

Evolent Clinical Guideline 1757 for Spinal Cord Stimulation

Guideline Number: Evolent_CG_1757	Applicable Codes	
"Evolent" refers to Evolent Health LLC and Evolent Specialty Services, Inc. © 2020 - 2025 Evolent. All rights Reserved.		
Original Date: August 2020	Last Revised Date: December 2024	Implementation Date: JanuaryJuly 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT	
GENERAL INFORMATION	
INDICATIONS	.2
SPINAL CORD STIMULATION	.2
PERMANENT SPINAL CORD STIMULATOR	
REVISION OR REMOVAL OF SPINAL CORD STIMULATOR DEVICE	-
CONTRAINDICATIONS	.4
CODING AND STANDARDS	.4
Coding	.4
CPT Codes	
APPLICABLE LINES OF BUSINESS	.4
BACKGROUND	
DEFINITIONS	
MEDICAL NECESSITY Home Exercise Program (HEP)**	
POLICY HISTORY	.6
LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE	.6
GUIDELINE APPROVAL	
Committee	
DISCLAIMER	. /
REFERENCES	. 8



STATEMENT

General Information

It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

Special Note

<u>Code 63650 is also applicable for dorsal root ganglion stimulation (DRG). DRG has</u> <u>specific advantages over SCS as it has better CRPS coverage and greater anatomical</u> <u>specificity allowing for improved coverage for specific areas of the body, such as pain</u> <u>in the foot, knee, hip, and groin — areas noted to be difficult for SCS. (1)</u>

INDICATIONS

Spinal Cord Stimulation

A spinal cord stimulation (SCS) trial is appropriate when ALL the following criteria are met:

- Duration of pain of at least 6 months (2)
- Pain causing functional disability or average pain level of \geq 6 on a scale of 0 to 10⁽²⁾
- Failure to respond to non-operative conservative treatment <u>(e.g., medication trials, interventional procedures (e.g., sympathetic nerve blocks, epidural steroid injections)</u> for a minimum of 6 months unless the medical reason this treatment cannot be done is clearly documented ^(2,3)
- A completed psychological assessment that documents the following: (2,4,5)
 - Pain is not due to psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety, somatic symptom disorder, or sequelae of substance use
 - Satisfactory management of personality and psychiatric disorders
 - o Satisfactory management of substance use disorder in recovery
 - o Demonstration of cognitive ability to manage the stimulator
- Pain caused by at least **ONE** of the following: ^(2,4,5)
 - Failed spine surgery syndrome (FSSS) or post-laminectomy syndrome (6)
 - Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), type I or type II, characterized by ALL of the following:meeting Budapest criteria
 - Unilateral vasomotor changes
 - Changes in skin color; cyanotic, or mottled;
 - Changes in skin temperature; OR
 - Unilateral edema
 - Unilateral sudomotor changes

Page 2 of 8

Evolent Clinical Guideline 1757 for Spinal Cord Stimulation



Skin is dry; OR

Skin is moist

Unilateral trophic changes

- Skin is smooth or shiny;
- Soft issue atrophy;
- Joint stiffness, with decreased passive ROM;
- Nail changes; OR
- Hair growth changes
- Chronic neuropathic pain of certain origins that falls into **ONE** of the following diagnoses:
 - Lumbosacral arachnoiditis
 - Post herpetic neuralgia
 - Radiculopathy
 - Chronic ischemic leg pain
 - Diabetic peripheral neuropathy ⁽⁷⁾
 - Phantom limb syndrome (stump pain)
 - Peripheral neuropathy
 - Chronic back pain (neuropathic pain) and not a surgical candidate
 - Chronic, refractory angina pectoris, characterized by **ALL** the following:
 - Continued angina after percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft
 - Not a candidate for further revascularization
 - Angina is NYHA (New York Heart Association) III (less than ordinary physical activity causes symptoms) or IV (symptoms present at rest)
 - Optimal pharmacotherapy for at least one month with failure to tolerate medications in indicated dosage or failure to respond adequately to indicated medications

Permanent Spinal Cord Stimulator ^(5,8)

Appropriate when ALL the following criteria are met:

- Documentation of a successful trial of the temporary SCS device providing at least 50% reduction in pain
- Significant functional improvement for a minimum duration of 3 days
- The typeA medical reason for use of a permanent stimulator device used for from the temporary trial willdevice must be the same used for permanent spinal cord stimulator placementclearly documented

