

**DRAFT – LOGO TO
BE INSERTED
UPON FINAL
PRODUCTION
DATE]**

Subject:	Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, <u>Microwave</u> or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver	Publish Date:	<u>09/27/2023</u> 06/28/2023
Guideline #:	CG-SURG-61	Last Review Date:	<u>08/10/2023</u>
Status:	Revised		

Description

This document focuses on the use of cryosurgical (also known as cryosurgery or cryoablation), radiofrequency, microwave or laser ablation as a treatment of:

- Primary or secondary malignancies outside the liver; and
- Benign tumors outside the liver.

Note: This document does not address the treatment of epithelial or endothelial lesions, including basal and squamous cell carcinoma, Barrett’s esophagus, polyps of the esophagus or condylomata.

Note: This document does not address treatment for benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH). For criteria related to BPH treatment, refer to applicable guidelines used by the plan. This document also does not address laser treatments for benign prostatic hypertrophy.

Note: For additional information, see the following:

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member’s card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan’s or line of business’s members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan. This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue’s standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue’s Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- CG-MED-81 Ultrasound Ablation for Oncologic Indications
- CG-SURG-78 Locoregional Techniques for Treating Primary and Metastatic Liver Malignancies
- CG-SURG-101 Ablative Techniques as a Treatment for Barrett's Esophagus
- ~~CG SURG 107 Surgical and Minimally Invasive Treatments for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH)~~
- SURG.00159 Focal Laser Ablation for the Treatment of Prostate Cancer

Clinical Indications

Medically Necessary:

Cryosurgical Ablation Prostate Cancer

Whole-gland cryosurgical ablation of the prostate is considered **medically necessary** as a treatment of prostate cancer.

~~Cryosurgical ablation for clinically localized, suspected renal malignancy is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with peripheral lesions that are less than or equal to 4 cm in diameter.~~

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)

Thermal ablation (radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation or microwave ablation) of NSCLC is considered **medically necessary** when all of the following criteria are met:

1. Surgical or radiation treatment with curative intent is considered appropriate based on stage of disease, however medical co-morbidity renders the individual unfit for those interventions; and
2. No tumor has a maximum diameter of greater than 3.0 cm; and
3. Tumors are located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart.

Tumor(s) that have metastasized to the lung

Thermal ablation (radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation or microwave ablation) of malignant tumor(s) that have metastasized to the lung is considered **medically necessary** when *all* of the following criteria are met:

1. Surgical or radiation treatment is considered appropriate based on stage of disease, however medical co-morbidity renders the individual unfit for those interventions; and

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

2. There is no current active extra-pulmonary metastatic disease; and
3. There are no more than 3 tumors per lung; and
4. No tumor has a maximum diameter greater than 3.0 cm; and
5. Tumors are located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart.

Osteoid osteomas Radiofrequency Ablation

A. Radiofrequency ablation of osteoid osteomas is considered **medically necessary**.

Bone metastases

B. Radiofrequency ablation of painful bony metastases is considered **medically necessary** in individuals who have failed or who are considered poor candidates for standard treatments such as opioids or radiation therapy.

Renal malignancy

Radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation for clinically localized, suspected renal malignancy is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with peripheral lesions that are less than or equal to 4 cm in diameter.

- ~~C. Radiofrequency ablation for clinically localized, suspected renal malignancy is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with peripheral lesions that are less than or equal to 4 cm in diameter.~~
- ~~D. Radiofrequency ablation of biopsy proven non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is considered **medically necessary** when *all* of the following criteria are met:~~
- ~~1. Surgical or radiation treatment with curative intent is considered appropriate based on stage of disease, however medical co-morbidity renders the individual unfit for those interventions; and~~
 - ~~— No tumor has a maximum diameter of greater than 3.0 cm; and~~
 - ~~2. Tumors are located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart.~~
- ~~E. Radiofrequency ablation of *metastatic* malignant tumor(s) to the lung is considered **medically necessary** when *all* of the following criteria are met:~~
- ~~1. Biopsy proven lung metastasis(es) from an extra-pulmonary primary site; and~~

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~1. Surgical or radiation treatment is considered appropriate based on stage of disease, however medical comorbidity renders the individual unfit for those interventions; and~~
- ~~— There is no current active extra-pulmonary metastatic disease; and~~
- ~~2. There are no more than 3 tumors per lung; and~~
- ~~2. No tumor has a maximum diameter greater than 3.0 cm; and~~
- ~~2. Tumors are located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart; and~~
- ~~2. If a repeat procedure, at least 12 months have elapsed since the prior ablation.~~

Not Medically Necessary:

Focal cryosurgical ablation of prostate tumors is considered not medically necessary.

Laser ablation, or laser interstitial thermal therapy is considered **not medically necessary** as a therapy to treat solid tumors outside the liver.

Thermal ablation (radiofrequency ablation, Cryoablation, surgical ablation or microwave ablation) of tumors outside the liver is considered **not medically necessary** when the above criteria are not met and for all other indications.

~~Radiofrequency ablation of tumors outside the liver is considered not medically necessary when the above criteria are not met and for all other indications.~~

Coding

The following codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this guideline are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

Bone:

When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

CPT

20982

Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more bone tumors (eg metastasis) including adjacent soft tissue when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed; radiofrequency

ICD-10 Diagnosis

C79.51

Secondary malignant neoplasm of bone

D16.00-D16.9

Benign neoplasm of bone and articular cartilage

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure codes listed above when criteria are not met or for all other diagnoses not listed

When services are also Not Medically Necessary:

CPT

20983

Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more bone tumors (eg, metastasis) including adjacent soft tissue when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed; cryoablation

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

Lung:

When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

CPT

[32994](#)

[Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more pulmonary tumor\(s\) including pleura or chest wall when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed, unilateral; cryoablation](#)

32998

Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more pulmonary tumor(s) including pleura or chest wall when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed, unilateral; radiofrequency

HCPCS

[C9751](#)

[Bronchoscopy, rigid or flexible, transbronchial ablation of lesion\(s\) by microwave energy, including fluoroscopic guidance, when performed, with computed tomography](#)

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

[This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.](#)

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

acquisition(s) and 3D rendering, computer-assisted, image-guided navigation, and endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided transtracheal and/or transbronchial sampling (e.g., aspiration[s]/biopsy[ies]) and all mediastinal and/or hilar lymph node stations or structures and therapeutic interventions

ICD-10 Procedure

0B5K3ZZ-0B5M3ZZ Destruction of lung, percutaneous approach [right, left, bilateral; includes codes 0B5K3ZZ, 0B5L3ZZ, 0B5M3ZZ; when specified as radiofrequency, cryoablation or microwave ablation]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure codes listed above when criteria are not met.

When services are also Not Medically Necessary:

CPT

32994 ~~Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more pulmonary tumor(s) including pleura or chest wall when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed, unilateral; cryoablation~~

ICD-10 Procedure

~~0B5K3ZZ-0B5M3ZZ Destruction of lung, percutaneous approach [right, left, bilateral; includes codes 0B5K3ZZ, 0B5L3ZZ, 0B5M3ZZ; when specified as cryosurgical ablation]~~

ICD-10 Diagnosis

~~All diagnoses~~

Prostate:

When services may be ~~are~~ Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

CPT

55873 Cryosurgical ablation of the prostate (includes ultrasonic guidance and monitoring)

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

ICD-10 Procedure

0V500ZZ-0V504ZZ For the following codes when specified as cryosurgical ablation:
 Destruction of prostate [by approach; includes codes 0V500ZZ, 0V503ZZ, 0V504ZZ]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

C61 Malignant neoplasm of prostate
 C79.82 Secondary malignant neoplasm of genital organs
 D07.5 Carcinoma in situ of prostate

When services not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure and diagnosis codes listed above when criteria are not met and for the following procedure

CPT

53850 Transurethral destruction of prostate tissue; by microwave thermotherapy
 53852 Transurethral destruction of prostate tissue; by radiofrequency thermotherapy

ICD-10 Procedure

For the following codes when specified as radiofrequency or microwave thermotherapy:
 0V500ZZ-0V504ZZ Destruction of prostate [by approach; includes codes 0V500ZZ, 0V503ZZ, 0V504ZZ]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

C61 Malignant neoplasm of prostate
C79.82 Secondary malignant neoplasm of genital organs
D07.5 Carcinoma in situ of prostate

Renal:

When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

CPT

50250 Ablation, open, 1 or more renal mass lesion(s), cryosurgical, including intraoperative ultrasound guidance and monitoring, if performed
 50542 Laparoscopy, surgical; ablation of renal mass lesion(s), including intraoperative ultrasound guidance and monitoring, when performed [when specified as cryosurgical or radiofrequency ablation]
 50592 Ablation, 1 or more renal tumor(s), percutaneous, unilateral, radiofrequency

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

50593 Ablation, renal tumor(s), unilateral, percutaneous, cryotherapy

ICD-10 Procedure

For the following codes **when specified as cryosurgical or radiofrequency ablation:**

0T500ZZ-0T514ZZ Destruction of kidney [left or right, by approach; includes codes 0T500ZZ, 0T503ZZ, 0T504ZZ, 0T510ZZ, 0T513ZZ, 0T514ZZ]

0T530ZZ-0T544ZZ Destruction of kidney pelvis [left or right, by approach; includes codes 0T530ZZ, 0T533ZZ, 0T534ZZ, 0T540ZZ, 0T543ZZ, 0T544ZZ]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

For the procedure codes listed above when criteria are not met.

Other tumors:

When services are Not Medically Necessary:

CPT

19105 Ablation, cryosurgical, of fibroadenoma, including ultrasound guidance, each fibroadenoma

19499 Unlisted procedure, breast [when specified as radiofrequency or microwave ablation or laser ablation of breast tumor(s)]

48999 Unlisted procedure, pancreas [when specified as cryosurgical, radiofrequency, microwave or laser ablation of pancreas tumor(s)]

60699 Unlisted procedure, endocrine system [when specified as cryosurgical or radiofrequency or microwave ablation of thyroid or adrenal tumor(s)]

61736 Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) of lesion, intracranial, including burr hole(s), with magnetic resonance imaging guidance, when performed; single trajectory for 1 simple lesion

61737 Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) of lesion, intracranial, including burr hole(s), with magnetic resonance imaging guidance, when performed; multiple trajectories for multiple or complex lesions

0581T Ablation, malignant breast tumor(s), percutaneous, cryotherapy, including imaging guidance when performed, unilateral

0673T Ablation, benign thyroid nodule(s), percutaneous, laser, including imaging guidance

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

ICD-10 Procedure

- 0H5T0ZZ-0H5V3ZZ Destruction of breast [right or left or bilateral, by approach; includes codes 0H5T0ZZ, 0H5T3ZZ, 0H5U0ZZ, 0H5U3ZZ, 0H5V0ZZ, 0H5V3ZZ] [when specified as cryosurgical, radiofrequency, microwave or laser ablation]
- 0G5G0Z3-0G5H4Z3 Destruction of thyroid gland lobe using laser interstitial thermal therapy [left or right lobe, by approach; includes codes 0G5G0Z3, 0G5G3Z3, 0G5G4Z3, 0G5H0Z3, 0G5H3Z3, 0G5H4Z3]
- 0G5K0Z3-0G5K4Z3 Destruction of thyroid gland using laser interstitial thermal therapy [by approach; includes codes 0G5K0Z3, 0G5K3Z3, 0G5K4Z3]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

All diagnoses

When services are also Not Medically Necessary:

CPT

- 61736 Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) of lesion, intracranial, including burr hole(s), with magnetic resonance imaging guidance, when performed; single trajectory for 1 simple lesion
- 61737 Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) of lesion, intracranial, including burr hole(s), with magnetic resonance imaging guidance, when performed; multiple trajectories for multiple or complex lesions

ICD-10-Procedure

- 00500Z3-00504Z3 Destruction of brain using laser interstitial thermal therapy [by approach, includes 00500Z3, 00503Z3, 00504Z3]

ICD-10 Diagnosis

- C70.0 Malignant neoplasm of cerebral meninges
- C71.0-C71.9 Malignant neoplasm of brain
- C79.31-C79.32 Secondary malignant neoplasm of brain and cerebral meninges
- D32.0 Benign neoplasm of cerebral meninges
- D33.0-D33.2 Benign neoplasm of brain

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver**Discussion/General Information****Background/Overview**

Cryosurgery, also called cryotherapy or cryoablation, uses extreme cold to destroy abnormal tissue. When cryosurgery is used, a coolant such as liquid nitrogen or argon gas is circulated through a cryoprobe that is placed in contact with the tumor. Imaging guidance is typically used to guide placement of the cryoprobe into the tumor ~~location~~ and to monitor therapy. During cryosurgery, a ball of ice crystals forms around the probe within the tumor, killing surrounding tissue. Treatment is monitored to limit the amount of damage to nearby healthy tissue. The probe is removed after treatment and the frozen tissue thaws. The dead tissue is then naturally absorbed by the body. Treatment may involve the use of more than one probe within a tumor.

Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) can also be used to treat inoperable tumors or to treat ~~those individuals~~ ineligible for surgery due to age or comorbidities. Goals of RFA may include control of local tumor growth, prevention of recurrence, palliation of symptoms, and extending survival. The procedure kills cells with heat generated by rapidly alternating current delivered through probes inserted into the tumor. The effective volume of RFA depends on the frequency and duration of applied current, local tissue characteristics, and probe configuration (for example, single versus multiple tips). The overall effectiveness of RFA can be affected by perfusion mediated tissue cooling caused by an adjacent blood flow (heat sink effect) and by target tissue heterogeneity such as calcifications, fibrosis, or the ~~amount of fluid~~ amounts in the area (Orloff, 2022). RFA can be performed as an open surgical procedure, laparoscopically, or percutaneously with ultrasound or computed tomography (CT) guidance.

In laser ablation, the probe is inserted into the target tissue. Once it is triggered, light energy delivers thermal energy and causes protein denaturation, melting of membrane lipids, vessel sclerosis, and coagulation necrosis (Mirza, 2020). The amount of tissue destroyed is monitored using real-time MR thermometry. The procedure results in 3 zones: an inner zone of coagulation necrosis, a middle zone which contains non-viable tissue which has increased interstitial fluid and an outer zone which consists of edematous, viable tissue (Mirza, 2020).

Cryosurgery, RFA and laser may offer advantages over other methods of cancer treatment. They may be an option for treating cancers that are otherwise inoperable, do not respond to standard treatments, or for individuals who are not good candidates for conventional surgery because of their age or other medical conditions. They can be less invasive than surgery, involving only a small incision to insert the probe through the skin. Destruction of nearby healthy tissue is minimized. Consequently, complications of surgery such as pain and bleeding may be minimized. These procedures may require a shorter recovery time and a shorter hospital stay, or no hospital stay at all. They

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

can sometimes be done using only local anesthesia. In addition, these treatments may often be safely repeated. They are often used as adjuncts to surgery, chemotherapy, hormone therapy, or radiation.

Cryosurgery and RFA can result in adverse effects; however, these may be less severe than those associated with conventional surgery or radiation therapy. Adverse effects depend on the location of the tumor but may include bleeding, damage to tissues adjacent to the tumor, and structural damage along the route of access to the tumor. Incontinence or urinary retention can occur following treatment for prostate cancer. Post-operative infection can occur. Secondary tumors can occur if tumor cells are seeded along the access tract when the probe is removed. In rare cases, cryosurgery may interact adversely with certain types of chemotherapy.

Prostate Cancer

Treatment options for prostate cancer include watchful waiting, surgical prostatectomy, various forms of radiation therapy and cryosurgery. The goal of prostate cryoablation ~~is~~ the destruction of the entire gland or the focal destruction of targeted lesions.

Whole gland cryosurgical ablation for treatment of prostate cancer is considered a safe and effective treatment for prostate cancer. Several small observational trials have shown complication rates similar ~~complication rates~~ to external beam radiation therapy (EBRT) or brachytherapy in terms of erectile dysfunction, obstruction, incontinence, and urethral stricture (Abufaraj, 2021; Valle, 2020). Post-operative biopsy results and recurrence rates are also similar to EBRT. Cryotherapy has provided excellent cancer control when used to treat individuals with radiation-resistant/recurrent prostate cancer and in individuals with localized prostate cancer (Tan, 2022; Tan, 2023b).

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guideline® (CPG) for Prostate Cancer (V1V2.2023) recommends cryosurgery as a minimally invasive local option for individuals with prostate cancer. The guideline also notes that cryosurgery may be used as salvage therapy after failed radiation.

