Q	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT Engineering Services FOREST GLEI		78 / 100 = 78%	
Parish: ST	TAMMANY	137		
	022 Water			
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Techniqu	federal violations, which in e and Maximum Contam pose a public health risk ov me. Max of 30 p	ninant ver an	-0
State Water Quality	water operator, inac	tate violations, which inclu lequate water disinfection er outages, may lead to ot resolved. Max of 10 p	n, and other	-2
Financial Sustainability	which can affect ope water system. An eff	lack of financial sustainant trations and maintenance of ective water rate can providence, and future replacement Max of 10 p	of the de for ent of	-10
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted de	r operation and mainten uring water system inspec water quality being distribut Max of 15 p	ctions, ited to	-0
Infrastructure	during water system	infrastructure deficiencies inspections, which may le and/or water service disru Max of 20 p	ead to ption.	-0
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and	customer complaints receiv I/or the Louisiana Departmonfirmed to be a water qual vater system. Max of 10 p	ent of lity or	-10
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the see	evels of iron and/or mang condary maximum contam o not pose a health risk bu ter quality issues. Max of 5 p	ninant It may	-0
BOHUS	storage assessment a assessment & mainte	ving an asset management p and maintenance program enance program; participati g; or participation in a cap n. Max of 10 p	; well tion in pacity	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2022 Water Grade Details FOREST GLEN SD

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards				
Federal Water Quality	-30	5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0			
		5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	Rule 0 - 0			
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No	10		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	2			
		5	Does the water System have an operator?	Yes	- 2		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability	-10	5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No	- 10		
		5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	No			
		10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No			
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0		
Customer	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	0	10		
Satisfaction -10		10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	No	- 10		
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	No	- 0		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training		+ 0		
Total Deductions + Bonus					-22		
Score					78 / 100 = 78%		