# POLICY- AND- PROCEDURE

POLICY-NAME:-Adverse-Determination-(Denial)	POLICY-ID:-LA.UM.07	
Notices		
BUSINESS-UNIT:-LHCCLouisiana Healthcare	FUNCTIONAL-AREA:-PHCOutilization	
Connections	<u>Management</u>	
<b>EFFECTIVEDATE:-</b> _09/01/2011	PRODUCT(S):Medicaid	
<b>REVIEWED/REVISED</b> - <b>DATE</b> :10/13,7/14,11/14,2/15,9/15,9/16,9/17,9/18,8/19,6/20,5/21,11/21,		
12/22 <u>, 09/2023</u>		
REGULATOR- MOST- RECENT- APPROVAL - DATE(S):- p/2 Refer to system of record - Archer		

## **POLICY- STATEMENT:**

All areas and departments within Centene Corporation and its subsidiaries must have written Policies and Procedures that address core business processes related to, among other things, compliance with laws and regulations, accreditation standards and/or contractual requirements.

This policy outlines adverse determination notices.

### **PURPOSE:**

<u>The purpose of Tthis-policy-outlines is to outline</u> the denial types and identifyies how enrollees and practitioners are to be notified of adverse benefit determinations. <u>To This policy also</u> ensures enrollees and practitioners receive sufficient information to understand and decide whether to appeal an adverse determination to deny care or coverage.

### SCOPE:

This policy applies to employees of the Utilization Management (UM) Department. This includes officers, directors, consultants, and temporary workers (collectively, the "Plan").

Louisiana Healthcare Connections (Plan) Population Health and Clinical Operations Department

### **DEFINITIONS:**

Action:-The-denial-or-limited-authorization-of-a-requested-service,-including-the-type-or-level-of-service;-the reduction,-suspension-or-termination-of-a-previously-authorized-service;-the-denial,-in-whole-or-part-of payment-for-a-service;-the-failure-to-provide-services-in-a-timely-manner-(as-defined-by-Louisiana Department of Health (LDH)LDH);-or-the-failure-to-act-within-the-timeframes-for-the-resolution-of-grievances and-appeals-as-described-in-42-CFR-438.400(b);-and-in-the-rural-area-with-only-one-Managed Care Organization (MCO),-the-denial-of-a-enrollee's-right-to-obtain-services-outside-the-provider-network-as described-in-438.52(b)(2)(ii).

# Adverse Benefit Determination: Includes any of the following:

- The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including determinations based on the type or level of service, requirements for medical necessity, appropriateness, setting, or effectiveness of a covered benefit.
- The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service.
- The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service.
- The failure to provide services in a timely manner, as defined by the State.
- The failure of the Plan to act within State specific contractual timeframes from the date the Plan receives a grievance, or from the date the Plan receives an appeal.
- For a resident of a rural area with only one (1) managed care entity, the denial of an enrollee's request to exercise the right to obtain services outside the network.
- The denial of an enrollee's request to dispute a financial liability including cost sharing, copayments, premiums, deductibles, coinsurance, and other enrollee financial liabilities.

**Appeal:** A request to change an adverse decision made by the Plan. A enrollee or the enrollee's authorized representative may appeal an adverse decision.

**Appropriate Practitioner:** An organization representative who makes UM denial decisions. Depending on the type of case, the reviewer may be a physician, pharmacist, chiropractor, dentist, or other practitioner type, as appropriate.

Business Day: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday, excluding sState-designated holidays. In

computing a period of time prescribed in business duays, the date of the triggering act or event is not to be included. The last day of the period is to be included, unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday, or a State-designated holiday, in which event the period shall run until the end of the next day that falls on a business busi

Concurrent Request: A request for coverage of medical care or services made while an enrollee is in the process of receiving the requested medical care or services, even if the organization did not previously approve the earlier care. This includes any review for an extension of a previously approved, ongoing course of treatment over a period of time or number of treatments and requests for initial authorizations, which are requested after care has been initiated but not completed. Concurrent reviews are typically associated with inpatient care or ongoing ambulatory care.

<u>Insufficient Clinical Information:</u> Lack of any clinical information, even a diagnosis, required to determine medical necessity of a covered service.

Level I Review: First level of medical necessity review performed by clinical UM staff using applicable criteria.

**Level II Review:** Second level of medical necessity review. Performed by Plan medical director or another designated qualified practitioner. See associated policy for further description.

