| Q | LOUISIANA DEPARTMEI Engineering Services | 19 / 100 = 19% | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|-----|
| WARDS CHAPEL WATER SYSTEM | | | | |
| Parish: UNION | | | LA1111016 | |
| 2 | . 024 Wat | | | |
| Federal Water Quality | Treatment Tech | for federal violatio nnique and Maxim may pose a public h of time. | um Contaminant | -30 |
| State Water Quality | water operator, | for state violations, inadequate water water outages, m if not resolved. | disinfection, and | -2 |
| Financial Sustainability | which can affect water system. A | d for lack of finan t operations and main on effective water ran thenance, and futur | aintenance of the te can provide for | -10 |
| Operations & Maintenance | deficiencies not | d for operation a ed during water sy t the water quality be | stem inspections, | -9 |
| Infrastructure | during water sys | for infrastructure of stem inspections, w water and/or water s | hich may lead to | -20 |
| Customer Satisfaction | the water systen Health, which ar | for customer comp n and/or the Louisia re confirmed to be a the water system. | na Department of | -10 |
| Secondary Contaminants | greater than th levels. These lev | for levels of iron a e secondary maxin els do not pose a he le water quality issue | num contaminant ealth risk but may | -0 |
| BONUS | storage assessm assessment & m | or having an asset ma nent and maintenan naintenance progran aining; or participat ogram. | ce program; well n; participation in | +0 |

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2024 Water Grade Details WARDS CHAPEL WATER SYSTEM

| Standard | Standard Maximum | Point Deductions | Detailed Assessment of Standards | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|-----|-------------------|--|--|
| Federal Water Quality | | 5 each | Maximum contaminant level violations | 4 | | | |
| | -30 | 5 each | Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule | 0 | - 30 | | |
| | | 10 | Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order? | Yes | | | |
| State Water Quality | -10 | 1 each | Chlorine violations | 2 | | | |
| | | 5 | Does the water system have an operator? | Yes | - 2 | | |
| | | 5 each | Water outages and/or boil notices | 0 | | | |
| Financial Sustainability | | 5 | Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? | No | | | |
| | 10 | 5 | Did the water system submit an acceptable audit? | No | - 10 | | |
| | -10 | 10 | Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? | No | | | |
| | | 5 | Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? | No | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance | -15 | 3 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | | - 9 | | |
| Infrastructure | -20 | 5 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | | - 20 | | |
| Customer -10 | 10 | 1 each | Valid water complaints reported | 0 | 10 | | |
| | -10 | 10 | Did the system submit a water complaint log? | No | - 10 | | |
| Secondary Contaminants | -5 | 5 | Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) | | - 0 | | |
| Bonus | +10 | 5 each | Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training | 0 | + 0 | | |
| Total Deductions + Bonus | | | | | | | |
| Score | | | | | 19 / 100 = 19% | | |