Q	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT O Engineering Services GILARK WATER S	84 / 100 = 84%	
	WEBSTER		
2	023 Water 0		
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Technique	ederal violations, which include and Maximum Contaminant pose a public health risk over an e. Max of 30 points	-10
State Water Quality	water operator, inade	ate violations, which include no equate water disinfection, and er outages, may lead to other resolved. Max of 10 points	-1
Financial Sustainability	which can affect operative water system. An effect	lack of financial sustainability ations and maintenance of the ctive water rate can provide for ce, and future replacement of Max of 10 points	-5
Operations & Maintenance	deficiencies noted dur	operation and maintenance ring water system inspections, rater quality being distributed to Max of 15 points	-0
Infrastructure	during water system in	frastructure deficiencies noted nspections, which may lead to and/or water service disruption. Max of 20 points	-0
Customer Satisfaction	the water system and/	istomer complaints received by or the Louisiana Department of firmed to be a water quality or ater system. Max of 10 points	-0
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the seco	vels of iron and/or manganese ondary maximum contaminant not pose a health risk but may er quality issues. Max of 5 points	-0
BONUS	storage assessment ar assessment & mainten	ng an asset management plan; a nd maintenance program; well hance program; participation in or participation in a capacity Max of 10 points	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2023 Water Grade Details GILARK WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards			
Federal Water Quality -3		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0	- 10	
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	Yes		
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	1	- 1	
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0		
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No	- 5	
	10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes		
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No		
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0	
Customer Satisfaction -10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	0	- 0	
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes		
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	No	- 0	
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0	
Total Deductions + Bonus					-16	
Score					84 / 100 = 84%	