Medical Necessity: Services or supplies for diagnosing, evaluating, treating, or preventing an injury, illness, condition, or disease, based on evidence-based clinical standards of care. Medically necessary services are accepted health care services and supplies provided by health care entities, appropriate to evaluation and treatment of a disease, condition, illness, or injury and consistent with the applicable standard of care. Determination of medical necessity is based on specific criteria.

Non-urgent request: A request for medical care or services for which application of the time periods for making a decision does not jeopardize the life or health of the enrollee or the enrollee's ability to regain maximum function and would not subject the enrollee to severe pain.

Notice of Adverse Benefit Determination: A written notice of any decision by the Plan regarding an adverse benefit determination as for Medicaid enrollees.

Post Service Request/Retrospective Review: A request for coverage of medical care or services that have been received.

Provider: Attending Physician, ordering provider, or facility

<u>Service Authorization Request</u>: A request by a enrollee, or a provider on the enrollee's behalf, to the Plan for the provision of a service, including a request for a referral or for a non-covered service.

<u>Urgent Request:</u> A request for medical care or services where application of the time frame for making routine or non-life-threatening care determinations:

- Could seriously jeopardize the life or health of the enrollee or the enrollee's ability to regain maximum function, based on a prudent layperson's judgment, or
- Could seriously jeopardize the life, health or safety of the enrollee or others, due to the enrollee's psychological state, or
- In the opinion of a practitioner with knowledge of the enrollee's medical or behavioral condition, would subject the enrollee to adverse health consequences without the care or treatment that is the subject of the request.

Appropriate practitioner: An organization representative who makes UM denial decisions. Depending on the type of case, the reviewer may be a physician, chiropractor, or other practitioner type, as appropriate.

**Level II Review**: Second level of medical necessity review. Performed by Plan medical director or other designated qualified practitioner.

# **POLICY:**

Upon any adverse benefit determination (denial) made in a Leve II Review by the medical director, or other appropriately licensed health care professional Upon any adverse determination for standard or pre-authorized medical services made by the Plan medical director or other appropriately licensed health care professional (as indicated-by-case-type),-a-written-notification,-at-a-minimum,-will beis-communicated-to-the-enrollee-and treating/attending-provider.-The individual making these determinations is required to attest that no adverse determination will be made regarding any medical procedure or service outside of the scope of such individual's expertise. No written notification is required[KF1][CF2] to the enrollee for concurrent inpatient adverse determinations. All notifications will be provided within the timeframes as noted in Adverse determinations include both medical necessity and benefit denials. All notifications are provided within the timeframes as noted in LA.UM.05- Timeliness

of\_UM\_Decisions\_and\_Notifications-policy.-The\_Plan-shall-notifiesy\_the\_enrollee and provider AB3],-in-writing using-language-that-is-easily-understood-by-the-enrollee,-of-decisions-determinations to-deny-a-service authorization-request, or-to-authorize-a-service-in-an-amount,-duration,-or-scope-that-is-less-than-requested, (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.2.1).- and-include-the-specific-reason/rationale-for-the-determination,-as-well-as-the availability,-process,-and-timeframes-for-to-appeal-of-the-decision.-(Model Contract 2.12.6.4.2.1).-The-letter-to the-provider-will-also-includes-a-copy-of-the-criteria-used-to-make-the-decision.-(LARS §46:460.74.(B)+B 424/Act-330, Model Contract 2.12.5.2.1 [AB4]).

The\_Plan\_will-provides\_availability of an-appropriate\_practitioner\_reviewer\_to\_discuss\_any\_Utilization Management (UM)\_adverse\_determination\_decisions\_with\_the\_treating\_or\_attending\_physician.

The Plan gives enrollees and providers timely and adequate notice of an adverse benefit determination in writing consistent with 42 CFR § 438.404 and § 438.10.

It is the Plan's policy to make determinations on service authorization requests as expeditiously as possible, and within the federal and state-specified timeframes, and to notify the enrollee, enrollee's designee and/or an enrollee's health care provider of adverse benefit determinations as promptly as possible.

Availability of such Peer-to-Peer discussion and how to initiate such communications may be conveyed to providers through various avenues including, but not limited to, the provider handbook, provider newsletter, verbal denial notification, and/or within the written adverse determination letter. (Refer to Informal Reconsideration in *LA.UM.05 Timeliness of Decisions and Notifications* policy).

