

# **Test Specific Guidelines**

# Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening

**MOL.TS.209.A**

**v1.0.2023**

## Procedures Addressed

The inclusion of any procedure code in this table is provided for informational purposes and is not a guarantee of coverage nor an indication that prior authorization is required.

<u>Procedures addressed by this guideline</u>	<u>Procedure codes</u>
<u>Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy</u>	<u>81420</u>
<u>Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy with Risk Score</u>	<u>81507</u>
<u>Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening for Fetal Chromosomal Microdeletions</u>	<u>81422</u>
<u>Non-Invasive Prenatal Screening for Single-Gene Mutations</u>	<u>81105-81479</u>
<u>Vasistera</u>	<u>0327U</u>

## What Is a Chromosome Abnormality?

### Definition

A chromosome abnormality is any difference in the structure, arrangement, or amount of genetic material packaged into the chromosomes.<sup>1</sup>

Humans usually have 23 pairs of chromosomes. Each chromosome has a characteristic appearance that should be the same in each person.

Chromosome abnormalities can lead to a variety of developmental and reproductive disorders. Common chromosome abnormalities that affect development include Down syndrome (trisomy 21), trisomy 18, trisomy 13, Turner syndrome, and Klinefelter syndrome.

About 1 in 150 live births involve some type of chromosome abnormality that results in an abnormal fetal or neonatal phenotype, and a higher percentage of pregnancies are affected but lost during pregnancy. About 6%-11% of stillbirths or neonatal deaths are associated with a chromosome abnormality.<sup>2,3</sup>

The risk of having a child with an extra chromosome, notably Down syndrome, increases as a woman gets older.<sup>3</sup> However, many babies with Down syndrome are born to women under 35 and the risk of having a child with other types of chromosome abnormalities, such as Turner syndrome or 22q11 deletion syndrome, is not related to maternal age. Therefore, prenatal screening for Down syndrome and certain other chromosome abnormalities is now routinely offered to all pregnant women. As a result, prenatal diagnosis via amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling (CVS) is now also an option for most pregnant women.

## Test Information

Non-invasive prenatal screening (NIPS, also called prenatal cell-free DNA screening or cfDNA screening) is performed on a maternal plasma sample generally collected after 9 weeks' gestation.<sup>4</sup>

Testing methodology relies on the presence of cell-free placental DNA in maternal circulation.<sup>4</sup> Approximately 10% of cell-free DNA in maternal circulation is of placental origin.<sup>5</sup>

Analysis of cell-free placental DNA is performed to identify pregnancies at increased risk for chromosomal aneuploidy. Detection rates for trisomies 21, 18, and 13 are greater than 98%, with false positive rates of less than 0.5%.<sup>4</sup>

Some laboratories also test for sex chromosome aneuploidies (such as Turner syndrome or Klinefelter syndrome) and rare chromosome microdeletion syndromes (such as 22q11 deletion syndrome or 1p36 microdeletion syndrome), with variable performance.

Each commercial or academic laboratory offering NIPS has a proprietary platform and bioinformatics pipeline.

Chromosome analysis via CVS and amniocentesis is also routinely available for diagnosis of fetal chromosome abnormalities in pregnancy.

## Guidelines and Evidence

### American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG, 2016) published a position statement regarding Non Invasive Prenatal Screening (NIPS), recommending the following:<sup>5</sup>

“Informing all pregnant women that NIPS is the most sensitive screening option for traditionally screened aneuploidies (i.e., Patau, Edwards, and Down syndrome).”

“Informing all pregnant women of the availability of the expanded use of NIPS to screen for clinically relevant copy number variations (CNV's) when the following conditions can also be met:”

**“Obstetric care providers should discuss with their patients the desire for prenatal screening as opposed to diagnostic testing (i.e., CVS or amniocentesis).”**

**“Obstetric care providers should discuss with their patients the desire for maximum fetal genomic information through prenatal screening.”**

**“Obstetric care providers should inform their patients of the higher likelihood of false-positive and false-negative results for these conditions as compared to results obtained when NIPS is limited to common aneuploidy screening.”**

**“Obstetric care providers should inform their patients of the potential for results of conditions that, once confirmed, may have an uncertain prognosis.”**

