure~~ ~~• Included early complications of hip surgery to the post operative evaluation list~~
~~May 2020~~	~~• Expanded orthopedic signs listing and moved to the top~~ ~~• Added note: With a positive orthopedic sign, an initial x-ray is always preferred. However, it is not required to approve advanced imaging.~~ ~~• Added labral tear/posterior impingement to approvable list~~ ~~• Added flatfoot deformity~~ ~~• Expanded section about initial work-up of a mass~~ ~~• Added the National Comprehensive Care Network as a reference for imaging guidance~~ ~~• Expanded the section on stress fractures~~

	• ~~Revised the section on non or delayed union~~ • ~~Added a section on loose bodies and synovial chondromatosis~~ • ~~Added a pediatric section~~ • ~~Removed Makoplasty from not approvable list~~ • ~~Added a section about joint implants and hardware to the background section~~ • ~~Added a section about chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis to the background section~~ • ~~Updated references~~
~~January 2020~~	• ~~Added 'infection of bone or joint section' previously omitted in error~~
~~May 2019~~	• ~~Added initial statement about approvals: 'Some indications are for MRI, CT, or MR or CT Arthrogram. More than one should not be approved at the same time'.~~ • ~~Added joint or muscle pain when x-ray completed~~ • ~~Expanded Extremity mass indications including peripheral lymphadenopathy; and mass with increased risk for malignancy~~ • ~~Added indications for foreign body and peripheral nerve entrapment~~ • ~~Modified Known Cancer indication to be more broad – 'cancer staging, cancer restaging, signs or symptoms of recurrence'~~ • ~~Expanded sections for bone fracture and infection of bone or joint to include list of signs or symptoms and laboratory findings (elevated ESR or CRP, elevated white blood cell count, positive joint aspiration)~~

REFERENCES

1. Fox MG, Chang EY, Amini B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Chronic Knee Pain. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S302-s312. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.016
2. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Trauma to the Knee. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2019. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/
3. Doral MN, Bilge O, Huri G, Turhan E, Verdonk R. Modern treatment of meniscal tears. *EFORT Open Rev*. May 2018;3(5):260-268. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.3.170067
4. Katz JN, Brophy RH, Chaisson CE, et al. Surgery versus physical therapy for a meniscal tear and osteoarthritis. *N Engl J Med*. May 2 2013;368(18):1675-84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1301408
5. Mohankumar R, White LM, Naraghi A. Pitfalls and pearls in MRI of the knee. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2014;203(3):516-30. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12969
6. Smith BE, Thacker D, Crewesmith A, Hall M. Special tests for assessing meniscal tears within the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Evid Based Med*. Jun 2015;20(3):88-97. doi:10.1136/ebmed-2014-110160
7. Smoak JB, Matthews JR, Vinod AV, Kluczynski MA, Bisson LJ. An Up-to-Date Review of the Meniscus Literature: A Systematic Summary of Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. *Orthop J Sports Med*. Sep 2020;8(9):2325967120950306. doi:10.1177/2325967120950306
8. Hussin P, Mawardi M, Nizlan NM. The 'Chalky Culprit' of acute locked knee. *G Chir*. Sep-Oct 2014;35(9-10):239-40.
9. Hananouchi T, Yasui Y, Yamamoto K, Toritsuka Y, Ohzono K. Anterior impingement test for labral lesions has high positive predictive value. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Dec 2012;470(12):3524-9. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2450-0
10. Naraghi A, White LM. MRI of Labral and Chondral Lesions of the Hip. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2015;205(3):479-90. doi:10.2214/ajr.14.12581
11. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Hip Pain-Suspected Fracture. American College of Radiology. Updated 2018. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/
12. Groh MM, Herrera J. A comprehensive review of hip labral tears. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. Jun 2009;2(2):105-17. doi:10.1007/s12178-009-9052-9
13. Mordecai SC, Al-Hadithy N, Ware HE, Gupte CM. Treatment of meniscal tears: An evidence based approach. *World J Orthop*. Jul 18 2014;5(3):233-41. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.233
14. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Foot Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2020. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative/
15. Mintz DN, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Chronic Hip Pain. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S90-s102. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.035
16. Abousayed MM, Alley MC, Shakked R, Rosenbaum AJ. Adult-Acquired Flatfoot Deformity: Etiology, Diagnosis, and Management. *JBJS Rev*. Aug 2017;5(8):e7. doi:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.16.00116