Upon\_request[KF5]\_by Louisiana Department of Health (LDH)\_or\_the\_enrollee,-the\_Plan\_will beis\_responsible\_for promptly\_forwarding\_any\_adverse\_decisions\_to\_LDH-for\_further\_review/action.-LDH-may\_submit recommendations\_to-the-Plan-regarding-the-merits-or-suggested-resolution-of-any-grievance/appeal.[CF6]

#### PROCEDURE:

## **Level I Review**

### **Administrative Denials**

A requested service may be denied during a level I review for non-clinical reasons, such as enrollee ineligibility, failure to obtain prior authorization, failure to provide timely notification (for a post service request), or failure to receive any clinical information (note: diagnosis alone is considered sufficient clinical information).

Requests based on benefits are not considered to be administrative denials and follow the process outlined in this policy and associated policies.

### **Benefit Denials**

<u>Determination by a medical director is not required for requests for services that are specifically excluded from enrollees'</u> Plan or that exceed limits or restrictions noted in the Plan and may be denied during a level 1 review.

Note: Benefit restrictions are specific to the Plan package.

Examples of benefit determinations include, but are not limited to:

- Requests for additional physical therapy visits when the Plan clearly states a specified amount are covered.
- Authorization for eyeglasses when vision care is specifically excluded from the Plan.
- Requests for abortion services when such services are specifically excluded from the Plan.
- Medical equipment or supplies for which the codes are specifically listed as excluded from coverage.

Medical director review is required if clinical judgment is needed to determine if a service may be covered, depending on the circumstances. Such requests follow the level II review process outlined below. Examples of such requests, which are considered medical necessity decisions, include but are not limited to:

- Breast reduction surgery for back pain, versus cosmetic reasons.
- Use of an out-of-network provider if no in-network provider has the appropriate clinical experience.
- Experimental procedure unless the requested service is specifically listed as excluded from the enrollee's Plan.

### **Insufficient Information**

For requests when there is insufficient information to determine services requested and conditions to be treated, the clinical reviewer makes at least three (3) outreach attempts via phone or fax to the requesting provider to attempt to gather needed information.

- The clinical reviewer clearly specifies what information is needed and a deadline for information to be provided in order to make a determination based on medical necessity.
- Clinical reviewers make outreach attempts allowing as much time as possible for the provider to respond depending on the contractually defined turnaround time for the authorization decision.
- Clinical reviewers document outreach attempts in the clinical documentation system.

For services that require prior authorization, if the Plan receives any clinical information, including only a diagnosis, the request must be reviewed by an appropriate professional and sent for level II review if unable to approve.

# **Administrative Denials**

An adverse benefit determination that is not based on medical necessity but rather based on specific contractual requirements related to authorization rules, such as covered benefits and prior authorization notification requirements. A requested service may be denied during a Level I review for non-clinical reasons, such as enrollee ineligibility, failure to obtain prior authorization, failure to provide timely notification, or failure to receive any clinical information (note: diagnosis alone is considered sufficient clinical information).

Requests based on benefits are not considered to be administrative denials and follow the process outlined in this policy and associated policies.

# Administrative Non-medical Necessity/ Contractual Adverse Benefit Denials

An adverse benefit determination that is not based on medical necessity but rather based on specific contractual requirements related to authorization rules, such as covered benefits and prior authorization notification requirements. Determination by a medical director or appropriate practitioner reviewer is not required for requests for services that

are specifically excluded from enrollees' benefit plan or that exceed limits or restrictions noted in the benefit plan and may be denied during a Level 1 review. Note: benefit restrictions are specific to the Plan and product.

Examples of benefit determinations include, but are not limited to:

- Requests for additional physical therapy visits when the benefit plan clearly states a specified amount are covered.
- Medical equipment or supplies for which the codes are specifically listed as excluded from coverage.

Secondary Advisor Review is required if clinical judgment is needed to determine if a service may be covered, depending on the circumstances. Such requests follow the Level II review process outlined below. Examples of such requests, which are considered medical necessity decisions, include but are not limited to:

- Breast reduction surgery for back pain, versus cosmetic reasons.
- Use of an out-of-network provider if no in-network provider has the appropriate clinical experience.
- Experimental procedure unless the requested service is specifically listed as excluded from the enrollee's benefit plan.

## **Insufficient Information**

When insufficient clinical information is received to determine medical necessity for requested service(s), attempts to obtain additional information are made and are documented in the clinical documentation system. If the Plan receives any clinical information, including only a diagnosis, the request must be reviewed by an appropriate professional and sent for Level II review if unable to approve.

