	LOUISIANA DEPARTMEN Engineering Services	58 / 100 = 58%		
Parish: OUACHITA PWSID: LA1073006				
2	024 Wate			
Federal Water Quality	Treatment Techni	ique and Maxin ay pose a public	ons, which include num Contaminant health risk over an Max of 30 points	-0
State Water Quality	water operator, i	nadequate water water outages, r	, which include no disinfection, and nay lead to other Max of 10 points	-3
Financial Sustainability	which can affect of water system. An	operations and meffective water rates	ncial sustainability naintenance of the ate can provide for re replacement of Max of 10 points	-5
Operations & Maintenance		during water s	and maintenance ystem inspections, being distributed to Max of 15 points	-9
Infrastructure	Points deducted for during water syste unsafe drinking wa	em inspections, v		-15
Customer Satisfaction	the water system a	and/or the Louisia confirmed to be	plaints received by ana Department of a water quality or Max of 10 points	-10
Secondary Contaminants	greater than the	secondary maxin s do not pose a h	and/or manganese mum contaminant lealth risk but may les. Max of 5 points	-0
BONUS	storage assessmer assessment & mai	nt and maintena ntenance progra ning; or participa	nanagement plan; a nce program; well m; participation in tion in a capacity Max of 10 points	+0

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2024 Water Grade Details CALHOUN WATER SYSTEM

Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards				
Federal Water Quality		5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0			
	-30	5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0	- 0		
		10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No			
State Water Quality	-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	3	- 3		
		5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes			
		5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0			
Financial Sustainability -1		5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	Yes			
	10	5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	No	_		
	-10	10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No	- 5		
		5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No			
Operations & Maintenance	-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	3	- 9		
Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	3	- 15		
Customer -10	10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	0	- 10		
	-10	10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	No			
Secondary Contaminants	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	No	- 0		
Bonus	+10	5 each	Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training	0	+ 0		
Total Deductions + Bonus					-42		
Score					58 / 100 = 58%		