~~Cryosurgical ablation continues to be studied in combination with other treatments for prostate cancer. However, the current body of evidence supports that whole gland cryotherapy is a recognized and established treatment of prostate cancer.~~

Focal cryoablation for prostate cancer is an emerging technique proposed as a technique to target the tumor while avoiding damage to surrounding healthy tissue. This targeted approach is thought to minimize common side effects such as urinary continence, and sexual dysfunction, and bowel-related side effects. Success of

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

the focal therapy is based on the selection and destruction of clinically significant prostate cancer tissue. -Initial results are promising, with early oncologic outcomes similar to whole gland cryoablation and complications improved over radical therapies. However, there is a lack of evidence regarding further study is need to understand the long-term outcomes to fully evaluate of focal therapy cryoablation as a treatment for prostate cancer (Aker, 2023; Chin, 2022; Marra, 2022; Shah, 2019; Ward, 2012).

Cryosurgical ablation continues to be studied in combination with other treatments for prostate cancer. However, the current body of evidence supports that whole gland cryotherapy is a recognized and established treatment of prostate cancer.

Renal Cell Carcinoma and Other Renal Tumors

In 2023, approximately 81,800 new cases of kidney cancer will be diagnosed and 14,890 deaths will occur from the disease will occur (American Cancer Society, 2023). Localized renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is usually treated by radical nephrectomy or nephron-sparing surgery. Surgical excision of small renal masses remains the standard of care with 5-year survival approaching 97%. The treatment goal of RCC includes the complete resection or ablation of the lesion with a minimal decrease in renal function (Yanagisawa, 2022). For those individuals with limited disease, ablative techniques provide an alternative tissue-sparing treatment.

Yanagisawa and colleagues (2022) published a systematic review and meta-analysis comparing clinical outcomes of individuals with cT1a and cT1b renal tumors who underwent partial nephrectomy (PN) or ablative therapy (radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation or microwave ablation). A total of 27 studies were included in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis showed no difference in the overall complication rate or the severe complication rate between PN and ablative therapy in either tumor group. The analysis found a higher combined recurrence rate for individuals with cT1a and cT1b renal tumors who underwent ablative therapy compared to PN. However, individuals with cT1a tumors who underwent ablative therapy did not have a significant difference in the recurrence rate when compared to PN. The recurrence rate in the cT1b group remained elevated compared to PN regardless of the ablative therapy approach. The authors concluded that ablative therapy was an acceptable alternative to PN in cT1 renal tumors, particularly when the percutaneous approach was used.

In a multicenter retrospective review outcomes from a national database using a 1:1 propensity-score-matched analysis, Cazalas and associates (2023) evaluated perioperative and recurrence outcomes of T1b (4.1-7.0 cm) renal cell cancer tumor treatment. The outcomes of individuals who had undergone percutaneous thermal ablation (cryoablation, RFA or microwave ablation) were compared to the outcomes of individuals who were treated with

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

robotic-assisted partial nephrectomy (n=75 in each group). The local recurrence rate was significantly higher in the thermal ablation group compared to the surgical group (14.6% vs. 4%, p=0.02). There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of metastases, eGFR decrease, and length of hospitalization stay. Type of treatment was the only predictive factor identified. An individual in the thermal ablation group who underwent a second thermal ablation session was later diagnosed with inoperable tumor seeding along the cryo-needle tract which required systemic treatment. Additional studies would be needed to further evaluate if whether thermal ablation has a role in the treatment of renal tumors greater than 4 cm.

A larger nonrandomized comparative study investigating the-outcomes between-for laparoscopic (n=275) and percutaneous (n=137) cryoablation of single renal masses was published by Zargar in 2015. The overall and major complication rates were similar (7.27% versus 7.29% and 0.7% versus 3.6%, respectively). The median follow-up time for the laparoscopic group was significantly longer than the percutaneous group (mean 4.41 years versus 3.15 years). Estimated probabilities of 5-year OS for laparoscopic and percutaneous cryoablation were 89% and 82%, respectively. The estimated probabilities iesy of the-5-year recurrence-free survival (RFS) was-were 79% and 80%, respectively. There was no significant difference in OS or RFS at 5 years between the two groups. Heart disease and history of disease recurrence were predictors of death. Tumor size and anterior location affected local recurrence rates. The authors recommended that these factors be considered when choosing treatment plans.

In 2016, Yin and colleagues reported the results of a meta-analysis comparing data for radiofrequency ablation used to treat small renal tumors to data for partial nephrectomy (PN). Twelve retrospective studies met the selection criterion. The pooled results indicated that the local recurrence rate (4.14% versus 4.10%) and distant metastases rate (2.76% versus 1.89%) were not significantly different. RFA was reported to be associated with a significantly shorter length of stay and a non-significant lower eGFR decline after treatment. No significant differences were noted between groups for the perioperative complication rate (7.5% versus 6.2%) or the major complication rate (3.7% versus 4.4%). The authors concluded that RFA achieved an equal oncological outcome for small renal tumors compared to partial nephrectomy.

The NCCN CPG for Kidney Cancer (V14.20243) includes-recognizes surgical resection as an effective therapy for clinically localized RCC. Recommended options include radical nephrectomy and nephron-sparing surgery. Individuals with stage I through III tumors who are in satisfactory medical condition are recommended to undergo surgical excision. Active surveillance or ablative techniques, such as cryoablation or radiofrequency ablation are options for individuals with stage 1 (T1a) renal disease. Higher because higher rates of local recurrence or persistent disease is reported in individuals with masses greater than 3 cm who undergo ablative therapy.

A 2021 American Urological Association (AUA) guideline addressing renal masses and localized renal cancer noted that while radical nephrectomy is associated with excellent cancer-specific survival, nephron-sparing

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

techniques such as partial nephrectomy or thermal ablation can be recommended. Thermal ablation is a means of preserving function while increasing procedure tolerance and reducing the potential complications associated with partial nephrectomy. The AUA addresses specific thermal ablation techniques noting:

A multitude of techniques/technologies have been investigated to ablate renal tumors, however radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and cryoablation have been most widely investigated and integrated into clinical practice. While the superiority of RFA or cryoablation remains controversial, it is generally accepted that oncologic outcomes are similar for both approaches.

The AUA makes the following recommendations regarding thermal ablation:

Both radiofrequency ablation and cryoablation are options for patients who elect thermal ablation. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Physicians should consider thermal ablation (TA) as an alternate approach for the management of cT1a renal masses <3 cm in size. For patients who elect TA, a percutaneous technique is preferred over a surgical approach whenever feasible to minimize morbidity. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

In 2020, the Society of Interventional Radiology published a position statement on the role of percutaneous ablation in RCC, focusing on small renal masses and oligometastatic disease. For individuals with small renal tumors (stage T1a) percutaneous is recommended as a safe and effective treatment which has acceptable long-term oncological and survival outcomes and fewer complications than nephrectomy. For those with suspected stage T1a RCC, percutaneous thermal ablation is recommended over active surveillance. (Level of Evidence: C; Strength of Recommendation: Moderate). The position statement also recommends that percutaneous thermal ablation may be offered to those with T1b RCC who are not surgical candidates or in those with oligometastatic RCC with surgically resectable primary RCC who are not candidates for metastasectomy (Level of Evidence D; Strength of Recommendation: Weak).

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) (Finelli, 2017) reviewed 83 studies to develop recommendations for the management of individuals with small renal masses (SRMs; renal tumors ≤ 4 cm). ASCO notes that partial nephrectomy is considered the standard treatment for small renal masses, but also includes the following recommendation:

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Recommendation 3.2: Percutaneous thermal ablation should be considered an option for patients who possess tumors such that complete ablation will be achieved. A biopsy should be obtained before or at the time of ablation (type: evidence based; evidence quality: intermediate; strength of recommendation: moderate).

ASCO authors note that the quality of evidence is limited by its observational and retrospective nature, but thermal ablation appears to lead to improved perioperative outcomes and preserved renal function compared to surgical options. Thermal ablation should be reserved for carefully selected and counseled individuals in order to maximize clinical outcomes. ASCO summarizes by noting:

The historical notion that ablation should be limited to unfit and vulnerable patients with SRMs who are rejected for surgical intervention should be discouraged because, as described above, those patients may be better served with active surveillance.

The available medical literature indicates that cryoablation and RFA are safe and effective for managing small, undefined peripheral renal masses (less than 4 cm). Ablative techniques are associated with better renal function preservation and a lower complication rate than surgical techniques. Ablative techniques are also associated with a higher recurrence rate and lower efficacy in larger tumors which that might require multiple treatments, although some studies have not reported a similar recurrence rate in smaller renal tumors (Breen, 2013; Buy, 2013; Emara, 2014; Haramis, 2012; Panumatrassamee, 2013; Sung, 2012; Tanagho, 2013; Yanagisawa, 2022). Published studies demonstrate similar outcomes in cryosurgery and RFA therapies (Atwell, 2013; Caputo, 2016; El Dib, 2012). The risks and benefits of thermal ablation in renal tumors 4 cm or smaller need to be considered based upon individual circumstances.

Bone Cancer and Bone Metastases

After lung and liver, bone is the third most common metastatic site. Bone metastases are relatively frequent among individuals with primary malignancies of the breast, prostate, and lung. Approximately 60-84% of metastatic cancer has osseous involvement (Mehta, 2020). Cancer-related bone pain is thought to be related to “tumor- and osteoclast-related changes to the osseous metastatic microenvironment resulting in a variety of neuropathic effects” (Mehta, 2020). These metastases often cause osteolysis resulting in pain, fractures, decreased mobility, and reduced quality of life. External beam irradiation is often the initial palliative therapy used for osteolytic bone metastases. However, bone metastases pain is refractory to radiation therapy in 20 to 30% of individuals. Recurrent pain at previously irradiated sites may be ineligible for additional radiation due to risks of normal tissue damage. Alternatives include hormonal therapy, radiopharmaceuticals such as strontium-89, and bisphosphonates. Less often, surgery or chemotherapy may be used for palliation. Intractable pain may require opioid medications. RFA

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

has been investigated as an alternative to the previously referenced therapies for palliating pain from bone metastases. RFA is thought to provide relief by the following mechanism:

RF ablation is able to directly affect osteoclast- and tumor cell-mediated sensory fiber activation by inhibition of osteoclast activity, reduction in overall tumor volume, and destruction of tumor cells producing nerve-stimulating cytokines as well as inhibiting transmission of painful signals by destruction of sensory nerve fibers in the bone (Mehta, 2020).

Callstrom and colleagues (2012) reported results from a prospective case series of 61 subjects who underwent percutaneous cryoablation to treat painful bone metastases. The primary endpoints were worst pain and average pain scores on a visual analog scale. Participants completed questionnaires prior to therapy, a day after cryoablation, and thereafter via telephone interview on day 4 and then every 2 weeks for up to 6 months. During the 24-week follow-up period, 45 participants (74%) dropped out of the study. There was no significant change in the worst-pain score from baseline (7.1/10) to the interview at day 1 (7.0/10). The worst pain dropped significantly at week 1 to 5.1/10 ($p < 0.0001$). Out of the 35 participants who were followed for a minimum of 8 weeks, 5 participants (14%) had recurrent worst pain that was equal to or greater than the baseline pain level prior to cryoablation. Of note, study participants were a subset of individuals included in multiple radiation treatment trials.

In a small case series, Meftah (2013) evaluated the outcomes of curettage and cryosurgery of low-grade chondrosarcoma of the bone in 42 subjects comparing a cryoprobe to a modified Marcove pour technique. There were no differences between the cryoprobe and Marcove techniques with respect to the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society score, fracture, or local recurrence rate. A significant correlation between tumor recurrence and soft-tissue extension was found ($r = 0.79$). Kaplan-Meier survivorship with freedom from recurrence as the endpoint was 90.7%.

Mehta and associates (2020) performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy, durability and response time of RFA for pain relief from osseous metastases. The analysis included 14 studies comprised of 426 individuals. A majority of the studies were limited to those with cancer-related bone pain unresponsive to other pain control treatments. At the post-procedure median follow-up of 24 weeks, the median pain reduction from baseline was 67% (range, 17%–90%). At 1-week post-procedure, individuals reported an average 44% reduction in baseline pain.

The NCCN CPG for cancer pain (V1.2023) notes that RFA may be used to reduce pain and prevent skeletal-related events. The guidelines do not include cryoablation therapy as a technique to treat painful bone metastases or skeletal-related events. While cryotherapy does not appear to result in lower levels of pain, RFA does appear to provide palliation in individuals with unretractable painful bone metastases.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Breast Cancer

Early-stage primary breast tumors are typically treated surgically. The selection of lumpectomy, modified radical mastectomy, or another approach balances the individual's desire for breast conservation, the need for tumor-free margins in resected tissue, and age, hormone receptor status, and other factors. Adjuvant radiation therapy decreases local recurrences, particularly for those who select lumpectomy. Adjuvant hormonal therapy and/or chemotherapy are added, depending on presence and number of involved nodes, hormone receptor status, and other factors.

Studies on minimally invasive techniques to treat breast cancer published before 2010 consist of small uncontrolled observational reports or reviews. These papers do not demonstrate that these techniques provide health benefits comparable to other established treatments (Izzo, 2001; Pfleiderer, 2002; Hayashi, 2003; Singletary, 2003; Fornage, 2004; Oura, 2007; Littrup, 2009; Zhao, 2010). More recent studies continue to consist of small populations with limited follow-up (García-Tejedor, 2018; Klimberg, 2014).

In a 2021 meta-analysis, van de Voort and colleagues examine whether thermal ablation is an effective method to treat early-stage breast cancer (tumors 2 cm or smaller). A total of 37 studies and 1266 participants were included in the analysis. The overall complete ablation rate was 86%. While these rates were similar to re-excision rates following breast-conserving surgery, thermal ablation does not allow evaluation of complete ablation when no subsequent resection is performed. The authors note that a method to confirm complete ablation needs to be sufficiently sensitive to maintain the current low local recurrence rates which are associated with breast-conserving surgery in this population. While the results show promise, the studies were largely noncomparative and small with great heterogeneity. The authors note "the results of this review should not lead to firm conclusions, but rather serve as a basis for larger phase 2 and 3 clinical trials".

The NCCN Breast Cancer CPG (V4.2023) lists a variety of breast cancer treatment modalities ~~breast cancer~~, including surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, biologic therapy. These ~~modalities-treatments~~ are typically used in combination with each other. The choice of modality is based upon prognostic and predictive factors. The guidelines do not include cryoablation or RFA as ~~a modality to treat~~ recommended treatments for breast cancer.

The American Society of Breast Surgeons (ASBS, 2018) has provided recommendations for RFA and cryoablation of malignant tumors of the breast. Specifically, they state:

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Percutaneous and/or transcutaneous treatments of malignant tumors of the breast are not specifically approved by the FDA, though some ablative technologies are approved for treatment of benign and malignant soft tissue tumors. Therefore, ablative and percutaneous excisional treatments for breast cancer are considered investigational and should not be performed outside the realm of a clinical trial.

Breast Fibroadenomas

Fibroadenomas of the breast are a common benign tumors, which that may be palpated or discovered by imaging techniques. Fibroadenomas are often observed or may be surgically excised if causing concern or discomfort. Cryosurgery has been proposed as a surgical alternative.

The American Society of Breast Surgeons (ASBS, 2018) recommendations for cryoablation or percutaneous excision of fibroadenoma state that the lesion should be sonographically visible, and histologically confirmed to be a fibroadenoma. The diagnosis of fibroadenoma must be concordant with the imaging findings, patient history, and physical exam, and the lesions should less than 4 cm in size.

The use of cryosurgery as a treatment for breast fibroadenomas has been reported in small studies (Edwards, 2004; Golatta, 2014; Kaufman, 2002; Kaufman, 2005; Nurko, 2005). Although technical feasibility appears promising, cryoablation has not been conclusively shown to produce health benefits comparable to alternative treatment options.

Pancreatic Cancer

The use of RFA to treat locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma was reported by Giardino and others (2012). This retrospective case series study involved 107 consecutive subjects who were followed for a minimum of 18 months following after RFA treatment. Subjects were stratified by whether they received RFA as a first-line treatment (n=47) or as a second-line treatment (n=60). The overall postoperative mortality rate was 1.8%. The overall morbidity rate was 28.0%, of which the abdominal complication rate was 26.1%. Among these, 17.7% were considered RFA-related complications caused by thermal injuries. A temperature > 90°C applied to the tumor was found to be the only independent factor related to complications. The authors reported that the median OS for all subjects was 25.6 months, and 14.7 months for the first-line group and 25.6 months for the second-line group. Subjects who received the multimodal treatment had an OS of 34.0 months.