## Level- II- Review

During- a- level- II- medical necessity review,- the- medical- director- or- appropriate- practitioner- reviewer- may- make an\_adverse\_determination\_to\_deny,\_terminate,\_or-\_reduce\_services.-The Plan ensures that only licensed clinical professionals with appropriate clinical expertise in the treatment of an enrollee's condition or disease and training in the use of any required assessments determine service authorization request denials or authorize a service in an amount, duration or scope that is less than requested. (Model Contract 2.12.5.2) The Plan shall ensure that only licensed clinical professionals with appropriate clinical expertise in the treatment of an enrollee's condition or disease shall determine service authorization request denials or authorize a service in an amount, duration or scope that is less than requested. The Plan notifies the requesting provider of a determination to deny an authorization or reauthorization request or to authorize or reauthorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested. The Plan provides written notification to the provider rendering the service, whether a health care professional or facility or both, within two (2) business days of making the determination. (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.2.2) The Plan shall notify the requesting provider of a decision to deny an authorization or reauthorization request or to authorize or reauthorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested. The Plan shall notify the provider rendering the service, whether a health care professional or facility or both, verbally or as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires but not more than one (1) business day of making the initial determination and shall provide documented confirmation of such written notification to the provider within two (2) business days of making the initial determination. (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.2)

It is Plan policy to not to suspend, terminate, or reduce previously authorized services, honoring authorizations through the end of the authorization period. However, in the event of termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized covered services, the Plan mails the Adverse Benefit Determination at least ten (10) calendar days before the date of the Adverse Benefit Determination is to start OR not later than the date of the Adverse Benefit Determination in the event of one of the following exceptions:

- The Plan has factual information confirming the death of an enrollee.
- The Plan receives a clear statement signed by the enrollee that he or she no longer wishes services or gives information that requires termination or reduction of services and indicates that he or she understands that this must be the result of supplying that information.
- The enrollee has been admitted to an institution and becomes ineligible under the Plan for further services.
- The enrollee's whereabouts are unknown, and the post office returns Plan mail directed to the enrollee indicating no forwarding address (refer to 42 CFR 431.231(d) for procedures if the enrollee's address becomes known.)
- The Plan establishes the fact that the recipient has been accepted for Medicaid services by another local jurisdiction, State, territory, or commonwealth.
- A change in the level of medical care is prescribed by the recipient's physician.
- The notice involves an adverse benefit determination made regarding the preadmission screening requirements of section 1919(e)(7) of the Social Security Act; or
- The date of action occurs in less than ten (10) days, in accordance with 42 CFR 483, which provides exceptions to the 30-day notice requirements of 42 CFR 483.12(a). This exception is related to the admission, transfer, and discharge rights, in which before a facility transfer or discharges a resident the facility must notify the enrollee, the family enrollee or legal representative at least 30 days before the transfer or discharge.
- The Plan may shorten the period of advance notice to five (5) calendar days before date of action if the Plan has facts indicating that action should be taken because of probable enrollee fraud and the facts have been verified, if possible, though secondary sources.

An appeal may be filed orally or in writing within 60 days from the date on the Notice of Adverse Benefit Determination and, except when expedited resolution is required, must be followed with a written notice within ten (10) days of oral filling. The date of the oral filling constitutes the date of receipt.

The Plan must mail the Adverse Notice of Action within the following timeframes:

- For termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized Medicaid-covered services, at least ten (10) days before the date of action.
- In cases of verified enrollee fraud, or when LDH has facts indicating that action should be taken because of probable enrollee fraud, at least five (5) days before the date of action.
- By the date of action for the following:
  - o in the death of an enrollee
  - if the enrollee submits a signed written recipient statement requesting service termination or giving information requiring termination or reduction of services (where he/she understands that this must be the result of supplying that information);
  - the enrollee's admission to an institution where he/she is eligible for further services;
  - the enrollee's address is unknown, and mail directed to him/her has no forwarding address;
  - the enrollee has been accepted for Medicaid services by another local jurisdiction; or
  - o the enrollee's physician prescribes the change in the level of medical care; or
  - o as otherwise permitted under 42 CFR §431.213.
- For denial of payment, at the time of any action affecting the claim.

The\_adverse\_decision\_and\_rationale\_for\_the\_determination\_will\_beis\_documented\_in\_the\_clinical\_documentation system- notes.

# Notification- of- Reviewer- Availability:

• The\_Plan-\_medical-\_director-\_or-\_appropriate-\_practitioner-\_reviewer-\_serves-\_as-\_the-\_point-\_of-\_contact-\_for treating- practitioners- calling- in- with- questions- about- the- UM- process- and/or- case- determinations.