17. Thorpe SW, Wukich DK. Tarsal coalitions in the adult population: does treatment differ from the adolescent? *Foot Ankle Clin*. Jun 2012;17(2):195-204. doi:10.1016/j.fcl.2012.03.004
18. Kransdorf MJ, Murphey MD, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Soft-Tissue Masses. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018;15(5s):S189-s197. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.012
19. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2019. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/
20. NCCN Imaging Appropriate Use Criteria™. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated 2021. Accessed November 4, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/imaging/default.aspx
21. Kircher MF, Willmann JK. Molecular body imaging: MR imaging, CT, and US. Part II. Applications. *Radiology*. Aug 2012;264(2):349-68. doi:10.1148/radiol.12111703
22. Holzapfel K, Regler J, Baum T, et al. Local Staging of Soft-Tissue Sarcoma: Emphasis on Assessment of Neurovascular Encasement-Value of MR Imaging in 174 Confirmed Cases. *Radiology*. May 2015;275(2):501-9. doi:10.1148/radiol.14140510
23. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Follow-up of Malignant or Aggressive Musculoskeletal Tumors. American College of Radiology. Updated 2015. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69428/Narrative/
24. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). American College of Radiology (ACR). Updated 2016. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/
25. Dodwell ER. Osteomyelitis and septic arthritis in children: current concepts. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. Feb 2013;25(1):58-63. doi:10.1097/MOP.0b013e32835c2b42
26. Glaudemans A, Jutte PC, Cataldo MA, et al. Consensus document for the diagnosis of peripheral bone infection in adults: a joint paper by the EANM, EBJIS, and ESR (with ESCMID endorsement). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. Apr 2019;46(4):957-970. doi:10.1007/s00259-019-4262-x
27. Bowers S, Franco E. Chronic Wounds: Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 1 2020;101(3):159-166.
28. Pitocco D, Spanu T, Di Leo M, et al. Diabetic foot infections: a comprehensive overview. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. Apr 2019;23(2 Suppl):26-37. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201904_17471
29. Felten R, Perrin P, Caillard S, Moulin B, Javier RM. Avascular osteonecrosis in kidney transplant recipients: Risk factors in a recent cohort study and evaluation of the role of secondary hyperparathyroidism. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212931
30. Murphey MD, Foreman KL, Klassen-Fischer MK, Fox MG, Chung EM, Kransdorf MJ. From the radiologic pathology archives imaging of osteonecrosis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):1003-28. doi:10.1148/rg.344140019
31. Murphey MD, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Osteonecrosis of the Hip. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Feb 2016;13(2):147-55. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2015.10.033

