	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF I Engineering Services	60 / 100 = 60%	
Parish: GRANT PWSID: LA			
2	023 Water Gr		
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Technique an	al violations, which include d Maximum Contaminant a public health risk over an Max of 30 points	-30
State Water Quality	water operator, inadequa	violations, which include no te water disinfection, and utages, may lead to other olved. Max of 10 points	-2
Financial Sustainability	which can affect operation water system. An effective	of financial sustainability ns and maintenance of the e water rate can provide for and future replacement of Max of 10 points	-5
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted during	eration and maintenance water system inspections, quality being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0
Infrastructure	during water system inspe	tructure deficiencies noted ections, which may lead to for water service disruption. Max of 20 points	-0
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and/or the system and/or the system and system as a system and system as a system and system and system as	mer complaints received by he Louisiana Department of ed to be a water quality or system. Max of 10 points	-8
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the seconda	of iron and/or manganese ary maximum contaminant pose a health risk but may uality issues. Max of 5 points	-0
BONUS	storage assessment and r assessment & maintenanc	n asset management plan; a naintenance program; well e program; participation in participation in a capacity Max of 10 points	+5

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2023 Water Grade Details RED HILL WATERWORKS INC.

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards			
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	4		
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0 - 30		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	Yes		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	2		
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes	- 2	
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No		
	-10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes	F	
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No	- 5	
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Customer Satisfaction -10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	8	8		
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes	- 8	
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		- 0	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	1	+ 5	
Total Deductions + Bonus					-40	
Score					60 / 100 = 60%	