Two additional case series reported on the use of RFA for pancreatic cancers. Cantore (2012) reported RFA treatment of advanced pancreatic carcinoma in 107 subjects. Subjects received either RFA as a primary treatment (n=47) or following another primary therapy (n=60). Median OS was reported to be 25.6 months. Median OS was

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

significantly shorter in the primary RFA treatment group than in the secondary RFA treatment group (14.7 months versus 25.6 months). Subjects who were treated with RFA, radiochemotherapy, and intra-arterial plus systemic chemotherapy (triple-approach strategy) had a median OS of 34.0 months. The authors concluded that RFA after alternative primary treatment was associated with prolonged survival.

Girelli (2013) reported on 100 consecutive subjects with Stage III pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma who received RFA combined with chemoradiotherapy. RFA treatment was initially given to 48 subjects; 52 subjects had associated palliative surgery. Abdominal complications occurred in 24 subjects, which were RFA related in 15 cases. The reported mortality rate was 3%. At a median follow-up of 12 months, 55 subjects had died of the disease and 4 had died due to unknown causes. Another 19 subjects were alive with disease progression, and 22 were alive and progression-free.

The NCCN Pancreatic Cancer CPG (V2.2023³²) recommends surgery for resectable disease. All stages of pancreatic cancer treatment recommend systemic therapy. The NCCN CPG does not include cryosurgery, RFA or laser ablation as a recommended therapeutic modality to treat pancreatic cancer.

Pulmonary Tumors

Surgical resection is standard initial treatment and is the preferred local treatment for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Lencioni, 2008; Macchi, 2017; NCCN, V3.2023). Surgical resection is an option in approximately 20% of cases (Macchi, 2017). For individuals with NSCLC who are not surgical candidates or candidates for surgery or radiotherapy, image-guided thermal ablation therapy (IGTA) is an option (NSCLC; V3.2023). IGTA includes including cryotherapy, microwave and radiofrequency therapies. IGTA is recommended for individuals with lesions less than 3 cm in size. Larger lesions are associated with higher local recurrence and complications rates of local recurrence and a higher rate of complications. The choice of energy modality is based upon a number of several factors including but not limited to the size and location of the target tumor, availability of equipment, and operator expertise. When tumors have metastasized to the lungs from other regions, ablative techniques can be considered when the tumors are unresectable and amenable to complete ablation (NCCN Colon Cancer, V2.2023).

Cryoablation

Surgical resection is standard initial treatment and is the preferred local treatment for early stage, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Lencioni, 2008; NCCN, V2.2023). The choice of surgical procedure depends on the extent of the disease, presence of comorbid conditions, and the individual's cardiopulmonary reserves.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

~~Cryosurgical ablation for the treatment of NSCLC has been studied in a limited number of small studies.~~ Moore and associates (2015) reported on a case series study involving 47 subjects with NSCLC followed for a minimum of 5 years. The 5-year survival rate was 67.8% ± 15.3, the cancer-specific survival rate at 5 years was 56.6% ± 16.5, and the 5-year progression-free survival rate was 87.9%. The combined local and regional recurrence rate was 36.2%. Major complications were reported in 6.4% of subjects, with two cases of hemoptysis and a prolonged placement of a chest tube requiring mechanical sclerosis in 1 subject. No deaths occurred in the first 30 days after treatment. ~~These results are promising, but results from a large, controlled, comparative trials are needed to compare the risks and benefits of cryoablation for NSCLC compared to surgical resection.~~

~~The use of cryoablation has also been studied to treat metastatic disease to the lungs.~~ In 2020, Callstrom and associates reported on a prospective, single arm phase 2 study evaluating the safety and local recurrence-free survival of individuals with pulmonary metastases who were treated with cryoablation (n=128). The majority of participants had primary colon cancer (49%, 63/128) or renal cell cancer (12%, 16/128). Individuals could participate with up to 6 tumors and a maximum 3.5 cm size of the targeted index tumor. The majority of participants had 3 or fewer treated tumors (91%, 117/128). Follow-up was performed during the first week post-procedure then at 1, 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24 months. At 12 months follow-up, 89% (114/128) individuals and 90% (202/224) tumors were evaluated. The initial local tumor efficacy was 85.1% (172/202). After initial treatment, a complete response was observed in 14% (16/114) of individuals, 9% (10/114) showed a partial response, 55% (63/114) showed stable disease, and 22% (25/114) showed local treatment failure. A subset of individuals with local treatment failure (11/25) were retreated with cryoablation and reassessed 12 months later. The secondary local tumor efficacy was 91.1% (184/202). At 24 months follow-up on 77.3% (99/128) of the individuals and 80.3% (180/224) of tumors in the group, the initial local tumor efficacy was achieved in 77.2% (139/180; 95% CI: 70.4–83.1). Following retreatment of 3 individuals, the secondary local tumor efficacy was 84.4% (152/180). There were 4.7% (8/169) grade 3 complication events and 0.6% (1/169) grade 4 events. Approximately 26% (44/169) of procedures were associated with pneumothorax that required pleural catheter placement. ~~The single arm study design does not permit conclusions to be drawn about the relative effectiveness of cryoablation compared to more established treatments.~~

In 2015, de Baere and colleagues evaluated cryoablation for the treatment of metastatic lung tumors in a prospective case series study involving 40 subjects with 60 treated metastatic lung tumors from a variety of primary origins (ECLIPSE). The most common origin was colorectal cancer (40%). Follow-up to 12 months was reported for 35 subjects (90%). At 12 months, overall local tumor control was seen in 49 of 52 metastases (94.2%) and 32 of 35 subjects (91.4%). Tumor diameter was not found to be a significant factor in the rate of tumor progression (p=0.41). Additional new treatments were administered to 15 of the 40 subjects (38%). These included systemic treatment (chemotherapy: n=7 and immunotherapy: n=1) and other focal therapies for new metastatic disease

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

(n=10), including six cryoablation procedures. One-year disease-specific survival and OS rates were 100% and 97.5% respectively. Pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement occurred in 9 of the 48 procedures (18.8%). Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 3 adverse events (AEs) within 30 days of the procedure occurred in 3 of 48 (6%) procedures including a delayed pneumothorax requiring pleurodesis, a thrombosis of a pre-existing hemodialysis access arterio-venous fistula requiring thrombectomy, and a non-cardiac chest pain which spontaneously resolved. No grade 4 or 5 procedure-related ~~adverse events (AEs)~~ occurred. No procedural-related delayed AEs were observed. ~~The design of this study does not allow for conclusions to be drawn about the effectiveness.~~

In 2021, de Baere and colleagues reported on the 5-year outcomes of the ECLIPSE study. At 3 years, the reported local tumor control rate per index tumor was 87.9% (29/33). At 5 years, the reported local tumor control rate per index tumor was 79.2% (19/24). At 5 years, 50% of participants (20/40) had died, with 15 cases attributed to documented lung disease progression within the lung. The OS rate at 3 years was 63.2% and 46.7% at 5 years. ~~This phase 1 study is associated with several limitations including a small cohort, no direct comparison group and a lack of detail regarding post cryoablation systemic therapies. The authors note that the lack of information regarding systemic therapies limits conclusions regarding the benefits which can be attributed to cryoablation compared to systemic therapies.~~

~~The American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) stated in their 2012 consensus statement on the treatment of stage 1 NSCLC that cryoablation has limited efficacy compared to lobectomy (Donington, 2012). The NCCN NSCLC CPG (V2.2023) discuss thermal ablation as an option for select individuals who do not receive radiotherapy or surgery. In 2022, the NCCN CPG for colon cancer (V.1.2023) added a notation that while resection is preferred over locally ablative procedures, local techniques can be considered for lung oligometastases when all sites are amenable to resection or ablation.~~

Radiofrequency Ablation

Safi and colleagues (2015) conducted a retrospective nonrandomized controlled study of 116 subjects with histologically proven clinical stage I NSCLC who were treated with sublobar resection (SLR; n=42), RFA (n=25), or radiotherapy (RT; n=49). The SLR subjects were younger and exhibited better performance status, and the RT subjects had larger tumors. After adjusting for age and tumor size, there were differences between the treatments in terms of the primary recurrence rate, but no differences were observed in OS or disease-free survival. The hazard ratio (HR) for primary recurrence comparing SLR versus RT adjusted for age and tumor size was 2.73 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.72-10.27) and for SLR versus RFA was 7.57 (95% CI, 1.94-29.47). The authors

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

concluded that SLR was associated with a higher primary tumor control rate compared to RFA or RT, although the OS rates were not different.

Another retrospective nonrandomized controlled trial was reported by Ochiai in 2015. This study involved 48 subjects with a single, NSCLC lung tumor treated with RFA versus 47 treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT). The mean maximum tumor diameter was 2.0 cm (range 0.6-3.9 cm) in the RFA group, and 2.1 cm (range 0.8-4.7 cm) in the SBRT group. The RFA and SBRT groups showed similar 3-year local tumor progression (9.6%, versus 7.0%) and OS rates (86.4% versus 79.6%). No factor significantly affected local tumor progression. A maximum tumor size of 2 cm was identified as a prognostic factor in both univariate and multivariate analyses. There were no treatment related deaths reported. The rate of Grade 3 AEs was 10.4% (5/48) for the RFA group and 8.5% (4/47) for the SBRT group. The authors concluded that for individuals with lung tumors, lung RFA provided local tumor control and survival that were similar to those achieved using SBRT, with equal safety.

Matsui (2015) reported the results of a retrospective case series of 84 subjects with 172 colorectal lung metastases who underwent RFA. Participants included individuals without (n=71) and with (n=13) viable extrapulmonary recurrences at the time of ablation. During a median follow-up of 37.5 months, 36 subjects (42.9%) died. The estimated OS rates were 95.2%, 65.0%, and 51.6% at 1, 3, and 5 years, respectively. Median OS time was 67.0 months. Multivariate analysis revealed that a carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level of at least 5 ng/mL before RFA and the presence of viable extrapulmonary recurrences at the time of RFA were independent negative prognostic factors. The local tumor progression rate was 14.0% (24/172). Grade 3 AEs were observed after two sessions (1.8%), and no grade 4/5 AEs were observed. The paper concluded that RFA of colorectal lung metastases provided favorable long-term survival with a low incidence of severe AEs. Independent prognostic factors were a high CEA level before RFA and the presence of viable extrapulmonary recurrences at the time of RFA.

Li and associates (2021) published a retrospective analysis of individuals with stage IA NSCLC listed in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) registry. The OS and CSS were compared in individuals treated with SBRT (n=6004) or RFA (n=191) who had not undergone neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. The median OS for RFA was 36 months compared to 35 months for SBRT. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates were also similar (RFA: 83.3%, 48.5% and 29.1%; SBRT: 83.8%, 48.3% and 27.4%). The RFA median CSS was 62 months compared to SBRT median CSS of 58 months. While the RFA group reported better OS compared to the SBRT group, it was not statistically significant.

A retrospective study completed by Tselikas and colleagues (2021) compared the efficacy of tolerability of RFA and surgery for the treatment of oligometastatic lung disease. The surgical group (n=78) underwent a variety of procedures, including wedge resection (single and multiple), segmentectomy, lobectomy and thoracotomy. The

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

majority of those in the RFA group (n=126) had a single session. The local tumor progression rate in the surgery group compared to the RFA group at 1 year was 5.4% versus 14.8% and 2 years was 10.6% versus 18.6% respectively. A tumor size > 2 cm and number of tumors >3 were independently associated with increased local tumor progression. The pulmonary-progression-free rate for the surgical group compared to the RFA group at 1 year were 60.9% versus 58.1%, at 3 years 43.9% versus 34.7% respectively. The overall OS rate for the surgical group compared to the RFA group at 1 year were 94.8% versus 94.0%, at 3 years 67.2% versus 72% respectively. While the RFA group was older, had more comorbidities and more bilateral lung and extra-pulmonary metastases, there were no differences in OS.

Tetta and colleagues (2021) analyzed the median OS and local control of SBRT and RFA in the treatment of lung metastases from soft tissue sarcoma. A total of seven studies were selected for each modality, with approximately equal participants for RFA (n=206) and SBRT (n=218). The median gross tumor volume ranged from 3.0 cm³ to 5.0 cm³ in the SBRT group. The RFA group lesion size ranged from 3 mm to 70 mm. The SBRT group median OS was reported in four studies and ranged from 25.2 to 69 months. The RFA group median OS was also only reported in 4 studies varied from 19 to 62 months. The 2-year local control rate in the SBRT studies ranged from 84% to 96.2% compared to 85.6% to 94.5% in the RFA studies. Successful outcomes are associated with the following individual characteristics:

- 1) long DFI (>36 months) between the treatment of the primary tumor and the appearance of metastases; 2) oligometastatic disease (i.e. <3-5 metastases); 3) disease involving only the lung (or small number of extra-thoracic locations); 4) small size nodules (up to 2-3 cm of larger diameter); 5) lesions far away from large vessels.

~~Surgical resection is still standard of care when the individual is a candidate. For individuals who are not surgical candidates, RFA may be an option in high risk individuals with lesions less than 3 cm. Treatment in lesions greater than 3 cm may be associated with increased risk of local recurrence and complications.~~

Ablation can be considered when all sites are amenable to resection or ablation (NCCN, V34.20232). There appears to be no adverse impact on overall or recurrence-free survival in individuals with a history of previously treated extra-pulmonary lesions (Gillams, 2013; Petre, 2012). The presence of active extrapulmonary metastasis is an independent negative prognostic factor (Akhan, 2016; de Baère, 2015; Hiyoshi, 2019; Matsui, 2015; Tetta, 2021; Tselikas, 2021; Wang, 2015). Matsui and associates (2015) note that viable extrapulmonary disease represents systemic disease and RFA is considered a local treatment. The NCCN CPG for colon cancer (V24.2023) notes:

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Resection or ablation (either alone or in combination with resection) should be reserved for patients with metastatic disease that is entirely amenable to local therapy with adequate margins. Use of surgery, ablation, or the combination of both modalities, with the goal of less-than-complete eradication of all known sites of disease is not recommended other than in the scope of a clinical trial.

Microwave ablation

Compared to radiofrequency ablation, microwave ablation has several advantages over radiofrequency ablation, including the ability to generate higher ablation temperatures over a larger heating radius in a shorter time period. This is particularly important in the lung, which is a poor conductor of heat (Tan, 2023).

In 2023, Tan and colleagues conducted a systematic review evaluating the long-term efficacy of microwave ablation to treat pulmonary metastases from colorectal cancer in those who are not candidates for pulmonary metastatectomy. A total of 8 retrospective studies with a total of 230 participants were included. Post ablation survival was reported to be 89.2% at one year was 89.2% and 40.3% at 3 years. Disease free survival at 3 years was 43.2%. The authors noted that, while radiofrequency ablation has a favorable overall survival rate and safety profile compared to microwave ablation, the techniques are comparable in terms of local recurrence rate and disease-free survival.

In a 2017 randomized, controlled trial (RCT), Macchi and colleagues evaluated the effectiveness of radiofrequency ablation and microwave ablation in treating lung tumors. Individuals with stage IV disease who were not surgical candidates were randomized to receive microwave ablation (n=24) or radiofrequency ablation (n=28). The mean baseline tumor size prior to treatment was 1.90 ± 0.89 cm. At 12 months, post treatment, the microwave ablation group showed a significant decrease in mass compared to baseline. There were no significant differences in survival times between the groups. The microwave ablation group reported less intraprocedural pain.

A number of published studies compared the outcomes of cryotherapy, radiofrequency ablation and microwave ablation therapy used in the treatment of pulmonary tumors. Microwave ablation has performed similarly in terms of complication and local control and overall survival rates (Bourgouin, 2022; Jiang, 2018; Kurilova, 2018; Nguyenhuy, 2022; Yuan, 2019).

The 2021 Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) standards of practice on percutaneous ablation of NSCLC and metastatic disease of the lungs addresses IGTA as a treatment of early-stage NSCLC (stage I), recurrent lung cancer, and metastatic disease to the lungs. SIR recommendations regarding IGTA include:

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

1. In patients with stage IA NSCLC, image-guided thermal ablation is a safe and effective treatment with minimal complications and acceptable long-term oncological and survival outcomes that are comparable to SBRT and sublobar resection. (Level of Evidence, C; Strength of Recommendation, Moderate)
2. Image-guided thermal ablation is a safe and effective treatment option for patients with recurrent NSCLC. (Level of Evidence, C; Strength of Recommendation, Moderate)
3. Thermal ablation should be considered alongside surgical resection and SBRT in patients who require preservation of lung parenchyma function. (Level of Evidence, C; Strength of Recommendation, Moderate)
4. Image-guided thermal ablation of metastatic disease to the lungs may be appropriate in some patients, including those with a limited number of small (<3 cm) lung metastases. (Level of Evidence, C; Strength of Recommendation, Weak)
5. RFA, CA, and MWA are all appropriate modalities for image-guided thermal ablation of primary or secondary lung tumors. The method of ablation should be determined by lesion characteristics and risk mitigation and should be left to the discretion of the operating physician. (Level of Evidence, C; Strength of Recommendation, Weak).
7. Future research in the form of comparative studies (either randomized controlled trials or well-conducted cohort studies) is required to strengthen the evidence base for image-guided thermal ablation in patients with inoperable stage I NSCLC, recurrent NSCLC, and metastatic lung disease. (Level of Evidence, E; Strength of Recommendation, Moderate).

Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Studies of soft tissue sarcoma RFA treatment include a few small case series (Menendez, 1999; Nakamura, 2009; Palussière, 2011; Saumet, 2015; Tappero, 1991). These studies involved 16 to 29 subjects and provide little generalizable data. A retrospective, non-randomized, controlled study by Falk (2015) involved 281 subjects with oligometastases from sarcomas. Of these, 164 subjects were treated with local ablation therapy and 117 were not. Local therapy was defined as an ablative treatment used with the aim of removing all metastases via surgery, RFA, or radiotherapy. It is unclear how many subjects received RFA, but it was some number less than 35. The purpose was to assess the efficacy of local ablative treatment on the survival of patients with oligometastases from sarcomas. Subjects had one to five lesions at any metastatic site and any grade/histology. Median follow-up was 25.7 months, with 129 (45.9%) deaths observed by the end of the study. Median OS was 45.3 months for the local treatment group and 12.6 for the non-local group. Survival was better among subjects who received local treatment (HR, 0.47; $p < 0.001$). Subgroup analyses revealed similar findings in the subjects with single oligometastases (HR,

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

0.48; $p=0.007$). A significant benefit was observed for grade 3 tumors, and a trend was observed for grade 2 tumors. No survival or other data was provided for the subset of subjects who received RFA, allowing no opportunity to assess the benefit of this approach on its own.

The evidence addressing the use of cryoablation or RFA for the treatment of soft tissue sarcoma is very limited. While the NCCN CPG for soft tissue sarcoma (V21.2023) includes recommendations for RFA or cryoablation, they do not provide citations or a rationale to support this position. Available evidence has not shown the use of RFA or cryoablation for soft tissue sarcoma to be as good as or better than alternative treatment options.

Desmoid Tumor

Desmoid tumors, also known as aggressive fibromatoses, are comprised of well-circumscribed, locally invasive, differentiated fibrous tissue. These tumors rarely metastasize but can invade locally and cause functional morbidity. Although desmoid tumors do not have histopathologic features of true sarcomas, their invasiveness and tendency to recur are similar to the behavior of low-grade sarcomas (NCCN, V21.2023). The NCCN CPG for soft tissue sarcomas (V21.2023) consider surgery, systemic therapy, ablation or definitive radiotherapy as options to treat desmoid tumors but does not provide citations to support this recommendation. The discussion section of the guidelines does not discuss the use of ablative procedures for desmoid tumors.

In 2020, Vora and colleagues performed a systemic review and meta-analysis of evidence regarding the safety and efficacy of cryotherapy in the treatment of extra-abdominal desmoid tumors. The analysis included nine studies that involved 214 individuals and 234 desmoid tumors treated with 282 cryoablation procedures. The reported minor and major complication rates varied widely among the studies (4.8% - 23.3% and 2.4% - 14.2%; respectively). The progression-free survival was estimated to be 84.5% at 1 year and 78.0% at 3 years. The authors concluded that cryoablation is an appropriate treatment option based on the low complication rate and the durable short to medium term tumor response and symptom relief rate. There were multiple limitations in this meta-analysis including the non-randomized nature of the studies (eight retrospective studies and one phase 2 prospective study), lack of comparison to more established treatments, significant heterogeneity, lack of standardization in reporting outcomes and missing data within studies.

In a retrospective analysis, Mandel and associates (2022) compared treatment of extra-abdominal desmoid tumors with cryoablation ($n=22$) and surgery ($n=33$). The purpose of the study was to determine outcomes and prognostic factors in those with primary and recurrent desmoid tumors. The primary comparison endpoints were the local recurrence-free survival (LRFS) after the initial treatment, and disease control after one or more treatments. The median follow-up time was 16.3 months in the cryoablation group and 14.9 months in the surgical group. The

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

median LFRS was 26.6 months in the cryoablation group, the median LFRS was not reached in the surgical group. The 2-year LFRS was 59% (37-94%) in the cryoablation group and 71% (55-90%) in the surgical group. Median disease control was not reached in either group. A total of 2 individuals in the cryoablation group and 7 individuals in the surgical group had uncontrollable local recurrence during follow-up. Repeat cryoablation was performed in 7/22 individuals. There are a number of limitations associated with this retrospective study. The study was small and participant characteristics were limited, reducing the generalizability of the data. The participants did not represent consecutive individuals treated for desmoid tumors during that time. There were differences in therapeutic algorithms and in follow-up protocols within the institution during the study period. Studies with longer follow-up are needed to better evaluate durability.

Surgery was once considered the gold standard treatment of desmoid tumors. More recently, active observation and medical therapy have been used as first line therapy (Mandel, 2022). Data regarding the treatment of desmoid tumors is challenging based upon the unpredictable behavior of these tumors and the high rate of recurrence (Mandel, 2022). Further studies comparing cryoablation to other desmoid tumor treatments with long-term follow-up are needed.

Adrenal Neoplasms or Metastases

In 2018, Frenk published the results of a retrospective case series study of image-guided ablations of adrenal metastases measuring less than 5 cm. The study did not include matched controls. The study reported on 51 procedures performed on 46 tumors in 38 subjects. The tumors included renal cell carcinoma (n= 17), metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (n=10), and metastases from other primary malignancies (n=11). Cryoablation was done in 30 subjects, radiofrequency ablation in 12, and microwave ablation in 9. The mean follow-up was 37 months (range, 2-128 months). The authors reported technical success, primary efficacy, and secondary efficacy were 96%, 72%, and 76%. The local progression rate during all follow-up time was 25%. Local tumor progression-free survival at 1, 3, and 5 years was 82%, 69%, and 55%. OS at 1, 3, and 5 years was 82%, 44%, and 34%. In 16 subjects with isolated adrenal metastasis, median disease-free survival was 8 months, with 4 subjects had no evidence of disease during follow-up. The authors noted that lung cancer metastases were associated with decreased survival (HR, 4.41, p=0.002). While the results of this study are promising, the lack of controls and small number of subjects receiving RFA provide insufficient evidence to show that RFA for of adrenal metastases provides benefits similar to or better than other treatments.

Mendiratta and colleagues (2011) evaluated the use of RFA as a primary treatment for symptomatic primary functional adrenal neoplasms. The authors evaluated images and medical records from 13 consecutive individuals with symptomatic functional tumors smaller than 3.2 cm in diameter who underwent RFA over a 7-year period. All

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

participants demonstrated resolution of abnormal biochemical markers after ablation (mean biochemical follow-up, 21.2 months). In addition, all participants experienced resolution of clinical symptoms or syndromes, including hypertension and hypokalemia (in those with aldosteronoma), Cushing syndrome (in the participant with cortisol-secreting tumor), virilizing symptoms (in the participant with testosterone-secreting tumor), and hypertension (in the participant with pheochromocytoma). For those with aldosteronoma, improvements in hypertension management were noted. The study is limited by its retrospective observational design and its small size. Larger studies that include subjects with adenomas and carcinomas are needed further determine the value of RFA in the treatment of functional adrenal tumors.

Yang and colleagues (2016) retrospectively evaluated the safety and efficacy of RFA in 7 individuals with aldosterone-producing adenoma (APA) of the adrenal gland compared to 18 subjects with unilateral adrenal APA treated by laparoscopic adrenalectomy (LA). Tumors in both groups were all smaller than 25 mm in diameter. After 3-6 months of follow-up, complete tumor ablation on follow-up CT scan and normalization of serum aldosterone-to-renin ratio was seen in 100% of the RFA group compared to 94.4% in the LA group. The normalization of the aldosterone-to-renin ratio was statistically equivalent in the RFA and the LA groups. Compared to the LA group, the RFA group demonstrated significantly less post-operative pain (visual analog scale, 2.0 versus 4.22) and shorter operative time (105 min versus 194 min). The authors concluded that CT-guided percutaneous RFA is effective, safe and is a justifiable alternative for individuals who are reluctant or unfit for laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of APA. Larger, prospective, controlled trials are needed to confirm this finding.

In a retrospective study of 63 subjects with APA, Liu and colleagues (2016) evaluated the effectiveness of laparoscopic adrenalectomy (n=27) versus CT-guided percutaneous RFA (n=36). They reported that RFA was associated with significantly shorter duration of operation, shorter hospital stays, lower analgesic requirements, and earlier resumption of work. Morbidity rates were similar in the two groups after a median follow-up of 5-7 years (range 1.9-10.6 years). Resolution of primary aldosteronism was seen in 33 of 36 subjects treated with RFA and in all 27 subjects who had laparoscopic adrenalectomy. Hypertension was resolved less frequently after treatment with RFA compared with laparoscopic adrenalectomy; hypokalaemia was resolved in all subjects. The authors concluded that in this study, RFA was slightly inferior to LA as a treatment of APA.

Overall, the evidence addressing the use of radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation for the treatment of primary and metastatic adrenal tumors is insufficient to show that this approach is equivalent or superior to adrenalectomy. To date, the evidence consists of a limited number of small retrospective studies, only two of which were comparative trials. Additional data regarding these approaches are needed to establish safety and efficacy.

Osteoid Osteoma

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Osteomas are benign tumors of the bone typically seen in children and young adults. They cause inflammation, local effects on normal tissue from tumor expansion, and secondary effects and complications (for example, scoliosis or osteoarthritis). Complete removal of the osteoid bone, which forms the nidus of the tumor, must be done in order to provide symptomatic relief and decrease the chance of recurrence (Noordin, 2018). Open excision is the accepted treatment and is generally successful, with the success rate reported at 88-100% and a recurrence rate of 4.5-25% (Tanriverdi, 2020). However, it is associated with increased risk of fracture, recurrence of larger tumors, and incomplete resection of anatomically inaccessible tumors. RFA has been used as a minimally invasive alternative to the surgical excision. The rate of recurrence of RFA is approximately 5-12% (Tanriverdi, 2020).

The use of radiofrequency ablation (RFA) has been demonstrated in several case series to be an effective treatment of osteoid osteoma. In the largest case series, 126 individuals treated over an 11-year period received complete pain relief in 89% of participants (Rosenthal, 1998). In another study, Rimondi and colleagues (2005) were able to demonstrate an 85% primary success in 82 out of 97 participants. Secondary success was achieved in 15 individuals (15%). There were no treatment related complications. A smaller study by Martel (2005) reported a 97% primary success rate with RFA in 38 individuals. The secondary success rate was 100% in this study. Knudsen and colleagues (2015) reported the results of a case series study involving 52 subjects who underwent CT-guided RFA of osteoid osteomas in the extremities. The response rate after two treatments was 98%, with no major AEs.

Flanigan (2014) reported results of a case series of 28 individuals with osteoid osteoma treated with intraoperative RFA performed by one surgeon. Technical success was reported for all procedures with no intraoperative or post-operative complications. One individual was lost to follow-up and 27 individuals were evaluable at the end of the study period. At the mean follow-up of 31.1 months (range, 5.2-55.8 months), 26 individuals (92.8%) reported complete relief from pain and no evidence of recurrence. There were two recurrences after RFA recorded. One individual had repeat RFA 2 months after the initial treatment, and no recurrence was evident at the close of the study. The second individual was also treated with repeat RFA treatment but was lost to follow-up.

Head and Neck Cancer (HNC)

Owen and colleagues (2011) studied RFA for local control in 21 individuals with recurrent and/or unresectable HNC who failed treatment with surgery, radiation, and/or chemotherapy. Eight of 13 participants had stable disease after intervention. Median survival was 127 days. They concluded that RFA may be a promising palliative treatment alternative for local control and quality of life in those with incurable HNC who have failed standard curative treatment. Further prospective controlled study is needed to confirm this finding.

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

The NCCN CPG for head and neck cancers (V2.2023) address tumors affecting the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, mucosal melanoma, occult primary cancers, salivary gland and paranasal sinuses. Treatment generally consists of surgery, radiotherapy and systemic therapy used alone or in combination with each other. The CPG contains no recommendations for the use of locally ablative therapies in the treatment of head or neck cancers.

Thyroid Cancer

Ultrasound-guided RFA for the treatment of thyroid cancer was evaluated in a retrospective nonrandomized controlled study involving 23 subjects with 42 locoregional well-differentiated thyroid carcinomas (Guenette, 2013). Half of the tumors were treated with RFA and the other half with percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI). The use of RFA versus PEI was based on tumor size and location. Technical failure was reported in 1 case in each treatment group, and both were excluded from the analysis. The mean tumor size was 1.5 cm, with a range of 0.5-3.7 cm. Mean follow-up was 61.3 months for the RFA-treated group. No progression was observed in the RFA-treated subjects. After a mean follow-up 38.5 months, disease progression was detected in 5 out of 21 subjects (23.8%) treated with PEI. One AE was reported in the RFA group, with the subjects having permanent vocal cord paralysis. The authors conclude that RFA is a safe and effective option for the treatment of thyroid cancer. Larger, randomized trials are needed to confirm these results.

In 2017, a meta-analysis and systematic review published by Chung and colleagues evaluated safety of RFA in treating benign thyroid nodules and recurrent thyroid cancers. The pooled proportions of overall and major complications reported in eligible studies were reported as the major indices. For the purpose of this study, a major complication is a complication which, if left untreated, might threaten life, lead to substantial morbidity or disability, or result in a lengthened hospital stay. A total of 24 studies were included, with the majority of being retrospective (n=12), but also included prospective (n=9) and an unclear study design (n=3). A total of 89 complications were reported among the 2786 thyroid nodules treated in 2421 individuals. The overall complication rate was 2.38% (95% CI: 1.42%–3.34%; I² = 21.79%) and a major complication rate of 1.35% (95% CI: 0.89%–1.81%; I² = 1.24%). The rate of overall complications and major complications was significantly higher in the malignant nodule group compared to the benign nodule group. There were no life-threatening treatment related complications reported. The authors concluded that RFA has an acceptable complication rate associated with the treatment of benign thyroid nodules and recurrent thyroid cancers. The study did not address the efficacy of RFA treatment for these conditions.

The 2015 American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer notes that RFA might be most useful in high-risk individuals with recurrent thyroid cancer or in individuals who refuse additional surgery. The ATA does not recommend RFA as a standard

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

alternative to surgical resection. The ATA includes the following recommendations regarding advanced thyroid cancer:

- (A) Both stereotactic radiation and thermal ablation (RFA and cryoablation) show a high efficacy in treating individual distant metastases with relatively few side effects and may be considered as valid alternatives to surgery. (Weak recommendation, Moderate-quality evidence)
- (B) Stereotactic radiation or thermal ablation should be considered prior to initiation of systemic treatment when the individual distant metastases are symptomatic or at high risk of local complications. (Strong recommendation, Moderate-quality evidence)

The 2015 ATA recommendations are based upon more robust evidence located in other solid tumor trials. The authors note that the clinical evidence is limited regarding thermal ablation to treat thyroid cancer. Randomized prospective trials comparing specific techniques are also lacking.

In 2022, The American Association of Clinical Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines Oversight Committee reviewed the evidence regarding the use of minimally invasive thyroid techniques to treat thyroid nodules and well-differentiated thyroid cancers. While ablative procedures to treat thyroid benign and malignant lesions is more prevalent in Asia and Europe, these procedures are not currently endorsed by most U.S medical societies due to a paucity of quality data, particularly data regarding the rate of recurrence. The authors concluded:

Despite the increasing use of nonsurgical procedures in the management of thyroid nodules and cancer, there continues to be a need for high-quality, large prospective studies and/or randomized controlled trials, as knowledge gaps remain.

An international multidisciplinary consensus statement by multiple societies, including the American Head and Neck Society-Endocrine Surgery section provided best practice recommendations regarding radiofrequency and related ultrasound guided ablation procedures to treat benign and malignant thyroid disease (Orloff, 2022). These guidelines note that US guided ablation procedures may be considered in individuals with suitable recurrent papillary thyroid carcinoma who are not a candidate for or decline surgery or active surveillance. These recommendations were based on international guidelines and prospective or retrospective studies with limited follow-up. The authors concluded that RFA to treat primary thyroid cancer is a developing application (Orloff, 2022).

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), American College of Endocrinology (ACE), and Associazione Medici Endocrinologi (AME) guideline on the diagnosis and management of thyroid nodules (2016) recommends classifying nodules into 5 categories: nondiagnostic, benign, indeterminate, suspicious for malignancy, or malignant based upon the results of fine needle aspiration cytology. Benign asymptomatic thyroid

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

nodules require no treatment; for nodules categorized as high-risk indeterminate lesions suspicious nodules surgery is recommended.

