| Q                           | LOUISIANA<br>DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH<br>Engineering Services  | 61 / 100 = 61%                       |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Parish: I                   | BIENVILLE PWSID: LA101   | 3009                                 |
|                             | 022 Water Grade  |                                      |
|                             |  |                                      |
| Federal Water<br>Quality    | Points deducted for federal violations, which<br>Treatment Technique and Maximum Cont<br>Level Violations, may pose a public health risk<br>extended period of time.<br>Max of 3   | aminant<br>over an -15               |
| State Water Quality         | Points deducted for state violations, which ind<br>water operator, inadequate water disinfecti<br>boil notices and water outages, may lead t<br>issues of concern if not resolved.<br>Max of 1                             | ion, and<br>to other -1              |
| Financial<br>Sustainability | Points deducted for lack of financial susta<br>which can affect operations and maintenanc<br>water system. An effective water rate can pro<br>the repair, maintenance, and future replace<br>infrastructure.<br>Max of 1   | e of the<br>ovide for<br>ment of -10 |
| Operations &<br>Maintenance | Points deducted for operation and main<br>deficiencies noted during water system insp<br>which may affect the water quality being distri<br>consumers.<br>Max of 1   | buted to -3                          |
| Infrastructure              | Points deducted for infrastructure deficiencie<br>during water system inspections, which may<br>unsafe drinking water and/or water service dis<br>Max of 2   | lead to ruption0                     |
| Customer<br>Satisfaction    | Points deducted for customer complaints received the water system and/or the Louisiana Depart Health, which are confirmed to be a water quantity issue in the water system.<br>Max of 1                                    | uality or -10                        |
| Secondary<br>Contaminants   | Points deducted for levels of iron and/or ma<br>greater than the secondary maximum cont<br>levels. These levels do not pose a health risk<br>cause undesirable water quality issues.<br>Max of                             | aminant                              |
| BONUS                       | Points granted for having an asset management<br>storage assessment and maintenance progra<br>assessment & maintenance program; particip<br>management training; or participation in a<br>development program.<br>Max of 1 | am; well<br>bation in<br>capacity +0 |

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2022 Water Grade Details LUCKY WATERWORKS

| Standard                    | Standard<br>Maximum | Point<br>Deductions | Detailed Assessment of Standards   |     | System<br>Deductions |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| Federal Water<br>Quality    | -30                 | 5 each              | Maximum contaminant level violations   | 0   | - 15                 |
|                             |                     | 5 each              | Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule  | 1   |                      |
|                             |                     | 10                  | Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?  | Yes |                      |
| State Water<br>Quality      | -10                 | 1 each              | Chlorine violations  | 1   | - 1                  |
|                             |                     | 5                   | Does the water System have an operator?  | Yes |                      |
|                             |                     | 5 each              | Water outages and/or boil notices  | 0   |                      |
| Financial<br>Sustainability | -10                 | 5                   | Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?  | No  | - 10                 |
|                             |                     | 5                   | Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?   | No  |                      |
|                             |                     | 10                  | Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?  | No  |                      |
|                             |                     | 5                   | Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?   | No  |                      |
| Operations & Maintenance    | -15                 | 3 each              | Unresolved significant deficiencies  | 1   | - 3                  |
| Infrastructure              | -20                 | 5 each              | Unresolved significant deficiencies  | 0   | - 0                  |
| Customer<br>Satisfaction    | 10                  | 1 each              | Valid water complaints reported  | 0   | - 10                 |
|                             | -10                 | -10 10              | Did the system submit a water complaint log?   | No  |                      |
| Secondary<br>Contaminants   | -5                  | 5                   | Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)   | No  | - 0                  |
| Bonus                       | +10                 | 5 each              | Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training | 0   | + 0                  |
| Total Deductions + Bonus    |                     |                     |  | -39 |                      |
| Score                       |                     |                     |  |     | 61 / 100 =<br>61%    |