**“Referring patients to a trained genetics professional when an increased risk of aneuploidy is reported after NIPS.”**

**“Offering diagnostic testing when a positive screening test result is reported after NIPS.”**

**“Offering diagnostic testing for a no-call NIPS result due to low fetal fraction if maternal blood for NIPS was drawn at an appropriate gestational age. A repeat blood draw is NOT appropriate.”**

**“Informing all pregnant women, as part of pretest counseling for NIPS, of the availability of the expanded use of screening for sex chromosome aneuploidies.”**

**Offering aneuploidy screening other than NIPS in cases of significant obesity.**

**The ACMG specifically recommended against the following:**

**“NIPS to screen for genome-wide CNVs. If this level of information is desired, then diagnostic testing (e.g., chorionic villous sampling or amniocentesis) followed by CMA is recommended.”**

**“NIPS to screen for autosomal aneuploidies other than those involving chromosomes 13, 18, and 21.”**

**The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**

**The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2019) issued a practice advisory on the use of cell-free DNA to screen for single-gene disorders stating the following:<sup>6</sup>**

**“The continued innovation in cell-free technology combined with the desire for a maternal blood test to predict the risk for fetal genetic disorders during a pregnancy has broadened the application of cell-free DNA screening beyond aneuploidy to single-gene disorders. Examples of single-gene disorders include various skeletal dysplasias, sickle cell disease and cystic fibrosis. Although this technology is available clinically and marketed as a single-gene disorder prenatal screening option for obstetric care providers to consider in their practice, often in presence of advanced paternal age, there has not been sufficient data to provide**

information regarding accuracy and positive and negative predictive value in the general population. For this reason, single-gene cell-free DNA screening is not currently recommended in pregnancy.”

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine

In 2020, The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM) published a joint practice bulletin stating the following:<sup>7</sup>

“Prenatal genetic screening (serum screening with or without nuchal translucency [NT] ultrasound or cell-free DNA screening) and diagnostic testing (chorionic villus sampling [CVS] or amniocentesis) options should be discussed and offered to all pregnant women regardless of maternal age or risk of chromosome abnormality.” [Level A Recommendation: based on good and consistent scientific evidence]

“If screening is accepted, patients should have one prenatal screening approach, and should not have multiple screening tests performed simultaneously.” [Level A Recommendation: based on good and consistent scientific evidence]

“Cell-free DNA is the most sensitive and specific screening test for the common fetal aneuploidies. Nevertheless, it has the potential for false-positive and false-negative results. Furthermore, cell-free DNA testing is not equivalent to diagnostic testing.” [Level A Recommendation: based on good and consistent scientific evidence]

“Cell-free DNA screening can be performed in twin pregnancies. Overall, performance of screening for trisomy 21 by cell-free DNA in twin pregnancies is encouraging, but the total number of reported affected cases is small. Given the small number of affected cases it is difficult to determine an accurate detection rate for trisomy 18 and 13.” [Level B Recommendation: based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence]

American Society of Human Genetics and European Society of Human Genetics

A 2015 joint statement by the American Society of Human Genetics (ASHG)/European Society of Human Genetics (ESHG) includes the following recommendations:<sup>8</sup>

“NIPT offers improved accuracy when testing for common autosomal aneuploidies compared with existing tests such as cFIS. However, a positive NIPT result should not be regarded as a final diagnosis... Thus women should be advised to have a positive result confirmed through diagnostic testing, preferably by amniocentesis, if they are considering a possible termination of pregnancy.”

“Expanding NIPT-based prenatal screening to also report on sex chromosomal abnormalities and microdeletions not only raises ethical concerns related to information and counseling challenges but also risks reversing the important

reduction in invasive testing achieved with implementation of NIPT for aneuploidy, and is therefore currently not recommended."

### The International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis

The International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis (ISPD) first issued a position statement on NIPT in January 2011 and then updated its recommendations in April 2013 and again in April 2015. ISPD summarizes that:<sup>9</sup>

"The following protocol options are currently considered appropriate:"

"cfDNA screening as a primary test offered to all pregnant women."

"cfDNA secondary to a high risk assessment based on serum and ultrasound screening protocols."

"When cfDNA screening is extended to microdeletion and microduplication syndromes or rare trisomies the testing should be limited to clinically significant disorders or well-defined severe conditions."

