

Test Specific Guidelines

ThyroSeq

MOL.TS.270.A
v1.0.2023

Procedures Addressed

The inclusion of any procedure code in this table is provided for informational purposes and is not a guarantee of coverage nor an indication that prior authorization is required.

<u>Procedure addressed by this guideline</u>	<u>Procedure code</u>
<u>ThyroSeq GC [Oncology (thyroid), DNA and mRNA of 112 genes, next-generation sequencing, fine needle aspirate of thyroid nodule, algorithmic analysis reported as a categorical result ("Positive, high probability of malignancy" or Negative, low probability of malignancy")]</u>	<u>0026U</u>
<u>ThyroSeq CRC [Oncology (thyroid), DNA and mRNA, next-generation sequencing analysis of 112 genes, fine needle aspirate or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue, algorithmic prediction of cancer recurrence, reported as a categorical risk result (low, intermediate, high)]</u>	<u>0287U</u>

What Are Thyroid Nodules?

Definition

Thyroid nodules are a common occurrence, especially in an aging population. Fine-needle aspiration (FNA) with accompanying cytology examination is the standard method for distinguishing between benign and malignant nodules and subsequent removal of tumors. Approximately 15-30% of thyroid nodules examined using FNA and traditional cytology examination are considered indeterminate. Clinicians are then faced with the decision to either remove the nodule unnecessarily or leave a potentially malignant nodule in place.¹

Additional diagnostic procedures have been developed to help further classify indeterminate nodules as either benign or malignant. These procedures usually

involve assessment of known genetic mutations, gene fusions, or the expression activity of microRNA.¹

Test Information

ThyroSeq detects gene fusions, point mutations, copy number variants, and expression changes in 112 genes related to thyroid cancer.²

ThyroSeq GC is designed to aid in the classification of thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology on FNA as either malignant or benign, and results are reported as either positive or negative.²

ThyroSeq CRC is designed to aid in the recurrence risk classification for thyroid nodules determined to be malignant after thyroid resection or FNA (Bethesda VI) and results are reported as low, intermediate, or high risk.^{3,4}

Guidelines and Evidence

American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American College of Endocrinology, and Associazione Medici Endocrinologi (AACE/ACE/AME) Guidelines

The AACE/ACE/AME 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Nodules state the following:⁵

In nodules with indeterminate cytologic results, no single cytochemical or genetic marker is specific or sensitive enough to rule out malignancy with certainty. However the use of immunohistochemical and molecular markers may be considered together with the cytologic subcategories and data from US (ultrasound), elastography, or other imaging techniques to obtain additional information for management of these patients.

When molecular testing should be considered:

To complement not replace cytologic evaluation (BEL 2, GRADE A)

The results are expected to influence clinical management (BEL 2, GRADE A)

As a general rule, not recommended in nodules with established benign or malignant cytologic characteristics (BEL 2, GRADE A)

Molecular testing for cytologically indeterminate nodules:

Cytopathology expertise, patient characteristics, and prevalence of malignancy within the population being tested impact the NPV and PPV for molecular testing (BEL 3, GRADE B)

Consider detection of BRAF and RET/PTC and, possibly PAX8/PPARG and RAS mutations if such detection is available (BEL 2, GRADE B)

Because of the insufficient evidence and limited follow-up, we do not recommend either in favor of or against the use of gene expression classifiers (GECs) for cytologically indeterminate modules (BEL 2 GRADE B)

Role of molecular testing for deciding the extent of surgery

Currently, with the exception of mutations such as BRAFV600E that have a PPV approaching 100% for papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC), the evidence is insufficient to recommend in favor of or against the use of mutation testing as a guide to determine the extent of surgery (BEL 2, GRADE A)

How should patient with nodules that are negative at mutation testing be monitored?

Since the false-negative rate for indeterminate nodules is 5 to 6% and the experience and follow-up for mutation negative nodules or nodules classified as benign by a GEC are still insufficient, close follow-up is recommended (BEL 3, GRADE B)

American Thyroid Association

The American Thyroid Association (ATA, 2016) makes the following statement regarding molecular testing and FNA-indeterminate thyroid nodules:⁶

“For nodules with AUS/FLUS cytology, after consideration of worrisome clinical and sonographic features, investigations such as repeat FNA or molecular testing may be used to supplement malignancy risk assessment in lieu of proceeding directly with a strategy of either surveillance or diagnostic surgery. Informed patient preference and feasibility should be considered in clinical decision-making. (Weak recommendation, Moderate-quality evidence)”