- Treating practitioners are notified of availability of an appropriate practitioner reviewer to discuss any UM denial decisions through the Provider Handbook (available in hard copy and on plan provider website), new provider orientation, and/or the provider newsletter.
- The Plan medical director or appropriate practitioner reviewer may be contacted by calling the Plan's main toll-free phone number and asking for the Plan medical director. A Plan clinical reviewer may also coordinate communication between the Plan medical director and treating practitioner.

Treating-\_practitioners-\_are-\_provided-\_with-\_the-\_opportunity-\_to-\_discuss-\_any-\_<del>medical or behavioral health-</del>UM-\_denial decisions-\_with-\_a-\_physician-\_or-\_other-\_appropriate-\_reviewer.-\_Only-\_the-\_treating-\_physician/provider-\_may-\_participate in-\_this-\_peer-to-peer-\_discussion.

- At\_the\_time\_of\_verbal\_notification\_to\_the\_requesting\_practitioner/facility\_of\_an\_adverse\_determination,\_the
   reviewer or designee correspondence nurse will-notifiesy\_the\_requester\_of\_the\_opportunity\_for\_the\_treating
   physician\_to\_discuss\_the\_case\_directly\_with\_the\_Plan\_medical\_director\_or\_applicable\_practitioner
   reviewer\_making\_the\_determination.
  - The\_time\_and\_date\_of\_both\_the\_denial\_notification\_and\_the\_offer\_of\_physician\_reviewer\_availability is- documented- in- the- system- notes.
- Practitioner/facility\_notification\_that\_a\_physician\_or\_other\_appropriate\_reviewer\_is\_available\_to\_discuss the-denial-decision- is- also- included- in- the- written- denial- notification.

# Written Notification for Medical Necessity Adverse Determination:

The written notice to enrollees and their treating practitioners must explain the following:

- The adverse benefit determination the Plan has made or intends to make.
- The enrollee specific reasons for the adverse benefit determination in easily understandable language, including the right of the enrollee to be provided upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to and copies of all documents, records, and other information relevant to the enrollee's adverse benefit determination. Such information includes medical necessity criteria, and any processes, strategies, or evidentiary standards used in setting coverage limits.
- A reference to the benefit provision, guideline, protocol, or other similar criterion on which the denial decision is based.
- The Plan gives practitioners the opportunity to discuss healthcare UM denial decisions with a physician or other appropriate reviewer.
- An explanation of the appeal process, including the enrollee's right to representation by anyone (including an attorney), contact information for the state Office of Health Insurance Consumer Assistance or ombudsman (if applicable), the time frames for filing and deciding appeals, exhausting the internal appeal process and the circumstances under which additional external appeal rights are available and how to request them, including State fair hearings where applicable.
- The enrollee's right to request an appeal of the Plan's adverse benefit determination, based on product line, as information on exhausting the Plan's one level of appeal described at § 438.402(b) and the right to request a State fair hearing consistent with § 438.402(c).
- A description of appeal rights, including the right to submit written comments, documents, or other information relevant to the appeal.
- The Notice of Action letter will be sent from the clinical documentation system and includes:
  - The enrollee specific action and reason for the denial, in easily understood language.
  - Notification that oral interpretation is available for all languages at no cost and how to access it.
  - A reference to the benefit provision, guideline, protocol, or other similar criterion on which the denial decision is based.
  - The medical necessity criteria used in the denial by providing one of the following:

- Providing instructions for accessing the applicable law, regulation, policy, procedure, or medical criteria or guideline OR
- A copy of the applicable law, regulation, policy, procedure, or medical criteria or guideline
- A description of appeal rights, including the right to submit written comments, documents, or other information relevant to the appeal.
- An explanation of the appeal process, including the enrollee's right for representation by anyone including an attorney and time frames for deciding appeals and the circumstances under which a State Fair Hearing is available, after the appeal process has been exhausted, and how to request one.
- A description of the expedited appeal process including under what circumstances an expedited appeal
  can be requested, how to request an expedited appeal, and the time frames for resolution of an expedited
  appeal.
- The enrollee's right to have benefits continue pending resolution of an appeal, how to request that benefits be continued, and the circumstances under which the enrollee may be required to repay the costs of these services. (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.2.1[AB9])
- The medical director or appropriate practitioner reviewer may work with the correspondence nurse [CF10] to draft the denial letter.
- The letter must have the signature of the Plan medical director or appropriate practitioner reviewer making the adverse determination.

The adverse determination letter will be mailed within the timeframes as indicated in LA.UM.05 Timeliness of UM Decisions and Notifications policy.