The ISPD issued a position statement (2020) on cfDNA screening for Down syndrome in twin and triplet pregnancies. The statement compared cfDNA screening to other screening methods available for multiple gestation pregnancies, focusing on test characteristics. This approach is in contrast to other professional guidelines that compare the performance of cfDNA in twin pregnancies to that reported for cfDNA screening in singleton pregnancies. ISPD summarized:<sup>10</sup>

"The use of first trimester cfDNA screening for the common autosomal trisomies is appropriate for twin pregnancies due to sufficient evidence showing high detection and low false positive rates with high predictive values. Moderate."

"The finding of an increased risk on a cfDNA screening test in multiple pregnancies should be followed by counseling and an offer of diagnostic testing to confirm results. Strong."

### The National Society of Genetic Counselors

The National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC, 2021) issued a position statement regarding the use of prenatal cell-free DNA screening:<sup>11</sup>

"The National Society of Genetic Counselors believes that all pregnant patients, regardless of aneuploidy risk, should have access to prenatal aneuploidy screening using cell-free DNA (cfDNA)."

"Patients who receive increased risk or inconclusive/atypical results should receive post-test genetic counseling with a knowledgeable healthcare provider, such as a genetic counselor. In such cases, confirmatory diagnostic testing may be indicated, and patients should be counseled that no irreversible actions should be taken based on the cfDNA screening alone."

## **Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada**

**The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC, 2017) stated: “Routine cfDNA screening for fetal microdeletions is not currently recommended (II-2B).”<sup>12</sup>**

### **Selected Relevant Publications**

**Selected relevant publications pertaining to twin pregnancies, microdeletion testing, and single gene testing.**

#### **Twin pregnancies**

**Evidence for clinical validity and clinical utility of NIPS is insufficient to assess the risk of fetal aneuploidy in twin pregnancies.<sup>10,13-21</sup> Only three of 10 professional society statements allow or recommend cfDNA screening in twin pregnancies.<sup>10</sup> Well-designed clinical validity and clinical utility studies evaluating the performance of NIPS to detect T21, T18, and T13 aneuploidies in twin pregnancies in the general obstetric population are needed.**

#### **Microdeletion syndromes testing**

**A few clinical validity studies have evaluated noninvasive prenatal screening (NIPS) to detect known and likely pathogenic microdeletions in microdeletion syndromes.<sup>15,22-31</sup> Based on the few number of cases across each study, detection rates were more than 97% with less than 1% rate of false positives. However, a significant limitation is the lack of positive predictive values (PPVs) and negative predictive values (NPVs) to estimate clinical utility, which are screening metrics crucial for clinical decision-making.**

**Overall, the evidence base is insufficient to permit definitive conclusions about the performance of NIPS to assess the risk of microdeletion syndromes. Larger, well-designed clinical validity studies assessing test performance and clinical utility studies assessing pregnancy outcomes are needed before NIPS can be adopted for routine use in general or average-risk obstetric populations.**

#### **Single gene disorders testing**

**There are very few clinical studies evaluating the performance of NIPS to assess the risk of single-gene disorders.<sup>32-36</sup> The bulk of the available peer-reviewed evidence consists of small case reports, small case series, and general review or clinical opinion articles discussing the feasibility and application of emerging technical platforms for this indication.**

**The evidence base is insufficient to permit definitive conclusions regarding the performance of NIPS to assess the risk of single-gene disorders. Larger well-designed clinical validity and clinical utility studies evaluating NIPS for this indication in the general obstetric population are needed.**

## **Criteria**

### **Cell-free DNA-based Prenatal Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy**

#### **Genetic Counseling:**

**Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND**

#### **Prenatal Screening:**

**Prenatal cell-free DNA screening for fetal aneuploidy (e.g. trisomy 13, 18, and 21) is considered medically necessary when all of the following criteria are met:**

**Singleton pregnancy, AND**

**Gestational age within the window validated by the selected testing laboratory, AND**

**Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.**

**Prenatal cell-free DNA screening is not considered medically necessary in the following circumstances:**

**Singleton pregnancies in which the demise of a twin has occurred.**

**Multiple gestation pregnancies, which may be defined by the presence of one of the following ICD codes: O30.X. O31.X.**

**More than one prenatal cell-free DNA screen performed per pregnancy defined as no more than one paid prenatal cell-free DNA screen procedure code within 10 weeks.**

**When karyotyping, aneuploidy FISH, and/or cytogenomic microarray analysis (CMA) have already been performed on the pregnancy, defined as any of these procedure codes paid within 10 weeks of the prenatal cell-free DNA screen.**