32. Fukushima W, Fujioka M, Kubo T, Tamakoshi A, Nagai M, Hirota Y. Nationwide epidemiologic survey of idiopathic osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Oct 2010;468(10):2715-24. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1292-x
33. Wali Y, Almaskari S. Avascular Necrosis of the Hip in Sickle Cell Disease in Oman: Is it serious enough to warrant bone marrow transplantation? *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. Feb 2011;11(1):127-8.
34. Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Østergaard M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. Jun 2013;72(6):804-14. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-203158
35. Bencardino JT, Stone TJ, Roberts CC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2017;14(5s):S293-s306. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.035
36. Sadineni RT, Pasumarthy A, Bellapa NC, Velicheti S. Imaging Patterns in MRI in Recent Bone Injuries Following Negative or Inconclusive Plain Radiographs. *J Clin Diagn Res*. Oct 2015;9(10):Tc10-3. doi:10.7860/jcdr/2015/15451.6685
37. Uthgenannt BA, Kramer MH, Hwu JA, Wopenka B, Silva MJ. Skeletal self-repair: stress fracture healing by rapid formation and densification of woven bone. *J Bone Miner Res*. Oct 2007;22(10):1548-56. doi:10.1359/jbmr.0070614
38. Kellar J, Givertz A, Mathias J, Cohen J. Bisphosphonate-related Femoral Shaft Fracture. *Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med*. Feb 2020;4(1):62-64. doi:10.5811/cpcem.2019.10.45007
39. Gill SK, Smith J, Fox R, Chesser TJ. Investigation of occult hip fractures: the use of CT and MRI. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2013;2013:830319. doi:10.1155/2013/830319
40. Fayad LM, Kawamoto S, Kamel IR, et al. Distinction of long bone stress fractures from pathologic fractures on cross-sectional imaging: how successful are we? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Oct 2005;185(4):915-24. doi:10.2214/ajr.04.0950
41. Prat-Fabregat S, Camacho-Carrasco P. Treatment strategy for tibial plateau fractures: an update. *EFORT Open Rev*. May 2016;1(5):225-232. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.1.000031
42. Morshed S. Current Options for Determining Fracture Union. *Adv Med*. 2014;2014:708574. doi:10.1155/2014/708574
43. Wilkins R, Bisson LJ. Operative versus nonoperative management of acute Achilles tendon ruptures: a quantitative systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2012;40(9):2154-60. doi:10.1177/0363546512453293
44. Rubin DA. Imaging diagnosis and prognostication of hamstring injuries. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Sep 2012;199(3):525-33. doi:10.2214/ajr.12.8784
45. Peck J, Gustafson K, Bahner D. Diagnosis of Achilles tendon rupture with ultrasound in the emergency department setting. Images in Academic Medicine: Republication. *Int J Academ Med*. May 1, 2017 2017;3(3):205-207. doi:10.4103/ijam.Ijam_16_17
46. Garras DN, Raikin SM, Bhat SB, Taweel N, Karanjia H. MRI is unnecessary for diagnosing acute Achilles tendon ruptures: clinical diagnostic criteria. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Aug 2012;470(8):2268-73. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2355-y

47. Cecava ND, Dieckman S, Banks KP, Mansfield LT. Traumatic knee injury: correlation of radiographic effusion size with the presence of internal derangement on magnetic resonance imaging. *Emerg Radiol*. Oct 2018;25(5):479-487. doi:10.1007/s10140-018-1605-z
48. Wheeless CR, Nunley JA, Urbaniak JR, Duke University Medical Center's Division of Orthopedic Surgery, . *Wheeless' textbook of orthopaedics*. Data Trace Internet Publishing, LLC; 2016. Updated 2018. http://www.wheelessonline.com/
49. van Dijk CN, Reilingh ML, Zengerink M, van Bergen CJ. Osteochondral defects in the ankle: why painful? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. May 2010;18(5):570-80. doi:10.1007/s00167-010-1064-x
50. Smith TO, Drew BT, Toms AP, Donell ST, Hing CB. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and computed tomography for the detection of chondral lesions of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Dec 2012;20(12):2367-79. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1905-x
51. Laya BF, Restrepo R, Lee EY. Practical Imaging Evaluation of Foreign Bodies in Children: An Update. *Radiol Clin North Am*. Jul 2017;55(4):845-867. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2017.02.012
52. Rajani R, Quinn RH, Fischer SJ. Synovial Chondromatosis. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Updated December 2016. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases--conditions/synovial-chondromatosis
53. Kekatpure AL, Ahn T, Kim CH, Lee SJ, Yoon KS, Yoon PW. Clinical Outcomes of an Initial 3-month Trial of Conservative Treatment for Femoroacetabular Impingement. *Indian J Orthop*. Nov-Dec 2017;51(6):681-686. doi:10.4103/ortho.IJOrtho_212_16
54. Li AE, Jawetz ST, Greditzer HGt, Burge AJ, Nawabi DH, Potter HG. MRI for the preoperative evaluation of femoroacetabular impingement. *Insights Imaging*. Apr 2016;7(2):187-98. doi:10.1007/s13244-015-0459-0
55. Jia Y, Tian H, Deng J, Yu K. Multimodal imaging for the clinical assessment of dermatomyositis and polymyositis: A systematic review. *Radiology of Infectious Diseases*. 2017/06/01/ 2017;4(2):81-87. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrid.2017.01.003
56. Joyce NC, Oskarsson B, Jin LW. Muscle biopsy evaluation in neuromuscular disorders. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. Aug 2012;23(3):609-31. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2012.06.006
57. Domkundwar S, Autkar G, Khadilkar SV, Virarkar M. Ultrasound and EMG-NCV study (electromyography and nerve conduction velocity) correlation in diagnosis of nerve pathologies. *J Ultrasound*. Jun 2017;20(2):111-122. doi:10.1007/s40477-016-0232-3
58. Dong Q, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, et al. Entrapment neuropathies in the upper and lower limbs: anatomy and MRI features. *Radiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:230679. doi:10.1155/2012/230679
59. Donovan A, Rosenberg ZS, Cavalcanti CF. MR imaging of entrapment neuropathies of the lower extremity. Part 2. The knee, leg, ankle, and foot. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2010;30(4):1001-19. doi:10.1148/rg.304095188
60. Tos P, Crosio A, Pugliese P, Adani R, Toia F, Artiaco S. Painful scar neuropathy: principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*. 2015;2:156-164. doi:10.4103/2347-9264.160878