“If repeat FNA cytology, molecular testing, or both are not performed or inconclusive, either surveillance or diagnostic surgical excision may be performed for an AUS/FLUS thyroid nodule, depending on clinical risk factors, sonographic pattern, and patient preference. (Strong recommendation, Low-quality evidence)”

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2021) Thyroid Carcinoma Guidelines state the following:⁷

“The diagnosis of follicular carcinoma or Hürthle cell carcinoma requires evidence of either vascular or capsular invasion, which cannot be determined by FNA. Molecular diagnostics may be useful to allow reclassification of follicular lesions (i.e. follicular neoplasm, AUS, FLUS) as either more or less likely to be benign or malignant based on the genetic profile....If molecular testing, in conjunction with clinical and ultrasound features, predicts a risk of malignancy comparable to the risk of malignancy seen with a benign FNA cytology

(approximately 5% or less), consider active surveillance. Molecular markers should be interpreted with caution and in the context of clinical, radiographic, and cytologic features of each individual patient.”

“Because the published studies have focused primarily on adult patients with thyroid nodules, the diagnostic utility of molecular diagnostics in pediatric patients remains to be defined. Therefore proper implementation of molecular diagnostics into clinical care requires an understanding of both the performance characteristics of the specific molecular tests and its clinical meaning across a range of pre-test disease probabilities.”

“Molecular diagnostic testing may be useful to determine the status of follicular lesions or lesions of indeterminate significance (including follicular neoplasms, AUS or FLUS) as more or less likely to be malignant based on the genetic profile.”

“While molecular diagnostic testing may be useful for diagnosing NIFTP [noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasms with papillary-like nuclear features] in the future, currently available tests were not validated using NIFTP samples. ... However, multiple studies investigating the performance of molecular diagnostics for this subtype have reported that most thyroid nodules histologically diagnosed as NIFTP are classified as “suspicious” by GEC, possibly leading to a more aggressive surgical treatment than is necessary. Therefore the validation of molecular diagnostics with NIFTP samples will be necessary to ensure that the tests are accurately classifying these.”

Selected Relevant Publications

A number of peer-reviewed expert-authored studies that evaluate ThyroSeq GC in individuals with fine needle aspirate(s) (FNA) of thyroid nodules are available. Limited peer-reviewed studies specifically evaluating ThyroSeq CRC have been published.⁸⁻⁴⁷

Studies demonstrate the ability of ThyroSeq GC to rule out or rule in malignant disease in FNA samples with indeterminate results. Although there is limited evidence that use of ThyroSeq GC reduces the need for surgical biopsy or resection, clinical practice guideline recommendations generally support molecular testing of indeterminate thyroid nodules for clinical decisions regarding next steps in the treatment pathway.

Criteria

Introduction

Requests for ThyroSeq testing are reviewed using these criteria.

ThyroSeq GC

ThyroSeq GC is indicated for thyroid nodules with indeterminate FNA results that are included in the following cytopathology categories:

Bethesda diagnostic category III (atypia/follicular lesion of undetermined significance), or

Bethesda diagnostic category IV (follicular neoplasm/suspicion for a follicular neoplasm), AND

Clinical or radiologic findings are not strongly suggestive of malignancy, AND

The testing result will be used to determine surgical planning, AND

No previous molecular multi marker or gene expression assay (e.g. Afirma GSC, ThyraMIR microRNA and ThyGeNEXT) performed on the same nodule when a result was successfully obtained, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

ThyroSeq CRC

This test is considered investigational and experimental.

Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.

Billing and Reimbursement

ThyroSeq GC is reimbursed only once per date of service regardless of the number of nodules submitted for testing.

ThyroSeq GC is indicated only once per thyroid nodule per lifetime.

References

Nishino M, Nikiforova M. Update on Molecular Testing for Cytologically Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules. Arch Pathol Lab Med. Apr 2018;142(4):446-457

UPMC University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. ThyroSeq® - Thyroid Cancer Next-Generation Sequencing Panel. Available at:
<https://thtmlthyroseq.com/physicians/test-details/test-description>

Sonic Healthcare US. ThyroSeq Cancer Risk Classifier Sample Report. Available at: <https://www.thyroseq.com/physicians/test-ordering/thyroseq-crc-sample-report/>

Sonic Healthcare US. ThyroSeq Test Ordering. Available at:
<https://www.thyroseq.com/physicians/test-ordering/>

Gharib H, Papini E, Garber JR, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American College of Endocrinology, and Associazione Medici Endocrinologi Medical guidelines for clinical practice for the diagnosis and management of thyroid nodules. - 2016 update. *Endocr Pract.* 2016;22(5):622-639.

Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid.* 2016;26(1):1-133.

NCCN. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Thyroid Carcinoma. Version 1.2021. Available at:
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf

Valderrabano P, Khazai L, Leon ME, et al. Evaluation of ThyroSeq v2 performance in thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* Jan 19 2017.

Shrestha RT, Evasovich MR, Amin K, et al. Correlation Between Histological Diagnosis and Mutational Panel Testing of Thyroid Nodules: A Two-Year Institutional Experience. *Thyroid.* Aug 2016;26(8):1068-1076.

Valderrabano P, Leon ME, Centeno BA, et al. Institutional prevalence of malignancy of indeterminate thyroid cytology is necessary but insufficient to accurately interpret molecular marker tests. *Eur J Endocrinol.* May 2016;174(5):621-629.

Nikiforov YE, Carty SE, Chiosea SI, et al. Highly accurate diagnosis of cancer in thyroid nodules with follicular neoplasm/suspicious for a follicular neoplasm cytology by ThyroSeq v2 next-generation sequencing assay. *Cancer.* 2014;120(23):3627-3634.

Nikiforov YE, Carty SE, Chiosea SI, et al. Impact of the Multi-Gene ThyroSeq Next-Generation Sequencing Assay on Cancer Diagnosis in Thyroid Nodules with Atypia of Undetermined Significance/Follicular Lesion of Undetermined Significance Cytology. *Thyroid.* 2015;25(11):1217-1223.

Nikiforova MN, Wald AI, Roy S, Durso MB, Nikiforov YE. Targeted next-generation sequencing panel (ThyroSeq) for detection of mutations in thyroid cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;98(11):E1852-1860.

Taye A, Gurciullo D, Miles BA, et al. Clinical performance of a next-generation sequencing assay (ThyroSeq v2) in the evaluation of indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Surgery*. 2018;163(1):97-103.

Livhits MJ, Kuo EJ, Leung AM, et al. Gene Expression Classifier versus Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing in the Management of Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;103(6):2261-2268.

Jug RC, Datto MB, Jiang XS. Molecular testing for indeterminate thyroid nodules: Performance of the Afirma gene expression classifier and ThyroSeq panel. *Cancer Cytopathol*. 2018;126(7):471-480.

Patel SG, Carty SE, McCoy KL, et al. Preoperative detection of RAS mutation may guide extent of thyroidectomy. *Surgery*. 2017;161(1):168-175.

Yip L, Wharry LI, Armstrong MJ, et al. A clinical algorithm for fine-needle aspiration molecular testing effectively guides the appropriate extent of initial thyroidectomy. *Ann Surg*. 2014;260(1):163-168.

Nikiforova MN, Mercurio S, Wald AI, et al. Analytical performance of the ThyroSeq v3 genomic classifier for cancer diagnosis in thyroid nodules. *Cancer*. 2018;124(8):1682-1690.

Borowczyk M, Szczepanek-Parulska E, Olejarsz M, et al. Evaluation of 167 Gene Expression Classifier (GEC) and ThyroSeq v2 Diagnostic Accuracy in the Preoperative Assessment of Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules: Bivariate/HROC Meta-analysis. *Endocr Pathol*. 2019;30(1):8-15.

Jug R, Parajuli S, Ahmadi S, Jiang XS. Negative Results on Thyroid Molecular Testing Decrease Rates of Surgery for Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules. *Endocr Pathol*. 2019;30(2):134-137.

Marcadis AR, Valderrabano P, Ho AS, et al. Interinstitutional variation in predictive value of the ThyroSeq v2 genomic classifier for cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Surgery*. 2019;165(1):17-24.

Ohori NP, Landau MS, Carty SE, et al. Benign call rate and molecular test result distribution of ThyroSeq v3. *Cancer Cytopathol*. 2019;127(3):161-168.

Schatz-Siemers N, Brandler TC, Oweity T, et al. . Hurthle cell lesions on thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology: Molecular and histologic correlation. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2019;47(10):977-985.

Hao Y, Choi Y, Babiarz JE, et al. Analytical Verification Performance of Afirma Genomic Sequencing Classifier in the Diagnosis of Cytologically Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2019;10:438. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00438.

Deaver KE, Haugen BR, Pozdeyev N, Marshall CB. Outcomes of Bethesda categories III and IV thyroid nodules over 5 years and performance of the Afirma gene expression classifier: A single-institution study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2018; May 23. doi: 10.1111/cen.13747. [Epub ahead of print].