Revision or Removal of Spinal Cord Stimulator Device

Indicated with **ONE** of the following:



- Migration of lead(s)
- Loss of effectiveness
- Intolerance by the individual
- Infection
- Painful generator site
- Development of neurological deficits
- Patient demand

CONTRAINDICATIONS^(2,4)

- Active systemic or spinal infection
- Body habitus that is insufficient to support the weight and bulk of the device
- <u>Coagulation disorder</u>
- Pregnancy

CODING AND STANDARDS

Coding

CPT Codes

63650, 63655, 63661, 63662, 63663, 63664, 63685, 63688

Applicable Lines of Business

	CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program)
\boxtimes	Commercial
	Exchange/Marketplace
	Medicaid
	Medicare Advantage

BACKGROUND

Definitions^(4,5,8)

The most common indication for spinal cord stimulator (SCS) placement is chronic pain from failed spine surgery syndrome. SCS is a minimally invasive, non-opioid alternative therapy used for the treatment of chronic neuropathic pain or ischemic pain. SCS has been well

Page 4 of 8

Evolent Clinical Guideline 1757 for Spinal Cord Stimulation



established as a safe and effective treatment of pain derived from a wide variety of etiologies. For individuals with chronic pain who have failed conservative approaches, SCS should be considered among other options before prescribing long-term opioids.

SCS is used to treat some common indications to include, but not limited to, failed spine surgery syndrome (FSSS), complex regional pain syndrome, painful peripheral vascular disease, and intractable angina. Limited literature suggests that SCS may also be beneficial for individuals with visceral abdominal and perineal pain and for painful diabetic neuropathy.

Based primarily on differences in clinical observations, SCS therapies can be categorized into at least two modalities to include paresthesia SCS (classical SCS) and sub-perception SCS (e.g., burst, kHz). Paresthesia SCS is generally characterized by programming stimulation parameters (including electric field configuration) between metal contacts residing in the epidural space such that the individual experiences paresthesia and the paresthesia topography overlaps the pain topography as much as possible.

Conservative Treatment*

Non-operative treatment should include a multimodality approach consisting of at least one (1) active and one (1) inactive component targeting the affected spinal region.

- Active components
 - ← Physical Therapy
 - Physician-supervised <u>home exercise program**</u>
 - ← Chiropractic Care
- Inactive Modalities
 - Medications (e.g., NSAIDs, steroids, analgesics)
 - Injections (e.g., epidural steroid injection, selective nerve root block)
 - Medical Devices (e.g., TENS unit, bracing)

Medical Necessity

It is generally considered not medically necessary to perform multiple interventional pain procedures on the same date of service. Documentation of a medical reason to perform procedures in different regions on the same day can be provided and will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Home Exercise Program (HEP)** ⁽⁹⁾

The following two elements are required to meet conservative therapy guidelines for HEP:

• Documentation of an exercise prescription/plan provided by a physician, physical therapist, or chiropractor

AND

• Follow-up documentation regarding completion of HEP after the required 6-week timeframe or inability to complete HEP due to a documented medical reason (e.g., increased pain or inability to physically perform exercises).



POLICY HISTORY

Date	Summary	
December 2024	<u>This guideline replaces Evolent Clinical Guideline 405 for</u> Spinal Cord Stimulation	
	Added Special Note section for CPT code 63650	
	 Added 6-month pain duration and psychiatric disorder in SCS indication section 	
	• <u>Condensed complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS)</u> <u>characteristics to "Complex regional pain syndrome</u> <u>types I and II, meeting Budapest criteria" for consistency</u> with the Sympathetic Nerve Blocks guideline	
	<u>Clarified the last indication of Permanent Spinal Cord</u> <u>Stimulator section: "The type of stimulator device used</u> <u>for temporary trial will be the same used for permanent</u> <u>spinal cord stimulator placement" to "A medical reason</u> <u>for use of a permanent stimulator device from the</u> <u>temporary trial device must be clearly documented"</u>	
	 Added "coagulation disorder" and "pregnancy" to Contraindication section 	
	Added Medical Necessity section	
	<u>Removed Conservative Treatment section in Background</u>	
January 2024	 Adjusted psychological section to address pain is not due to psychiatric disorders, personality disorders and substance use disorders are being managed 	
	 Adjusted conservative treatment language in body and background sections 	
	Reduced Background section	
	Added table of contents	
	Updated references	

LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE

Guideline Approval

Committee

Reviewed / Approved by Evolent Specialty Clinical Guideline Review Committee



Disclaimer

Evolent Clinical Guidelines do not constitute medical advice. Treating health care professionals are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment, and medical advice. Evolent uses Clinical Guidelines in accordance with its contractual obligations to provide utilization management. Coverage for services varies for individual members according to the terms of their health care coverage or government program. Individual members' health care coverage may not utilize some Evolent Clinical Guidelines. A list of procedure codes, services or drugs may not be all inclusive and does not imply that a service or drug is a covered or non-covered service or drug. Evolent reserves the right to review and update this Clinical Guideline in its sole discretion. Notice of any changes shall be provided as required by applicable provider agreements and laws or regulations. Members should contact their Plan customer service representative for specific coverage information.



REFERENCES

1. Deer T R, Levy R M, Kramer J, Poree L, Amirdelfan K et al. Dorsal root ganglion stimulation yielded higher treatment success rate for complex regional pain syndrome and causalgia at 3 and 12 months: a randomized comparative trial. Pain. 2017; 158: 669-681.

2. Thomson S, Huygen F, Prangnell S, De Andrés J, Baranidharan G et al. Appropriate referral and selection of patients with chronic pain for spinal cord stimulation: European consensus recommendations and e-health tool. European journal of pain (London, England). 2020; 24: 1169-1181.

3. Deer T R, Russo M, Grider J S, Pope J, Hagedorn J M et al. The Neurostimulation Appropriateness Consensus Committee (NACC): Recommendations on Best Practices for Cervical Neurostimulation. Neuromodulation: journal of the International Neuromodulation Society. 2022; 25: 35-52.

4. Garcia K, Wray J, Kumar S. Spinal Cord Stimulation. StatPearls [Internet]. 2023; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553154/.

5. Shanthanna H, Eldabe S, Provenzano D A, Bouche B, Buchser E et al. Evidence-based consensus guidelines on patient selection and trial stimulation for spinal cord stimulation therapy for chronic non-cancer pain. Regional anesthesia and pain medicine. 2023; 48: 273-287.

6. Palmer N, Guan Z, Chai N C. Spinal Cord Stimulation for Failed Back Surgery Syndrome - Patient Selection Considerations. Translational perioperative and pain medicine. 2019; 6: 81-90.

7. Petersen E A, Stauss T G, Scowcroft J A, Jaasma M J, Brooks E S et al. Long-term efficacy of high-frequency (10 kHz) spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: 24-Month results of a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2023; 203: 110865.

8. Dydyk A M, Tadi P. Spinal Cord Stimulator Implant. StatPearls [Internet]. 2023; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555994/.

9. Qaseem A, Wilt T J, McLean R M, Forciea M A, Denberg T D et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. Annals of internal medicine. 2017; 166: 514-530.



Evolent Clinical Guideline 1757 for Spinal Cord Stimulation

Guideline Number: Evolent_CG_1757	Applicable Codes	
"Evolent" refers to Evolent Health LLC and Evolent Specialty Services, Inc. © 2020 - 2025 Evolent. All rights Reserved.		
Original Date: August 2020	Last Revised Date: December 2024	Implementation Date: July 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT	2
SPECIAL NOTE	
SPINAL CORD STIMULATION	2 3
REVISION OR REMOVAL OF SPINAL CORD STIMULATOR DEVICE	
CODING AND STANDARDS	4
Coding CPT Codes	4 4
APPLICABLE LINES OF BUSINESS	4
BACKGROUND	
DEFINITIONS	
HOME EXERCISE PROGRAM (HEP)**	
POLICY HISTORY	5
LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE	6
GUIDELINE APPROVAL	
DISCLAIMER	6
REFERENCES	7



STATEMENT

General Information

It is an expectation that all patients receive care/services from a licensed clinician. All appropriate supporting documentation, including recent pertinent office visit notes, laboratory data, and results of any special testing must be provided. If applicable: All prior relevant imaging results and the reason that alternative imaging cannot be performed must be included in the documentation submitted.