### **Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Screening for Chromosome Microdeletions**

**This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.**

**Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.**

**In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.**

## **Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Screening for Single-Gene Mutations**

**This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.**

**Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.**

**In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.**

### **Billing and Reimbursement Considerations**

**Non-specific procedure codes (e.g. 81479, 81599, 84999) or any procedure codes that do not accurately describe the test methodology performed (e.g. 88271) are not eligible for reimbursement.**

**Screening for aneuploidy of the X and Y chromosomes and/or detection of less common trisomies, are not separately reimbursable under these coverage guidelines. Additional procedure codes billed with cell-free DNA screening for this purpose are not eligible for reimbursement.**

**Prenatal diagnosis by amniocentesis or CVS following NIPS is generally only indicated when NIPS results are abnormal or additional information becomes available throughout the pregnancy that suggests additional risk factors. Amniocentesis or CVS billed after NIPS is subject to medical necessity review.**

### **Other Considerations**

**Maternal serum screening for aneuploidy and non-invasive prenatal screening (prenatal cell-free DNA screening) should not be performed concurrently.**

**If non-invasive prenatal screening (prenatal cell-free DNA screening) has been successfully performed in the current pregnancy, other aneuploidy screening (by first or second trimester screening or integrated, step-wise sequential, or contingent sequential screening) is not indicated. Maternal serum screening for neural tube defects (AFP-only) is indicated.**

## **References**

**Gardner RJM, Sutherland GR. Chromosome Abnormalities and Genetic Counseling (Oxford Monographs on Medical Genetics, No 29). New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2004.**

Robinson A, Lindon MG. Clinical Genetics Handbook. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1993.

ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 162, May 2016. Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;127(5):e108-e122.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Genetics. Committee Opinion No. 640: Cell-Free DNA Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;126(3):e31-7.

Gregg AR, Skotko BG, Benkendorf MS, et al. Noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy, 2016 update: A position statement of the American College of Genetics and Genomics. *Genet Med.* Published online July 28, 2016. Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/gim201697>  
<https://www.nature.com/articles/gim201697>

ACOG Practice Advisory. Cell-free DNA to screen for single-gene disorders. 2019 Feb. (Reaffirmed March 2020) Available at: <https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Practice-Advisories/Cell-free-DNA-to-Screen-for-Single-Gene-Disorders>

ACOG and SMFM Practice Bulletin No. 226, October 2020. Screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136(4):1-22.

Dondorp W, de Wert G, Bombard Y, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2015;23(11):1438-1450. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2015.57

Benn P, Borell A, Chiu R, et al. Position statement from the Chromosome Abnormality Screening Committee on behalf of the Board of the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn.* 2015;35(8):725-34.

Palomaki G, Chiu R, Pertile M, et al. International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis Position Statement: cell free (cf)DNA screening for Down syndrome in multiple pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn.* October 2020; epub ahead of print.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pd.58>

National Society of Genetic Counselors Position Statements: Prenatal Cell-Free DNA Screening. Released 10-11-16, Revised April 2021. Available at: <https://www.nsgc.org/Policy-Research-and-Publications/Position-Statements/Position-Statements/Post/prenatal-cell-free-dna-screening-1>

Audibert F, De Bie I, Johnson JA, et al. No. 348-Joint SOGC-CCMG Guideline: Update on prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy, fetal anomalies, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2017;39(9):805-817. doi: 10.1016/j.jogc.2017.01.032

Gil MM, Galeva S, Jani J, et al. Screening for trisomies by cfDNA testing of maternal blood in twin pregnancy: update of The Fetal Medicine Foundation results and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;53(6):734-742. doi: 10.1002/uog.20284

Liao H, Liu S, Wang H. Performance of non-invasive prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy in twin pregnancies: a meta-analysis. *Prenat Diagn.* 2017;37(9):874-882. doi: 10.1002/pd.5118

Chen M, Jiang F, Guo Y, et al. Validation of fetal DNA fraction estimation and its application in noninvasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy detection in multiple pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn.* 2019;39(13):1273-1282. doi: 10.1002/pd.5597

Yu W, Lv Y, Yin S, et al. Screening of fetal chromosomal aneuploidy diseases using noninvasive prenatal testing in twin pregnancies. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn.* 2019;19(2):189-196. doi: 10.1080/14737159.2019.1562906

