| | LOUISIANA DEPARTMEN Engineering Services | 77 / 100 = 77% | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|-----|
| WEST GRANT WATER ASSOCIATION Parish: GRANT PWSID: LA1043014 | | | | |
| 2 | 022 Wate | | | |
| | | | | |
| Federal Water Quality | Treatment Techr | | m Contaminant | -0 |
| State Water Quality | water operator, | | disinfection, and | -0 |
| Financial Sustainability | which can affect water system. An | for lack of financ operations and mai effective water rate enance, and future | ntenance of the e can provide for | -10 |
| Operations & Maintenance | deficiencies note | for operation ar d during water syst the water quality bei | tem inspections, | -3 |
| Infrastructure | during water syst | for infrastructure de em inspections, wh ater and/or water se | ich may lead to | -0 |
| Customer Satisfaction | the water system | | a Department of | -10 |
| Secondary Contaminants | greater than the levels. These leve | for levels of iron an secondary maximu ls do not pose a hea water quality issues | um contaminant alth risk but may | -0 |
| BONUS | storage assessme assessment & ma | | e program; well participation in | +0 |

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2022 Water Grade Details WEST GRANT WATER ASSOCIATION

| Standard | Standard Maximum | Point Deductions | Detailed Assessment of Standards | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Federal Water Quality -30 | | 5 each | Maximum contaminant level violations | 0 | - 0 | |
| | -30 | 5 each | Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule | 0 | | |
| | | 10 | Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order? | No | | |
| State Water Quality | -10 | 1 each | Chlorine violations | 0 | - 0 | |
| | | 5 | Does the water System have an operator? | Yes | | |
| | | 5 each | Water outages and/or boil notices | 0 | | |
| Financial Sustainability -10 | | 5 | Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? | No | 10 | |
| | 10 | 10 5 | Did the water system submit an acceptable audit? | No | | |
| | -10 | 10 | Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? | No | - 10 | |
| | | 5 | Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? | No | | |
| Operations & Maintenance | -15 | 3 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | 1 | - 3 | |
| Infrastructure | -20 | 5 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | 0 | - 0 | |
| Customer -10 | 10 | 1 each | Valid water complaints reported | 1 | 10 [†] | |
| | 10 | Did the system submit a water complaint log? | No | - 10 ⁺ | | |
| Secondary Contaminants | -5 | 5 | Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) | | - 0 | |
| Bonus | +10 | 5 each | Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training | | + 0 | |
| <i>†The points deducted exceeded the maximum allowable deductions for the Standard.</i> Total Deductions + Bonus | | | | | -23 | |
| Score | | | | | 77 / 100 = 77% | |