$\sim Q$	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF Engineering Services	84 / 100 = 84%	
MT OI	IVE WATERWORKS		
Parish:	LINCOLN		
2	023 Water Gr		
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Technique ar	ral violations, which include nd Maximum Contaminant a public health risk over an Max of 30 points	-0
State Water Quality	water operator, inadequa	violations, which include no ate water disinfection, and outages, may lead to other solved. Max of 10 points	-0
Financial Sustainability	which can affect operatio water system. An effectiv	c of financial sustainability ons and maintenance of the e water rate can provide for and future replacement of Max of 10 points	-10
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted during	peration and maintenance water system inspections, r quality being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0
Infrastructure	during water system insp	structure deficiencies noted ections, which may lead to /or water service disruption. Max of 20 points	-0
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and/or t	mer complaints received by the Louisiana Department of led to be a water quality or system. Max of 10 points	-1
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the second	s of iron and/or manganese ary maximum contaminant t pose a health risk but may uality issues. Max of 5 points	-5
BONUS	storage assessment and a assessment & maintenance	an asset management plan; a maintenance program; well ce program; participation in participation in a capacity Max of 10 points	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



## 2023 Water Grade Details MT OLIVE WATERWORKS DISTRICT

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards	System Deductions		
Federal Water Quality	-30	5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0	- 0	
		5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	0	- 0	
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability	-10	5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No	- 10	
		5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	No		
		10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Customer Satisfaction	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	1	- 1	
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes		
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	Yes	- 5	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0	
Total Deductions + Bonus					-16	
Score					84 / 100 = 84%	