He Y, Wang Y, Li Z, et al. Clinical performance of non-invasive prenatal testing for trisomies 21, 18 and 13 in twin pregnancies: A cohort study and a systematic meta-analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2020;99(6):731-743. doi: 10.1111/aogs.13842

Judah H, Gil MM, Syngelaki A, et al. Cell-free DNA testing of maternal blood in screening for trisomies in twin pregnancy: updated cohort study at 10-14 weeks and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;58(2):178-189. doi: 10.1002/uog.23648

Khalil A, Archer R, Hutchinson V, et al. Noninvasive prenatal screening in twin pregnancies with cell-free DNA using the IONA test: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;225(1):79.e71-79.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.01.005

Xu Y, Jin P, Lei Y, et al. Clinical Efficiency of Non-invasive Prenatal Screening for Common Trisomies in Low-Risk and Twin Pregnancies. *Front Genet.* 2021;12:661884. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.661884

Cheng Y, Lu X, Tang J, et al. Performance of non-invasive prenatal testing for foetal chromosomal abnormalities in 1048 twin pregnancies. *Mol Cytogenet.* 2021;14(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s13039-021-00551-4

Srinivasan A, Bianchi DW, Huang H, et al. Noninvasive detection of fetal subchromosome abnormalities via deep sequencing of maternal plasma. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2013;92(2):167-176. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2012.12.006

Wapner RJ, Babiarez JE, Levy B, et al. Expanding the scope of noninvasive prenatal testing: detection of fetal microdeletion syndromes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;212(3):332.e331-339. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014.11.041

Lefkowitz RB, Tynan JA, Liu T, et al. Clinical validation of a noninvasive prenatal test for genomewide detection of fetal copy number variants. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;215(2):227.e221-227.e216. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.02.030

Petersen AK, Cheung SW, Smith JL, et al. Positive predictive value estimates for cell-free noninvasive prenatal screening from data of a large referral genetic diagnostic laboratory. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;217(6):691.e691-691.e696. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2017.10.005

Schwartz S, Kohan M, Pasion R, Papenhausen PR, Platt LD. Clinical experience of laboratory follow-up with non-invasive prenatal testing using cell-free Dna and positive microdeletion results in 349 cases. *Prenat Diagn*. 2018 Jan 16. doi: 10.1002/pd.5217.

Hu H, Wang L, Wu J, et al. Noninvasive prenatal testing for chromosome aneuploidies and subchromosomal microdeletions/microduplications in a cohort of 8141 single pregnancies. *Hum Genomics*. 2019;13(1):14. doi: 10.1186/s40246-019-0198-2

Liang D, Cram DS, Tan H, et al. Clinical utility of noninvasive prenatal screening for expanded chromosome disease syndromes. *Genet Med*. 2019;21(9):1998-2006. doi: 10.1038/s41436-019-0467-4

Guy C, Haji-Sheikhi F, Rowland CM, et al. Prenatal cell-free DNA screening for fetal aneuploidy in pregnant women at average or high risk: Results from a large US clinical laboratory. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*. 2019;7(3):e545. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.545

Lin Y, Liang D, Wang Y, et al. Analyzing false-negative results detected in low-risk non-invasive prenatal screening cases. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*. 2020;8(4):e1185. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.1185

Hartwig TS, Ambye L, Sørensen S, et al. Discordant non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) - a systematic review. *Prenat Diagn*. 2017;37(6):527-539. doi: 10.1002/pd.5049

Camunas-Soler J, Lee H, Hudgins L, et al. Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of single-gene disorders by use of droplet digital PCR. *Clin Chem*. 2018;64(2):336-345. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2017.278101

Dello Russo C, Cesta A, Longo S, et al. Validation of extensive next-generation sequencing method for monogenic disorder analysis on cell-free fetal DNA: noninvasive prenatal diagnosis. *J Mol Diagn*. 2019;21(4):572-579. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2019.02.010

Guissart C, Dubucs C, Raynal C, et al. Non-invasive prenatal diagnosis (NIPD) of cystic fibrosis: an optimized protocol using MEMO fluorescent PCR to detect the p.Phe508del mutation. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2017;16(2):198-206. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2016.12.011

Zhang W, Lu S, Pu D, et al. Detection of fetal trisomy and single gene disease by massively parallel sequencing of extracellular vesicle DNA in maternal plasma: a proof-of-concept validation. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2019;12(1):151. doi: 10.1186/s12920-019-0590-8