The NCCN CPG for thyroid cancer (V24.2023) notes that local therapies may be considered in select individuals with limited burden nodal disease, but do not provide any clinical studies to support that recommendation.

Benign Thyroid Nodules

The increase in the diagnosis of benign thyroid nodules has been linked to an increased use of diagnostic imaging. Nodules are present in an estimated 20% to 76% of the population (Chen, 2016). More than 90% of nodules are clinically insignificant benign lesions and the vast majority of these nodules will not be associated with a significant size change (Durante, 2015). The American Thyroid Association (ATA) 2015 guidelines for the management of thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer recommends that asymptomatic nodules with no or modest growth should be monitored, but do not require intervention. The ATA recommends surgery or percutaneous ethanol injection for nodules which are greater than 4 cm, those causing compression or for individuals with structural symptoms or other clinical concerns.

The 2022 international consensus statement document (Orloff) includes a recommendation that ablation procedures may be used as a first-line alternative to surgery in individuals with benign thyroid nodules. This recommendation is based upon individual international guideline documents. The document notes that thermal ablation procedures can be a safe alternative to treat autonomously functional thyroid nodules (AFTN) in individuals with contraindications to first-line therapies. This recommendation is based upon prospective study of 30 individuals and a meta-analysis which the authors. The meta-analysis was limited the quality of the studies (prospective or retrospective with short term follow-up) and heterogeneity. The authors concluded that further studies, ideally RCTs with long follow-up, are needed to “extend the use of RFA as an option to cure patients with AFTN/toxic thyroid nodules (TTN).

Radiofrequency Ablation

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Chen and colleagues (2016) reported on the efficacy of RFA for the treatment of benign thyroid nodules. The study included 20 articles reporting care for 1090 individuals. Several indicators of procedure success, including nodal volume, largest lesion diameter, symptom score and cosmetic score showed improvement following 1, 3, 6, and 12 months through last follow-up. The authors noted significant heterogeneity and study design, variations in diagnostic criteria, small study sample sizes, and the possibility that publication bias may have influenced the results.

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Bernardi and associates (2014) retrospectively compared the efficacy and tolerability of RFA to hemithyroidectomy for the treatment of benign thyroid nodules. The clinical outcomes of individuals who underwent RFA was compared to 74 individuals who underwent thyroid surgery. RFA was noted to shrink nodules by 70% with results maintained up to 4 years following surgery. In these cases, surgery was found to be more effective in treating nodules with an initial volume of greater than 35 ml and in autonomously functions nodules. Surgery also allows for pathology testing to be done following the procedure.

Laser Ablation

Døssing and colleagues (2019) reported on the long-term efficacy of laser therapy to treat benign complex thyroid nodules. Individuals with recurrent cytologically benign cystic thyroid nodules causing local discomfort were treated with laser therapy. Follow-up was completed at 1, 3 and 6 months after treatment, then annually. Following laser therapy, 17% (19/110) underwent surgery due to dissatisfaction with the laser ablation results. The median follow-up in the nonsurgical group was 45 months (12-134 months). In the individuals who did not undergo surgery, the overall median nodule volume decreased by 85% over the course of follow-up.

In a retrospective review, Pacella and colleagues (2015) reported on the effectiveness, tolerability, and complications associated with laser ablation therapy. Consecutive individuals with solid or mixed nodules treated with laser ablation were included (n=1531). The mean nodule volume reduction was 72% ±11% (range 48%–96%) at 12 months after treatment. The authors reported 17 complications, 8 of them categorized as major and 9 categorized as minor. Larger prospective studies comparing laser ablation to standard surgery are needed to establish conclusions about the relative effects of laser treatment.

Central Nervous System

The mainstays of brain tumor treatment have been surgical resection, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), whole-brain radiotherapy and systemic therapies. Stereotactic laser ablation (SLA), also known as laser interstitial thermotherapy (LITT), has been proposed as an alternative for individuals with glioblastoma because it is a minimally invasive procedure with precise focal tissue destruction.

Rennert and associates (2020) reported initial data from an industry-sponsored multi-institutional international prospective observational registry. Individuals with primary intracranial tumors or brain metastases were prospectively enrolled in the Laser Ablation of Abnormal Neurological Tissue (LAANTERN) registry. Of the initial 100 registrants, 48% had primary intracranial tumors and 34% had brain metastases. The remainder of the

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

participants were treated for other indications including epilepsy. Over 90% of the lesion was ablated in 72% of the treated lesions. There were 11 AEs reported at 1-month post-procedure, 5 AEs were related to the energy deposition from laser ablation and 4 AEs were related to surgical manipulation. Kim and associates (2020) reported 12-month outcomes of the LAANTERN study for 92 individuals with metastatic tumors who had a total of 131 primary tumors. The estimated 1-year survival rate was 73% (95% CI: 65.3% to 79.2%). There were no observed significant differences between individuals with primary or metastatic tumors. The Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) declined significantly between baseline and 12 months. There was no significant difference between the individuals with primary and metastatic tumors. The limited amount of currently available information does not allow for oncologic outcomes to be adequately assessed.

A common shortcoming of a prospective registry is limited data availability due to underreported or missing data. In the LAANTERN study, there were reports of cases of excessive blood loss and prolonged intensive care stays. The clinical situation of these serious complications were not explained, leaving researchers with no clinical context in which to evaluate these events (Ginalis, 2020).

The Laser Ablation After Stereotactic Radiosurgery (LAASR) study is a multicenter prospective study by Ahluwalia and colleagues (2020) that evaluated the local progression-free survival in individuals treated with LITT. Individuals with brain metastases and radiographic progression following stereotactic radiosurgery were eligible for the study (n=42). The primary outcome was local progression-free survival. Only 64% (27/42) of participants were available through the 12-week follow-up and 38% (16/42) were available through the 26-week follow-up. At 12 weeks post-procedure, 15% of the treated lesions were stable, 22% had a partial response and 37% had a complete response. A portion of the participants (26%) continued to progress throughout the follow-up. The OS of the 42 participants was 86.5% at 12 weeks and 72.2% at 26 weeks. During the study period, 35 (83.3%) of the 42 participants experienced an adverse event. The quality of the data reported by this study was limited by the high attrition rate and the short-term follow-up. The authors questioned whether the study group was reflective of the clinical population, citing the paucity of individuals receiving systemic chemotherapy at the time of tumor regrowth. The authors noted that “Larger studies with longer follow-up and comparison with the natural history of lesions in untreated patients are needed to elucidate which factors may best predict improved outcomes after LITT and the timing of consolidative therapy.”

In a retrospective study of consecutive individuals treated with LITT, Bastos and colleagues (2020) evaluated the predictive factors related to local recurrence following ablation. The authors reviewed medical records of 61 consecutive individuals with brain metastases treated with LITT. The lesions included recurrence (n=46), radiation necrosis (n=31) and newly diagnosed tumors (n=5). The time from LITT to local recurrence or last follow-up was used as the primary outcome. The final analysis included 59 individuals and 80 lesions. The local recurrence rate at

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

6 months was 69.6%, 59.4% at 12 months and 54.7% at 18 and 24 months. Clinical factors affecting time to recurrence were extent of lesion ablation, size of lesion, tumor type, presence and timing of systemic treatment. The median OS was 29 months. The overall complication rate was 26.2%. There was one fatal complication reported.

Sujjantararat and associates (2020) reviewed the charts of individuals with brain metastasis who were previously treated with radiation, developed radiation necrosis and were subsequently treated with LITT (n=25) and bevacizumab (n=13). Several individuals who were initially treated with LITT also received bevacizumab. The outcomes for these individuals were assigned to their original treatment group. The median progression-free survival in the LITT group was 12.1 months (range 0–64.6 months) and 2.0 months (range 0–22.2 months) in the bevacizumab group. The median survival was 24.8 months in the LITT group compared to 15.2 months in the bevacizumab group. The authors theorized that the differences in progression-free survival were likely due to an uneven distribution of individuals and lesions within each group. Characteristics of individuals in the bevacizumab group suggest that these individuals were sicker. The authors concluded “Given the significant differences between the cohorts, these findings need to be confirmed in a larger and perhaps randomized study.”

In 2020, de Franca and colleagues compared the clinical outcomes of SRS and LITT to treat brain metastasis or recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. The meta-analysis included 4 studies regarding LITT, and 21 studies regarding SRS. The total number of participants in each treatment group varied greatly (SRS=1787; LITT=39). The median OS was significantly longer in the LITT group compared to the SRS group (12.8 [9.3-16.3] months versus 9.8 [8.3-9.8] months; $p = 0.02$) respectively. Limitations of this study include high heterogeneity due to methodological and clinical diversity within the groups. The very small number of individuals in the LITT group does not allow for conclusions regarding treatment efficacy to be made.

In an exploratory cohort series, Shah and associates (2020) reported on the cases of individuals with newly diagnosed and treatment refractory brain tumors treated with LITT. Study investigators followed 91 individuals who underwent 100 procedures. The authors reported the average extent of ablation (EOA), median time to recurrence (TTR), local control rates at 1-year follow-up, and median OS as the primary outcome measures. The overall median EOA was 99.5% (interquartile range (IQR) 83.5-100.0%) and did not differ between tumor subtypes. The median TTR was 31.9 months, and the median OS was 16.9 months. Complications occurred in 4% of the cases and included superficial wound infections, seizures and facial palsy, all of which were transient. The median follow-up on this retrospective case series was limited to 7.2 months. The authors note that while this was adequate to detect perioperative complications and same-site recurrence, follow-up may not have been adequate to detect longer term complications and disease progression events. The study design does not permit conclusions to be drawn about the effects of LITT compared to more established treatments.

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

In 2021, the NCCN central nervous system cancers CPG ([V1.2023](#)) added a 2B recommendation for MRI-guided LITT. The guidelines note that LITT may be considered in those with relapsed brain metastases and radiation necrosis who are not surgical candidates. While the results of LITT studies are promising, there is not a recognized standard LITT protocol establishing the best use of this modality (de Franca, 2020). Laser therapy has proposed benefits, including the ability to access difficult to reach lesions with minimal damage to surrounding tissue and the ability of laser therapy to affect changes which enhance adjuvant therapies, but studies have generally been limited by lack of control groups, non-randomized design and short follow-up periods.

Definitions

Ablation: The destruction of a body part or tissue or its function. Ablation may be achieved by surgery, hormones, drugs, radiofrequency, heat, or other methods.

Cryosurgical ablation (cryotherapy or cryoablation): A surgical procedure where cancerous or diseased cells are destroyed using extreme cold.

Metastasis: The spread of cancer from one part of the body to another. A metastatic tumor contains cells that are like those in the original (primary) tumor and have spread.

Osteoid osteoma: A benign skeletal tumor of unknown etiology that can occur in any bone.

Overall survival (OS): The length of time between disease diagnosis or start of treatment for disease, that the individual is still alive.

Progression free survival (PFS): The length of time following treatment that the individual lives with the stable disease (disease does not worsen).

Radiofrequency ablation (RFA): A surgical procedure where cancerous or diseased cells are destroyed using heat produced by high-frequency radio waves.

Recurrence free survival (RFS): The length of time following the end of the primary treatment that the individual does not have any signs or symptoms of the disease. Also known as relapse-free survival or disease-free survival.

Renal insufficiency: Impaired kidney function which can be identified and monitored by laboratory testing, such as urine albumin, glomerular filtration rate and creatinine. Glomerular Filtration Rates calculators can be located at:

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

<https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/professionals/clinical-tools-patient-management/kidney-disease/laboratory-evaluation/glomerular-filtration-rate-calculators>.

Solid tumors: Tumors that appear in body tissues other than blood, bone marrow, or the lymphatic system; examples include tumors of the liver, lung, or colon.

Tumor: An abnormal mass of tissue that results from excessive cell division that is uncontrolled and progressive, also called a neoplasm.

Unresectable: Refers to a tumor that cannot safely be removed surgically due to size or location.

References

Peer Reviewed Publications:

1. Abufaraj M, Siyam A, Ali MR, et al. Functional outcomes after local salvage therapies for radiation-recurrent prostate cancer patients: a systematic review. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021; 13(2):244.
2. Aker MN, Brisbane WG, Kwan L, et al. Cryotherapy for partial gland ablation of prostate cancer: Oncologic and safety outcomes. *Cancer Med*. 2023; 12(8):9351-9362.
- 2-3. Ahluwalia M, Barnett GH, Deng D, et al. Laser ablation after stereotactic radiosurgery: a multicenter prospective study in patients with metastatic brain tumors and radiation necrosis. *J Neurosurg*. 2018; 130(3):804-811.
- 3-4. Akeboshi M, Yamakado K, Nakatsuka A, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of lung neoplasms: Initial therapeutic response. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2004; 15(5):463-470.
- 4-5. Akhan O, Güler E, Akıncı D, et al. Radiofrequency ablation for lung tumors: outcomes, effects on survival, and prognostic factors. *Diagn Interv Radiol*. 2016; 22(1):65-71.
- 5-6. Aron M, Gill IS. Renal tumor ablation. *Curr Opin Urol*. 2005; 15(5):298-305.
- 6-7. Atwell TD, Schmit GD, Boorjian SA, et al. Percutaneous ablation of renal masses measuring 3.0 cm and smaller: comparative local control and complications after radiofrequency ablation and cryoablation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2013; 200(2):461-466.
- 7-8. Aus G, Pileblad E, Hugosson J. Cryosurgical ablation of the prostate: 5-year follow-up of a prospective study. *Eur Urol*. 2002; 42(2):133-138.
- 8-9. Bastos DCA, Rao G, Oliva ICG, et al. Predictors of local control of brain metastasis treated with laser interstitial thermal therapy. *Neurosurgery*. 2020; 87(1):112-122.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- 9-10. Belfiore G, Moggio G, Tedeschi E, et al. CT-guided radiofrequency ablation: a potential complementary therapy for patients with unresectable primary lung cancer – a preliminary report of 33 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004; 183(4):1003-1011.
- 10-11. Bernardi S, Dobrinja C, Fabris B, et al. Radiofrequency ablation compared to surgery for the treatment of benign thyroid nodules. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2014; 2014:934595.
12. Bhayani SB, Belani JS, Hidalgo J, et al. Trends in nephron-sparing surgery for renal neoplasia. *Urology.* 2006; 68(4):732-736.
- 11-13. [Bourgouin PP, Wrobel MM, Mercaldo ND, et al. Comparison of percutaneous image-guided microwave ablation and cryoablation for sarcoma lung metastases: a 10-year experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2022; 218\(3\):494-504.](#)
- 12-14. Breen DJ, Bryant TJ, Abbas A, et al. Percutaneous cryoablation of renal tumours: outcomes from 171 tumours in 147 patients. *BJU Int.* 2013; 112(6):758-765.
- 13-15. Buy X, Lang H, Garnon J, et al. Percutaneous renal cryoablation: prospective experience treating 120 consecutive tumors. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2013; 201(6):1353-1361.
- 14-16. Callstrom MR, Dupuy DE, Solomon SB, et al. Percutaneous image-guided cryoablation of painful metastases involving bone: Multicenter trial. *Cancer.* 2013; 119(5):1033-1041.
- 15-17. Callstrom MR, Woodrum DA, Nichols FC, et al. Multicenter study of metastatic lung tumors targeted by interventional cryoablation evaluation (SOLSTICE). *J Thorac Oncol.* 2020; 15(7):1200-1209.
- 16-18. Campbell SC, Novick AC, Belldgrun A, et al. Guideline for management of the clinical T1 renal mass. *J Urol.* 2009; 182(4):1271-1279.
- 17-19. Cantore M, Girelli R, Mambrini A, et al. Combined modality treatment for patients with locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Br J Surg.* 2012; 99(8):1083-1088.
- 18-20. Caputo PA, Ramirez D, Zargar H, et al. Laparoscopic cryoablation for renal cell carcinoma: 100-month oncologic outcomes. *J Urol.* 2015; 194(4):892-896.
- 19-21. Cazalas G, Klein C, Piana G, et al. A multicenter comparative matched-pair analysis of percutaneous tumor ablation and robotic-assisted partial nephrectomy of T1b renal cell carcinoma (AblatT1b study-UroCCR 80). *Eur Radiol.* 2023. [Epub ahead of print.](#)
- 20-22. Cazzato RL, Gantzer J, de Marini P, et al. Sporadic desmoid tumours: Systematic review with reflection on the role of cryoablation. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2022 Mar 2.
- 21-23. Cesareo R, Pacella CM, Pasqualini V, et al. Laser ablation versus radiofrequency ablation for benign non-functioning thyroid nodules: Six-month results of a randomized, parallel, open-label, trial (LARA Trial). *Thyroid.* 2020; 30(6):847-856.
- 22-24. Cestari A, Guazzoni G, dell'Acqua V, et al. Laparoscopic cryoablation of solid renal masses: intermediate term followup. *J Urol.* 2004; 172(4 Pt 1):1267-1270.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- [23-25.](#) Chang X, Liu T, Zhang F, et al. Radiofrequency ablation versus partial nephrectomy for clinical T1a renal-cell carcinoma: long-term clinical and oncologic outcomes based on a propensity score analysis. *J Endourol.* 2015; 29(5):518-525.
- [24-26.](#) Chen F, Tian G, Kong D, et al. Radiofrequency ablation for treatment of benign thyroid nodules: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016; 95(34):e4659.
- [27.](#) Chin JL, Al-Zahrani AA, Autran-Gomez AM, et al. Extended followup oncologic outcome of randomized trial between Cryoablation and external beam therapy for locally advanced prostate cancer (T2c-T3b). *J Urol.* 2012; 188(4):1170-1175.
- [25-28.](#) [Chin YF, Lynn N. Systematic review of focal and salvage cryotherapy for prostate cancer. *Cureus.* 2022; 14\(6\):e26400.](#)
- [26-29.](#) Cho SJ, Baek JH, Chung SR, et al. Long-term results of thermal ablation of benign thyroid nodules: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul).* 2020; 35(2):339-350.
- [27-30.](#) Choueiri TK, Schutz FA, Hevelone ND, et al. Thermal ablation vs. surgery for localized kidney cancer: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database analysis. *Urology.* 2011; 78(1):93-98.
- [28-31.](#) Chua TC, Sarkar A, Saxena A, et al. Long-term outcome of image-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of lung metastases: an open-labeled prospective trial of 148 patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2010; 21(10):2017-2022.
- [29-32.](#) Chung SR, Suh CH, Baek JH, et al. Safety of radiofrequency ablation of benign thyroid nodules and recurrent thyroid cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyperthermia.* 2017; 33(8):920-930.
- [30-33.](#) Clark TW, Malkowicz B, Stavropoulos W, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of small renal cell carcinomas using multitined expandable electrodes: preliminary experience. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2006; 17(3):513-519.
- [31-34.](#) Davol PE, Fulmer BR, Rukstalis DB. Long-term results of cryoablation for renal cancer and complex renal masses. *Urology.* 2006; 68(1 Suppl):2-6.
- [32-35.](#) Deane LA, Clayman RV. Review of minimally invasive renal therapies: needle-based and extracorporeal. *Urology.* 2006; 68(1 Suppl):26-37.
- [33-36.](#) de Baère T, Aupérin A, Deschamps F, et al. Radiofrequency ablation is a valid treatment option for lung metastases: Experience in 566 patients with 1037 metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 2015; 26(5):987-991.
- [34-37.](#) de Baere T, Tselikas L, Woodrum D, et al. Evaluating cryoablation of metastatic lung tumors in patients - safety and efficacy: the ECLIPSE trial - interim analysis at 1-year. *Cardiol Rev.* 2015; 10(10):1468-1474.
- [35-38.](#) de Baère T, Woodrum D, Tselikas L, et al. The ECLIPSE study: Efficacy of cryoablation on metastatic lung tumors with a 5-year follow-up. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2021; 16(11):1840-1849.
- [36-39.](#) de Franca SA, Tavares WM, Salinet ASM, et al. Laser interstitial thermal therapy as an adjunct therapy in brain tumors: A meta-analysis and comparison with stereotactic radiotherapy. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2020; 11:360.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- [37-40.](#) De la Taille A, Hayek O, Benson MC, et al. Salvage cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radiation therapy: the Columbia experience. *Urology*. 2000; 55(1):79-84.
- [38-41.](#) Desai MM, Aron M, Gill IS. Laparoscopic partial nephrectomy versus laparoscopic cryoablation for the small renal tumor. *Urology*. 2005; 66(5 Suppl):23-28.
- [39-42.](#) Donnelly BJ, Saliken JC, Ernst DS, et al. Prospective trial of cryosurgical ablation of the prostate: five-year results. *Urology*. 2002; 60(4):645-649.
- [40-43.](#) Døssing H, Bennedbæk FN, Hegedüs L. Long-term outcome following laser therapy of benign cystic-solid thyroid nodules. *Endocr Connect*. 2019; 8(7):846-852.
- [41-44.](#) Drachenberg DE. Treatment of prostate cancer: watchful waiting, radical prostatectomy, and cryoablation. *Semin Surg Oncol*. 2000; 18(1):37-44.
- [42-45.](#) Dumot JA, Vargo JJ 2nd, Falk GW, et al. An open-label, prospective trial of cryospray ablation for Barrett's esophagus high-grade dysplasia and early esophageal cancer in high-risk patients. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2009; 70(4):635-644.
- [43-46.](#) Dupuy DE, Fernando HC, Hillman S, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of stage IA non-small cell lung cancer in medically inoperable patients: results from the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z4033 (Alliance) trial. *Cancer*. 2015; 121(19):3491-3498.
- [44-47.](#) Dupuy DE, Liu D, Hartfeil D, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of painful osseous metastases: a multicenter American College of Radiology Imaging Network trial. *Cancer*. 2010; 116(4):989-997.
- [45-48.](#) Durante C, Costante G, Lucisano G, et al. The natural history of benign thyroid nodules. *JAMA*. 2015; 313(9):926-935.
- [46-49.](#) Edwards MJ, Broadwater R, Tafta L, et al. Progressive adoption of cryoablative therapy for breast fibroadenoma in community practice. *Am J Surg*. 2004; 188(3):221-224.
- [47-50.](#) El Dib R, Touma NJ, Kapoor A. Cryoablation vs radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma: a meta-analysis of case series studies. *BJU Int*. 2012; 110(4):510-516.
- [48-51.](#) Emara AM, Kommu SS, Hindley RG, Barber NJ. Robot-assisted partial nephrectomy vs laparoscopic cryoablation for the small renal mass: redefining the minimally invasive 'gold standard'. *BJU Int*. 2014; 113(1):92-99.
- [49-52.](#) Farrell MA, Charboneau WJ, DiMarco DS, et al. Image-guided radiofrequency ablation of solid renal tumors. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2003; 180(6):1509-1513.
- [50-53.](#) Fergany A. Current status and advances in nephron-sparing surgery. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2006; 5(1):26-33.
- [51-54.](#) Flanagan BA, Lindskog DM. Intraoperative radiofrequency ablation for osteoid osteoma. *Am J Orthop*. 2015; 44(3):127-130.
- [52-55.](#) Fornage BD, Sneige N, Ross MI, et al. Small (< or = 2 cm) breast cancer treated with US guided radiofrequency ablation. Feasibility study. *Radiology*. 2004; 231(1):215-224.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- 53-56. Frenk NE, Daye D, Tuncali K, et al. Local control and survival after image-guided percutaneous ablation of adrenal metastases. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2018; 29(2):276-284.
- 54-57. Gage AA, Baust JG. Cryosurgery for tumors. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2007; 205(2):342-356.
- 55-58. Georgiades CS, Hong K, Bizzell C, et al. Safety and efficacy of CT-guided percutaneous cryoablation for renal cell carcinoma. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2008; 19(9):1302-1310.
- 56-59. Gervais DA, Arellano RS, McGovern FJ, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of renal cell carcinoma: part 2, lessons learned with ablation of 100 tumors. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005; 185(1):72-80.
- 57-60. Gervais DA, McGovern FJ, Arellano RS, et al. Renal cell carcinoma: clinical experience and technical success with radio-frequency ablation of 42 tumors. *Radiology.* 2003; 226(2):417-424.
- 58-61. Gervais DA, McGovern FJ, Arellano RS, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of renal cell carcinoma: part 1, indications, results, and role in patient management over a 6-year period and ablation of 100 tumors. *Am J Roentgenol.* 2005; 185(1):64-71.
- 59-62. Gestaut MM, Cai W, Vyas S, et al. Low-dose-rate brachytherapy versus cryotherapy in low- and intermediate-risk prostate cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2017; 98(1):101-107.
- 60-63. Giardino A, Girelli R, Frigerio I, et al. Triple approach strategy for patients with locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma. *HPB (Oxford).* 2013; 15(8):623-627.
- 61-64. Gillams A, Khan Z, Osborn P, Lees W. Survival after radiofrequency ablation in 122 patients with inoperable colorectal lung metastases. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2013; 36(3):724-730.
- 62-65. Gill IS, Novick AC, Meraney AM, et al. Laparoscopic renal cryoablation in 32 patients. *Urology.* 2000; 56(5):748-753.
- 63-66. Gill IS, Remer EM, Hasan WA, et al. Renal cryoablation: outcome at 3 years. *J Urol.* 2005; 173(6):1903-1907.
- 64-67. Girelli R, Frigerio I, Giardino A, et al. Results of 100 pancreatic radiofrequency ablations in the context of a multimodal strategy for stage III ductal adenocarcinoma. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2013; 398(1):63-69.
- 65-68. Goetz MP, Callstrom MR, Charboneau JW, et al. Percutaneous image-guided radiofrequency ablation of painful metastases involving bone: a multicenter study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22(2):300-306.
- 66-69. Golatta M, Harcos A, Pavlista D, et al. Ultrasound-guided cryoablation of breast fibroadenoma: a pilot trial. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2014; 291(6):1355-1360.
- 67-70. Guenette JP, Lopez MJ, Kim E, Dupuy D. Solitary painful osseous metastases: correlation of imaging features with pain palliation after radiofrequency ablation- a multicenter American College of Radiology Imaging Network Study. *Radiology.* 2014; 268(3): 907-915.
- 68-71. Guenette JP, Monchik JM, Dupuy DE. Image-guided ablation of postsurgical locoregional recurrence of biopsy-proven well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2013; 24(5):672-679.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- [69-72.](#) Ha EJ, Baek JH, Kim KW, et al. Comparative efficacy of radiofrequency and laser ablation for the treatment of benign thyroid nodules: systematic review including traditional pooling and Bayesian network meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2015; 100(5):1903-1911.
- [70-73.](#) Hafron J, Kaouk JH. Ablative techniques for the management of kidney cancer. *Nat Clin Pract Urol.* 2007; 4(5):261-269.
- [71-74.](#) Hafron J, Kaouk JH. Cryosurgical ablation of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Control.* 2007; 14(3):211-217.
- [72-75.](#) Ben Hamou A, Ghanassia E, Espiard S, et al. Safety and efficacy of thermal ablation (radiofrequency and laser): should we treat all types of thyroid nodules? *Int J Hyperthermia.* 2019; 36(1):666-676.
- [73-76.](#) Haramis G, Graversen JA, Mues AC, et al. Retrospective comparison of laparoscopic partial nephrectomy versus laparoscopic renal cryoablation for small (<3.5 cm) cortical renal masses. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2012; 22(2):152-157.
- [74-77.](#) Hayashi AH, Silver SF, van der Westhuizen NG, et al. Treatment of invasive breast carcinoma with ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation. *Am J Surg.* 2003; 185(5):429-435.
- [75-78.](#) Hegarty NJ, Gill IS, Desai MM, et al. Probe-ablative nephron-sparing surgery: cryoablation versus radiofrequency ablation. *Urology.* 2006; 68(1 Suppl):7-13.
- [76-79.](#) Hess A, Palussière J, Goyers JF, et al. Pulmonary radiofrequency ablation in patients with a single lung: feasibility, efficacy, and tolerance. *Radiology.* 2011; 258(2):635-642.
- [77-80.](#) Hiraki T, Gobara H, Iishi T, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for pulmonary metastases from colorectal cancer: midterm results in 27 patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2007; 18(10):1264-1269.
- [78-81.](#) Hiyoshi Y, Miyamoto Y, Kiyozumi Y, et al. CT-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for lung metastases from colorectal cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2019; 24(3):288-295.
- [79-82.](#) Hruby G, Reisiger K, Venkatesh R, et al. Comparison of laparoscopic partial nephrectomy and laparoscopic cryoablation for renal hilar tumors. *Urology.* 2006; 67(1):50-54.
- [80-83.](#) Hsu CY, Yang W, Parikh RV, et al; CRIC Study Investigators. Race, genetic ancestry, and estimating kidney function in CKD. *N Engl J Med.* 2021; 385(19):1750-1760.
- [81-84.](#) Huston TL, Simmons RM. Ablative therapies for the treatment of malignant diseases of the breast. *Am J Surg.* 2005; 189(6):694-701.
- [82-85.](#) Izzo F, Thomas R, Delrio P, et al. Radiofrequency ablation in patients with primary breast carcinoma: a pilot study in 26 patients. *Cancer.* 2001; 92(8):2036-2044.
- [83-86.](#) Jin GY, Lee JM, Lee YC, et al. Primary and secondary lung malignancies treated with percutaneous radiofrequency ablation: evaluation with follow-up helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004; 183(4):1013-1020.
- [84-87.](#) Johnson CA, Levey AS, Coresh J, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease in adults: Part I. Definition, disease stages, evaluation, treatment, and risk factors. *Am Fam Physician.* 2004; 70(5):869-876.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- 85-88. Johnson DB, Solomon SB, Su LM, et al. Defining the complications of cryoablation and radio frequency ablation of small renal tumors: a multi-institutional review. *J Urol.* 2004; 172(3):874-877.
- 86-89. Kaouk JH, Aron M, Rewcastle JC, Gill IS. Cryotherapy: clinical end points and their experimental foundations. *Urology.* 2006; 68(1 Suppl):38-44.
- 87-90. Katsanos K, Mailli L, Krokidis M, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of thermal ablation versus surgical nephrectomy for small renal tumours. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2014; 37(2):427-437.
- 88-91. Kaufman CS, Littrup PJ, Freeman-Gibb LA, et al. Office-based cryoablation of breast fibroadenomas with long-term follow-up. *Breast J.* 2005; 11(5):344-350.
- 89-92. Kaufman CS, Bachman B, Littrup PJ, et al. Office-based ultrasound-guided cryoablation of breast fibroadenomas. *Am J Surg.* 2002; 184(5):394-400.
- 90-93. Kim AH, Tatter S, Rao G, et al. Laser Ablation of Abnormal Neurological Tissue using Robotic NeuroBlate System (LAANTERN): 12-month outcomes and quality of life after brain tumor ablation. *Neurosurgery.* 2020; 87(3): E338-E346.
- 91-94. King J, Glenn D, Clark W, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary metastases in patients with colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg.* 2004; 91(2):217-223.
- 92-95. Kishida T. Renal cryoablation: still experimental? *Int J Urol.* 2006; 13(7):885.
- 93-96. Klimberg VS, Ochoa D, Henry-Tillman R, et al. Long-term results of phase II ablation after breast lumpectomy added to extend intraoperative margins (ABLATE I) Trial. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2014; 218(4):741-749.
- 94-97. Knudsen M, Riishede A, Lücke A, et al. Computed tomography-guided radiofrequency ablation is a safe and effective treatment of osteoid osteoma located outside the spine. *Dan Med J.* 2015; 62(5). pii: A5059.
- 95-98. Koppie TM, Shinohara K, Grossfeld GD, et al. The efficacy of cryosurgical ablation of prostate cancer: the University of California, San Francisco experience. *J Urol.* 1999; 162(2):427-432.
- 96-99. Korpan NN. A history of cryosurgery: its development and future. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2007; 204(2):314-324.
- 97-100. Kovach SJ, Hendrickson RJ, Cappadona CR, et al. Cryoablation of unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Surgery.* 2002; 131(4):463-464.
101. Kunkle DA, Uzzo RG. Cryoablation or radiofrequency ablation of the small renal mass: a meta-analysis. *Cancer.* 2008; 13(10):2671-2680.
- 98-102. [Kurilova I, Gonzalez-Aguirre A, Beets-Tan RG, et al. Microwave ablation in the management of colorectal cancer pulmonary metastases. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2018; 41\(10\):1530-1544.](#)
- 99-103. Lam JS, Breda A, Beldegrun A, Figlin RA. Evolving principles of surgical management and prognostic factors for outcome in renal cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(35):5565-5575.
- 100-104. Lawatsch EJ, Langenstroer P, Byrd GF, et al. Intermediate results of laparoscopic cryoablation in 59 patients at the Medical College of Wisconsin. *J Urol.* 2006; 175(4):1225-1229.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~101.~~105. Lee JM, Jin GY, Goldberg SN, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for inoperable non-small cell lung cancer and metastases: preliminary report. *Radiology*. 2004; 230(1):125-134.
- ~~102.~~106. Lencioni R, Crocetti L, Cioni R, et al. Response to radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary tumours: a prospective, intention-to-treat, multicentre clinical trial (the RAPTURE study). *Lancet Oncol*. 2008; 9(7):621-628.
- ~~103.~~107. Leveillee RJ, Castle SM, Gorbatiy V, et al. Oncologic outcomes using real-time peripheral thermometry-guided radiofrequency ablation of small renal masses. *J Endourol*. 2013; 27(4):480-489.
- ~~104.~~108. Lewin JS, Nour SG, Connell CF, et al. Phase II clinical trial of interactive MR imaging-guided interstitial radiofrequency thermal ablation of primary kidney tumors: initial experience. *Radiology*. 2004; 232(3):835-845.
- ~~105.~~109. Li M, Xu X, Qin Y, et al. Radiofrequency ablation vs. stereotactic body radiotherapy for stage IA non-small cell lung cancer in nonsurgical patients. *J Cancer*. 2021; 12(10):3057-3066.
- ~~106.~~110. Littrup PJ, Ahmed A, Aoun HD, et al. CT-guided percutaneous cryotherapy of renal masses. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2007; 18(3):383-392.
- ~~107.~~111. Littrup PJ, Freeman-Gibb L, Andea A, et al. Cryotherapy for breast fibroadenomas. *Radiology*. 2005; 234(1):63-72.
- ~~108.~~112. Littrup PJ, Jallad B, Chandiwala-Mody P, et al. Cryotherapy for breast cancer: a feasibility study without excision. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2009; 20(10):1329-1341.
- ~~109.~~113. Liu SY, Chu CM, Kong AP, et al. Radiofrequency ablation compared with laparoscopic adrenalectomy for aldosterone-producing adenoma. *Br J Surg*. 2016; 103(11):1476-1486.
- ~~110.~~114. Long JP, Bahn D, Lee F, et al. Five-year retrospective, multi-institutional pooled analysis of cancer-related outcomes after cryosurgical ablation of the prostate. *Urology*. 2001; 57(3):518-523.
- ~~111.~~115. Lowry PS, Nakada SY. Renal cryotherapy: 2003 clinical status. *Curr Opin Urol*. 2003; 13(3):193-197.
116. Macchi M, Belfiore MP, Floridi C, et al. Radiofrequency versus microwave ablation for treatment of the lung tumours: LUMIRA (lung microwave radiofrequency) randomized trial. *Med Oncol*. 2017; 34(5):96.
- ~~112.~~117. Mandel JE, Kim D, Yarmohammadi H, et al. Percutaneous cryoablation provides disease control for extra-abdominal desmoid-type fibromatosis comparable with surgical resection. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2022; 29(1):640-648.
- ~~113.~~118. Martel J, Bueno A, Ortiz E. Percutaneous radiofrequency treatment of osteoid osteoma using cool-tip electrodes. *Eur J Radiol*. 2005; 56(3):403-408.
- ~~114.~~119. Matsui Y, Hiraki T, Gobara H, et al. Long-term survival following percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of colorectal lung metastases. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2015; 26(3):303-310.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~115~~.120. Mayo-Smith WW, Dupuy DE, Parikh PM, et al. Imaging-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of solid renal masses: techniques and outcomes of 38 treatment sessions in 32 consecutive patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003; 180(6):1503-1508.
- ~~116~~.121. Mayo-Smith WW, Dupuy DE. Adrenal neoplasms: CT-guided radiofrequency ablation – preliminary results. Radiology. 2004; 231(1):225-230.
- ~~117~~.122. Meftah M, Schult P, Henshaw RM. Long-term results of intralesional curettage and cryosurgery for treatment of low-grade chondrosarcoma. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013; 95(15):1358-1364.
- ~~118~~.123. Mehta TI, Heiberger C, Kazi S, et al. Effectiveness of radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of painful osseous metastases: a correlation meta-analysis with machine learning cluster identification. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2020; 31(11):1753-1762.
- ~~119~~.124. Mendiratta-Lala M, Brennan DD, Brook OR, et al. Efficacy of radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of small functional adrenal neoplasms. Radiology. 2011; 258(1):308-316.
- ~~120~~.125. Menendez LR, Tan MS, Kiyabu MT, Chawla SP. Cryosurgical ablation of soft tissue sarcomas: a phase I trial of feasibility and safety. Cancer. 1999; 86(1):50-57.
- ~~121~~.126. Mirza FA, Mitha R, Shamim MS. Current role of laser interstitial thermal therapy in the treatment of intracranial tumors. Asian J Neurosurg. 2020; 15(4):800-808
- ~~122~~.127. Mohler DG, Chiu R, McCall DA, Avedian RS. Curettage and cryosurgery for low-grade cartilage tumors is associated with low recurrence and high function. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010; 468(10):2765-2773.
- ~~123~~.128. Moon TD, Lee FT Jr, Hedican SP, et al. Laparoscopic cryoablation under sonographic guidance for the treatment of small renal tumors. J Endourol. 2004; 18(5):436-440.
- ~~124~~.129. Moore W, Talati R, Bhattacharji P, Bilfinger T. Five-year survival after cryoablation of stage I non-small cell lung cancer in medically inoperable patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2015; 26(3):312-319.
- ~~125~~.130. Nadler RB, Kim SC, Rubenstein JN, et al. Laparoscopic renal cryosurgery: the Northwestern experience. J Urol. 2003; 170(4 Pt 1):1121-1125.
- ~~126~~.131. Nguyen CL, Scott WJ, Goldberg M. Radiofrequency ablation of lung malignancies. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006; 82(1):365-371.
132. Nguyenhuy M, Xu Y, Maingard J, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient survival and disease recurrence following percutaneous ablation of pulmonary metastasis. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2022; 45(8):1102-1113
- ~~127~~.133. Nitta Y, Tanaka T, Morimoto K, et al. Intermediate oncological outcomes of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for small renal tumors: initial experience. Anticancer Res. 2012; 32(2):615-618.
- ~~128~~.134. Noordin S, Allana S, Hilal K, et al. Osteoid osteoma: Contemporary management. Orthop Rev (Pavia). 2018; 10(3):7496.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~129.135.~~ Nurko J, Mabry CD, Whitworth P, et al. Interim results from the Fibro Adenoma Cryoablation Treatment Registry. *Am J Surg*. 2005; 190(4):647-651.
- ~~130.136.~~ Oakley NE, Hagarty NJ, McNeill A, Gill IS. Minimally invasive nephron-sparing surgery for renal cell cancer. *BJU Int*. 2006; 98(2):278-284.
- ~~131.137.~~ Ochiai S, Yamakado K, Kodama H, et al. Comparison of therapeutic results from radiofrequency ablation and stereotactic body radiotherapy in solitary lung tumors measuring 5 cm or smaller. *Int J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 20(3):499-507.
138. Oishi M, Gill IS, Ashrafi AN, et al. Primary whole-gland cryoablation for prostate cancer: biochemical failure and clinical recurrence at 5.6 years of follow-up. *Eur Urol*. 2019; 75(2):208-214.
- ~~132.139.~~ O'Malley RL, Berger AD, Kanofsky JA, et al. A matched-cohort comparison of laparoscopic cryoablation and laparoscopic partial nephrectomy for treating renal masses. *BJU Int*. 2007; 99(2):395-398.
- ~~133.140.~~ Oura S, Tamaki T, Hirai I, et al. Radiofrequency ablation therapy in patients with breast cancers two centimeters or less in size. *Breast Cancer*. 2007; 14(1):48-54.
- ~~134.141.~~ Owen RP, Khan SA, Negassa A, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of advanced head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2011; 137(5):493-498.
- ~~135.142.~~ Pacella CM, Mauri G, Achille G, et al. Outcomes and risk factors for complications of laser ablation for thyroid nodules: A multicenter study on 1531 patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2015; 100(10):3903-3910.
- ~~136.143.~~ Panumatrassamee K, Kaouk JH, Autorino R, et al. Cryoablation versus minimally invasive partial nephrectomy for small renal masses in the solitary kidney: impact of approach on functional outcomes. *J Urol*. 2013; 189(3):818-822.
- ~~137.144.~~ Papini E, Rago T, Gambelunghe G, et al. Long-term efficacy of ultrasound-guided laser ablation for benign solid thyroid nodules. Results of a three-year multicenter prospective randomized trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014; 99(10):3653-3659.
- ~~138.145.~~ Park S, Anderson JK, Matsumoto ED, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of renal tumors: intermediate-term results. *J Endourol*. 2006; 20(8):569-573.
- ~~139.146.~~ Pavlovich CP, Walther MM, Choyke PL, et al. Percutaneous radio frequency ablation of small renal tumors: initial results. *J Urol*. 2002; 167(1):10-15.
- ~~140.147.~~ Permpongkosol S, Link RE, Kavoussi LR, Solomon SB. Percutaneous computerized tomography guided cryoablation for localized renal cell carcinoma: factors influencing success. *J Urol*. 2006; 176(5):1963-1968.
- ~~141.148.~~ Permpongkosol S, Nielsen ME, Solomon SB. Percutaneous renal cryoablation. *Urology*. 2006; 68(1 Suppl):19-25.
- ~~142.149.~~ Petre EN, Jia X, Thornton RH, et al. Treatment of pulmonary colorectal metastases by radiofrequency ablation. *Clin Colorectal Cancer*. 2012; 12(1):37-44.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~143.150.~~ Pfliegerer SO, Freesmeyer MG, Marx C, et al. Cryotherapy of breast cancer under ultrasound guidance: initial results and limitations. *Eur Radiol.* 2002; 12(12):3009-3014.
- ~~144.151.~~ Pusztaszeri M, Vlastos G, Kinkel K, Pelte MF. Histopathological study of breast cancer and normal breast tissue after magnetic resonance-guided cryotherapy ablation. *Cryobiology.* 2007; 55(1):44-51.
- ~~145.152.~~ Rendon RA, Kachura JR, Sweet JM, et al. The uncertainty of radio frequency treatment of renal cell carcinoma: findings at immediate and delayed nephrectomy. *J Urol.* 2002; 167(4):1587-1592.
- ~~146.153.~~ Rennert RC, Khan U, Bartek J, et al. Laser Ablation of Abnormal Neurological Tissue using Robotic Neuroblate System (LAANTERN): Procedural safety and hospitalization. *Neurosurgery.* 2020; 86(4):538-547.
- ~~147.154.~~ Reuter NP, Woodall CE, Scoggins CR, et al. Radiofrequency ablation vs. resection for hepatic colorectal metastasis: therapeutically equivalent? *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2009; 13(3):486-491.
- ~~148.155.~~ Rimondi E, Bianchi G, Malaguti MC, et al. Radiofrequency thermoablation of primary non-spinal osteoid osteoma: optimization of the procedure. *Eur Radiol.* 2005; 15(7):1393-1399.
- ~~149.156.~~ Rivoire M, De Cian F, Meeus P, et al. Cryosurgery as a means to improve surgical treatment of patients with multiple unresectable liver metastases. *Anticancer Res.* 2000; 20(5C):3785-3790.
- ~~150.157.~~ Robinson D, Halperin N, Nevo Z. Two freezing cycles ensure interface sterilization by cryosurgery during bone tumor resection. *Cryobiology.* 2001; 43(1):4-10.
- ~~151.158.~~ Robinson JW, Donnelly BJ, Saliken JC, et al. Quality of life and sexuality of men with prostate cancer 3 years after cryosurgery. *Urology.* 2002; 60(2 Suppl 1):12-18.
- ~~152.159.~~ Rodriguez R, Chan DY, Bishoff JT, et al. Renal ablative cryosurgery in selected patients with peripheral renal masses. *Urology.* 2000; 55(1):25-30. Rosenthal DI, Hornicek FJ, Wolfe MW, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency coagulation of osteoid osteoma compared with operative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1998; 80(6):815-821.
- ~~153.160.~~ Rukstalis DB, Khorsandi M, Garcia FU, et al. Clinical experience with open renal cryoablation. *Urology.* 2001; 57(1):34-39.
- ~~154.161.~~ Russo P. Renal cryoablation: study with care—proceed with caution. *Urology.* 2005; 65(3):419-421.
- ~~155.162.~~ Russo P. Renal cryoablation: a new treatment in need of careful clinical investigation. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol.* 2006; 3(6):286-287.
- ~~156.163.~~ Sabel MS, Kaufman CS, Whitworth P, et al. Cryoablation of early-stage breast cancer: work-in-progress report of a multi-institutional trial. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2004; 11(5):542-549.
- ~~157.164.~~ Safi S, Rauch G, Op den Winkel J, et al. Sublobar resection, radiofrequency ablation or radiotherapy in stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Respiration.* 2015; 89(6):550-557.
- ~~158.165.~~ Sano Y, Kanazawa S, Gobara H, et al. Feasibility of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for intrathoracic malignancies: a large single-center experience. *Cancer.* 2007; 109(7):1397-1405

