Q	LOUISIANA DEPARTMEN Engineering Services	82 / 100 = 82%		
	SWC- SPRINGF			
	VINGSTON			
2	2024 Wate			
Federal Water Quality	Points deducted for Treatment Techni Level Violations, m extended period of	que and Maximu ay pose a public he	um Contaminant	-0
State Water Quality	Points deducted fo water operator, ir boil notices and v issues of concern if	nadequate water vater outages, ma	disinfection, and	-0
Financial Sustainability	Points deducted f which can affect o water system. An the repair, mainte infrastructure.	perations and ma effective water rat	intenance of the e can provide for	-5
Operations & Maintenance	Points deducted deficiencies noted which may affect th consumers.	during water sys	tem inspections,	-0
Infrastructure	Points deducted for during water syste unsafe drinking wa	em inspections, wh	nich may lead to	-0
Customer Satisfaction	Points deducted for the water system a Health, which are quantity issue in th	and/or the Louisiar confirmed to be a	na Department of	-8
Secondary Contaminants	Points deducted for greater than the levels. These levels cause undesirable w	secondary maxim do not pose a he	um contaminant alth risk but may	-5
BONUS	Points granted for H storage assessment assessment & main management train development progr	nt and maintenand ntenance program ing; or participati	ce program; well ; participation in	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2024 Water Grade Details FSWC- SPRINGFIELD AREA

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards				
Federal Water Quality -30		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0			
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule0				
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No			
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	0			
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes	- 0		
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	Yes			
	-10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	No	F		
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No	- 5		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies		- 0		
Customer Satisfaction -10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	8	- 8		
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes			
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)		- 5		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training		+ 0		
Total Deductions + Bonus					-18		
Score					82 / 100 = 82%		