$\sim Q$	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF Engineering Services	99 / 100 = 99%	
RAMBIN-WALLACE WATER SYSTEM			
Parish: DE SOTO PWSID: LA1031012			
2	023 Water Gr		
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Technique ar	ral violations, which include nd Maximum Contaminant e a public health risk over an Max of 30 points	-0
State Water Quality	water operator, inadequa	violations, which include no ate water disinfection, and utages, may lead to other olved. Max of 10 points	-1
Financial Sustainability	which can affect operation water system. An effective	c of financial sustainability ns and maintenance of the e water rate can provide for and future replacement of Max of 10 points	-0
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted during	peration and maintenance water system inspections, r quality being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0
Infrastructure	during water system insp	structure deficiencies noted ections, which may lead to /or water service disruption. Max of 20 points	-0
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and/or t	mer complaints received by the Louisiana Department of ed to be a water quality or system. Max of 10 points	-0
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the second	s of iron and/or manganese ary maximum contaminant c pose a health risk but may uality issues. Max of 5 points	-0
BONUS	storage assessment and assessment & maintenant	in asset management plan; a maintenance program; well ce program; participation in participation in a capacity Max of 10 points	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2023 Water Grade Details RAMBIN-WALLACE WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards			
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0		
	-30	-30 5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule		- 0	
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	1	- 1	
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability -10		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	Yes		
	10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes	0	
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No	- 0	
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Customer 10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	0 - 0			
Satisfaction -10		10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes	- 0	
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	No	- 0	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0	
Total Deductions + Bonus					-1	
Score					99 / 100 = 99%	