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~159-166.~~ Schwartz BF, Rewcastle JC, Powell T, et al. Cryoablation of small peripheral renal masses: a retrospective analysis. *Urology*. 2006; 68(1 Suppl):14-18.
- ~~167.~~ Shah AH, Semonche A, Eichberg DG, et al. The role of laser interstitial thermal therapy in surgical neuro-oncology: Series of 100 consecutive patients. *Neurosurgery*. 2020; 87(2):266-275.
- ~~160-168.~~ Shah TT, Peters M, Eldred-Evans D, et al. Early-medium-term outcomes of primary focal cryotherapy to treat nonmetastatic clinically significant prostate cancer from a prospective multicentre registry. *Eur Urol*. 2019; 76(1):98-105.
- ~~161-169.~~ Silverman SG, Tuncali K, van Sonnenberg E, et al. Renal tumors: MR imaging-guided percutaneous cryotherapy—initial experience in 23 patients. *Radiology*. 2005; 236(2):716-724.
- ~~162-170.~~ Simon CJ, Dupuy DE, DiPetrillo TA, et al. Pulmonary radiofrequency ablation: long-term safety and efficacy in 153 patients. *Radiology*. 2007; 243(1):268-275.
- ~~163-171.~~ Simmons RM, Ballman KV, Cox C, et al.; ACOSOG investigators. A Phase II trial exploring the success of cryoablation therapy in the treatment of invasive breast carcinoma: results from ACOSOG (Alliance) Z1072. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2016; 23(8):2438-2445.
- ~~164-172.~~ Singletary SE. Radiofrequency ablation of breast cancer. *Am Surg*. 2003; 69(1):37-40.
- ~~165-173.~~ Steinke K, Glenn D, King J, et al. Percutaneous imaging-guided radiofrequency ablation in patients with colorectal pulmonary metastases: 1-year follow-up. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2004; 11(2):207-212.
- ~~166-174.~~ Sujiantarat N, Hong CS, Owusu KA, et al. Laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) vs. bevacizumab for radiation necrosis in previously irradiated brain metastases. *J Neurooncol*. 2020; 148(3):641-649.
- ~~167-175.~~ Sung HH, Park BK, Kim CK, et al. Comparison of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation and open partial nephrectomy for the treatment of size- and location-matched renal masses. *Int J Hyperthermia*. 2012; 28(3):227-234.
176. Tan CQY, Ho A, Robinson HA, et al. A systematic review of microwave ablation for colorectal pulmonary metastases. *Anticancer Res*. 2023a; 43(7):2899-2907.
177. Tan WP, Kotamarti S, Ayala A, et al. Oncological and functional outcomes for men undergoing salvage whole-gland cryoablation for radiation-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol Oncol*. 2023b; 6(3):289-294.
178. Tan WP, Kotamarti S, Chen E, et al. Oncological and functional outcomes of men undergoing primary whole gland cryoablation of the prostate: A 20-year experience. *Cancer*. 2022; 128(21):3824-3830.
- ~~168-179.~~ Tanagho YS, Bhayani SB, Kim EH, Figenschau RS. Renal cryoablation versus robot-assisted partial nephrectomy: Washington University long-term experience. *J Endourol*. 2013; 27(12):1477-1486.
- ~~169-180.~~ Tanrıverdi B, Erbahçeci Salık A, Çetingök H, et al. Multidisciplinary approach in the treatment of osteoid osteoma with radiofrequency ablation. *Jt Dis Relat Surg*. 2020; 31(2):255-259.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~170.181.~~ Tappero JW, Berger TG, Kaplan LD, et al. Cryotherapy for cutaneous Kaposi's sarcoma (KS) associated with acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS): a phase II trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 1991; 4(9):839-846.
- ~~171.182.~~ Tetta C, Carpenzano M, Algargoush ATJ, et al. Non-surgical treatments for lung metastases in patients with soft tissue sarcoma: stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA). *Curr Med Imaging.* 2021; 17(2):261-275.
- ~~172.183.~~ Tracy CR, Raman JD, Donnally C, et al. Durable oncologic outcomes after radiofrequency ablation: experience from treating 243 small renal masses over 7.5 years. *Cancer.* 2010; 116:3135-3142.
- ~~173.184.~~ Tselikas L, Garzelli L, Mercier O, et al. Radiofrequency ablation versus surgical resection for the treatment of oligometastatic lung disease. *Diagn Interv Imaging.* 2021; 102(1):19-26.
- ~~174.185.~~ Turtulici G, Orlandi D, Corazza A, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of benign thyroid nodules assisted by a virtual needle tracking system. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2014; 40(7):1447-1452.
- ~~175.186.~~ van de Voort EMF, Struik GM, Birnie E, et al. Thermal ablation as an alternative for surgical resection of small (≤ 2 cm) breast cancers: a meta-analysis. *Clin Breast Cancer.* 2021; S1526-8209(21)00059-8.
- ~~176.187.~~ van der Geest IC, de Valk MH, de Rooy JW, et al. Oncological and functional results of cryosurgical therapy of enchondromas and chondrosarcomas grade 1. *J Surg Oncol.* 2008; 98(6):421-426.
- ~~177.188.~~ Van Poppel H, Becker F, Cadeddu JA, et al. Treatment of localised renal cell carcinoma. *Eur Urol.* 2011; 60(4):662-672.
- ~~178.189.~~ Veltri A, Calvo A, Tosetti I, et al. Experiences in US-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of 44 renal tumors in 31 patients: analysis of predictors for complications and technical success. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2006; 29(5):811-818.
- ~~179.190.~~ Venkatesan AM, Wood BJ, Gervais DA. Percutaneous ablation in the kidney. *Radiology.* 2011; 261(2):375-391.
- ~~180.191.~~ Vora BMK, Munk PL, Somasundaram N, et al. Cryotherapy in extra-abdominal desmoid tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2021; 16(12): e0261657.
- ~~181.192.~~ Wah TM, Arellano RS, Gervais DA, et al. Image-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation and incidence of post-radiofrequency ablation syndrome: prospective survey. *Radiology.* 2005; 237(3):1097-1102.
- ~~182.193.~~ Wang Y, Lu X, Wang Y, et al. A prospective clinical trial of radiofrequency ablation for pulmonary metastases. *Mol Clin Oncol.* 2015; 3(3):559-562.
- ~~183.194.~~ Warlick CA, Lima GC, Allaf ME, et al. Clinical sequelae of radiographic iceball involvement of collecting system during computed tomography-guided percutaneous renal tumor cryoablation. *Urology.* 2006; 67(5):918-922.