Special Note

Code 63650 is also applicable for dorsal root ganglion stimulation (DRG). DRG has specific advantages over SCS as it has better CRPS coverage and greater anatomical specificity allowing for improved coverage for specific areas of the body, such as pain in the foot, knee, hip, and groin — areas noted to be difficult for SCS. ⁽¹⁾

INDICATIONS

Spinal Cord Stimulation

A spinal cord stimulation (SCS) trial is appropriate when **ALL** the following criteria are met:

- Duration of pain of at least 6 months (2)
- Pain causing functional disability or average pain level of \geq 6 on a scale of 0 to 10⁽²⁾
- Failure to respond to non-operative conservative treatment (e.g., medication trials, interventional procedures (e.g., sympathetic nerve blocks, epidural steroid injections)) for a minimum of 6 months unless the medical reason this treatment cannot be done is clearly documented ^(2,3)
- A completed psychological assessment that documents the following ^(2,4,5):
 - Pain is not due to psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety, somatic symptom disorder, or sequelae of substance use
 - o Satisfactory management of personality and psychiatric disorders
 - o Satisfactory management of substance use disorder in recovery
 - Demonstration of cognitive ability to manage the stimulator
- Pain caused by at least **ONE** of the following ^(2,4,5):
 - Failed spine surgery syndrome (FSSS) or post-laminectomy syndrome (6)
 - Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), type I or type II, meeting Budapest criteria
 - Chronic neuropathic pain of certain origins that falls into **ONE** of the following diagnoses:
 - Lumbosacral arachnoiditis
 - Post herpetic neuralgia
 - Radiculopathy



- Chronic ischemic leg pain
- Diabetic peripheral neuropathy ⁽⁷⁾
- Phantom limb syndrome (stump pain)
- Peripheral neuropathy
- Chronic back pain (neuropathic pain) and not a surgical candidate
- Chronic, refractory angina pectoris, characterized by **ALL** the following:
 - Continued angina after percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft
 - Not a candidate for further revascularization
 - Angina is NYHA (New York Heart Association) III (less than ordinary physical activity causes symptoms) or IV (symptoms present at rest)
 - Optimal pharmacotherapy for at least one month with failure to tolerate medications in indicated dosage or failure to respond adequately to indicated medications

Permanent Spinal Cord Stimulator (5,8)

Appropriate when **ALL** the following criteria are met:

- Documentation of a successful trial of the temporary SCS device providing at least 50% reduction in pain
- Significant functional improvement for a minimum duration of 3 days
- A medical reason for use of a permanent stimulator device from the temporary trial device must be clearly documented

Revision or Removal of Spinal Cord Stimulator Device

Indicated with **ONE** of the following:

- Migration of lead(s)
- Loss of effectiveness
- Intolerance by the individual
- Infection
- Painful generator site
- Development of neurological deficits
- Patient demand

CONTRAINDICATIONS^(2,4)

- Active systemic or spinal infection
- Body habitus that is insufficient to support the weight and bulk of the device
- Coagulation disorder



• Pregnancy

CODING AND STANDARDS

Coding

CPT Codes

63650, 63655, 63661, 63662, 63663, 63664, 63685, 63688

Applicable Lines of Business

CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program)
Commercial
Exchange/Marketplace
Medicaid
Medicare Advantage

BACKGROUND

Definitions (4,5,8)

The most common indication for spinal cord stimulator (SCS) placement is chronic pain from failed spine surgery syndrome. SCS is a minimally invasive, non-opioid alternative therapy used for the treatment of chronic neuropathic pain or ischemic pain. SCS has been well established as a safe and effective treatment of pain derived from a wide variety of etiologies. For individuals with chronic pain who have failed conservative approaches, SCS should be considered among other options before prescribing long-term opioids.

SCS is used to treat some common indications to include, but not limited to, failed spine surgery syndrome (FSSS), complex regional pain syndrome, painful peripheral vascular disease, and intractable angina. Limited literature suggests that SCS may also be beneficial for individuals with visceral abdominal and perineal pain and for painful diabetic neuropathy.

Based primarily on differences in clinical observations, SCS therapies can be categorized into at least two modalities to include paresthesia SCS (classical SCS) and sub-perception SCS (e.g., burst, kHz). Paresthesia SCS is generally characterized by programming stimulation parameters (including electric field configuration) between metal contacts residing in the epidural space such that the individual experiences paresthesia and the paresthesia topography overlaps the pain topography as much as possible.