61. Bouchard M, Mosca VS. Flatfoot deformity in children and adolescents: surgical indications and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Oct 2014;22(10):623-32. doi:10.5435/jaaos-22-10-623
62. Hesper T, Zilkens C, Bittersohl B, Krauspe R. Imaging modalities in patients with slipped capital femoral epiphysis. *J Child Orthop*. Apr 2017;11(2):99-106. doi:10.1302/1863-2548-11-160276
63. Kamegaya M, Saisu T, Nakamura J, Murakami R, Segawa Y, Wakou M. Drehmann sign and femoro-acetabular impingement in SCFE. *J Pediatr Orthop*. Dec 2011;31(8):853-7. doi:10.1097/BPO.0b013e31822ed320
64. Peck DM, Voss LM, Voss TT. Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis: Diagnosis and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. Jun 15 2017;95(12):779-784.
65. Balch Samora J, Adler B, Druhan S, et al. MRI in idiopathic, stable, slipped capital femoral epiphysis: evaluation of contralateral pre-slip. *J Child Orthop*. Oct 1 2018;12(5):454-460. doi:10.1302/1863-2548.12.170204
66. Roderick MR, Shah R, Rogers V, Finn A, Ramanan AV. Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO) - advancing the diagnosis. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J*. Aug 30 2016;14(1):47. doi:10.1186/s12969-016-0109-1
67. Safdar NM, Rigsby CK, Iyer RS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(®) Acutely Limping Child Up To Age 5. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018;15(11s):S252-s262. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.030
68. Iyer RS, Chapman T, Chew FS. Pediatric bone imaging: diagnostic imaging of osteoid osteoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2012;198(5):1039-52. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.7313
69. Fritz J, Lurie B, Potter HG. MR Imaging of Knee Arthroplasty Implants. *Radiographics*. Sep-Oct 2015;35(5):1483-501. doi:10.1148/rg.2015140216
70. Fritz J, Lurie B, Miller TT, Potter HG. MR imaging of hip arthroplasty implants. *Radiographics*. Jul-Aug 2014;34(4):E106-32. doi:10.1148/rg.344140010
71. Gogu S, Gandbhir VN. Trendelenburg Sign. StatPearls Publishing. Updated November 27, 2020. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555987/
72. Glaser C. Tarsal Coalitions: A Practical Approach to a Not-So-Rare Entity. *Journal of the Belgian Society of Radiology*. 2016;100(1):104. doi:10.5334/jbr-btr.1224
73. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. Five things physicians and patients should question: Avoid ordering a knee MRI for a patient with anterior knee pain without mechanical symptoms or effusion unless the patient has not improved following completion of an appropriate functional rehabilitation program. Choosing Wisely Initiative ABIM Foundation. Updated 2021. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/american-medical-society-sports-medicine-knee-mri-for-anterior-knee-pain/
74. American Academy of Pediatrics. Five things physicians and patients should question: Do not order advanced imaging studies (MRI or CT) for most musculoskeletal conditions in a child until all appropriate clinical, laboratory and plain radiographic examinations have been completed. Choosing Wisely Initiative ABIM Foundation. Updated February 12, 2018. Accessed November 22, 2021. https://www.choosingwisely.org/clinician-lists/aap-posna-mri-or-ct-for-musculoskeletal-conditions-in-children/