Parajuli S, Jug R, Ahmadi S, Jiang XS. Hurthle cell predominance impacts results of Afirma gene expression classifier and ThyroSeq molecular panel performance in indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2019;47(11):1177-1183.

Walts AE, Sacks WL, Wu HH, Randolph ML, Bose S. A retrospective analysis of the performance of the RosettaGX((R)) Reveal thyroid miRNA and the Afirma Gene Expression Classifiers in a cohort of cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2018;46(11):901-907.

Steward DL, Carty SE, Sippel RS, et al. Performance of a multigene genomic classifier in thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology: A prospective blinded multicenter study. *JAMA Oncol*. 2019;5:204-212.

Muzza, M., Colombo, C., Pogliaghi, G. et al. Molecular markers for the classification of cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2020;43:703–716.

Rossi ED, Pantanowitz L, Faquin WC. The role of molecular testing for the indeterminate thyroid FNA. *Genes (Basel)*. 2019;10(10):736.

Nicholson KJ, Roberts MS, McCoy KL, et al. Molecular testing versus diagnostic lobectomy in Bethesda III/IV thyroid nodules: A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Thyroid*. 2019; 29(9):1237–1243.

Abdelhakam DA, Mojica RE, Huenerberg KA, et al. Impact of a genomic classifier on indeterminate thyroid nodules: an institutional experience. *J Am Soc Cytopathol*. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jasc.2020.09.005

Carty SE, Ohori NP, Hilko DA, et al. The clinical utility of molecular testing in the management of thyroid follicular neoplasms (Bethesda IV nodules). *Ann Surg*. 2020;272(4):621-627. doi:10.1097/sla.0000000000004130

Chen T, Gilfix BM, Rivera J, et al. The role of the ThyroSeq v3 molecular test in the surgical management of thyroid nodules in the Canadian public health care setting. *Thyroid*. 2020;30(9):1280-1287. doi:10.1089/thy.2019.0539

Chin PD, Zhu CY, Sajed DP, et al. Correlation of ThyroSeq results with surgical histopathology in cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Endocr Pathol*. 2020;31(4):377-384. doi:10.1007/s12022-020-09641-2

Desai D, Lepe M, Baloch ZW, et al. ThyroSeq v3 for Bethesda III and IV: An institutional experience. *Cancer Cytopathol*. 2020. doi:10.1002/cncy.22362

Fazeli SR, Zehr B, Amraei R, et al. ThyroSeq v2 testing: Impact on cytologic diagnosis, management, and cost of care in patients with thyroid nodule. *Thyroid*. 2020;30(10):1528-1534. doi:10.1089/thy.2019.0191

Ohori NP. Molecular testing and thyroid nodule management in North America. *Gland Surg*. 2020;9(5):1628-1638. doi:10.21037/gs-2019-catp-26

Ohori NP, Landau MS, Manroa P, et al. Molecular-derived estimation of risk of malignancy for indeterminate thyroid cytology diagnoses. *J Am Soc Cytopathol*. 2020;9(4):213-220. doi:10.1016/j.jasc.2020.03.004

Wong CW, Schumm MA, Zhu CY, et al. Quality of life following molecular marker testing for indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Endocr Pract.* 2020. doi:10.4158/ep-2020-0101

Nikiforov YE, Baloch ZW. Clinical validation of the ThyroSeq v3 genomic classifier in thyroid nodules with indeterminate FNA cytology. *Cancer Cytopathol.* 2019;127(4):225-230. doi:10.1002/cncy.22112

Mady LJ, Grimes MC, Khan NI, et al. Molecular profile of locally aggressive well differentiated thyroid cancers. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):8031. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-64635-8

Zhao H, Jing W, Li W, et al. Risk stratification study of indeterminate thyroid nodules with a next-generation sequencing assay with residual ThinPrep® material. *J Cancer.* 2020;11(24):7276-7282. doi: 10.7150/jca.46086

Zhu CY, Donangelo I, Gupta D, et al. Outcomes of indeterminate thyroid nodules managed nonoperatively after molecular testing. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2021 Mar 8;106(3):e1240-e1247. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa887

Yip L, Gooding WE, Nikitski A, et al. Risk assessment for distant metastasis in differentiated thyroid cancer using molecular profiling: A matched case-control study. *Cancer.* 2021;127(11):1779-1787. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33421

Hier J, Avior G, Pusztaszeri M, et al. Molecular testing for cytologically suspicious and malignant (Bethesda V and VI) thyroid nodules to optimize the extent of surgical intervention: a retrospective chart review. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2021;28;50(1):29. doi: 10.1186/s40463-021-00500-6