~~Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.~~

~~Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.~~

~~No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.~~

~~This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.~~

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

- ~~184.~~195. Weld KJ, Figenshau RS, Venkatesh R, et al. Laparoscopic cryoablation for small renal masses: three-year follow-up. *Urology*. 2007; 69(3):448-451.
- ~~185.~~196. Weld KJ, Landman J. Comparison of cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation and high-intensity focused ultrasound for treating small renal tumours. *BJU Int*. 2005; 96(9):1224-1229.
- ~~186.~~197. Whitworth PW, Rewcastle JC. Cryoablation and cryolocalization in the management of breast disease. *J Surg Oncol*. 2005; 90(1):1-9.
- ~~187.~~198. Williams WW, Hogan JW, Ingelfinger JR. Time to eliminate health care disparities in the estimation of kidney function. *N Engl J Med*. 2021; 385(19):1804-1806.
- ~~188.~~199. Yan TD, King J, Ebrahimi A, et al. Hepatectomy and lung radiofrequency ablation for hepatic and subsequent pulmonary metastases from colorectal carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol*. 2007; 96(5):367-373.
- ~~189.~~200. Yanagisawa T, Mori K, Kawada T, et al. Differential efficacy of ablation therapy versus partial nephrectomy between clinical T1a and T1b renal tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Urol Oncol*. 2022; 40(7):315-330.
- ~~190.~~201. Yang MH, Tyan YS, Huang YH, et al. Comparison of radiofrequency ablation versus laparoscopic adrenalectomy for benign aldosterone-producing adenoma. *Radiol Med*. 2016; 121(10):811-819.
- ~~191.~~202. Yasui K, Kanazawa S, Sano Y, et al. Thoracic tumors treated with CT-guided radiofrequency ablation: initial experience. *Radiology*. 2004; 231(3):850-857.
203. Yuan Z, Wang Y, Zhang J, et al. A meta-analysis of clinical outcomes after radiofrequency ablation and microwave ablation for lung cancer and pulmonary metastases. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019; 16(3):302-314.
204. Ward JF, Jones JS. Focal cryotherapy for localized prostate cancer: a report from the national Cryo On-Line Database (COLD) Registry. *BJU Int*. 2012; 109(11):1648-1654.
- ~~192.~~205. Yin X, Cui L, Li F, et al. Radiofrequency ablation versus partial nephrectomy in treating small renal tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015; 94(50): e2255.
- ~~193.~~206. Zagoria RJ, Hawkins AD, Clark PE, et al. Percutaneous CT-guided radiofrequency ablation of renal neoplasms: factors influencing success. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004; 183(1):201-207.
- ~~194.~~207. Zargar H, Samarasekera D, Khalifeh A, et al. Laparoscopic vs percutaneous cryoablation for the small renal mass: 15-year experience at a single center. *Urology*. 2015; 85(4):850-855.
- ~~195.~~208. Zhao Z, Wu F. Minimally-invasive thermal ablation of early-stage breast cancer: a systemic review. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2010; 36(12):1149-1155.
- ~~196.~~209. Zhu JC, Yan TD, Morris DL. A systematic review of radiofrequency ablation for lung tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2008; 15(6):1765-1774.
- ~~197.~~210. Zisman A, Pantuck AJ, Wieder J, et al. Risk group assessment and clinical outcome algorithm to predict the natural history of patients with surgically resected renal cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20(23):4559-4566.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

1. American Society of Breast Surgeons. Use of transcutaneous and percutaneous methods for the treatment of benign and malignant tumors of the breast. Approved October 16, 2018. Available at: <https://www.breastsurgeons.org/docs/statements/Consensus-Guideline-on-the-Use-of-Transcutaneous-and-Percutaneous-Methods-for-the-Treatment-of-Benign-and-Malignant-Tumors-of-the-Breast.pdf> . Accessed on April 24, 2023.
2. American Urological Association. Clinically localized prostate cancer: AUA/ASTRO/SUO Guideline. 2022. Available at: <https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/guidelines/clinically-localized-prostate-cancer-uaa/astro-guideline-2022>. Accessed April 24, 2023.
3. American Urological Association. Renal mass and localized renal cancer: Evaluation, Management, and Follow-Up. AUA guideline. April 2021. Available at: <https://www.auanet.org/documents/Guidelines/PDF/Renal-Mass-Guideline.pdf>. Accessed on ~~April~~ August 15th, 2023~~2~~.
4. Campbell SC, Novick AC, Belldegrun A, et al.; Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Urological Association. Guideline for management of the clinical T1 renal mass. J Urol. 2009; 182(4):1271-1279.
5. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination for Cryosurgery of Prostate. NCD #230.9. Effective July 1, 2001. Available at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>. Accessed on April 24, 2023.
6. Delgado C, Baweja M, Crews DC, et al. A Unifying Approach for GFR Estimation: Recommendations of the NKF-ASN Task Force on Reassessing the Inclusion of Race in Diagnosing Kidney Disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2022; 79(2):268-288.e1.
7. Donington J, Ferguson M, Mazzone P, et al.; Thoracic Oncology Network of American College of Chest Physicians; Workforce on Evidence-Based Surgery of Society of Thoracic Surgeons. American College of Chest Physicians and Society of Thoracic Surgeons consensus statement for evaluation and management for high-risk patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Chest. 2012; 142(6):1620-1635.
8. Finelli A, Ismaila N, Bro B, et al. Management of small renal masses: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2017; 35(6):668-680.
9. Genshaft SJ, Suh RD, Abtin F, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology Multidisciplinary Position Statement on Percutaneous Ablation of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer and Metastatic Disease to the Lungs: Endorsed by the Canadian Association for Interventional Radiology, the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiological Society of Europe, and the Society of Interventional Oncology. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2021; 32(8):1241.e1-1241.e12.
- 9.10. Gharib H, Papini E, Garber JR, et al; AACE/ACE/AME Task Force on Thyroid Nodules. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American College of Endocrinology, And Associazione Medici

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Endocrinology Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Diagnosis And Management of Thyroid Nodules--2016 Update. *Endocr Pract.* 2016; 22(5):622-639.

- ~~10~~.11. Haugen BR, Alexander EK., Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer *Thyroid.* 2016; 26(1):1-133.
- ~~11~~.12. Jasim S, Patel KN, Randolph G, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinology Disease State Clinical Review: The Clinical Utility of Minimally Invasive Interventional Procedures in the Management of Benign and Malignant Thyroid Lesions. *Endocr Pract.* 2022; 28(4):433-448.
- ~~12~~.13. Morris CS, Baerlocher MO, Dariushnia SR, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology Position Statement on the Role of Percutaneous Ablation in Renal Cell Carcinoma: Endorsed by the Canadian Association for Interventional Radiology and the Society of Interventional Oncology. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2020; 31(2):189-194.e3.
- ~~13~~.14. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology®: 2023 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. For additional information visit the NCCN website: <http://www.nccn.org/index.asp>. Accessed on May-July 2415, 2023.
- Adult Cancer Pain (V1.2023). Revised March 7, 2023.
 - Bone Cancer (V3.2023). Revised April 4, 2023.
 - Breast Cancer (V4.2023). Revised March 23, 2023.
 - Central Nervous Systems Cancers (V1.2023). Revised March 24, 2023.
 - Cervical Cancer (V1.2023). Revised January 6, 2023.
 - Colon Cancer (V~~2~~4.2023). Revised March-April 259, 2023.
 - Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers (V2.2023). Revised March 10, 2023.
 - Head and Neck Cancers (V2.2023). Revised May 15, 2023.
 - Kidney Cancer (V~~14~~14.202~~43~~43). Revised June~~January~~ 218, 2023.
 - Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors (V2.2022). Revised December 22, 2022.
 - Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. (V~~32~~32.2023). Revised February-April 137, 2023.
 - Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma (V2.202~~32~~32). Revised December-June 196, 202~~32~~32.
 - Prostate Cancer (V1.2023). Revised September 16, 2022.
 - Rectal Cancer (V~~31~~31.2023). Revised May~~Feb~~ 269, 2023.
 - Soft Tissue Sarcoma (V~~24~~24.2023). Revised April~~March~~ 2513, 2023.
 - Thyroid Carcinoma (V~~24~~24.2023). Revised May~~Feb~~ 1824, 2023.
- ~~14~~.15. Orloff LA, Noel JE, Stack BC Jr, et al. Radiofrequency ablation and related ultrasound-guided ablation technologies for treatment of benign and malignant thyroid disease: An international multidisciplinary consensus statement of the American Head and Neck Society Endocrine Surgery Section with the Asia Pacific Society of Thyroid Surgery, Associazione Medici Endocrinologi, British Association of Endocrine and Thyroid

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Surgeons, European Thyroid Association, Italian Society of Endocrine Surgery Units, Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology, Latin American Thyroid Society, and Thyroid Nodules Therapies Association. Head Neck. 2022; 44(3):633-660.

- ~~15-16.~~ Smallridge RC, Ain KB, Asa SL, et al.; American Thyroid Association Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer Guidelines Taskforce. American Thyroid Association guidelines for management of patients with anaplastic thyroid cancer. Thyroid. 2012; 22(11):1104-1139.
- ~~16-17.~~ Sun F, Oyesanmi O, Fontanarosa J, et al. Therapies for Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: Update of a 2008 Systematic Review. Comparative Effectiveness Reviews No. 146. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. December 2014. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK269320/?report=reader>. Accessed on April 24, 2023.
- ~~17-18.~~ Wells SA Jr, Asa SL, Dralle H, et al. Revised American Thyroid Association Guidelines for the management of medullary thyroid carcinoma: the American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma. Thyroid. 2015; 25(6):567-610.

Websites for Additional Information

- American Cancer Society. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/>. Accessed on April 24, 2023.
- National Institute of Health (NIH). National Cancer Institute (NCI).
 - Cancer Facts. Cryosurgery in Cancer Treatment: Questions and Answers. Updated June 21, 2021. Available at: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Therapy/cryosurgery>. Accessed on April 24, 2023.
 - NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms. Available at: <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms>. Accessed on April 24, 2023.

Index

Bony Metastases, Treatment of
Cancer
Cryoablation
Cryosurgery
Cryosurgical Ablation
Kidney Cancer
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
Lung Cancer
Osteoid Osteomas

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA)
Renal Cell Carcinoma
Solid Tumor

The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

History

Status	Date	Action
<u>Revised</u>	<u>08/10/2023</u>	<u>Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review. Retitled document to add microwave ablation to the clinical indications. Added cryoablation and microwave ablation to the MN indications for NSCLC and malignant tumors that have metastasized to the lung. Added NMN statements regarding focal cryoablation of the prostate and microwave ablation for all other indications. Revised MN indication for cryoablation of the prostate to whole gland cryoablation of the prostate. Reordered clinical indications to be based on clinical condition rather than ablative technique. Updated Coding section, including adding codes 19499, 53850, 53852, C9751, 00500Z3, 00503Z3, 00504Z3, 0G5G0Z3, 0G5G3Z3, 0G5G4Z3, 0G5H0Z3, 0G5H3Z3, 0G5H4Z3, 0G5K0Z3, 0G5K3Z3, 0G5K4Z3.</u>
Revised	05/11/2023	Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review. Removed criteria that individual must be high renal or surgical risk from the cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation criteria for clinically localized, suspected renal malignancies. Updated Description, Discussion and References sections.
Revised	05/12/2022	MPTAC review. Revised title from <i>Cryosurgical or Radiofrequency Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver</i> to <i>Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver</i> . Removed the reference to glomerular filtration rate from the radiofrequency and cryosurgical ablation treatment of renal cancer. Added the term “metastatic” to the radiofrequency ablation treatment of metastatic lung cancer to clarify extra-pulmonary disease. Added not medically necessary statement for laser ablation therapy. Removed examples from the cryosurgical and radiofrequency ablation not medically necessary statements. Updated

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Cryosurgical, Radiofrequency, Microwave or Laser Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver

		Description, Discussion, Definitions and References sections. Updated Coding section; added codes 61736, 61737, 0673T and 60699 NOC.
Reviewed	05/13/2021	MPTAC review. Updated Discussion, Definitions, References and Websites sections. Reformatted Coding section.
Reviewed	05/14/2020	MPTAC review. Updated Discussion, References and Websites sections.
Revised	11/07/2019	MPTAC review. Moved content from CG-SURG-62 Radiofrequency Ablation to Treat Tumors Outside the Liver into this guideline. Revised title from <i>Cryosurgical Ablation of Solid Tumors Outside the Liver</i> to <i>Cryosurgical or Radiofrequency Ablation to Treat Solid Tumors Outside the Liver</i> . Updated Coding section with radiofrequency ablation coding and 01/01/2020 CPT changes; added 0581T.
Reviewed	11/08/2018	MPTAC review.
Reviewed	10/31/2018	Hematology/Oncology Subcommittee review. Updated Rationale and References sections. Updated Coding section with ICD-10-PCS codes 0B5K3ZZ, 0B5L3ZZ, 0B5M3ZZ.
New	11/02/2017	MPTAC review.
New	11/01/2017	Hematology/Oncology Subcommittee review. Initial document development. Moved content of SURG.00025 Cryosurgical Ablation of Solid Tumors Outside the Liver to new clinical utilization management guideline document with the same title. Updated Rationale section. Updated Coding section with 01/01/2018 CPT changes; removed 0340T deleted 12/31/2017.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines. We reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical guidelines approved by the Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee are available for general adoption by plans or lines of business for consistent review of the medical necessity of services related to the clinical guideline when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether to implement a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the member's card.

Alternatively, commercial or FEP plans or lines of business which determine there is not a need to adopt the guideline to review services generally across all providers delivering services to Plan's or line of business's members may instead use the clinical guideline for provider education and/or to review the medical necessity of services for any provider who has been notified that his/her/its claims will be reviewed for medical necessity due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers, in terms of frequency or in some other manner.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

This Clinical UM Guideline is intended to provide assistance in interpreting Healthy Blue's standard Medicaid benefit plan. When evaluating insurance coverage for the provision of medical care, federal, state and/or contractual requirements must be referenced, since these may limit or differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state and/or contractual requirements for the applicable benefit plan coverage will govern. Healthy Blue reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary and in accordance with legal and contractual requirements. This Clinical UM Guideline is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice. Healthy Blue may also use tools and criteria developed by third parties, to assist us in administering health benefits. Healthy Blue's Policies and Guidelines are intended to be used in accordance with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.