102 / 100 = 102% OUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH Engineering Service **ST TAM PARISH - BRIARWOOD TERRACE** Parish: ST TAMMANY **PWSID: LA1103079** 2024 Water Grade Points deducted for federal violations, which include Treatment Technique and Maximum Contaminant Level Violations, may pose a public health risk over an -() extended period of time. **Federal Water** Max of 30 points Quality Points deducted for state violations, which include no water operator, inadequate water disinfection, and boil notices and water outages, may lead to other -1 issues of concern if not resolved. Max of 10 points **State Water Quality** Points deducted for lack of financial sustainability which can affect operations and maintenance of the water system. An effective water rate can provide for

Financial Sustainability

Secondary

Points deducted for operation and maintenance deficiencies noted during water system inspections, which may affect the water quality being distributed to consumers. **Operations &** Max of 15 points Maintenance

infrastructure.

the repair, maintenance, and future replacement of

Max of 10 points

- Points deducted for infrastructure deficiencies noted during water system inspections, which may lead to unsafe drinking water and/or water service disruption. Max of 20 points Infrastructure Points deducted for customer complaints received by
- the water system and/or the Louisiana Department of Health, which are confirmed to be a water quality or quantity issue in the water system. Customer Max of 10 points Satisfaction
- Points deducted for levels of iron and/or manganese greater than the secondary maximum contaminant levels. These levels do not pose a health risk but may cause undesirable water quality issues. Max of 5 points Contaminants
 - Points granted for having an asset management plan; a storage assessment and maintenance program; well assessment & maintenance program; participation in management training; or participation in a capacity development program.

Max of 10 points

-5

-()

-0

.7

-0





2024 Water Grade Details ST TAM PARISH - BRIARWOOD TERRACE

Federal Water Quality 30 $5 \operatorname{each}$ S $2 \operatorname{each}$ Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule 0 0 -0 State Water Quality 10 Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?No -0 State Water Quality -10 5 Does the water system have an operator?Yes -1 5 Does the water outages and/or boil notices 0 -1 -10 -10 -10 5 Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?No -10 7 -10 5 Did the system submit an acceptable audit?Yes -5 5 Did the system outle a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?No -5 5 Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?No -0 1 10 Is the system submit a deficiencies 0 -0 10 Is the system submit a deficiencies 0 -0 10 Is the system submit a deficiencies 0 -0 10 Is the system submit a water complaint log?Yes -2 2 5 eachUnresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 1 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log?Yes -2 2 -20 5 eachUnresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 1 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log?Yes -2 2 -20 5 seachManaga	Standard	Standard Maximum	Point Deductions	Detailed Assessment of Standards		
Quality-30S eachTreatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule0-0State Water Quality10Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?NoState Water Quality-101 eachChlorine violations15Does the water system have an operator?Yes-15S eachWater outages and/or boil notices0-1Financial Sustainability-105Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?NoFinancial Sustainability-10Is the system submit an acceptable audit?Yes-5010Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?No00Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?No010Is the system complaint deficiencies0-0010Is the system submit an acceptable audit?Yes-25Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?No-0010Id water complaint deficiencies0-0-0110Did the system submit a water complaint log?Yes-2Secondary Contaminants-55Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)No-08onus+105 eachAsset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training2 <td< td=""><td rowspan="3"></td><td rowspan="3">-30</td><td>5 each</td><td>Maximum contaminant level violations</td><td>0</td><td rowspan="3">- 0</td></td<>		-30	5 each	Maximum contaminant level violations	0	- 0
State Water Quality -10 Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order? No State Water Quality -10 1 each Chlorine violations 1 5 Does the water system have an operator? Yes -1 5 Seach Water outages and/or boil notices 0 0 Financial Sustainability -10 5 Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No 10 Is the system nuder a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? No -5 Operations & Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Satisfaction -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Secondary Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10			5 each	Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule	0	
State Water Quality-105Does the water system have an operator?Yes-1SeachWater outages and/or boil notices00Financial Sustainability-105Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?NoSustainability-105Did the water system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?NoSustainability-101s the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?NoOperations & Maintenance-153 eachUnresolved significant deficiencies0-0Infrastructure-205 eachUnresolved significant deficiencies0-0-0Customer Satisfaction-101 eachValid water complaints reported2-2-2Secondary Contaminants-55Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)No-0Bonus+105 eachAsset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training2+10102/100			10	Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order?	No	
Quality -10 5 Does the water system have an operator? Yes -1 Financial Sustainability 5 Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No 0 Financial Sustainability -10 5 Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No -5 Sustainability -10 15 the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? No -5 Operations & Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 102/100 102 4sset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10		-10	1 each	Chlorine violations	1	- 1
Financial Sustainability -10 5 Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No Financial Sustainability -10 5 Did the water system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No 0 5 Did the water system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No 0 5 Did the water system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? No 0 5 Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? No 0 0 -0 0 -0 0 10 Is the system circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? No 0 0 -0 -0 10 10 Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 0 -0 -0 -0 -0 10 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 0 -0 10 Did the system submit a storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10			5	Does the water system have an operator?	Yes	
Financial Sustainability -10 5 Did the water system submit an acceptable audit? Yes -5 0 1s the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? No No 0 5 Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? No 0 -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 102/100 102 2 +10 2 +10			5 each	Water outages and/or boil notices	0	
Sustainability -10 10 Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? No Operations & Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer Satisfaction -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Secondary Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 2 +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10		-10	5	Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate?	No	5
Sustainability 10 Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? No Operations & Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Satisfaction -10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102/100			5	Did the water system submit an acceptable audit?	Yes	
Operations & Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Satisfaction -10 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2			10	Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices?	No	
Maintenance -15 3 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Infrastructure -20 5 each Unresolved significant deficiencies 0 -0 Customer -10 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Satisfaction -10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2			5	Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system?	No	
Customer Satisfaction 1 each Valid water complaints reported 2 -2 Satisfaction 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102 / 100		-15	3 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	0	- 0
Satisfaction -10 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes -2 Secondary Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102 / 100 102 / 100	Infrastructure	-20	5 each	Unresolved significant deficiencies	0	- 0
Satisfaction 10 Did the system submit a water complaint log? Yes Secondary Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102 / 100 102 / 100 102 / 100		-10	1 each	Valid water complaints reported	2	- 2
Contaminants -5 5 Manganese and/or from level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) No -0 Bonus +10 5 each Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102 / 100			10	Did the system submit a water complaint log?	Yes	
Bonus +10 5 each in capacity development or management training 2 +10 Total Deductions + Bonus 2 102 / 100	·	-5	5	Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s)	No	- 0
102 / 100	Bonus	+10	5 each		2	+ 10
102 / 100	Total Deductions + Bonus					2
Score 102%	102 / 100 =					