Medical Necessity

It is generally considered not medically necessary to perform multiple interventional pain procedures on the same date of service. Documentation of a medical reason to perform procedures in different regions on the same day can be provided and will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Home Exercise Program (HEP)** ⁽⁹⁾

The following two elements are required to meet conservative therapy guidelines for HEP:

• Documentation of an exercise prescription/plan provided by a physician, physical therapist, or chiropractor

AND

• Follow-up documentation regarding completion of HEP after the required 6-week timeframe or inability to complete HEP due to a documented medical reason (e.g., increased pain or inability to physically perform exercises).

Date	Summary
December 2024	This guideline replaces Evolent Clinical Guideline 405 for Spinal Cord Stimulation
	Added Special Note section for CPT code 63650
	 Added 6-month pain duration and psychiatric disorder in SCS indication section
	 Condensed complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) characteristics to "Complex regional pain syndrome types I and II, meeting Budapest criteria" for consistency with the Sympathetic Nerve Blocks guideline
	 Clarified the last indication of Permanent Spinal Cord Stimulator section: "The type of stimulator device used for temporary trial will be the same used for permanent spinal cord stimulator placement" to "A medical reason for use of a permanent stimulator device from the temporary trial device must be clearly documented"
	 Added "coagulation disorder" and "pregnancy" to Contraindication section
	Added Medical Necessity section
	Removed Conservative Treatment section in Background

POLICY HISTORY



Date	Summary
January 2024	 Adjusted psychological section to address pain is not due to psychiatric disorders, personality disorders and substance use disorders are being managed
	 Adjusted conservative treatment language in body and background sections
	Reduced Background section
	Added table of contents
	Updated references

LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE

Guideline Approval

Committee

Reviewed / Approved by Evolent Specialty Clinical Guideline Review Committee

Disclaimer

Evolent Clinical Guidelines do not constitute medical advice. Treating health care professionals are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment, and medical advice. Evolent uses Clinical Guidelines in accordance with its contractual obligations to provide utilization management. Coverage for services varies for individual members according to the terms of their health care coverage or government program. Individual members' health care coverage may not utilize some Evolent Clinical Guidelines. A list of procedure codes, services or drugs may not be all inclusive and does not imply that a service or drug is a covered or non-covered service or drug. Evolent reserves the right to review and update this Clinical Guideline in its sole discretion. Notice of any changes shall be provided as required by applicable provider agreements and laws or regulations. Members should contact their Plan customer service representative for specific coverage information.



REFERENCES

1. Deer T R, Levy R M, Kramer J, Poree L, Amirdelfan K et al. Dorsal root ganglion stimulation yielded higher treatment success rate for complex regional pain syndrome and causalgia at 3 and 12 months: a randomized comparative trial. Pain. 2017; 158: 669-681.

2. Thomson S, Huygen F, Prangnell S, De Andrés J, Baranidharan G et al. Appropriate referral and selection of patients with chronic pain for spinal cord stimulation: European consensus recommendations and e-health tool. European journal of pain (London, England). 2020; 24: 1169-1181.

3. Deer T R, Russo M, Grider J S, Pope J, Hagedorn J M et al. The Neurostimulation Appropriateness Consensus Committee (NACC): Recommendations on Best Practices for Cervical Neurostimulation. Neuromodulation: journal of the International Neuromodulation Society. 2022; 25: 35-52.

4. Garcia K, Wray J, Kumar S. Spinal Cord Stimulation. StatPearls [Internet]. 2023; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553154/.

5. Shanthanna H, Eldabe S, Provenzano D A, Bouche B, Buchser E et al. Evidence-based consensus guidelines on patient selection and trial stimulation for spinal cord stimulation therapy for chronic non-cancer pain. Regional anesthesia and pain medicine. 2023; 48: 273-287.

6. Palmer N, Guan Z, Chai N C. Spinal Cord Stimulation for Failed Back Surgery Syndrome - Patient Selection Considerations. Translational perioperative and pain medicine. 2019; 6: 81-90.

7. Petersen E A, Stauss T G, Scowcroft J A, Jaasma M J, Brooks E S et al. Long-term efficacy of high-frequency (10 kHz) spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: 24-Month results of a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2023; 203: 110865.

8. Dydyk A M, Tadi P. Spinal Cord Stimulator Implant. StatPearls [Internet]. 2023; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555994/.

9. Qaseem A, Wilt T J, McLean R M, Forciea M A, Denberg T D et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. Annals of internal medicine. 2017; 166: 514-530.