• The Plan will assist any enrollee requesting assistance in understanding an adverse determination notice, including any enrollee with special communication needs such as large print, Braille, audio CD, in a different language (each prevalent non-English language in the service area), or another format.

# Informal\_Reconsideration-\_/-\_Peer\_to-\_Peer[AB11][KF12]

As part of the Plan's appeal procedures, the Plan includes an informal reconsideration process that allows the enrollee (or provider/agent on behalf of an enrollee) a reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and allegations of fact or law, in person and in writing. In accordance with Model Contract Section 2.12.6.4.3.1 and Title 37, Part XIII, §6219, the Plan will provide the enrollee and the provider the opportunity to request an informal reconsideration process that allows the enrollee a reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and allegations of fact or law, in person, as well as in writing(Model Contract Section 2.12.6.4.3.1).- In a case involving an initial determination or a concurrent review determination, the Plan provides the enrollee or a provider acting on behalf of the enrollee and with the enrollee's written consent an opportunity to request an informal reconsideration of an adverse determination by the physician or clinical peer making the adverse determination in [KF13](Model Contract Section 2.12.6.4.3.2). Plan should provide the enrollee, or a provider acting on behalf of the enrollee and with the enrollee's written consent, an opportunity to request an informal reconsideration of an adverse determination by the physician or clinical peer making the adverse determination.

- For all medical necessity adverse determinations, the plan will give the enrollee and provider rendering the service an opportunity to request, on behalf of the enrollee, an informal reconsideration of an adverse determination by the physician or clinical reviewer making the adverse determination. [AB14]
- The\_enrollee\_and\_provider\_<u>will\_beare\_</u>\_allowed\_a\_ten\_\_(10)\_day\_period\_following\_the\_date\_of\_the\_adverse determination\_to\_request\_such\_[KF15]
- The informal reconsideration occurs within one (1) business day of the receipt of the request and is conducted between the provider rendering the service and the Contractor's Plan's physician authorized to make adverse determinations or a clinical peer designated by the medical director if the physician who made the adverse determination cannot be available within one (1) business day (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.3.3). The reconsideration shall occur within one (1) working day of the receipt of the request, at the convenience of the requesting provider, and shall be conducted between the enrollee and provider rendering the service and the Plan's Medical Director or a clinical peer designated by the medical director if the physician who made the adverse determination cannot be available within one (1) working day.
  - The\_Grievance[KF16] and Appeals Coordinator scheduler willPlan\_makes\_three\_attempts\_by\_phone\_to reach\_the\_provider\_to\_schedule\_an\_appointment\_with\_one\_of\_LHCC's\_the Plan's\_medical\_directors.
     Should\_all\_three\_attempts\_fail,\_the\_request\_for\_an\_informal\_reconsideration\_will beis\_closed\_at\_5:00

- p.m.-\_on-\_the-\_<del>working-business</del>\_day-\_following-\_the-\_date-\_of-\_receipt-\_of-\_the-\_request-\_and-\_the-\_adverse determination-\_may-\_be-\_appealed-\_by-\_the-\_enrollee-\_or-\_the-\_provider-\_on-\_behalf-\_of-\_the-\_enrollee.
- A-\_request-\_for-\_consideration-\_will-beis-\_considered-\_invalid-\_in-\_instances-\_when-\_the-\_provider-\_has-failsed to-\_include-\_clinical-\_documentation-\_in-\_their-\_request-\_for-\_prior-\_authorization-\_or-\_in-\_the-\_case-\_of-\_a provider-\_representing-\_an-\_enrollee-\_the-\_provider-\_failsed-\_to-\_provide-\_the-\_enrollee's-\_written-\_consent. The-\_request-\_for-\_an-\_informal-\_reconsideration-\_will beis-\_closed-\_and-\_the-\_adverse-\_determination-\_may be-\_appealed-\_by-\_the-\_enrollee-\_or-\_the-\_provider-\_on-\_behalf-\_of-\_the-\_enrollee.
- o In-\_an-\_instance-\_where-\_a-\_provider-\_fails-\_to-\_call-in-\_or-\_calls-\_in-\_later-\_than-\_15-\_minutes-\_after-\_their scheduled-\_review-\_time-\_the-\_denial-\_decision-\_<u>will-beis-</u>\_upheld.-\_The-\_request-\_for-\_an-\_informal reconsideration-\_<u>will-beis-</u>\_closed-\_and-\_the-\_adverse-\_determination-\_may-\_be-\_appealed-\_by-\_the-\_enrollee or- the- provider- on- behalf- of- the- enrollee.

