$\sim Q$	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF HE Engineering Services	90 / 100 = 90%	
TOWN OF ROSEPINE WATER SYSTEM			
Parish:	VERNON PV	VSID: LA1115028	
2	023 Water Gra		
Federal Water Quality	Points deducted for federal Treatment Technique and Level Violations, may pose a extended period of time.	Maximum Contaminant	-0
State Water Quality	Points deducted for state vio water operator, inadequate boil notices and water outa issues of concern if not resolv	water disinfection, and ges, may lead to other	-0
Financial Sustainability	Points deducted for lack o which can affect operations water system. An effective w the repair, maintenance, an infrastructure.	and maintenance of the vater rate can provide for	-5
Operations & Maintenance	Points deducted for opera deficiencies noted during w which may affect the water qu consumers.	ater system inspections,	-0
Infrastructure	Points deducted for infrastru during water system inspect unsafe drinking water and/or	ions, which may lead to	-5
Customer Satisfaction	Points deducted for custome the water system and/or the Health, which are confirmed quantity issue in the water sy	Louisiana Department of to be a water quality or	-0
Secondary Contaminants	Points deducted for levels of greater than the secondary levels. These levels do not po cause undesirable water qual	maximum contaminant ose a health risk but may	-0
BONUS	Points granted for having an a storage assessment and ma assessment & maintenance ( management training; or pa development program.	ntenance program; well program; participation in	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



## 2023 Water Grade Details TOWN OF ROSEPINE WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards			
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0	- 0	
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	0	- 0	
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No		
	-10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes	- 5	
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 5	
Customer Satisfaction -10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	0	0		
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes	- 0	
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		- 0	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0	
Total Deductions + Bonus					-10	
Score					90 / 100 = 90%	