$\sim Q$	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT Engineering Services	94 / 100 = 94%		
N	IT ZION WATE			
Parish: LINCOLN PWSID: LA1061015				
2	024 Water			
Federal Water Quality	Points deducted for Treatment Techniq Level Violations, mar extended period of t	ue and Maximur y pose a public he ime.	n Contaminant	-0
State Water Quality	Points deducted for water operator, ina boil notices and wa issues of concern if r	adequate water d ater outages, may not resolved.	isinfection, and	-0
Financial Sustainability	Points deducted for which can affect op water system. An eff the repair, mainten infrastructure.	erations and main ffective water rate ance, and future	ntenance of the can provide for	-0
Operations & Maintenance	Points deducted f deficiencies noted o which may affect the consumers.	during water syste water quality bein	em inspections,	-0
Infrastructure	Points deducted for during water systen unsafe drinking wate	n inspections, whi er and/or water se	ich may lead to	-0
Customer Satisfaction	Points deducted for the water system an Health, which are co quantity issue in the	nd/or the Louisiana onfirmed to be a v water system.	Department of	-1
Secondary Contaminants	Points deducted for greater than the so levels. These levels cause undesirable w	econdary maximu do not pose a hea	m contaminant Ith risk but may	-5
BONUS	Points granted for ha storage assessment assessment & main management trainir development progra	and maintenance tenance program; ng; or participatio m.	e program; well participation in	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2024 Water Grade Details MT ZION WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards				
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0			
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0	- 0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No			
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	0	- 0		
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes			
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	Yes	- 0		
	-10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes			
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No			
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	0	- 0		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	0	- 0		
Customer Satisfaction -10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	1	1		
	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes	- 1			
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	Yes	- 5		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0		
Total Deductions + Bonus					-6		
Score					94 / 100 = 94%		