- The\_peer-to-peer\_discussion\_(which\_could\_include\_the\_enrollee's\_input)-and\_outcome\_will beare documented\_in\_the\_clinical\_documentation\_system\_by\_the\_medical\_director\_or\_the\_clinical\_peer\_as appropriate.
- If\_the\_informal\_reconsideration\_process\_does\_not\_resolve\_the\_differences\_of\_opinion,-the\_adverse determination\_may\_be\_appealed\_by\_the\_enrollee\_or\_the\_provider\_on\_behalf\_of\_the\_enrollee.\_Informal reconsideration\_is\_not\_a\_prerequisite\_to\_a\_standard\_appeal\_or\_an\_expedited\_appeal\_of\_an\_adverse determination.
- The\_informal\_reconsideration\_will\_in-no-way-extend\_the-thirty-(30)-<u>Calendar-day-required-timeframe</u>
   for- a- Notice- of- Appeal- Resolution (Model Contract 2.12.6.4.3.4).

•

### **REFERENCES:**

LA MCO Model Contract:

2.12.5.2

2.12.6.4.2.1-2

2.12.6.4.3.1-4

42 CFR § 431.231(d) Reinstating Services

42 CFR § 438.10 Information requirements

42 CFR § 483.12(a) Freedom from abuse, neglect, and exploitation

42 CFR § 438.400(b) Grievance and Appeal System

42 CFR § 438.402(b-c) Grievance and Appeal System

42 CFR § 438.404 Grievance and Appeal System

42 CFR § 438.52(b)(2)(ii) State Responsibilities

NCQA Health Plan Standards and Guidelines UM7(Elements A-C)

Louisiana Revised Statue (LARS) §46:460.74.(B)House Bill 424- Act 330

Louisiana Revised Statue (LARS) §46:460.54

LA.UM.05 Timeliness of UM Decisions and NotificationsLA MCO Model Contract

Health Plan Advisory 12-9 April 25, 2013: Clarification of Provider Disputes Relative to Denied Claims and

Services[KF17][KF18]

Title 37, Part XIII, §6217- §6219 [KF19]

Code of Federal Regulation: 42 CFR 422; 438,400

Current NCQA Health Plan Standards and Guidelines

LA.UM.05 Timeliness of UM Decisions and Notifications

House Bill 424- Acct 330

LA.UM.01 Utilization Management Program Description

LA.UM.04 Appropriate UM Processionals

# ATTACHMENTS:- N/A

### **ROLES- &- RESPONSIBILITIES:- N/A**

REGULATORY-REPORTING-REQUIREMENTS: LARS §46:460.54 applies to material changes for this policy. Which regulator(s) require reporting, what should be reported, when to report, and how to report/who to contact.

### **REVISION-LOG**

REVISION TYPE	REVISION-SUMMARY	DATE APPROVED& PUBLISHED
AdHoc Review	Reviewedwithoutchange.	10/2013
AdHoc Review	Clarificationofwrittennotificationtomembers	07/24/14
AdHoc Review	LAProcurement2015PolicyUpdate	11/19/14
AdHoc Review	Per_IPROreadinessreview,added C1servicesprovidedatnocostandthatstatefairhearings areavailableaftertheappealprocesshasbeenexhausted	02/23/15