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Dommett RM, Redaniel MT, Stevens MC, Hamilton W, Martin RM. Features of cancer in teenagers and young adults in primary care: a population-based nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer*. Jun 11 2013;108(11):2329-33. doi:10.1038/bjc.2013.191
2. Gaddey HL, Riegel AM. Unexplained Lymphadenopathy: Evaluation and Differential Diagnosis. *Am Fam Physician*. Dec 1 2016;94(11):896-903.
3. Kopf S, Beaufils P, Hirschmann MT, et al. Management of traumatic meniscus tears: the 2019 ESSKA meniscus consensus. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Apr 2020;28(4):1177-1194. doi:10.1007/s00167-020-05847-3
4. Mohseni S, Shojaiefard A, Khorgami Z, Alinejad S, Ghorbani A, Ghafouri A. Peripheral lymphadenopathy: approach and diagnostic tools. *Iran J Med Sci*. Mar 2014;39(2 Suppl):158-70.
5. Averill LW, Hernandez A, Gonzalez L, Peña AH, Jaramillo D. Diagnosis of osteomyelitis in children: utility of fat-suppressed contrast-enhanced MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. May 2009;192(5):1232-8. doi:10.2214/ajr.07.3400
6. Banerjee S, Cherian JJ, Elmallah RK, Jauregui JJ, Pierce TP, Mont MA. Robotic-assisted knee arthroplasty. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2015;12(6):727-35. doi:10.1586/17434440.2015.1086264
7. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Bone Cancer Version 2.2022. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated October 8, 2021. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf
8. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Soft Tissue Sarcoma Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Updated April 28, 2021. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sarcoma.pdf
9. Texhammar R, Colton CM, ller ME, et al. *AO/ASIF Instruments and Implants A Technical Manual*. Springer Berlin; 2014.
10. Dudhniwala AG, Rath NK, Joshy S, Forster MC, White SP. Early failure with the Journey-Deuce bicompartmental knee arthroplasty. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. Jul 2016;26(5):517-21. doi:10.1007/s00590-016-1760-4
11. Greco AJ, Vilella RC. Anatomy, Bony Pelvis and Lower Limb, Gluteus Minimus Muscle. StatPearls Publishing. Updated July 26, 2021. Accessed November 23, 2021. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK556144/
12. Johnson AJ, Costa CR, Mont MA. Do we need gender-specific total joint arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jul 2011;469(7):1852-8. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-1769-2
13. Larkins LW, Baker RT, Baker JG. Physical Examination of the Ankle: A Review of the Original Orthopedic Special Test Description and Scientific Validity of Common Tests for Ankle Examination. *Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl*. Sep 2020;2(3):100072. doi:10.1016/j.arrct.2020.100072
14. Nair R, Tripathy G, Deysine GR. Computer navigation systems in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. Jun 2014;43(6):256-61.
15. Roberts CC, Daffner RH, Weissman BN, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria on metastatic bone disease. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Jun 2010;7(6):400-9. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2010.02.015

16. Salih S, Blakey C, Chan D, et al. The callus fracture sign: a radiological predictor of progression to hypertrophic non-union in diaphyseal tibial fractures. *Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr*. Nov 2015;10(3):149-53. doi:10.1007/s11751-015-0238-y
17. van den Bekerom MP, Kerkhoffs GM, McCollum GA, Calder JD, van Dijk CN. Management of acute lateral ankle ligament injury in the athlete. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jun 2013;21(6):1390-5. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-2252-7
18. Vopat ML, Vopat BG, Lubberts B, DiGiovanni CW. Current trends in the diagnosis and management of syndesmotic injury. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. Mar 2017;10(1):94-103. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9389-4
19. Zollars ES, Hyer M, Wolf B, Chapin R. Measuring lupus arthritis activity using contrasted high-field MRI. Associations with clinical measures of disease activity and novel patterns of disease. *Lupus Sci Med*. 2018;5(1):e000264. doi:10.1136/lupus-2018-000264

~~**GENERAL INFORMATION**~~

- ~~*It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.*~~

Reviewed / Approved by NIA Clinical Guideline Committee