	C5suchaslargeprint,Braille,audioCD,inadifferent	
	language(eachprevalentnon-Englishlanguageintheservice area),oranotherformat	
AdHoc	Added_CCL.230inreferences	09/29/15
Review	Updated_NCQAdatereference AddedBehavioralHealthServicestoscope	
Ad- Hoc	Changed-DHH-to-LDH,-adjusted-verbiage-to-comply-with-NCQA	09/26/16
Review	time-criteriaChanged-Care-to-CaseChanged-Case-manager-to	00/20/10
	Correspondence_nurse.	
AdHoc	Grammaticalchanges	09/25/17
Review	AdditionstoSectionDInformalReconsiderations/PeertoPeer	
	to-include-"Peer-to-Peer"-and-clarification-of-timeframe-for	
Ad- Hoc	reconsiderationwithinoneworkingdayifrequestedbyProvider  Grammatical- changes	09/25/18
Review	Provider_Adverse_Determination_Notification_updated_to_reflect_LA	09/23/10
1.0viow	MCO-RFP-Amendment-11Section-8-Utilization-Management	
	(8.5.4.1.2.2)changefromone(1)calendardayoftheinitial	
	request_to"verballyorasexpeditiouslyasthemember'shealth	
	condition_requires_but_not_more_than_one_(1)_business_day_of	
	making_the_initial_determination_and_shall_provide_documented	
	confirmationofsuchwrittennotificationtotheproviderwithintwo (2)businessdaysofmakingtheinitialdetermination."	
	Informal-Reconsideration-/-Peer-to-Peer,-Section-D.,-updated-to	
	reflect_LA-MCO-RFP-Amendment-11-—Section-8-Utilization	
	Management-(8.5.4.1.3.1)-and-LA.UM.05-Timeliness-of-UM	
	Decisions-and-Notifications.	
	Informal-Reconsideration-/-Peer-to-Peer,-Section-D.5-removed.	
	Removed_CCL.230-Adverse-Determination-Process-and	
	Notifications_from_References	
AdHoc	Added_new_process_for_inclusion_of_copy_of_criteria_with_denial	08/26/19
Review	noticespernewHouseBill424Act330requirement	
Ad- Hoc	Added_references_to_House_Bill_424 Added-references- to- LA.UM.01- and- LA.UM.04	06/25/20
Review	Changed-RFP-to-Emergency-Contract	00/23/20
	Added_Emergency_contract_sections_8.1.15,_8.1.17_and_8.4.2.3	
AdHoc	Changed-MM-to-PHCO	05/27/21
Review		
AdHoc	Added_Administrative_Denial,_non-medical_necessity/benefit	11/19/21
Review	denials,-and-insufficient-information-section	
	Changedrecipienttomember ChangedcaremanagertoClinicalReviewer	
AdHoc	Changed- department- from- MM- to- PHCO	12/07/22
Review	Updated- the- purpose	/ 3 . /
	Updated_administrative_denials	
	Added_Administrative/Contractual_denial_information	
	Added-Medical-Necessity-to-Level-II-review	
	Updated_Peer_to-Peer_language-to-include-procedural-steps-3i through-3iii- and-step-6	
	Added_member_to_receive_denial_letter	
	Changed- Member- to- Enrollee	
	Updated_contract_references_including-adding-RFP-Model	
	Contract_to_references	
	Reformatted_to_newpolicytemplate	
Annual Review	<u>Updated policy statement and scope, several definitions. Style guide</u>	09/2023
	and grammar corrections. Updated to current contract language, corrected contract references, removed inaccurate references, added	
	appropriate references. Updated Level II review process. Updated	
	what is included in the Notice of Action letter. Replaced working day	
	with business day. Replaced House bill 424/Act 330 with Louisiana	

Revised Statue (LARS) §46:460.74.(B), Replaced Act 319 with	
Louisiana Revised Statue (LARS) §46:460.54	
Added following sections: Written Notification for Medical Necessity	
Adverse Determination, Administrative/Contractual Adverse Benefit	
Determination, Medical Necessity Adverse Benefit Determinations	
Replaced above sections with the following sections:	
Administrative Denials, Administrative Non-medical	
Necessity/Contractual Adverse Benefit Denials.	

POLICY\_AND\_PROCEDURE\_APPROVAL

The\_electronic\_approval\_retained\_in\_RSA\_Archer,-the\_Company's\_P&P\_management\_software,-is-considered equivalent\_to-a-signature.

©2023-\_Louisiana-\_Healthcare-\_Connections.-\_All\_\_rights-\_reserved.-\_All-\_materials-\_are-\_exclusively-\_owned-\_by-\_Louisiana-\_Healthcare-\_Connections and-\_are-\_protected\_\_by-\_United-\_States-\_copyright\_\_law-\_and-\_international-\_copyright\_\_law.-\_No-\_part\_\_of\_\_this-\_publication-\_may-\_be-\_reproduced, copied,\_\_modified,\_\_distributed,\_\_displayed,\_\_stored-\_in-\_a-\_retrieval-\_system,-\_transmitted\_\_in-\_any-\_form-\_or-\_by-\_any-\_means,-\_or-\_otherwise-\_published without-\_the-\_prior-\_written-\_permission-\_of\_\_Louisiana-\_Healthcare-\_Connections.-\_You-\_may-\_not-\_alter-\_or-\_remove-\_any-\_trademark,-\_copyright,-\_or other-\_notice-\_contained-\_herein.-\_Louisiana-\_Healthcare-\_Connections-\_is-\_a-\_registered-\_trademark-\_exclusively-\_owned-\_by-\_Louisiana-\_Healthcare Connections.